

THE
SACRED BOOKS OF THE EAST

HENRY FROWDE, M.A.
PUBLISHER TO THE UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD
LONDON, EDINBURGH
NEW YORK AND TORONTO

THE
SATAPATHA-BRAHMANA

ACCORDING TO THE TEXT OF THE

MĀDHYANDINA SCHOOL

TRANSLATED BY

JULIUS EGGELING

PART I

BOOKS I AND II

OXFORD
AT THE CLARENDON PRESS

1882

[*All rights reserved*]

CONTENTS

	PAGE
INTRODUCTION	ix
FIRST KÂṆDA.	
Darsapûrṇamâseshî, or New and Full-moon Sacrifices .	1
Vow of Abstinence	1
Preparation of Offerings	6
Leading forth of <i>Pranîâtâ</i>	6
Taking out of rice for the cakes	11
Preparation of strainers and consecration of the rice by sprinkling with lustral water	19
Husking and grinding of the rice	23. 38
Putting on of the potsherds	32
Preparation and baking of the cakes	42
Preparation of the Altar	47
Samishayagus, or throwing away of the grass-bush .	55
Lines of enclosure	59
Cleaning of spoons	67
Girding of the sacrificer's wife and eyeing of the butter	71
The offering-spoons	78
Covering of the altar with sacrificial grass	83
Enclosing of the fire with the Paridhis	87
Kindling of the Fire	95
The Pravara, or choosing of the Divine Hotri . . .	114
Âghârau, or two libations of ghee	124
The Pravara, or choosing of Human Hotri	131
Prayâgas, or fore-offerings	138
Âgyabhâgau, or two butter-portions to Agni-Soma .	159
Special Preliminary Rites of New-moon Sacrifice . .	175
Chief Offerings, viz.	190
Cake to Agni.	
Low-voiced offering (<i>upâmsuyâga</i>) to Agni-Soma.	
Cake to Agni-Soma at Full-moon Sacrifice.	
Cake to Indra-Agni, or <i>Sâmnâyya</i> to Indra at New- moon Sacrifice.	
Oblation to Agni <i>Svishakrî</i>	199

	PAGE
Brahman's portions	208
Idâ	216
Anuyâgas, or after-offerings	230
Sûktavâka, Samyuvâka, and offering of remains	236
Patnîsamyâgas	256
Concluding ceremonies	262

SECOND KÂNDĀ.

Agnyâdhâna, or Establishment of Sacred Fires	274
Sambhâras	276
Asterisms suitable for Agnyâdhâna	282
Seasons suitable for Agnyâdhâna	289
Upavasatha	291
Churning and laying down of fire	294
Oblations	302
Punarâdheya, or Re-establishment of Fire	313
Agnihotra, or Morning and Evening Milk-offerings	322
Agnyupasthâna, or Worship of Fires	338
Pindapitriyagña, or Oblation of Obsequial Cakes to Deceased Ancestors	361
Âgrayaneshî, or Offering of First-fruits	369
Dâkshâyana (New and Full-moon) Sacrifice	374
Kâturmâsyâni, or Seasonal Sacrifices	383
Vaisradeva	384
Varunapraghâsâh	391
Sâkamedhâh	408
Mahâhavih, or great oblation	417
Mahâ-pitriyagña	420
Oblation to Rudra Tryambaka	437
Sunâsîrya	444
Additions and Corrections	452

Transliteration of Oriental Alphabets adopted for the Translations of the Sacred Books of the East	453
---	-----

INTRODUCTION.

THE translator of the Satapatha-brāhmaṇa can be under no illusion as to the reception his production is likely to meet with at the hand of the general reader. In the whole range of literature few works are probably less calculated to excite the interest of any outside the very limited number of specialists, than the ancient theological writings of the Hindus, known by the name of Brāhmaṇas. For wearisome prolixity of exposition, characterised by dogmatic assertion and a flimsy symbolism rather than by serious reasoning, these works are perhaps not equalled anywhere; unless, indeed, it be by the speculative vapourings of the Gnostics, than which, in the opinion of the learned translators of Irenæus, 'nothing more absurd has probably ever been imagined by rational beings'.¹ If I have, nevertheless, undertaken, at the request of the Editor of the present Series, what would seem to be a rather thankless task, the reason will be readily understood by those who have taken even the most cursory view of the history of the Hindu mind and institutions.

The Brāhmaṇas, it is well known, form our chief, if not our only, source of information regarding one of the most important periods in the social and mental development of India. They represent the intellectual activity of a sacerdotal caste which, by turning to account the religious instincts of a gifted and naturally devout race, had succeeded in transforming a primitive worship of the powers of nature into a highly artificial system of sacrificial ceremonies, and was ever intent on deepening and extending its hold on the minds of the people, by surrounding its own vocation with the halo of sanctity and divine inspiration. A complicated ceremonial, requiring for its proper observance and

¹ A. Roberts and W. A. Rambaut, *The Writings of Irenæus*, vol. I. p. xv.

consequent efficacy the ministrations of a highly trained priestly class, has ever been one of the most effective means of promoting hierarchical aspirations. Even practical Rome did not entirely succeed in steering clear of the rock of priestly ascendancy attained by such-like means. There, as elsewhere, 'the neglect or faulty performance of the worship of each god revenged itself in the corresponding occurrence; and as it was a laborious and difficult task to gain even a knowledge of one's religious obligations, the priests who were skilled in the law of divine things and pointed out its requirements—the *pontifices*—could not fail to attain an extraordinary influence¹.' The catalogue of the duties and privileges of the priest of Jupiter might well find a place in the Talmud. 'The rule—that no religious service can be acceptable to the gods, unless it be performed without a flaw—was pushed to such an extent, that a single sacrifice had to be repeated thirty times in succession on account of mistakes again and again committed; and the games, which formed part of the divine service, were regarded as undone, if the presiding magistrate had committed any slip in word or deed, or if the music even had paused at a wrong time, and so had to be begun afresh, frequently for several, even as many as seven, times in succession².' Great, however, as was the influence acquired by the priestly colleges of Rome, 'it was never forgotten—least of all in the case of those who held the highest position—that their duty was not to command, but to tender skilled advice³.' The Roman statesmen submitted to these transparent tricks rather from considerations of political expediency than from religious scruples; and the Greek Polybius might well say that 'the strange and ponderous ceremonial of Roman religion was invented solely on account of the multitude which, as reason had no power over it, required to be ruled by signs and wonders⁴.'

The devout belief in the efficacy of invocation and sacri-

¹ Mommsen, *History of Rome*, translated by W. P. Dickson, vol. i. p. 181.

² *Ibid.* vol. ii. p. 400.

³ *Ibid.* vol. i. p. 179.

⁴ *Ibid.* vol. iii. p. 455.

facial offering which pervades most of the hymns of the Rig-veda, and which may be assumed to reflect pretty faithfully the religious sentiments of those amongst whom they were composed, could not but ensure to the priest, endowed with the gift of sacred utterance, a considerable amount of respect and reverence on the part of the people. His superior culture and habitual communion with the divine rulers of the destinies of man would naturally entitle him to a place of honour by the side of the chiefs of clans, or the rulers of kingdoms, who would not fail to avail themselves of his spiritual services, in order to secure the favour of the gods for their warlike expeditions or political undertakings. Nor did the Vedic bard fail to urge his claims on the consideration and generosity of those in the enjoyment of power and wealth. He often dwells on the supernatural virtues of his compositions and their mysterious efficacy in drawing down divine blessings on the pious worshipper. In urging the necessity of frequent and liberal offerings to the gods, and invoking worldly blessings on the offerer, the priestly bard may often be detected pleading his own cause along with that of his employer, as Kanva does when he sings (Rig-veda VIII, 2, 13), 'Let him be rich, let him be foremost, the bard of the rich, of so illustrious a Maghavan¹ as thou, O lord of the bay steeds!' Though the Dānastutis, or verses extolling, often in highly exaggerated terms, the munificence of princely patrons, and generally occurring at the end of hymns, are doubtless, as a rule, later additions, they at least show that the sacerdotal office must have been, or must gradually have become during this period, a very lucrative one.

Although there is no reason to suppose that the sacrificial ceremonial was in early times so fully developed as some scholars would have us believe, the religious service would seem to have been already of a sufficiently advanced nature to require some kind of training for the priestly office. In course of time, while the collection of hymns were faithfully

¹Maghavan, the mighty or bountiful, is a designation both of Indra and the wealthy patron of priests. Here it is evidently intended to refer to both.

handed down as precious heirlooms in the several families, and were gradually enriched by the poetical genius of succeeding generations, the ceremonial became more and more complicated, so as at last to necessitate the distribution of the sacerdotal functions among several distinct classes of priests. Such a distribution of sacrificial duties must have taken place before the close of the period of the hymns, and there can be little doubt that at that time the position of the priesthood in the community was that of a regular profession, and even, to some extent, a hereditary one¹. A post of peculiar importance, which seems to go back to a very early time, was that of the Purohita (literally 'praepositus'), or family priest to chiefs and kings. From the comparatively modest position of a private chaplain, who had to attend to the sacrificial obligations of his master, he appears to have gradually raised himself to the dignity of, so to say, a minister of public worship and confidential adviser of the king. It is obvious that such a post was singularly favourable to the designs of a crafty and ambitious priest, and must have offered him exceptional opportunities for promoting the hierarchical aspirations of the priesthood².

In the Rig-veda there is, with the single exception of the Purusha-sūkta, no clear indication of the existence of caste in the proper, Brāhmanical sense of the word. That institution, we may assume, was only introduced after the Brāhmins had finally established their claims to the highest

¹ See J. Muir, *Original Texts*, I, p. 239 seq.

² See Max Müller, *History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature*, p. 485 seq.; A. Weber, *Indische Studien*, X, 31 seq. In Rig-veda IV, 50, 8, Vāmadeva is made to say, 'That king alone, with whom the Brahman walks in front (pūrva eti), lives well-established in his house; for him there is ever abundance of food; before him the people bow of their own accord.' If Grassmann was right in excluding verses 7-11 as a later addition, as I have no doubt he was (at least with regard to verses 7-9), these verses would furnish a good illustration of the gradually increasing importance of the office of Purohita. Professor Ludwig seems to take the verses 7-11 as forming a separate hymn; but I doubt not that he, too, must consider them on linguistic grounds, if on no other, as considerably later than the first six verses. The fact that the last pāda of the sixth verse occurs again as the closing formula of the hymns V, 55; VIII, 40; and X, 121 (though also in VIII, 48, 13, where it is followed by two more verses) seems to favour this view.

rank in the body politic; when they sought to perpetuate their social ascendancy by strictly defining the privileges and duties of the several classes, and assigning to them their respective places in the gradated scale of the Brâhmanical community. The period during which the main body of the Vedic hymns was composed, in the land of the seven rivers, seems to have been followed by a time of wars and conquests. From the literary products of the succeeding period we can see that the centre of the Âryan civilisation had in the meantime shifted from the region of the Sindhu (Indus) to that of the Yamunâ (Jumna) and Gaṅgâ. As the conquered districts were no doubt mainly occupied by aboriginal tribes, which had either to retire before their Âryan conquerors, or else to submit to them as Sûdras or serfs, it seems not unnatural to suppose that it was from a sense of the danger with which the purity of the Brâhmanical faith was threatened from the idolatrous practices of the aboriginal subjects, that the necessity of raising an insurmountable barrier between the Âryan freeman and the man of the servile class first suggested itself to the Brâhmins. As religious interests would be largely involved in this kind of class legislation, it would naturally call into play the ingenuity of the priestly order; and would create among them that tendency towards regulating the mutual relations of all classes of the community which ultimately found its legal expression, towards the close of this period in the Dharma-sûtras, the prototypes of the Hindu code of law.

- The struggle for social ascendancy between the priesthood and the ruling military class must, in the nature of things, have been of long duration. In the chief literary documents of this period which have come down to us, viz. the Yagur-veda, the Brâhmanas, and the hymns of the Atharva-veda some of which perhaps go back to the time of the later hymns of the Rîk, we meet with numerous passages in which the ambitious claims of the Brâhmins are put forward with singular frankness. The powerful personal influence exercised by the Purohitas, as has already been indicated, seems to have largely contributed to the final success of the

sacerdotal order. Thus we read in the *Aitareya-brāhmaṇa* VIII, 24-25, 'Verily, the gods do not eat the food offered by the king who is without a Purohita: wherefore let the king, who wishes to sacrifice, place a Brāhman at the head (puro adhīta). . . .' 'Now Agni Vaisvānara, who is possessed of five destructive weapons, is the same as the Purohita. With them he constantly surrounds (protects) the king, even as the ocean surrounds the earth: the kingdom of such a ruler is undisturbed. His vital breath deserts him not before the (full term of) life, but he lives to old age, and attains to the full measure of life: he dies not (and is not born) again, whosoever possesses such a wise Brāhman for his Purohita, for the guardian of his realm.' And again, in the *Atharva-veda* III, 19, 'May this prayer of mine be accomplished; may perfect vigour and strength, may perfect, unceasing, and victorious power accrue to those whose Purohita I am. I perfect their kingdom, their might, their vigour, their strength. With this oblation I cut off the arms of their enemies Go forth, ye men, and conquer; may your arms be terrible! ye sharp-shafted, smite the weak-bowed; ye of terrible weapons and terrible arms, (smite) the feeble! when discharged, fly forth, O arrow, sped by prayer; vanquish the enemies; rush forward and slay all the best of them; let not one of them escape¹.'

The question as to how the Brāhmins ultimately succeeded in overcoming the resistance of the ruling class receives but little light from the contemporaneous records. Later legendary accounts of sanguinary struggles between the two classes, and the final overthrow, and even annihilation, of the Kshatriyas can hardly deserve much credence. At best they seem to contain some small kernel of historical fact. Perseverance and tenacity of purpose were probably the chief means by which the Brāhmins gained their ends. Not unfrequently, too, kings may have lent their countenance to the aspirations of the priesthood, as calculated to counteract the unruly spirit and ambitious designs of the military order. We certainly meet with not a few instances of kings

¹ Cf. J. Muir, *Original Sanskrit Texts*, I, p. 283.

figuring as the patrons of learned Brāhmans. As the old hymns were gradually assuming the character of divinely inspired utterances, additional matter might occasionally find its way into them, almost unconsciously, which more adequately expressed the actual scope of the aspirations of their priestly depositaries. That many such additions must have been made to the old hymns, prior to the age of diaskeuasts and exegetes, cannot be doubted.

Another, even more important, source of strength to the sacerdotal order was the sacrifice. The more complicated the ceremonial, the greater the dependence of the lay worshipper on the professional skill of the priests; and the greater the number of priests required for the proper performance of these ceremonies, the larger the gains derived by the priesthood generally from this kind of occupation. What more natural, therefore, than that the highest importance should have been ascribed to these performances, and an ever-increasing attention bestowed on the elaboration of the ceremonial. From clear indications in not a few hymns of the Rig-veda it appears, as has already been remarked, that a distribution of the sacrificial functions among different classes of priests had taken place before the final redaction of that collection. As to the time when such a step may have become necessary for the due performance of sacrifices, this is a question which will probably never be decided. The sacrifice is an old Indo-Iranian, if not Indo-Germanic, institution. Some of the chief Indian sacrifices undoubtedly go back, in some form or other, to the common Indo-Iranian period, notably the Soma-sacrifice, and, if we may judge from the coincidence of name between the āpri-hymns¹ and the āfri-gân of the Pârsi ritual, the animal sacrifice.

As regards the third great division of Indian sacrifices, the haviryagñas (or offerings of milk, butter, grain-food, and similar materials), of which the present volume treats, we have hardly any evidence to fall back upon. It is,

¹ See Haug's Essays, p. 241; Max Müller, History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature, p. 463 seq.

however, highly probable that these sacrifices also reach at all events far back into the Vedic antiquity. Perhaps the careful preservation of the pravara-lists¹, or lists of ancestors required at the *ishṭi*, the normal form of offering which underlies the *haviryagñas*, might be adduced in favour of the antiquity of the latter. This, however, is a point which requires further investigation. Neither has the last word been spoken regarding the traditional arrangements of the hymns. It is well known that the majority of the single collections of which the first seven *Māṇḍalas* (and to some extent those of the tenth) are made up, begin with hymns addressed to Agni, which, as a rule, are followed by hymns addressed to Indra. These, again, are in many cases followed by hymns to the *Viśve Devāḥ* (and *Maruts*)². Now, in the later dogmatic literature we find the three Āryan castes, the *Brāhman*, the *Kṣhatra*, and the *Viś*, identified with Agni, Indra, and the *Viśve Devāḥ* (all the gods, or, as a special class, the All-Gods)³ respectively. This identification is a very natural one. Agni, the sacrificial fire, the bearer of oblations and caller of the gods, is, like the priest, the legitimate mediator between God and man. Penetrating brilliance (*tejas*) and holy lustre (*varṇas*) are the common attributes of the *Brāhman*. Again, Indra, the valiant hero, for ever battling with the dark powers of the sky, is a not less appropriate representative of the knightly order. According to Professor Roth, this truly national deity of the Vedic Āryans would seem to have superseded

¹ See the present volume, p. 115 note.

² See Max Müller, *History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature*, p. 461 seq.

³ See especially Taitt. S. VII, 1, 1, 4, 5; Weber, *Ind. Stud.* X, pp. 8, 26. In *iat. Br.* II, 4, 3, 6, 7, Indra and Agni are identified with the *Kṣhatra* (power in general) and the *Viśve Devāḥ* with the *Viś*. Sometimes *Bṛhaspati* or *Brahmanaspati*, the lord of prayer or worship, takes the place of Agni, as the representative of the priestly dignity (especially Taitt. S. IV, 3, 10, 1-3; *Vāg.* S. 14, 28-30); and in several passages of the *R̥k* this god appears to be identical with, or at least kindred to, Agni, the *purohita* and priest (see Max Müller, *Translation of Rig-veda*, I, 77; J. Muir, *Original Sanskrit Texts*, V, p. 272 seq.) In *Rig-veda* X, 68, 9, where *Bṛhaspati* is said to have found (*avindat*) the dawn, the sky, and the fire (*agni*), and to have chased away darkness with his light (*arka*, sun), he seems rather to represent the element of light and fire generally (*das Ur-licht*, cf. *Vāg. S.* IX, 10-12). In the second

the older Indo-Iranian god Trita¹, and to have gradually encroached on the province of Varuna, who perhaps was originally one of the highest deities of the Aryan (Indo-Germanic) pantheon. The warlike chiefs and clansmen evidently saw in Indra a more congenial object of their adoration. It can scarcely be without significance that of all the Vedic *Rishis*, *Vasishtha*, the priest *par excellence*, has ascribed to him by far the greatest number of hymns addressed to Varuna (and Mitra-Varuna), while there is not a single hymn to Varuna in the family collection of the royal *Rishi* Visvámitra, whose religious enthusiasm is divided almost exclusively between Agni, Indra, and the *Visve Deváh*. Lastly, the identification of the common people with a whole class of comparatively inferior deities would naturally suggest itself. Hence we also find the Maruts², the constant companions and help-mates of Indra, the divine ruler, employed in a similar

Mandala the hymns to *Bṛhaspati* are placed immediately after those to Agni and Indra. Though the abstract conception represented by this deity may seem a comparatively modern one, it will by no means be easy to prove from the text of the hymns addressed to him, that these are modern. It would almost seem as if two different tendencies of adoration had existed side by side from olden times; the one, a more popular and sensuous one, which, in Vedic times, found its chief expression in Indra and his circle of deities; and the other, a more spiritual one, represented originally by Varuna (Mitra, &c.; cf., however, *Sat. Br.* IV, 1, 4, 1-4), and in Vedic times, when the sacerdotal element more and more asserted itself, by *Bṛhaspati*, and especially by Agni. The identification of this god with the priestly office was as happy as it was natural; for Agni, the genial inmate of every household, is indeed *Ṛṣiṣvānara*, the friend of all men. Shadowy conceptions, such as *Bṛhaspati* and *Brahman*, on the other hand, could evoke no feelings of sympathy in the hearts of the people generally. Of peculiar interest, in this respect, are the hymns in which Agni is associated with Indra—see *Max Müller's Science of Language*, Second Series, p. 495; *J. Muir, Original Sanskrit Texts*, V, pp. 210, 220, and the passages in which Agni has ascribed to him functions which legitimately belong to Indra; viz. the slaying of *Vritra* and destruction of the enemies' cities. The mutual relation of Indra and Varuna has been well discussed in *Dr. Hillebrandt's treatise 'Varuna and Mitra,'* p. 97 seq. It is most concisely expressed by *Vasishtha*, *Rig-veda* VII, 83, 9, 'The one (Indra) slays the enemies in battles; the other (Varuna) ever defends the ordinances.'

¹ See the present volume, p. 48 note; *R. Roth. Zeitsch. der D. M. G.*, VI, p. 73 seq.

² The Maruts are identified with the *visáh*, or clans, in *Sat. Br.* II, 5, 1, 12; 2, 24; 27; 35, etc. In *Sākh.* 16, 17, 2-4 the heaven of the Maruts is assigned to the *Vairya* (*Ind. Stud.* X, p. 26).

ense. The identification of the Vis with the Visve Devāḥ, which ultimately obtained, was probably determined chiefly by etymological considerations.

The same triad of divinities, as representative of the mutual relations of the social grades of the Āryan community, is repeatedly met with in the sacrificial ritual, and specially in its dogmatic exposition. This identification finds its most complete expression in the well-known passages of the Taittirīya-saṃhitā (VII, 1, 1, 4-5) and the Āndya-brāhmaṇa (VI, 1, 6-11)¹. According to these authorities, Pragāpati, the lord of creatures, created from his mouth the Brāhmaṇa, together with Agni, the tri-ṛit stoma, the gāyatri metre (and the rathanantara sāman and he-goat, according to the first source; or the spring, according to the other). From his breast and arms he created the Rāganya, together with Indra, the pañkaśa stoma, the trishṭubh metre (and the brīhat sāman, and the ram; or the summer respectively). From the middle part of his body he created the Vaisya, together with the Visve Devāḥ, the saptaśa stoma, the gagati metre and the vairūpa sāman, and the kine; or the rainy season respectively). Finally, from his feet he created the Sūdra, together with the ekaviṃśa stoma and the anuṣṭubh metre and the vairāga sāman and the horse, according to the Taitt. S.), but no deity, and no season. In accordance with these speculations, single objects of those here enumerated are frequently found elsewhere identified with their respective deities and castes. On the same principle, the three savanas, or morning, mid-day, and evening libations² of the Soma-sacrifice, as well as the first three days of the

¹ See Weber, Ind. Stud. X, p. 8.

² In Ath.-veda IX, 1, 11, the three savanas are assigned to the Asvins, Indra-agni, and the Eṣubhus (cf. Ait. Br. VI, 12) respectively; and in another passage of the same collection, VI, 47, 1, to a. Agni; b. the Visve Devāḥ, Maruts and Indra; and c. the Bards (kavi). In Vāg. S. XIX, 26, also, the morning libation is assigned to the Asvins (? as the two Adhvaryus of the gods, cf. Sat. Br. I, 1, 2, 17; IV, 1, 5, 15; Ait. Br. I, 18; but in Taitt. S. II, 2, 3, 1; Ait. Br. III, 13; Sat. Br. II, 4, 4, 12; IV, 2, 4, 4-5 they are referred to Agni, Indra, and the Visve Devāḥ respectively. See, also, Sat. Br. IV, 3, 5, 1, where the Vasus (related to Agni III, 4, 2, 1; VI, 1, 2, 10), Rudras, and Ādityas (cf. VI, 1, 2, 10, and Ait. Br. III, 13) are connected with the three libations.

Dvādaśāha¹, are generally assigned to Agni, Indra, and the Virve Devāk respectively. If in the ekādaśinī, or traditional order of eleven victims that have to be immolated at the Soma-sacrifice, the victim sacred to Agni is placed first, while those to the Virve Devāk and to Indra only come sixth and seventh respectively, we have probably to assume that this order was too firmly established (just as the so-called āpri-hymns are) by long usage to have been easily altered; the more so as the privileged position of the sacerdotal class was not thereby affected.

At the haviryagñas not less prominent a place is assigned to the divine representatives of the two leading classes. The first oblation at every ishī belongs to Agni. The second oblation at the new-moon sacrifice is offered either to Indra, or to Indra² and Agni; at the full-moon sacrifice, to Agni and Soma, the latter of whom constitutes Indra's chief source of strength. Indra also plays an important part at the Seasonal offerings which indeed, according to the dogmatic, and by no means improbable, explanation of the Brāhmaṇas, are performed with special reference to Indra's struggle with Vṛitra, the demon of drought. At the Agny-upasthāna, or worship of the fires, which succeeds the Agnihotra, the first prayer is addressed to Agni, the second to Indra and Agni³. Indeed, while Agni appears everywhere as the Purohita, the 'yagñasya deva r̥itvik,' or divine priest of the sacrifice, Indra is the god of sacrifice⁴, the Maghavan, or munificent patron of the priest.

From these indications it would appear far from improbable that the arrangement of the hymns in which the collections of the R̥ig-veda were finally handed down, was intended, as far as the leading deities are concerned, to

¹ See, for instance, Ait. Br. IV, 29; 31; V, 1.

² The special oblations of the offering of first-fruits consist of a rice-cake to Indra and Agni, and a pap of rice-grains to the Virve Devāk.

³ See Vāg. S. III, 12-13; Sat. Br. II, 3, 4, 11-12. 'Indra-Agni are everything,—Brahman, Kshatra, and Vis.' Sat. Br. IV, 2, 2, 14.

⁴ See, for instance, Sat. Br. I, 4, 5, 4; II, 3, 1, 38; 3, 4, 38; and especially IV, 1, 2, 15, 'for Indra, indeed, is the Maghavan the ruler (netra) of the sacrifice.' He is, as it were, the divine representative of the human sacrificer or patron, who is the yagñapati or lord of sacrifice.

exhibit a social gradation of the Hindu community which was either already firmly established or was steadily kept in view by the sacerdotal class as 'a consummation devoutly to be wished.' In either case the claims of the priests could not fail to be materially strengthened by the pre-eminent position assigned to their divine prototype in the inspired utterances of the *Rishis*. The question, whether the present arrangement is entirely the result of the final redaction, or whether it was already a feature of the earlier redactions, will perhaps never receive a quite satisfactory answer. It cannot, however, be denied that there is some force in Professor Ludwig's¹ argument,—that, if the arrangement of the several collections had lain with the authors of the final redaction, the result would probably have been a far greater uniformity than they now present.

The idea of bringing together the different family collections would seem first to have suggested itself to the priests at a time when the hitherto divided Aryan tribes had moved from the Panjab to the eastern plains and became consolidated into larger communities, and the want of a more uniform system of worship would naturally make itself felt. To the same period, then, we may refer the first attempts at a systematic arrangement of the entire ceremonial of worship, and the definite distribution of the sacrificial duties among four classes of priests,—viz. the *Adhvaryu*, or performer of the material part of the sacrifice; the *Udgâtri*, or chanter of hymns; the *Hotri*, or reciter of solemn sacrificial prayers; and the *Brahman*, or superintendent of the entire performance. Though some of these offices had no doubt existed for a long time, we possess no definite information as to the exact extent of the duties entrusted to them². The institution of the office

¹ Der *Rig-veda*, vol. iii. p. 45.

² Compare the following remarks of M. Haug, who believed in the identity of the *Velic Adhvaryu* and the *Zota* and *Rathvi* of the *Zend-Avesta*:—'At the most ancient times it appears that all the sacrificial formulas were spoken by the *Hotar* alone; the *Adhvaryu* was only his assistant, who arranged the sacrificial compound, provided the implements, and performed all manual labour. It was only at the time when regular metrical verses and hymns were introduced into the ritual, that a part of the duties of the *Hotar* devolved on the *Adhvaryu*.

of Brahman, doubtless the latest of all, marks a new era in the development of the sacrificial system. While the other priests were only required to possess an accurate knowledge of their own special departments, the Brahman was to be the very embodiment of the sacrificial art and Vedic lore in general, so as to be able to advise the other priests on doubtful points and to rectify any mistakes that might be committed during the performance of sacrifices. Neither had the *Hotri* priest any special manual of his formulas assigned to him. He was rather expected to have acquired a thorough knowledge of the whole of the *Rik-samhitā*, from which the sacrificial prayers recited by him were exclusively selected. It was probably out of this class—or the *Bahvrikas*, as the followers of the *Rig-veda* came to be called—more than from any other, that individual priests would fit themselves for the office of Brahman.

As regards the two remaining classes of priests—the *Udgātṛis* and *Adhvaryus*—we have no means of determining in what form and to what extent the stock of chants and sacrificial formulas used by them may have existed from the time of the institution of their offices down to the formation of the collections that have been handed down, viz. the *Sāma-veda-samhitā* and the *Yagur-veda*. From the close connection that exists between the *Sāman* and the eighth and ninth *mandālas* of the *Rik*, as well as from the fact that most of the hymns of these two *mandālas* are ascribed to authors whose family collections (including, in several instances, hymns of their own) are contained in earlier *mandālas*,—we may perhaps assume that already at the time when the first nine *mandālas* were collected the then existing hymns of the eighth and ninth *mandālas* were set apart for the purpose of being chanted at the *Soma-sacrifice*. In course of time—hand in hand with the fuller development of the *Soma* ritual and the gradual influx of new hymn material which was either incorporated with the old collections or formed into a new *mandala*—additional chants (or more suitable ones in the place of those hitherto

There are in the present ritual traces to be found, that the *Hotar* actually must have performed part of the duties of the *Adhvaryu*. Ait. Br. I, p. 31.

used) might be required and selected from the hymns of other *mandalas*. In its original connected form, the material of these chants would naturally remain all along an essential part of the *Rik-saṃhitā*, for the use of the *Hotri* and Brahman priests; and thus each of these two collections would henceforth have a history of its own, and discrepancies in the texts common to both would gradually become more and more numerous.

The sacrificial texts used by the *Adhvaryu* priest are contained in the *Yagur-veda*, of which several recensions have come down to us. These texts consist, in about equal parts, of verses (*rik*) and prose formulas (*yagus*). The majority of the former are likewise found in the *Rik-saṃhitā*, though not unfrequently with considerable variations, which may be explained partly from a difference of recension, and partly as the result of the adaptation of these verses to their special sacrificial purpose¹. With the prose formulas, on the other hand, save a few isolated sacrificial calls alluded to in the *Rik*², we meet for the first time in this collection. In the older recensions of the *Yagur-veda* the texts are, as a rule, followed immediately by their dogmatic explanation. Now, these theological treatises, composed chiefly with the view of elucidating the sacrificial texts and explaining the origin and hidden meaning of the various rites, form one of the most important departments of the literature of the period which succeeded the systematic arrangement of the sacrificial ceremonial, and in which we must place the gradual consolidation of the Brāhmanical hierarchy. Such as they lie before us, they contain the accumulated wisdom and speculations of generations of Indian divines. They are essentially digests of a floating mass of single discourses or *dicta* on various points of the ceremonial of worship, ascribed to individual teachers, and handed down orally in the theological schools. Single discourses of this kind were called *brāhmaṇa*,—probably either because they were intended for the instruction and guidance of priests

¹ See A. Weber, *History of Indian Literature*, pp. 9, 115.

² See M. Haug, *Ait. Br. I*, p. 34.

(brahman) generally; or because they were, for the most part, the authoritative utterances of such as were thoroughly versed in Vedic and sacrificial lore and competent to act as Brahmans or superintending priests¹. In later times a collection or digest of such detached pieces came to be likewise called a Brāhmaṇa. Works of this kind have come down to us in connection with all the Vedic Saṃhitās, generally in more than one version which, though on the whole betraying a common stock of material, often vary considerably, both in their arrangement and their treatment of these materials. Nay, owing as they do their origin to different schools of the same Veda, these recensions not unfrequently take the very opposite view of single points of ceremonial. Originally the number of such recensions, more or less differing from each other, must have been much larger; but the practical tendencies of a later age, which led to the production of concise manuals of ceremonial rules—the Kalpa-sūtras—adapted to the sacrificial practices of more than one school, were not favourable to the perpetuation of these bulky cyclopædias of theological school-wisdom: thus only the Brāhmaṇas of the schools which had the greatest number of followers survived; while others were probably never committed to writing, or at best had a precarious existence down to more recent times.

While the Brāhmaṇas are thus our oldest sources from which a comprehensive view of the sacrificial ceremonial can be obtained, they also throw a great deal of light on the earliest metaphysical and linguistic speculations of the Hindus. Another, even more interesting feature of these works, consists in the numerous legends scattered through them. From the archaic style in which these mythological tales are generally composed, as well as from the fact that not a few of them are found in Brāhmaṇas of different schools and Vedas, though often with considerable varia-

¹ See Max Müller, *History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature*, p. 172; *Rig-veda-saṃhitā* IV, p. vi. Professors Weber (*History of Sanskrit Literature*, p. 11), Whitney, Westergaard, and other scholars derive brāhmaṇa from brāhman, 'prayer, worship.'

tions, it is pretty evident that the ground-work of many of them goes back to times preceding the composition of the Brāhmaṇas. From a mythological, and to some extent from a linguistic, point of view these legends thus form a connecting link between the latter and the Vedic hymns. In the case of some of these legends—as those of *Sunah-sepha*¹ and the fetching of the Soma from heaven²—we can even see how they have grown out of germs contained in the Vedic hymns; their relation to the latter being thus not unlike that of the Sagas of the younger Edda to the songs of the older Edda. The Kaushitaki Brāhmaṇa³, at the end of a story of this kind about Soma, remarks that it is thus told by those versed in legend (*ākhyānavidaḥ*). We may perhaps infer from this passage that there was a class of people who took a special interest in such legends, and made it their business to collect and repeat them. Indeed, many of the elaborate mythical stories with which we meet in the later epical and Purāṇic literature doubtless owe their origin to simple popular legends of this kind⁴.

Besides the genuine myths which we find in the Brāhmaṇas, there is also a large number of stories which were evidently invented by the authors of these treatises for the purpose of supplying some kind of traditional support for particular points of ceremonial⁵. However small the intrinsic merit of such passages, they, too, are not entirely devoid of interest, especially from a linguistic point of view, since the style of narrative and the archaic mode of diction which they affect, readily lend themselves to syntactic turns of expression rarely indulged in by the authors in the purely explanatory and exegetical parts of their works. And, indeed, whatever opinion the general reader may form of the Brāhmaṇas, as purely literary com-

¹ See R. Roth in Weber's Ind. Stud. I, 475 seq.; II, 111 seq.; Max Müller, History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature, p. 408 seq.

² See the present volume, p. 183. Compare also Professor Aufrecht's remarks on the myth of Apālā, Ind. Stud. IV, p. 8.

³ K. B. III, 25; cf. Weber, Ind. Stud. II, 313.

⁴ Cf. Max Müller, Upanishads, I, p. 39 note.

⁵ See, for instance, Sat. Br. II, 4, 3, 1, where a legend of this kind seems to be directly ascribed to Yāgyavalkya.

positions—and, assuredly, it cannot be a very high one—to the Sanskrit student these works (together with their supplements, the *Āraṇyakas*; and their metaphysical appendages, the *Upanishads*) are of the highest importance as the only genuine prose works which the Sanskrit, as a popular language, has produced. For the comparative study of syntax, which has been taken up with such signal success by Professor Delbrück and other scholars, the *Brāhmaṇas* offer a rich field of enquiry. Nor is the style of these compositions—with its compact grammatical forms and expressive particles, and its habitual employment of the *oratio directa* instead of dependent clauses—without a certain rough beauty of its own, which, however, almost entirely evaporates in a rendering into modern analytical speech. And notwithstanding the general emptiness of the speculations of the Indian theologians, 'there are,' as Professor Max Müller observes¹, 'passages in the *Brāhmaṇas* full of genuine thought and feeling, and most valuable as pictures of life, and as records of early struggles, which have left no trace in the literature of other nations.'

Although the *Adhvaryus*, who had to perform all the manual work connected with the sacrifice, were originally looked upon as a subordinate class of priests, their office seems to have risen in the general estimation with the increasing importance that was attributed to the endless details of the ceremonial. In a passage of the *Taittiriya Upanishad* (2, 3), the *Yagus* is said to be the head, the *Rik* the right side, the *Sāman* the left side, the *Ādara*² the soul, and the *Atharvāṅgiras* (*Atharva-veda*) the tail. With better reason the *Yagus-veda* might be called the body of the sacrifice, since it contains almost the entire apparatus of sacrificial formulas, while the other ritualistic works are concerned, either chiefly or entirely, with the *Soma-sacrifice*. As a matter of fact, no other Veda has given rise to so large a number of schools as the *Yagur-*

¹ History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature, p. 408.

² That is, the *Brāhmaṇa*, according to *Saṅkara*. In *Sat. Br.* IV, 6, 7, 6, the *Rik* and *Sāman* are identified with Speech, and the *Yagus* with the Mind.

veda¹. The numerous subdivisions of the Adhvaryus² trace their origin to either of two principal schools, an older and a younger one, the latter of which is itself an offshoot of the former. The oral transmission of the large body of exegetic and legendary matter attached to the sacrificial formulas could hardly fail, in course of time, to produce considerable variations, in different localities, both as regards the wording and the arrangement of these works. Different schools would naturally arise,—each with its own approved recension of the traditional texts,—which in their turn would sooner or later become liable to the same process of disintegration. Such, indeed, has been the case, more or less, with all the Vedic texts, until mechanical means were devised to arrest this process of change. The names of many such subdivisions of the older Yagur-veda are recorded; but hitherto the recensions of only three of them have come to light,—viz. the *Kāthaka*, the *Maitrāyaṇī-saṃhitā*, and the *Taittirīya-saṃhitā*. The two former texts belong to subdivisions of the *Kāthas* and *Maitrāyaṇīyas*, two branches of the old school of the *Karakas* or *Karakādhvaryus*. The *Taittirīyas*, on the other hand, seem to have been an independent branch of the old *Yagus*², the origin of which is ascribed to a teacher named *Tittiri*. Their text has come down to us in the recension of one of its subdivisions³, the *Āpastambins*.

The chief characteristic of the old Yagus texts consists, as has already been indicated, in the constant inter-

¹ Except, perhaps, the Sāma-veda, which, in the *Āraṇavyūha*, is said to have counted a thousand schools; though that work itself enumerates only seven schools, one of them with five subdivisions. The number of teachers mentioned in connection with this Veda is, however, very considerable.

² As such, at least, the Taittirīyas are mentioned in the *Kāraṇavyūha*. The term *Kāraka*, however, is also (e.g. in the *Pratigṛhā-sūtra*, applied to the schools of the Black Yagur generally. If the Berlin MS. of the *Kāṭhaka* professes, in the colophon, to contain the *Kāraka* text of the work (which Professor Weber takes to refer to the *Ārāyanī* *śā*), the *Kāraka-śākhā* of the *Kāṭhaka* has perhaps to be understood in contradistinction to those portions of the *Kāṭhaka* which have been adopted by the Taittirīyas and incorporated into their *Brāhmaṇa*.

³ The Taittirīyas divide themselves into two schools, the Aukhīyas and the Khāṇḍīkyas; the Āpastambins are a subdivision of the latter branch. We have also the list of the contents (anākramant) of the Ātreyas, a subdivision of the Aukhīyas.

mingling of the sacrificial formulas and the explanatory or Bráhmaṇa portions. It was with the view of remedying this want of arrangement, by entirely separating the exegetic matter from the formulas, that the new school of Adhvaryus was founded. The name given to this school is Vāgasaneyins, its origin being ascribed to Yāgyavalkya Vāgasaneya. The result of this new redaction of the Yagus texts was the formation of a Saṃhitā, or collection of mantras, and a Bráhmaṇa. This re-arrangement was doubtless undertaken in imitation of the texts of the Hotri priests, who had a Bráhmaṇa¹ of their own, while their sacrificial prayers formed part of the *Rik-saṃhitā*. Indeed, the Taittiriya themselves became impressed with the desirability of having a Bráhmaṇa of their own,—and attained their object by the simple, if rather awkward, expedient of applying that designation to an appendage to their Saṃhitā, which exhibits the same mixture of mantra and bráhmaṇa as the older work. They also incorporated a portion of the Kāṭhaka text into their Bráhmaṇa and its supplement, the Taittiriyaśraiyaka. Of all the schools of the old Yagus those of the Taittiriya seem to have attracted by far the greatest number of adherents; and in southern India their texts have continued pre-eminently the subject of study till the present day. In northern India, on the other hand, they have been largely superseded by their later rivals. On account of the lucid arrangement of their sacred texts, the Vāgasaneyins called them the White (*sukla*) Yagus-veda; the term of Black or Dark (*krishna*) Yagus-veda being, for the opposite reason, applied to the texts of the older schools. In later times, an absurd story was invented (doubtless by followers of the White Yagus), in which the origin of the name Taittiriya is connected with the word *tittiri*², in the sense of 'partridge.'

¹ It has come down to us in two different recensions, the Aitareya and the Kaushītaki (or Śākhāyana) Bráhmaṇa.

² Professor Weber, however, thinks there may be some reason for this derivation; the name of Taittiriya having perhaps been applied to this school on account of the motley (partridge-like) character of its texts. According to the story alluded to, Yāgyavalkya, having been taught the old Yagus texts by Vaisampāyana, incurred the displeasure of his teacher, and was forced by him to disgorge the sacred science which, on falling to the ground, became soiled

The Brāhmana of the Vāgasaneyins bears the name of Satapatha, that is, the Brāhmana 'of a hundred paths,' because it consists of a hundred lectures (adhyāyas). Both the Vāgasaneyi-saṃhitā and the Satapatha brāhmana have come down to us in two different recensions, those of the Mādhyandina and the Kāṇva schools. Of the latter recension of the Brāhmana, however, three books out of seventeen are wanting in the European libraries and have, as far as I know, not yet been discovered in India. The Mādhyandina text both of the Saṃhitā and the Brāhmana has been edited by Professor Weber; the former with the various readings of the Kāṇva recension. To the same scholar we owe a German translation of the first adhyāya of the first kāṇḍa¹; and he has, moreover, subjected the entire accessible literature of the White Yagur-veda—with the exception of the Kāṇva text of the Brāhmana—to a careful examination, and has extracted from it all that seems calculated to throw light on its history, so that in this respect little remains to those who come after him but to state the results of his enquiries. Professor Max Müller, in his History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature, has also fully discussed the questions regarding the date and authorship of these texts, and has done much to clear up what was obscure in their relations to the older Yagur texts and to Vedic literature generally. Many points, however, still remain doubtful; and, above all, opinions are as divided as ever regarding the approximate date of the teacher with whose name tradition connects the origin of the modern school of the Adhvaryus.

The schools of the Vāgasaneyins are stated to have been either fifteen or seventeen; and their names are given, though with considerable variations, in different works. No distinct traces, however, have as yet been discovered of any recensions besides the two already referred to. As regards the names of these two,—the Mādhyandina and Kāṇva,—the latter is the name of one of the chief families of Rishis

(hence Black Yagur), and was picked up by Yāgyavalkya's condisciples, who had assumed the form of partridges. This story seems first to occur in the Purāṇas; see Wilson's translation of the Vishṇu Purāṇa (ed. Hall), III, p. 54. Pāṇini (IV, 3, 102) and Patañjali only know of the Taittirīya texts as 'promulgated by Tittiri.'

¹ Zeitsch. der D. M. G., IV, p. 289 seq.; reprinted in Indische Streifen I, p. 31 seq.

of the *Rik-saṃhitā*; and certain orthoepic peculiarities of the Yagus texts of the *Kāṇvas* would seem to favour the assumption of a connection of this school with the redaction of the *Rik*. The name of the *Mādhyandinas*, literally 'meridional,' on the other hand, does not occur in the older literature. Nor can we draw any definite conclusions, as to the probable date of their recension, from Lassen's identification of this name with the *Mavdiadivoh*, mentioned by Megasthenes (as quoted by Arrian) as a people on the banks of a tributary of the Ganges; or from Professor Weber's conjecture that the *Mādhyandina* school may have taken its origin among that people.

The *Mādhyandina* text of the *Satapatha* is divided into fourteen books (*kāṇḍa*). For several reasons, however, some of these books have to be assigned to a later period than the others. In the first place, the twelfth *kāṇḍa* is called *madhyama*, 'the middle one;' a fact which in itself would suggest the idea that, at the time when this nomenclature was adopted, the last five books (or perhaps books 11-13) were regarded as a separate portion of the work¹. Besides, *Patañjali*, in a *kārikā* or memorial couplet to *Pāṇ.* IV, 2, 60, mentions the words *shashīpatha* ('consisting of sixty paths') and *satapatha*, with the view of forming derivative nouns from them, in the sense of one who studies such works. Now, as the first nine books of the *Satapatha*, in the *Mādhyandina* text, consist of sixty *adhyāyas*, it was suggested by Professor Weber that it was probably this very portion of the work to which *Patañjali* applied the term '*shashīpatha*,' and that consequently the first nine books were at that time considered as, in some sense, a distinct work and were studied as such. This conjecture has been generally accepted. There is indeed a possibility that *Patañjali* may have been acquainted with some other

¹ The *Kāṇva* text is divided into seventeen books. *Kāṇḍas* 12-15 correspond to *Mādhyandina* 10-13; and *kāṇḍa* 16, which treats of the *Pravargya* ceremony, corresponds to the first three *adhyāyas* of the last *kāṇḍa* of the *Mādhyandinas*. Thus, in the *Kāṇva* recension the fourteenth *kāṇḍa*, called '*madhyama*,' is the middle one of *kāṇḍas* 12-16; the seventeenth *kāṇḍa*, or *Bṛhadāraṇyaka*, being apparently considered as a supplement. Perhaps this division is more original than that of the *Mādhyandinas*.

recension of the Brāhmaṇa of the Vāgasaneyins which consisted of only forty adhyāyas; but even in that case the latter would in all probability correspond to the first nine books of the Mādhyandina text. As regards the Kāṇva recension, we are unfortunately not yet able, owing to the want of some of its kāṇḍas, to determine its exact extent; and have to rely on a list added by a scribe on the front page of one of the kāṇḍas in the Oxford MS.¹, according to which that text consists of 104 adhyāyas. Still further evidence regarding the mutual relations of the several portions of our Brāhmaṇa is contained in a passage of the Mahābhārata (XII, 11739), where Yāgñavalkya relates that, at the inspiration of the Sun, he composed (kṛke) the Satapatha, including² the Rahasya (mystery), the Samgraha (epitome), and the Parisiṣṭa (supplement). Now the tenth book is really called Agni-rahasya; while the eleventh contains a kind of summary of the preceding ritual; and kāṇḍas 12-14 treat of various other subjects. This relation between the first nine and the remaining five books is also fully borne out by internal evidence, as well as by a comparison with the Vāgasaneyi-saṃhitā. The latter consists of forty adhyāyas, the first eighteen of which contain the formulas of the ordinary sacrifices—the Havir-yagñas and Soma-sacrifice—and correspond to the first nine books of the Satapatha-brāhmaṇa. The succeeding adhyāyas have been clearly shown by Professor Weber³ to be later additions. As a rule only those formulas which are contained in the first eighteen adhyāyas are found in the Taittirīya-saṃhitā; while those of the later adhyāyas are given in the Taittirīya-brāhmaṇa.

At the end of the Satapatha the White Yagus is said to have been promulgated (ākhyā) by Yāgñavalkya Vāgasaneyi. Now the name of this teacher is indeed more frequently met with in the Brāhmaṇa than that of any other;

¹ The accuracy of this list cannot be relied upon, as several mistakes occur in the number of *kāṇḍikās* there given. It is, however, unlikely that the scribe should have committed any mistake regarding the number of adhyāyas.

² Literally 'together with the rahasya (sarahasyam),' &c.

³ History of Indian Literature, p. 107 seq.

- especially in some of the later books where his professional connection with Ganaka, king of Videha, and his skill in theological disputations are favourite topics. As regards
- the earlier portion of the work, however, it is a remarkable fact that, while in the first five books Yāgñavalkya's opinion is frequently recorded as authoritative¹, he is not once mentioned in the four succeeding *kāṇḍas* (6-9). The teacher whose opinion is most frequently referred to in these books, is *Sāṇḍilya*. This disagreement in respect of doctrinal authorities, coupled with unmistakable differences², stylistic as well as geographical and mythological, can scarcely be accounted for otherwise than by the assumption of a difference of authorship or original redaction. Now the subject with which these four *kāṇḍas* are chiefly concerned, is the *agnikayana*, or construction of the sacred fire-altar. For reasons urged by Professor Weber, it would appear not improbable that this part of the ceremonial was specially cultivated in the north-western districts; and since the geographical allusions in these four *kāṇḍas* chiefly point to that part of India, while those of the other books refer almost exclusively to the regions along the Ganges and Jumna, we may infer from this that the fire-ritual, adopted by the Vāgasaneyins at the time of the first redaction of their texts—that is, of the first nine *kāṇḍas*, as far as the *Brāhmaṇa* is concerned—had been settled in the north-west of India.

Here, however, we meet with another difficulty. The tenth book, or *Agnirahasya*, deals with the same subject as the preceding four *kāṇḍas*; and here also *Sāṇḍilya* figures as the chief authority, while no mention is made of Yāgñavalkya. Moreover, at the end of that *kāṇḍa*, a list of teachers is given in which the transmission of the sacrificial science (either in its entirety, or only as regards the fire-ritual) is traced from a teacher Tura Kāvasheya—who is said to have received it from the god *Pragāpati*—downwards, through two intermediate teachers, to *Sāṇḍi-*

¹ See, however, *Sat. Br.* II, §, 1, 1-3, where Yāgñavalkya's opinion is referred to as being contrary to the *Rig-veda*.

² See Weber, *Ind. Stud.* XIII, p. 266 seq.

lya; and from thence, through six intermediate teachers, to Sāṃgivi-putra. Tura Kāvasheya is referred to in another passage of the tenth kāṇḍa (X, 6, 5, 9) as having built a fire-altar¹ to the gods at Kāroṭi; and in the Aitareya-brāhmaṇa he is mentioned as the high-priest who officiated at the inauguration-ceremony of king Ganamegaya Pārikshita, renowned in epic legend. From these indications we may, it seems to me, take it for certain that Tura Kāvasheya and Sāṇḍilya (the latter of whom is also held in high repute by the Khandogas or Sāman-priests) were regarded by the Vāgasaneyins as the chief arrangers, if not the originators, of the fire-ritual such as it was finally adopted by that school. On the other hand, we saw that the first nine books of the Satapatha, if their identification with Patañjali's 'shashāpatha' be correct, must have been regarded as, in some particular sense, a complete work. Now this combination of the fire-ritual in kāṇḍas 6-9 with the complete exposition of the Haviryagñā and Soma-sacrifice, contained in the first five books, would seem to presuppose some kind of compromise between the two schools recognising Yāgyavalkya and Sāṇḍilya respectively as their chief authority. What, then, are we to understand to be the exact relations between the later kāṇḍas, especially the tenth, and the earlier portion of the work? We do not, and could not, meet with such a term as '*katvāriṃsat-patha*, or work of forty paths, as applying to the last five kāṇḍas of the Satapatha; their nature was too well understood for that, as we see from the passage of the Mahābhārata, above referred to. The list of teachers at the end of the tenth kāṇḍa shows no sign of any amalgamation of the two schools up to the time of Sāṃgivi-putra, the last teacher mentioned in it: with one exception, it belongs exclusively to the Sāṇḍilya school. It contains, however, an additional remark to the effect that from Sāṃgivi-putra downward the list is 'identical,'—viz. with some other list. Now this remark can only refer to the *vamśa* given at the end of the last kāṇḍa. In this list the

¹ The author of this passage would seem to imply, though he does not exactly express it, that this was the first fire-altar built in the proper way. *

transmission of the science of the Adhvaryus is traced—as far as human agency is concerned—from Kasyapa Naidhruvi, through nine teachers, to Yāgñavalkya, and thence, through four other teachers, to Sāmgīvi-putra¹. The only name which this list has in common with the former one, previous to Sāmgīvi-putra, is that of Kusri. According to the former list, he was the teacher of Sāndilya, who, in his turn, taught Vātsya². But since in the same book (X, 5, 1) he is referred to as Vāgasravasa, and in the list at the end of the Śatapatha he is set down as the pupil of Vāgasravasa, the same teacher is evidently referred to in both lists; and if we can at all rely on the authenticity of these *vamsas*, we should have to infer from this coincidence, that there was already some connection between the two schools prior to both Yāgñavalkya and Sāndilya.

The two lines of teachers meet once more in the name of Sāmgīvi-putra. In the later list the succession of teachers

¹ I here give, side by side, the lists, in inverted order, from Sāmgīvi-putra upwards. For the complete lists, see Max Müller, *History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature*, p. 438 seq.

End of Book XIV.

58. Āditya.
57. Ambini.
56. Vāk.
55. Kasyapa Naidhruvi.
54. Silpa Kasyapa.
53. Harita Kasyapa.
52. Asita Vārshagava.
51. Gihvāvat Bādhyoga.
50. Vāgasravasa.
49. Kusri.
48. Ūpavasi.
47. Aruṣa.
46. Uddālaka (Āruṣeya).
45. Yāgñavalkya (Vāgasaneyā).
44. Āsuri.
43. Āsurāyana.
42. Prānī-putra (Āsurivāsini).
41. Kārakeyi-putra.
40. Sāmgīvi-putra.

Follow 39 names formed by the addition of 'putra' to the mother's name.

² In the *Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka* (Kāva) VI, 5, 4 the order is Kusri, Vātsya, Sāndilya.

End of Book X.

- (52) Brahman Svayambhu.
- (51) Pragāpati.
- (50) Tura Kāvasheya.
- (49) Yagñavatas Rāgastambāyana.
- (48) Kusri.
- (47) Sāndilya.
- (46) Vātsya.
- (45) Vāmakaśhāyana.
- (44) Māhitthi.
- (43) Kautsa.
- (42) Mānava.
- (41) Mānukāyani.
- (40) Sāmgīvi-putra.
- (Same as elsewhere.)

is then continued by forty-nine more names—all of them formed by the addition of 'putra' (son) to the mother's name—which, it appears, we are to supply in the former list. According to Professor Max Müller¹, 'Sāṃgivi-putra seems to have united two lines of teachers.' That this must have been the case, cannot be doubted, provided, of course, that the *vamsas* are trustworthy². Nay, I should even be inclined to assign to the time of Sāṃgivi-putra the final adjustment of the ritual and its dogmatic exposition such as we find them in the *Shashīpatha* (and the first eighteen *adhyāyas* of the *Vāgasaneyi-samhitā*), and consequently the first redaction of that part of the *Satapatha*. Not that all the matter contained in the latter part of the work must necessarily be more modern. There can, on the contrary, be little doubt that much of it is quite as old as anything in the earlier books; and of the *Madhukāṇḍa*, which forms part of the *Brīhad-āraṇyaka* in the last book, we know at any rate, from a reference to the *Madhu-brāhmaṇa* in the fourth *kāṇḍa*, that some such tract existed at that time. But such matter as, for some reason or other, was not included in the systematic exposition of the ceremonial, would naturally be in a less settled condition and more liable to modifications and additions.

According to the two lists, Sāṃgivi-putra is removed from *Sāṃdīlya* by six intermediate teachers, the three older³ of whom are referred to in *kāṇḍas* 6-9; and from *Yāgyā-*

¹ History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature, p. 437.

² Professor Weber, Ind. Stud. II, 201 note, expresses his conviction that 'the *vamsas* are, on the whole, quite authentic; though they do not of course belong to the text, but are later additions; judging from the great number of names, some *vamsas* must have been added at a very late time.' It seems to me, however, that if the *vamsas* are at all authentic—and I see no reason for doubt as far as the two lists above referred to are concerned—we have rather to assume that the lists were kept from early times and gradually added to. On the other hand, little can be made of the two *vamsas* at the end of the *Madhu* and *Yāgyavalkya kāṇḍas*. They look rather like attempts—and very unsuccessful ones—at throwing several independent lists into one.

³ Viz., Vātsya IX, 5, 1, 62; Vāmakaśāyasa VII, 1, 2, 11; Māhātī VI, 2, 2, 10; VIII, 6, 1, 16 seq.; IX, 5, 1, 57. Not mentioned are Kautsa, Māṇḍavya, and Māṇḍūkāyana. A Māṇḍavya occurs in the twelfth book of the *Mahābhārata*, as a contemporary of Gaṇaka and Yāgyavalky

valkya by four intermediate teachers, the first of whom (Āsuri)¹ is repeatedly quoted in the second (and once each in the first, fourth, and fourteenth) *kāṇḍas*. Although these indications do not, of course, supply more than a *terminus a quo* for the final settlement of this part of the work, they would nevertheless seem to favour the supposition that the combination of the fire-ritual with the sacrificial system cannot have taken place at a time far removed from that of Sāṃgivi-putra. The custom of forming metronymics by means of 'putra' is of some interest. It first shows itself in the predecessor of Sāṃgivi-putra's teacher in the Yāgñavalkya line, and continues from thence down to the very end of the *vaṃsa*. Unfortunately, however, we have no means of ascertaining whether this custom had already been commonly practised, in certain localities, before that time, or whether, as seems to me more probable, it was a fashion of recent date. If the latter alternative could be proved, it might help to settle the chronological relations between Yāgñavalkya and Pāṇini, since it would appear from Pāṇ. IV, 1, 159² (and VI, 1, 13), that the great grammarian was well acquainted, not only with the practice of forming metronymics of this kind, but also with that of forming patronymics from such metronymics.

The relative date of Pāṇini and Yāgñavalkya has been discussed more than once by Sanskrit scholars³; but no agreement has as yet been come to on what Goldstücker justly called 'one of the most important problems of Sanskrit literature.' The chief difficulty of this problem lies in the ambiguity of Kātyāyana's well-known *vārttika* to Pāṇ. IV, 3, 105. According to Pāṇini's rule the names

¹ He is also the *Rishi* of Vāg. S. III, 37.

² This rule, which applies to the people of the north, is not explained in the *Mahābhāṣya*. The *Kāśikā Vṛtti* gives the patronymics of Gārgīputra and Vātsīputra, both of whom occur in our *vaṃsa*. It is worthy of remark that Kavaśha Ailūśha, who is mentioned in Ait. Br. II, 19, and to whom the hymns *Rig-veda* X, 30-34 are ascribed, is called Kavaśha Ailūśhiputra in the *Kāṭhaka* 26, 7. Cf. Weber, *Ind. Stud.* III, pp. 459, 157, 485.

³ See especially Max Müller, *History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature*, p. 260; Goldstücker, *Pāṇini*, p. 132 seq.; Weber, *Ind. Stud.* V, 65 seq.; XIII, 443;

⁴ *Sacred Laws of the Āryas*, I, p. xxxix note.

of Brāhmaṇas and Kalpas proclaimed by old (sages) are formed by the addition of the affix in (to the sages' names). As instances of Brāhmaṇas, the names of which are formed in this way, the Kāśikā *Vṛtti* gives Bhāllavinaḥ (proclaimed by Bhallu), Sātyayaninaḥ. Aitareyinaḥ. In accordance with this rule the texts of the White Yagur are called Vāgasaneyinaḥ. This name does not, however, occur in any of Pāṇini's rules, but follows only from the word 'vāgasaneyā' being included in the *gana* 'saunakādi' to Pāṇ. IV, 3, 106; and since we have no evidence as to whether any of the words in a *gana* except the first really belong to Pāṇini, it must remain doubtful whether or not he knew of the existence of the school known by that name. Kātyāyana's *vārttika* runs thus: 'Among the Brāhmaṇas and Kalpas proclaimed by the old, there is an exception in regard to Yāgyavalkya and others, on account of contemporaneousness: hence (Yāgyavalkya's Brāhmaṇas are called, not Yāgyavalkinaḥ, but) Yāgyavalkāni Brāhmaṇāni; Saulabhāni B.' The question, then, is, Does Kātyāyana mean to say that the Brāhmaṇas proclaimed by Yāgyavalkya do not fall under this rule, because he was contemporary with Pāṇini,—and therefore not an old sage in the sense of the rule,—or, that those works should have been excepted by Pāṇini from his rule, because they are of the same age as those (old) Brāhmaṇas to which the rule applies? The former alternative was the one generally accepted, until the late Professor Goldstücker made known the text of Patañjali's and Kaiyata's comments¹ on this *vārttika*. He showed that Kaiyata, at least, clearly interprets it in the sense that Pāṇini should have excepted works like the Yāgyavalkāni Brāhmaṇāni, since they, too (*api*), are of the same age as the Sātyayaninaḥ and others. The *Mahābhāṣya*, on the other hand, is not quite so explicit. It merely says that the Yāgyavalkāni Brāhmaṇāni &c. ought to have been excepted, because they, too (*api*), are of the same age. Goldstücker naturally took this explanation to convey the same meaning as that of Kaiyata. This view was, however, controverted by

¹ Pāṇini, p. 138.

Professor Weber in his review of Goldstücker's 'Pāṇini.' The interpretation of the vārttika adopted in the Kāṇka Vṛtti—according to which Pāṇini's rule does not apply to those works, because Yāgyavalkya and others are not old authorities in the sense of Pāṇini's rule—is likewise rejected by him, since in that case Kātyāyana's exception would be no exception at all. On the other hand, Professor Weber thinks that, if we accept Kaiyaṭa's interpretation, Kātyāyana's additional remark 'on account of contemporaneousness' would be entirely superfluous. He, therefore, proposes, in the passage of the Mahābhāṣya, to take 'api' in the sense of 'even,' and to interpret the passage thus¹: 'Among the Brāhmaṇas and Kalpas proclaimed by the ancients, Pāṇini ought to have made an exception in regard to Yāgyavalkya &c., because the Brāhmaṇas and Kalpas proclaimed by them, though indeed going back to ancient (sages), are nevertheless contemporaneous (with Pāṇini himself).' This rather paradoxical argumentation, on the part of Patañjali, would have to be understood to mean, that the Yāgyavalkāni Brāhmaṇāni and similar works, though ascribed to old authorities, are in reality modern productions; or—if we may venture to express it in somewhat different words—Pāṇini ought to have made an exception in regard to works which, in point of fact, are no exception at all. Now, if this be the correct interpretation, I can only say this—that, had Patañjali been anxious to conceal his real meaning, he could scarcely have done so more effectually than by choosing words which, at first sight, look as clear as day.

• Professor Bühler², who has recently touched upon this controversy, sides with Kaiyaṭa and Goldstücker; and I, too, can take no other view. But, like him, I see no necessity for accepting the inferences which Goldstücker has drawn from this vārttika, viz. that we have to assume so long an interval between Pāṇini and Kātyāyana, that authors, whom Kātyāyana considered as far older than Pāṇini, were in reality his contemporaries. This assumption, surely, would involve a degree of ignorance, on the part of

¹ Ind. Stud. V, 68 seq.; XIII, 443.

² Sacred Laws of the Āryas, I, p. xxxix note.

Kātyāyana, regarding the age of Pāṇini, such as would seem altogether unaccountable. The weakness of Goldstücker's argument lies in his identification of the Yāgñavalkāni *manāni* with the Brāhmana of the Vāgasaneyins. With Professor Weber I believe that Pāṇini was perfectly well acquainted with the term 'Vāgasaneyinaḥ,' but saw no occasion for specially mentioning it in his rules. Surely, if his silence could possibly have been construed into an act of negligence, Kātyāyana, who was so intimately connected with the White Yagus that, on Goldstücker's own showing, he composed the Vāgasaneyi-prātisākhya before he wrote his vārtikas, would have been the first to notice it. The Yāgñavalkāni Brāhmanāni, in their relation to the sacred canon of the school, seem to me to stand somewhat on a par with the 'Tittiriṇāproktāślokaḥ',¹ which, in Patañjali's time, were excluded from the term 'Taittiriyaślokaḥ' as uncanonical, and which Professor Weber would identify, perhaps rightly, with some portions of the Taittiriyaśraṇyaka. Both kinds of tracts probably belong to the last floating materials of Adhvaryu tradition, which had not yet been incorporated with the canon. Whether or not the Yāgñavalkāni Brāhmanāni form part of the text of the Satapatha which has come down to us, and what exact portions of that text we have to understand by this designation, must remain uncertain for the present. Most probably, however, we have to look for them to certain portions of the last book (or books) in which Yāgñavalkya figures so prominently. If we had a complete copy of the Kāṇva recension, we might perhaps be in a better position for forming an opinion on this subject; for if that version should really turn out to consist of 104 adhyāyas, four of these adhyāyas may have to be considered as a later interpolation; and the fact might have become obscured in the Mādhyandina recension by a different division of the text.² But, however this may

¹ Mahābhāṣya on Pāṇ. IV, 2, 66; 3, 104.

² Possibly, however, this redundancy may have been caused by the insertion of the third or addhāri-kāṇḍa, consisting of 124 kaṇḍikās, to which there seems to be nothing corresponding in the Mādhyandina text. We have no MS. of this particular kāṇḍa. I may also mention that, while in the first kāṇḍa (or second Kāṇva), the Mādhyandinas count 9, and the Kāṇvas 8 adhyāyas,—in the fourth kāṇḍa (or

be, it appears to me quite intelligible why such portions should have been considered as of equal age to the body of the work; in fact they would probably go back to about the same time as some of the earlier portions; only that, owing to a longer state of uncertain transmission, they may have been more liable to changes and additions. If these tracts are not mentioned by Pāṇini, it may be an accidental omission on his part, or he may not have been aware of their existence, for geographical or other reasons: we can hardly expect Pāṇini to have been so intimately acquainted with the Yāgyus texts as Kātyāyana. As regards the dates of Kātyāyana and Patañjali, I accept with Professor Bühler and others, as by far the most probable, the fourth and the middle of the second century B.C. respectively.

Under the title of Vāgasaneyaka, the Satapatha-brāhmaṇa is quoted once in Kātyāyana's Srauta-sūtra IV, 12, 12; but I have not been able to find the passage either in the Mādhyandina text or in that part of the Kāṇva text which I have hitherto had at my disposal, viz. kāṇdas I, II, IV-VII (Kāṇva). Far more frequently the work is quoted, either as Vāgasaneyaka or as Vāgasaneyi-brāhmaṇa, by Āpastamba, both in his Srauta and his Dharma-sūtras. On comparing one of these quotations in the Dharma-sūtras (I, 4, 12, 3) with the corresponding passage in the Mādhyandina recension, Professor Bühler found that 'its wording possessed just sufficient resemblance to allow us to identify the passage which Āpastamba meant, but differed from the Satapatha-brāhmaṇa in many details¹.' From this he naturally inferred that Āpastamba probably took his quotations from the Kāṇva recension. Now, although I have not been able to compare this particular passage with the Kāṇva text², I have done so regarding a number of other passages quoted from Āpastamba in Karka's commentary on the Kātyāyana-Srauta-sūtra. The result was that in no single case did Āpastamba's quotations agree with the corresponding passages in the Kāṇva,

fifth Kāṇva), on the other hand, the Kāṇvas have 8, instead of 6 adhyāyas; and in the fifth kāṇḍa (or sixth and seventh Kāṇvas) they have together 7, instead of 5 adhyāyas.

¹ Bühler, loc. cit. p. xxv. ² The passage occurs in Mādhyandina XI, 5, 6, 3.

any more than they did with those of the Mādhyandina text¹. In some cases they came nearer to the one text, in others to the other. To several quotations, again, I could find nothing corresponding in either text. Now, supposing the quotations, as given by Karka, to be on the whole correct, there seem to be only two ways of accounting for these discrepancies, viz. either Āpastamba did not mean to quote the passages literally, but only to give the substance of them; or he had a third recension of the Satapatha before him. While some passages would seem to be in favour of the former alternative, others would scarcely admit of this explanation. This question, however, requires further investigation, before it can be definitely settled. In connection with this question the fact will also have to be taken into account, that Kātyāyana, in composing his Vāgasaneyi-prātisākhya, seems to have had before him a different recension of the Samhitā, from those of the Kāṇva and Mādhyandina schools².

Professor Bühler appears to be inclined to place Āpastamba somewhere about the fifth century B.C.; and though probably he himself does not consider the reasons he adduces as conclusive, they seem at any rate to show that that writer cannot have lived later than the third century B.C. From

¹ I select a few passages.—

1. Āp. (Kāty. VII, 1, 36). prāgvamsasya madhyamam sthūnārāgam ālabhya gapatīti vāgasaneyakam.
Mādhy. III, 1, 1, 11. sa pūrvārdhyam sthūnārāgam abhipadyaitad yagur āha.
Kāṇva IV, 1, 1, 7. sa yāsam varshushā pūrvārdhe sālāsthūvā bhavati tām abhipadya gapati.
2. Āp. (Kāty. V, 3, 6). atrāpi mesham ka meshīm ka karotīti vāgasaneyakam.
Mādhy. II, 5, 2, 15. tatrāpi mesham ka meshīm ka kurvanti.
Kāṇva I, 5, 1, 13. mesham ka vā api meshīm ka kurvanti.
3. Āp. (Kāty. VII, 2, 34). vṛitrasya kanlikāstī traikakudenāḡanenāḡkte) yadi traikakudam nādhigatāḡhed yenaiva kenāḡanenāḡgtīti vāgasaneyakam.
Mādhy. III, 1, 3, 12. (traikakudam bhavati; yatra vā indro vṛitram ahamṣ tasya yad akshy āst tam girim trikakudam akarot . . .) yadi traikakudam na vinded apy atraikakudam eva syāt samānī hy evāḡgasasya bandhutā.
Kāṇva IV, 1, 3, 10. (tat traikakudam syāt; yatra vā indro vṛitram ahamṣ tasya ha yā kanlikāsa yat ākshus tam etam girim ākāra trikakudam; sa yat traikakudam bhavati ākshushy evaitat ākshur dadhāti); yadi traikakudam na vinded api yad eva kiḡka syāt.
4. Āp. (Kāty. VII, 3, 28). ūshṣishena pradakshinam siro vesh/ayata iti vāgasaneyakam.
Mādhy. III, 2, 1, 16-17. sa prornute.
Kāṇva IV, 2, 1, 11-12. athainam prornoti,—sa prornoti.

² See Weber, Ind. Stud. IV, p. 69.

the fact that Svetaketu, the son of Uddālaka Āruṇi, the reputed teacher (and rival¹) of Yāgyavalkya, is counted by Āpastamba among the Avaras or moderns, Dr. Bühler infers that the promulgator of the White Yagus cannot have preceded Āpastamba 'by a longer interval than, at the utmost, two or three hundred years.' That the two authors may not have been separated from each other by a longer interval seems likely enough; but, on the other hand, Āpastamba, by his remark, pays no very great compliment to the inspired texts of his own school, since Aruṇa Aupavasi, the grandfather of Svetaketu Āruṇeya, is twice referred to in the Taittirīya-saṃhitā².

The geographical and ethnical allusions contained in the Satapatha-brāhmaṇa have been carefully collected by Professor Weber³. With the exception of those in kandas 6-10, as I have already remarked, they point almost exclusively to the regions along the Ganges and Jumna. In the legend about Videgha Māthava⁴, and his Purohita Gotama Rāhūgana, tradition seems to have preserved a reminiscence of the eastward spread of Brāhmanical civilisation. Among the peoples that occupied those regions, a prominent position is assigned in the Satapatha to the closely-allied Kuru-Pañcālas. The Kurus occupied the districts between the Jumna and Ganges—the so-called Madhyadesa or middle country—and the Pañcālas bordered on them towards the south-east. According to Sat. Br. XIII, 5, 4, 7, the Pañcālas were in olden times called Krivi; and a tribe of this name is evidently referred to in Rig-veda VIII, 20, 24; (22, 12)⁵, in connection with the rivers Sindhu and Asikni. The Kurus, on the other hand, are not directly referred to in the R̥k; but a king Kurusravana, 'glory of the Kurus,' and a patron with the epithet Kaurayāna are mentioned in the hymns. In Aitar. Br. VIII, 14, the Uttara (northern) Kurus, together with the Uttara-Madras, are said to dwell beyond the Himālaya.

¹ See Br̥h. Ār. 3, 5, where he is defeated by Yāgyavalkya in disputation.

² Taitt. S. VI, 1, 9, 2; 4, 5, 1.

³ Ind. Stud. I, 187 seq.

⁴ See the present volume, p. 104, with note. It would have been safer to give the name as Videgha Māthava, instead of Māthava the Videgha.

⁵ See Ludwig, Rig-veda III, p. 205; Zimmer, Altindisches Leben, p. 103.

From these indications Professor Zimmer infers that, in the times of the hymns, the Kurus and Krivis—whose names evidently are merely variations of the same word—may have lived together in the valleys of Kāsmīr, on the upper Indus; and he also offers the ingenious conjecture, that we may have to look for the Kuru-Krivis in the twin-people of the Vaikarvau, mentioned in Rig-veda VII, 18, 11. The names of the principal teachers of the Satapatha mark them as belonging to the land of the Kuru-Pañśālas; and as in I, 7, 2, 8, preference is given to a certain sacrificial practice on the ground that it is the one obtaining among these peoples, it seems highly probable that the redaction of the work, or at least of the older portion of it, took place among the Kuru-Pañśālas¹. A prince² of Pañśāla, Pravāhana Gaivali, is mentioned XIV, 9, 1, 1, in connection with Yāgyavalkya's teacher, Uddālaka Āruṇi.

East of the Madhyadesa, we meet with another confederacy of kindred peoples, of hardly less importance than the Kuru-Pañśālas, at the time of the redaction of the Brāhmaṇa, viz. the Kosala-Videhas. In the legend above referred to they are said to be the descendants of Videgha Māthava, and to be separated from each other by the river Sadānirā (either the modern Ganāki or Karatoyā). The country of the Videhas, the eastern branch of this allied people, corresponding to the modern Tirhut or Puraniya, formed in those days the extreme east of the land of the Āryas. In the later books of the Satapatha, king Gaṇaka of Videha appears as one of the principal promoters of the Brāhmanical religion, and especially as the patron of Yāgyavalkya. In XI, 6, 2, 1, Gaṇaka is repre-

¹ The passage III, 2, 3, 15, where the Kuru-Pañśālas are apparently placed in the north—in direct contradiction to XI, 4, 1, 1, where they are placed in opposition to the Northerners (*udītyah*)—seems to go against this supposition. Professor Weber, Ind. Stud. I, 191, tries to get over this difficulty by translating Kurupañśālātrā by 'as among the Kuru-Pañśālas,' instead of 'among the Kuru-Pañśālas;' so that the meaning of the passage would be that 'the same language is spoken in the northern region, as among the Kuru-Pañśālas.' Unfortunately, however, the Kāṇva text of the passage is not favourable to this interpretation. It runs as follows (K. IV. 2, 3, 10):—*udītm pathayā svastyā vāg vai pathyā svastis tasmād atrottarāhal vāg vadatītyāhuḥ kurupañśāleṣhu kurumahāviśheṣv ity etāṃ hi tayā diram prāgnann eṣā hi tasyā dik pragnātā.*

² He is styled *rāganyabandhu* in *Kāṇḍogyop.* V, 3, 5.

sented as meeting, apparently for the first time, with Svetaketu Āruneya, Somasushma Sātyayagñī, and Yāgñavalkya, while they were travelling (dhāvayadbhiḥ). Probably we are to understand by this that these divines had then come from the west to visit the Videha country. A considerable portion of the *Bṛihadāraṇyaka* deals with learned disputations which Yāgñavalkya was supposed to have held at Ganaka's court with divers sages and with the king himself. In *Bṛih. Ār.* II, 1, 1 (and *Kaush. Up.* IV, 1) Ganaka's fame as the patron of Brāhmanical sages is said to have aroused the jealousy of his contemporary, Agātasatru, king of the Kāśis¹. The name Ganaka is also interesting on account of its being borne likewise by the father of Sītā, the wife of Rāma. Unfortunately, however, there is not sufficient evidence to show that the two kings are identical. With the legend of the other great epic, the *Satapatha* offers more points of contact; but on this subject also no definite results have as yet been obtained, it being still doubtful whether the internecine strife between the royal houses of the Kurus and Pañḍālas which, according to the late Professor Lassen, forms the central fact of the legend of the *Mahābhārata*, had not yet taken place at the time of the *Satapatha-brāhmaṇa*, or whether it was already a thing of the past². In the *Mahābhārata*, I, 4723, Pāṇdu, in speaking to his wife Kuntī, mentions Svetaketu, the son of the Maharshi Uddālaka, as having lived 'not long ago'³.

As regards the two recensions of the *Satapatha-brāhmaṇa*, this is hardly the place to enter into any detailed discussion of their mutual relations. Nor is my acquaintance with the Kāṇva text as yet sufficiently extensive to do justice to this important question. I intend, however, to publish before long a number of extracts from several *kāṇḍas* of this recension,—including the text of all the

¹ They occupied the country about the modern Benares (Kāśī).

² *Dhṛitarāṣṭra Valīkṛavīrya*, whose sons and nephews form the chief parties of this great feud, is mentioned in the *Kāśāka* 10, 6. From this passage—which, unfortunately, is not in a very good condition in the Berlin MS.—it would appear that animosities had then existed between the Kurus and Pañḍālas. It is doubtful, however, whether this part of the *Kāśāka* is older than the bulk of the *Satapatha*. See Weber, *Ind. Stud.* III, 469 seq.

See Weber, *Ind. Stud.* I, 176.

legends as well as other portions which seemed to me of special interest,—from which Sanskrit scholars will be able to form an opinion regarding the exact nature of the variations between the two versions. In my notes to the present translation of the first two *kāṇḍas*, I have considered it desirable occasionally to notice some of the various lectiones of the Kāṇva school; it should, however, be understood that these readings have been given solely on the authority of the Oxford MS., for the loan of which I am deeply indebted to the liberality of the Curators of the Bodleian Library. With the aid of the Paris MS., the use of which has also just been kindly granted to me, I hope soon to be able to verify these extracts. For most of the *kāṇḍas*, from the fourth¹ onwards, our materials have been lately enriched by a copy which Mr. Whitley Stokes has had made for Professor Weber from a Benares MS.

The various readings of the Kāṇva recension of the *Vāgasaneyi-saṃhitā* have been given in Professor Weber's edition, at the end of each *kāṇḍa*. They may be said to consist either of mere verbal variations or of additional mantras. In regard to these readings the *Brāhmaṇa* of the same school exhibits a feature which may have an important bearing on the textual criticism of the *Samhitā*. While the *Brāhmaṇa* generally shows the same verbal variations in the sacrificial texts as the *Samhitā*, it, as a rule, takes no notice whatever of the additional mantras, but agrees in this respect pretty closely with the *Mādhvāndina* text. Indeed, so far as I am able to judge, the two relations seem to coincide almost entirely, as far as the subject-matter is concerned; the differences, considerable as they sometimes are, being rather of a grammatical and stylistic nature. Occasional omissions, which I have hitherto noticed², may perhaps turn out to be due to the carelessness of scribes. As regards the additional mantras referred to, they may have found their way into the *Samhitā* at the time when the *Sūtras*

¹ Viz. *kāṇḍas* 4-7, 9, 10, 12, 14-17.

² For instance, the *brāhmaṇas* *Māṇḍ.* I, 4, 3; II, 3, 2 and 3; IV, 5, 10; 6, 8 are wanting in the Oxford MS.; see p. 338, note 3.—In the fourth (fifth Kāṇva) *kāṇḍa*, the Kāṇvas, on the other hand, have two *brāhmaṇas* (V, 7, 5; 8, 2, the latter of which treats of the *adābhya graha*, *Vāg. Ś. VIII, 47-50*) which are not found in the *Mādhvāndina* text.

were composed; though, it is true, they do not as a rule appear in the Kāṭiya-sūtra, and no other sūtra of the White Yagus, as far as I know, has hitherto come to light¹. On the other hand, as there are also not a few mantras in the Mādhyandina Saṃhitā², which are not noticed in the Brāhmaṇa of that school, this question must be left for future investigation.

I have already referred to the connection which seems to have existed between the Kāṇva school of the White Yagus and the redactors of the Rik-saṃhitā. One of the chief points of contact between our existing recension of the Rik and the Kāṇva text of the Yagur-veda is the use of the letters *l* and *lh* instead of *d* and *dh* used by the Mādhyandinas. Besides, the *riks* of the Kāṇva text generally approach more nearly to the readings of the Rig-veda than those of the other school. Another, even more interesting, feature which the Kāṇva recension has in common with the Rik, is the constant³ employment of the ordinary genitive and ablative of feminine bases, where the other Saṃhitās and Brāhmaṇas generally use the dative; thus the Kāṇvas read 'tasyāḥ' instead of 'tasyai' (M. I, 1, 4, 16); 'gāyatrīyāḥ' instead of 'gāyatrīyai' (I, 7, 1, 1); 'prithivīyāḥ' instead of 'prithivīyai' (I, 2, 5, 18); 'kumbhīyā bhastrīyāḥ' instead of 'kumbhīyai bhastrīyai' (I, 1, 2, 7); 'stirṇāyā vedeḥ' instead of 'stirṇāyai vedeḥ' (IV, 2, 5, 3); 'dhenōḥ' instead of 'dhenvai' (III. 1, 2, 21), &c. Thus the Kāṇva text is in this respect more in accordance with the Rik-saṃhitā than even the Aitareya-brāhmaṇa⁴. Again, the Kāṇvas seem to form the dative of feminine i-bases in accordance with the usual and older practice of the Rik; at least I find everywhere 'āhutaye' and 'guptaye' (as also in the Atharvan) instead of 'āhutyai' and 'guptyai' as the Mādhyandinas (and Taittirīyas) read⁵. Of minor points of grammatical

¹ Professor Weber thinks that the sūtra of Vaiṣṇavāpa, of which mention is occasionally made in the commentaries on the Kāṭiya-sūtra, may belong to the White Yagus. See History of Indian Literature, p. 142. Professor Bühler, Sacred Laws, I, p. xxvi, remarks that 'Kāṇva is considered the author of the still existing Kalpa-sūtras of the Kāṇva school;' but I have found no notice of these sūtras anywhere.

² That is, in those adhyāyas to which the Brāhmaṇa forms a running commentary.

³ I have not met with any exception in the kāṇvas hitherto examined.

⁴ See Aufrecht, Alt. Br. p. 498. ⁵ See also the form 'dhenōḥ' mentioned above.

differences may be mentioned the form 'nilīye,' which occurs once in the Mādhyandina text (I, 2, 3, 1), and is otherwise only found in the Mahābhārata; while the Kāṇva recension has the periphrastic form (nilayām ākre), which the Mādhyandina text also offers in the other two cases (I, 6, 4, 1; IV, 1, 3, 1) in which the word occurs. On the other hand, the Kāṇvas seem to read invariably 'ātmani (dhā ōr krī),' where the Mādhyandinas have 'ātman,' which is also (doubtless on metrical grounds) the more usual formation in the Rig-veda¹. Of cases of material differences I can only at present adduce the passage I, 1, 4, 12 (M.), where the Mādhyandina text is guilty of a transposition of the second and third castes, while that of the Kāṇvas gives them in the proper order. Though most of these points of difference between the two schools would seem to tell in favour of the higher antiquity of the Kāṇva text, there will always be great difficulty in deciding this question, as it is by no means impossible that these variations are entirely due to different local or family traditions. In favour of the latter alternative one or two other points may be mentioned. The Mādhyandina text, as has already been remarked, offers not a few grammatical and other differences between the first five and the succeeding four kāṇḍas, or, as we may say, between the Yāgyavalkya and the Sāṇḍilya books of the Shashīpatha. Though I cannot speak with confidence on this point, as I have not yet examined the Kāṇva text of the Sāṇḍilya kāṇḍas, I may refer here to at least two points in which the Kāṇvas, in the Yāgyavalkya portion, agree with the Sāṇḍilya portion of the Mādhyandina text, viz. the use of the imperfect (aspardhanta) instead of the perfect (paspridhire) in the opening clause of legends; and the frequent employment of the particle 'vāva' in the place of 'vai.'

As regards the present translation of the first two kāṇḍas,

¹ Another curious feature of the Kāṇva text is the frequent insertion of an 'ity uvāta' in the middle of speeches, much like the colloquial 'says he.' As an instance I may adduce K. IV, 2, 3, 3 (M. III, 2, 3, 5):—Sā hovāta 'ham eva vo yaṅṅam amūmuham iti hovāta yad eva mayi tanvānā iti mām yaṅṅād antara-gāta tenaiva vo yaṅṅam amūmuham iti te mahyam nu bhāgam kalpayatety ātha vo yaṅṅaṅ prarodishyata iti tatheti hoṅus, &c. The Kāṇvas also insert much more frequently an 'iti' in the middle of speeches.

I need hardly say that I am fully aware of its shortcomings. My chief endeavour has been to translate as literally as seemed at all compatible with the English idiom. If, in consequence of this, many passages should be found to read somewhat awkwardly, I hope at least that the wish to follow the original as closely as possible, has not rendered them unintelligible. Those who have given any attention to the Brāhmaṇas and the sacrificial system of the Hindus, know how difficult the task is, and how easy it is to commit mistakes regarding the intricate minutiae of the ceremonial. The Brāhmaṇas presuppose a full knowledge of the course of sacrificial performance, and notice only such points as afford an opportunity for dogmatic and symbolic explanations, or seem to call for some authoritative decision to guard them against what were considered as heretical practices. In order to enable the reader to follow the course of the performance with something like completeness, I have supplied in my notes the chief details from Kātyāyana's Kalpa-sūtras. That not a few of these details did not belong to the sacrificial ceremonial of the Satapatha, but were the result of later development, or of an adaptation of sacrificial practices of other schools, can scarcely be doubted. Dr. Hillebrandt¹ is of opinion that sacrificial manuals, somewhat similar to the later Prayogas, must have existed as early as the time of the composition of the Brāhmaṇas. In the absence of any direct evidence, speculation on this point can scarcely lead to any definite results. I may say, however, that it seems to me quite sufficient to assume that the performance of sacrifices was taught as a practical art, and that the theoretic instruction, supplied by the Brāhmaṇas, was conveyed orally in connection with such practical performances. That the latter was the case, is sufficiently evident from the constant occurrence in the Brāhmaṇas of demonstrative pronouns and particles of a 'deictic' force².

I have occasionally referred to corresponding passages of the Taittirīyas: an exhaustive comparison of the two branches of the Yagur-veda, however interesting this might be, lay outside the scope of my notes. A general view of

¹ Das Altindische Neu- und Vollmondsopfer, p. xv.

² See, for instance, Sat. Br. I, 3, 1, 7; 8, 1, 14.

the sacrificial system might be considered desirable in this place; but I have found it necessary to defer this part of my duty as translator to some future opportunity. Those who desire further information on this point, I may refer to Professor Weber's general survey of Hindu sacrifices, in vols. x and xiii of his *Indische Studien*. No other scholar has contributed so much to our knowledge of the sacrificial ceremonial of the Hindus. I need hardly say that I have also obtained much useful information from the late Professor Haug's notes to his translation of the *Aitareya-brāhmaṇa*, although on many points the practices of modern *Srotriyas*, on which he chiefly relied, are manifestly at variance with those enjoined by the old ritualistic authorities. For the first *kāṇḍa*, I have also been able to avail myself of Dr. Hillebrandt's careful exposition of the new and full-moon sacrifice; and though I had already worked myself through that part of the ritual before the appearance of his treatise, his constant references to the *Sūtras* of the Black *Yagur-veda* have been of great assistance to me.

The *Brāhmaṇas* and *Kalpa-sūtras* treat of the so-called *Srauta* or *Vaidik* sacrifices, requiring for their performance three sacrificial fires; while the *Pāka-yagñas*, or simple oblations of cooked food prepared on the domestic fire, are dealt with in the *Gṛhya-sūtras*. The present volume contains that portion of the *Brāhmaṇa* which deals with the *Haviryagñas*—or offerings of milk, butter, rice, barley, and similar materials—as distinguished from the animal and Soma sacrifices. The new and full-moon offering being considered as the normal type of an *ishṭi*, or simplest form of a complete sacrificial performance, the place of honour is assigned to it in most texts of the *Yagus*; only points of difference being generally noted regarding the performance of *ishṭis*, as parts of subsequent sacrifices. In point of time, the *Dargapūrnāmāsau* ought to be preceded—as indeed they are in the *Kāṇva* text of the *Brāhmaṇa*—by the *Agnyādhāna*, or establishment of a sacred fire on the part of a young householder; and by the *Agnihotra*, or morning and evening libations.

SATAPATHA-BRÂHMĀNA.

FIRST KĀNDA.

THE DARSAPŪRVAMĀSA-ISHTĪ OR NEW ANI FULL-MOON SACRIFICES.

FIRST ADHYĀYA. FIRST BRÂHMĀNA.

A. THE VOW OF ABSTINENCE.

EACH of the two half-monthly sacrifices, the regular performance of which is enjoined on the Brâhmanical householder for a period of thirty years from the time of his performance of the ceremony of agny-âdhâna, or setting up of a fire of his own,—according to some authorities even for the rest of his life—usually occupies the greater part of two consecutive days. Whilst the first day—the upavasatha or fast-day—is chiefly taken up with preparatory rites, such as the sweeping and trimming of the fire-places and lighting of the fires; and the formal taking of the vow of abstinence (vrata) by the sacrificer and his wife; the second day is reserved for the main performance of the sacrifice. As to the exact days of the month appointed for these ceremonies, there is some difference of opinion among native authorities, some of them deciding in favour of the last two days of each half of the lunar month, whilst the generality of ritualistic writers consider the first day of the half-month—or the first and sixteenth day of the month respectively—to be the proper time for the main performance. The personal restrictions involved in the householder's entering on the vrata include chiefly the abstention from certain kinds of food, especially meat, and from other carnal pleasures; the cutting (optional, according to some) of the beard and hair, except the crest-lock; the sleeping on the ground in one of the chief fire-houses; and the observance of silence during the ceremonies. It was, however, permitted to compress the two-days'

rites of the Full-moon sacrifice into one single day, in which case some of these restrictions would of course not be applicable.

The ceremonies begin with the preparation of the sacrificial fires. [First, the fivefold lustration successively of the Âhavanīya and Dakshinâgni fire-places, to render them fit for receiving the fire from the Gârhapatya or householder's fire, viz. by thrice sweeping the hearths; thrice besmearing them with gomaya; drawing three lines across them from west to east, or south to north, with the wooden sword (sphya); removing the dust from the lines with the thumb and ring-finger; and thrice sprinkling the lines with water¹.] Then the Adhvaryu performs the agny-uddhâraṇa, or twice taking out of the fire from the Gârhapatya, and putting it successively on the forepart of the Âhavanīya and Dakshinâgni hearths. After this takes place the agny-anvâdhâna, or putting (fuel) on the fires, by either the householder or the Adhvaryu; two logs being put on each of the three fires. This may be done in three different ways, viz. first on the Âhavanīya, then on the Gârhapatya, and last on the Dakshinâgni, in which case the first log is put on by him whilst muttering the verse Rig-veda X, 128, 1 (Taitt. S. IV, 7, 14, 1), 'Let there be lustre, O Âgni, at my invocations!' &c., the second log silently. Or the first logs are put on with one of the three mystical words 'bhûr, bhuvaḥ, svar' on the Gârhapatya, Dakshinâgni, and Âhavanīya successively, and the second logs again silently. Or both logs may be put on silently, the order of fires being in that case the one in which they originate, viz. Gârhapatya, Âhavanīya, and Dakshinâgni.

In the afternoon the householder and his wife partake of the vratopanīya or fast-day food (prepared chiefly of rice, barley, or mudga beans) with clarified butter; whereupon they take the vow in the manner prescribed in the Brāhmaṇa. In the evening, immediately after sunset, and on the following morning just before sunrise, the householder has, as usual, to perform the Agnihotra, a burnt-offering of fresh milk, which has to be made by him twice daily, with certain exceptions, from the Agnyâdhâna to the end of his life.

1. He who is about to enter on the yow, touches water², whilst standing between the Âhavanīya

¹ The statements enclosed in brackets [] are drawn from the comments and Paddhati on Kâtyâyana's Srauta-sûtra.

² I.e. 'he dips his hand into water contained in a vessel,' Schol. Kâty. Sr. S. I, 10, 14. According to the general rule there given,

and Gārhapatya fires, with his face turned towards east. The reason why he touches water is, that man is (sacrificially) impure on account of his speaking untruth; and because by that act an internal purification (is effected),—for water is indeed (sacrificially) pure. 'After becoming sacrificially pure, I will enter on the vow,' thus (he thinks); for water is indeed purifying. 'Having become purified through the purifying one, I will enter on the vow,' thus (he thinks, and) this is the reason why he touches water.

2. Looking towards the (Āhavanīya) fire¹, he enters on the vow, with the text (Vâg. S. I, 5 a): 'O Agni, Lord of Vows! I will keep the vow! may I be equal to it, may I succeed in it!' For Agni is Lord of Vows to the gods, and it is to him therefore that he addresses these words. In the words, 'I will observe the vow; may I be equal to it; may I succeed in it,' there is nothing that requires explanation.

3. After the completion (of the sacrifice) he divests himself (of the vow), with the text (Vâg. S. II, 28 a), 'O Agni, Lord of Vows! I have kept the vow; I have been equal to it; I have succeeded in

the same purificator² act has to be repeated whenever, in the course of ceremonial performances, a sacrificial formula or prayer has been used, which is addressed to, or directed against, Rudra, the Rakshas and Asuras, and the Manes; or one directed against some specified enemy of the sacrificer with the view of exorcising or averting the evil influences with which the latter is supposed to be threatened from that quarter; or lastly, when a touching of one's self has taken place, either accidentally or as part of the ceremonial.

¹ 'Stepping between the Gārhapatya and Dakshina fires (aparāgni), and standing west of the Āhavanīya, with his face turned eastward and looking at the fire.' Kāty. Sr. S. II, 1, 11.

it;’ for he who has attained ‘the completion of the sacrifice, has indeed been equal to it; and he who has attained the completion of the sacrifice, has succeeded in it. It is in this way that most (sacrificers) will probably enter on the vow; but one may also enter on it in the following way. •

4. Twofold, verily, is this, there is no third, viz. truth and untruth. And verily the gods are the truth, and man is the untruth. Therefore in saying (Vāg. S. I, 5 b), ‘I now enter from untruth into truth,’ he passes from the men to the gods¹.

5. Let him then only speak what is true; for this vow indeed the gods do keep, that they speak the truth; and for this reason they are glorious: glorious therefore is he who, knowing this, speaks the truth.

6. After the completion (of the sacrifice) he divests himself (of the vow), with the text (Vāg. S. II, 28 b): ‘Now I am he who I really am.’ For, in entering upon the vow, he becomes, as it were, non-human; and as it would not be becoming for him to say, ‘I enter from truth into untruth;’ and as, in fact, he now again becomes man, let him therefore divest himself (of the vow), with the text: ‘Now I am he who I really am.’

7. Now then of the eating (or) fasting². And on this point Āshādka Sāvayasa, on the one hand, was of opinion that the vow consisted in fasting. For assuredly, (he argued,) the gods see through the mind of man; they know that, when he enters on this

¹ I.e. ‘he obtains a divine body (devatāsarīram),’ Mahtdh.; man’s existence is untruth on account of its perishableness,’ id.

² The discussion which here follows refers to the evening meal which the sacrificer is allowed to take after he has performed the Agnihotra. Cf. Kāty. Sr. S. II, 1, 13.

vow, 'he means to sacrifice to them the next morning. Therefore all the gods betake themselves to his house, and abide by (him or the fires, upa-vas) in his house; whence this (day) is called upa-vasatha¹.

•8. Now, as it would even be unbecoming for him to take food, before men (who are staying with him as his guests) have eaten; how much more would it be so, if he, were to take food before the gods (who are staying with him) have eaten: let him therefore take no food at all.

9. Yagnavalkya, on the other hand, said: 'If he does not eat, he thereby becomes a sacrificer to the Manes; and if he does eat, he eats before the gods have eaten: let him therefore eat what, when eaten, counts as not eaten.' For that of which no offering is made, even though it is eaten, is considered as not eaten. When he therefore eats, he does not become a sacrificer to the Manes; and by eating of that of which no offering is made, he does not eat before the gods have eaten.

10. Let him therefore eat only what grows in the forest, be it forest plants or the fruit of trees. And in regard to this point Barku Vārshna said: 'Cook ye beans for me, for no offering is made of them!' This, however, he should not do; for pulse

¹ The primary meaning of upa-vas probably is 'to dwell or abide near (the gods or fires)'; its secondary and technical meaning being 'to fast,' whence upavasatha, ~~the fasting or fast-day~~, literally 'the abiding near (the gods or fires).' Cf. III, 9, 2, 7. The term is more usually applied to the preliminary fast-day of the Soma-sacrifice; but the latter being considered the most solemn and efficacious of sacrificial rites, a strong tendency prevails to establish some kind of connection between it and the other ceremonies. Cf. Kāty. Sr. S. IV, 15, 36.

serves as an addition to rice and barley; and hence he increases the rice and barley by means of it: let him therefore eat only what grows in the forest.

11. Let him sleep that night in the house of the Âhavanīya fire or in the house of the Gârhapatya fire. For he who enters on the vow approaches the gods; and he sleeps in the midst of those very gods whom he approaches. Let him sleep on the ground¹; for from below, as it were, one serves one's superior.

B. THE PREPARATION OF THE OFFERINGS.

After the morning's performance of the Agnihotra and the subsequent rising of the sun, the sacrificer chooses his Brahman, or superintending priest. [In the first place he gets six seats ready, covered with sacrificial grass: two of these, to be used by the Brahman and sacrificer during the ceremony of election, are placed somewhere on the north side of the sacrificial ground; another south of the Âhavanīya fire, to serve for the Brahman's permanent seat (*brahmasâdanam*), and west of the latter (placed so as to be quite close to the altar to be constructed hereafter, cf. Kâty. Sr. I, 8, 28), the sacrificer's permanent seat; finally a seat north of each of the two fires, the Gârhapatya and the Âhavanīya, to be used by the Adhvaryu on certain occasions. The sacrificer and future Brahman then having seated themselves on the two first-mentioned seats on the north side, the former with his face turned northward, and the latter looking toward east; the sacrificer, holding the wooden sword (*sphya*) in his left hand, touches the right knee of the Brahman with his right hand, in which he holds barley-corns and] chooses him for his Brahman with the formula: ['Thou, of such and such a family, N. N. Sarman! we are about to perform the Full-moon sacrifice,'] 'O Lord of the earth! Lord of the world! Lord of the great universe! we choose thee for our Brahman!' The chosen one then mutters (cf. Vâg. S. p. 57): 'I am the lord of the earth, I lord of the world, I lord of the great universe (*mahâ-bhûta*)! earth! ether! heaven! O God Savitri, thee they choose for their Brahman, their lord of prayer (*Brihaspati*)!' &c., 'Brihaspati is Brahman to the gods, I to the men!' He (or, according

¹ A shake-down of grass (*âstaravam*, ? a blanket) is not forbidden. Paddh. on Kâty. Sr. II, 1.

to some, the sacrificer) further says, 'O Lord of speech, protect the sacrifice!' after which he betakes himself to the Brahman's seat (south of the Âhavanīya), and whilst [standing north of it, with his face turned eastward and] looking on it, he mutters: 'Avaunt! unholy one (daidhishavya, lit. son of a re-married woman)! take thee away from here and seat thee on another's seat who is less exalted (pākātara) than we!' He takes one blade of grass from the seat and flings it towards south-west (the region of the Rakshas or evil spirits) with the formula: 'Expelled is sin with him whom we detest!' and then sits down with the formula: 'Here I sit on the seat of Brīhaspati, at the command of the divine Savitrī! This I proclaim to the fire, this to the wind, this to the earth!' Here he remains seated, with his face towards the Âhavanīya fire, to watch the progress of the ceremony and give directions, whenever he is appealed to. When the full or new-moon sacrifice is performed for the first time, it should be preceded by the Anvārambhanīyā offering, performed in much the same way as the Paurṇamāsī, except that the oblations themselves consist of a rice cake on eleven potsherds for Agni and Viṣṇu, a potful of boiled (rice) grains (kṛu) for Sarasvatī; and a rice cake on twelve potsherds for Sarasvatī; the priest's fee on this occasion consisting of a cow four years old, or a pair of cattle, instead of the Anvāhārya mess. Kāty. Sr. IV, 5, 22-23.

12. By way of his first act on the following morning he (the Adhvaryu priest) betakes himself to the water, and brings water forward¹: for

¹ He, in the first place, pours water into a jug [usually made of varāṇa wood (Cratæva Roxburghii), four-cornered, about a span or twelve fingers' breadths deep and four fingers' breadths square, and furnished with a handle], puts it down north of the Gârhapatya fire, and touches it with the formula: 'I, the existent, will operate with thee (tvā karishyāmi), O existent one!' He then addresses himself to the Brahman: 'O Brahman! shall I bring the water forward?' and to the patron or sacrificer: 'Sacrificer, restrain thy speech!' The Brahman,—after muttering the mantra (as he does, with certain modifications, on similar occasions when his permission is asked in the course of the performance): 'Lead on the sacrifice! gladden the deities! May the sacrificer be on the vault of heaven! Where the world of the seven pious Rishis is, thither do thou lead this sacrifice and sacrificer!'—replies aloud: 'Hail (ḥm)! bring forward!'

water is (one of the means of) sacrifice. Hence by this his first act he approaches (engages in) the sacrifice; and by bringing (water) forward, he spreads out (prepares) the sacrifice.

13. He brings it forward with those mysterious words (Vâg. S. I, 6): 'Who (or Pragâpati) joins (or yokes) thee (to this fire)¹? He joins thee. For what (or, for Pragâpati) does he join thee? For that (or him) he joins thee!' For Pragâpati is undefined² (mysterious): Pragâpati is the sacrifice: hence he thereby yokes (gets ready for the performance) Pragâpati, this sacrifice.

14. The reason why he brings forward water is, that all this (universe) is pervaded by water³; hence by this his first act he pervades (or gains) all this (universe).

15. And whatever here in this (sacrifice) the Hotri, or the Adhvaryu, or the Brahman, or the Âgnidhra, or the sacrificer himself, does not succeed in accomplishing, all that is thereby obtained (or made good).

16. Another reason why he brings forward water is this: whilst the gods were engaged in performing sacrifice, the Asuras and Rakshas forbade (raksh)

¹ 'Ka (i. e. who? or Pragâpati) joins thee (i. e. places thee, O water, by the side of the Âhavanîya fire)? (I) . . . Kasmai (i. e. for what purpose? or, for whom? or, for Pragâpati) does he join thee? (I)' Mahidh. Dark is the meaning of these words because of the ambiguity of ka, the interrogative pronoun, which speculative theology also takes for a mystic name of Pragâpati. Cf. XI, 5, 4, 1 seq.; Max Müller, History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature, p. 433.

² Cf. also I, 6, 1, 20, where Sâyana says that Pragâpati is anirukta, because he represents all deities.

³ A play on the word âpah (ap), 'water,' and the root âp, 'to obtain, to pervade.'

them, saying, 'Ye shall not sacrifice!' and because they forbade (raksh), they are called Rakshas.

17. The gods then perceived this thunderbolt, to wit, the water : the water is a thunderbolt, for the water is indeed a thunderbolt ; hence wherever it goes, it produces a hollow (or depression of ground) ; and whatever it comes near, it destroys (lit. it burns up). Thereupon they took up that thunderbolt, and in its safe and foeless shelter they spread (performed) the sacrifice. And thus he (the Adhvaryu priest) likewise takes up this thunderbolt, and in its safe and foeless shelter spreads the sacrifice. This is the reason why he brings forward water.

18. After pouring out some of it (into the jug) he puts it down north of the Gârhapatya fire. For water (ap) is female and fire (agni) is male ; and the Gârhapatya is a house : hence a copulation productive of offspring is thereby effected in this house. Now he who brings forward the water, takes up a thunderbolt ; but when he takes up the thunderbolt, he cannot do so unless he is firmly placed ; for otherwise it destroys him.

19. The reason then why he places it near the Gârhapatya fire is, that the Gârhapatya is a house, and a house is a safe resting-place ; so that he thereby stands firmly in a house, and therefore in a safe resting-place : in this way that thunderbolt does not destroy him,—for this reason he places it near the Gârhapatya fire.

20. He then carries it north of the Āhavantya fire¹. For water is female and fire is male : hence

¹ After the water has been brought forward by the Adhvaryu from the house of the Gârhapatya fire, its technical name is *Pravṛtā*,

a copulation productive of offspring is thereby effected. And in this way alone a regular copulation can take place, since the woman lies on the left (or north) side of the man.

21. Let nobody pass between the water (and the fire), lest by passing between them he should disturb the copulation which is taking place. Let him set the water down without carrying it beyond (the north side of the fire, i.e. not on the eastern side); nor should he put it down before reaching (the north side, i.e. not on the western side). For, if he were to put the water down after carrying it beyond,—there being, as it were, a great rivalry between fire and water,—he would cause this rivalry to break forth on the part of the fire; and when they (the priests and the sacrificer) touch the water of this (vessel), he would, by carrying it and setting it down beyond (the northern side), cause the enemy to rise (spirit) in the fire. If, on the other hand, he were to put it down before gaining (the northern side), he would not gain by it the fulfilment of the wish for which it has been brought forward. Let him therefore put it down exactly north of the Âhavanīya fire.

22. He now strews sacrificial grass all round (the fires)¹, and fetches the utensils, taking two at a

'brought forward.' On putting it down north of the Âhavanīya, he covers the jug over with sacrificial grass.

¹ Kāty. Sr. II, 3, 6: 'Having strewn sacrificial grass around the fires, beginning on the east side,' which the Comm. interprets: 'He strews eastward and northward-pointed grass around first the Âhavanīya, then the Gârhapatya, and last the Dakshinâgni, beginning each time on the eastern side, and then moving around from left to right, and turning his right side towards the fire, so as to end on the north side' (cf. Kāty. IV, 13, 15). The Paddhati, on

time; viz. the winnowing basket and the Agnihotra ladle, the wooden sword and the potsherds, the wedge and the black antelope skin, the mortar and the pestle, the large and the small mill-stones. These are ten in number; for of ten syllables consists the Virāḡ (metre), and radiant (virāḡ)¹ also is the sacrifice: so that he thereby makes the sacrifice resemble the Virāḡ. The reason why he takes two at a time, is, because a pair means strength; for when two undertake anything, there is strength in it. Moreover, a pair represents a productive copulation, so that a productive copulation (of those respective objects) is thereby effected.

SECOND BRĀHMAṆA.

1. Thereupon he takes the winnowing basket and the Agnihotra ladle², with the text (Vāḡ. S. I, 6 b): 'For the work (I take) you, for pervasion (or accomplishment) you two!' For the sacrifice is a work: hence, in saying 'for the work you two,' he says, 'for the sacrifice.' And 'for pervasion you two,' he says,

the other hand, following Āpastamba, interprets it to the effect that on the eastern and western sides he strews the grass with its tops turned northward, and on the southern and northern sides with the tops turned eastward.

¹ Or, 'and the sacrifice also is Virāḡ,' as the scholiast interprets the passage on the ground that at the performance of the Gṛyotishoma 190 stotriyā verses are used, and that this number is dividable by ten, the number of syllables in the Virāḡ metre; cf. Weber, Ind. Streifen I, 36, note 4. See also X, 4, 3, 21, where the fire is identified with the virāḡ on the ground that there are ten fires, viz. eight dhishṇya fires and the Āhavanīya and Gārhapatya. In VIII, 4, 5, 5 virāḡ is explained as 'that which rules.'

² For the Agnihotra-havanī or ladle used for making the morning and evening milk-oblations, see note on I, 3, 1, 1. For the winnowing basket (śūrpa), see I, 1, 4, 19 seq.

because he, as it were, pervades (goes through, accomplishes) the sacrifice.

2. He then restrains his speech; for (restrained) speech means undisturbed sacrifice; so that (in so doing) he thinks: 'May I accomplish the sacrifice!' He now heats (the two objects on the Gārhapatya) with the formula (Vāg. S. I, 7 a): 'Scorched is the Rakshas, scorched are the enemies!' or (Vāg. S. I, 7 b): 'Burnt out is the Rakshas, burnt out are the enemies!'

3. For the gods, when they were performing the sacrifice, were afraid of a disturbance on the part of the Asuras and Rakshas: hence by this means he expels from here, at the very opening¹ of the sacrifice, the evil spirits, the Rakshas.

4. He now steps forward (to the cart²), with the text (Vāg. S. I, 7 c): 'I move along the wide aerial realm.' For the Rakshas roams about in the air, rootless and unfettered in both directions (below and above); and in order that this man (the Adhvaryu) may move about the air, rootless and unfettered in both directions, he by this very prayer renders the atmosphere free from danger and evil spirits.

5. It is from the cart that he should take (the rice required for the sacrifice). For at first the cart (is the receptacle of the rice) and afterwards this hall;

¹ Literally, 'from the very mouth,' which refers both to the mouth or hollow part of the two vessels (from which the enemies are, as it were, burnt out), and to the opening of the sacrifice. The same symbolical explanation is met with on the occasion of the heating of the sacrificial spoon, I, 3, 1, 5.

² The cart containing the rice or barley, or whatever material may be used instead, stands behind (i. e. west of) the Gārhapatya, fitted with all its appliances (except the oxen). Kāty. Sr. II, 3, 12. Rice-grains, as the most common material, will be assumed to constitute the chief havis (sacrificial food) at the present sacrifice.

and because he thinks 'what was at first (in the cart, and hence still unimpaired by entering the householder's abode), that I will operate upon;' for that reason let him take (rice) from the cart.

6. Moreover, the cart represents an abundance; for the cart does indeed represent an abundance: hence, when there is much of anything, people say that there are 'cart-loads' of it. Thus he thereby approaches an abundance, and for this reason he should take from the cart.

7. The cart further is (one of the means of) the sacrifice; for the cart is indeed (one of the means of) sacrifice. To the cart, therefore, refer the (following) Yagus-texts, and not to a store-room, nor to a jar. The *Rishis*, it is true, once took (the rice) from a leathern bag, and hence, in the case of the *Rishis*, the Yagus-texts applied to a leathern bag. Here, however, they are taken in their natural application. Because he thinks 'from (or, by means of) the sacrifice I will perform the sacrifice,' let him, therefore, take (rice) from the cart.

8. Some do indeed take it from a (wooden) jar. In that case also he should mutter the Yagus-texts without omitting any; and let him in that case take (the rice) after inserting the wooden sword¹ under

¹ The *sphya* is a straight sword (*khadga*) or knife, a cubit long, carved out of *khadira* wood (*Mimosa Catechu*). *Kāty. Sr.* I, 3, 33; 39. It is used for various purposes calculated to symbolically insure the safe and undisturbed performance of the sacrifice. On the present occasion it represents the yoke, by touching which (par. 10) the cart is connected with the sacrifice. At the close of the sacrifice also the offering spoons are, as it were, unyoked (or relieved of their duties), by being placed on the yoke, if the rice was taken from the cart; or on the wooden sword lying on the jar, if it was taken from the latter. See I, 8, 3, 26.

(the jar). He does so, thinking 'where we want to yoke, there we unyoke;' for from the same place where they yoke, they also unyoke.

9. (Like) fire, verily, is the yoke of that very cart; for the yoke is indeed (like) fire: hence the shoulder of those (oxen) that draw this (cart) becomes as if burnt by fire. The middle part of the pole behind the prop represents, as it were, its (the cart's) altar¹; and the enclosed space of the cart (which contains the rice) constitutes its havirdhânam (receptacle of the sacrificial food)².

10. He now touches the yoke, with the text (Vâg. S. I, 8 a): 'Thou art the yoke (dhur); injure (dhûrv) thou the injurer! injure him that injures us! injure him whom we injure!' For there being a fire in the yoke by which he will have to pass when he fetches the material for the oblation, he thereby propitiates it, and thus that fire in the yoke does not injure him when he passes by.

11. Here now Āruni said: 'Every half-moon³ I destroy the enemies.' This he said with reference to this point.

¹ The pole of an Indian cart consists of two pieces of wood, joined together in its forepart and diverging towards the axle. Hence, as Sâyana remarks, it resembles the altar in shape, being narrower in front and broader at the back, the altar measuring twenty-four cubits in front and thirty cubits at the back. At the extreme end of the pole a piece of wood is fastened on, or the pole itself is turned downwards, so as to serve as a prop or rest (popularly called 'sipoy' in Western India, and 'horse' in English).

² The havirdhâna (-mandapa) is a temporary shed or tent erected on the sacrificial ground for the performance of the Soma-sacrifice, in which the two carts containing the Soma-plants are placed. These carts themselves, however, are also called havirdhâna. Cf. IV, 6, 9, 10 seq.; III, 5, 3, 7.

³ I. e. at the time of the new and the full moon. Schol. •

12. Thereupon, whilst touching the pole behind the prop, he mutters (Vāg. S. I, 8 b-9 a): 'To the gods thou belongest, thou the best carrying one, the most firmly joined¹, the most richly filled², the most agreeable (to the gods), the best caller of the gods!' 'Thou art unbent, the receptacle of oblations: be thou firm, waver not!' Thus he eulogises the cart, hoping that he may obtain the oblation from the one thus eulogised and pleased. He adds (Vāg. S. I, 9 b), 'May thy Lord of Sacrifice not waver!' for Lord of Sacrifice is the sacrificer, and it is for the sacrificer, therefore, that he thus prays for firmness.

13. He now ascends (the cart by the southern wheel), with the text (Vāg. S. I, 9 c): 'May Vishṇu ascend thee!' For Vishṇu is the sacrifice; by striding (vi-kram) he obtained for the gods this all-pervading power (vikrānti) which now belongs to them. By his first step he gained this very (earth), by the second the aërial expanse, and by the last step the sky. And this very same pervading power Vishṇu, as sacrifice, by his strides obtains for him (the sacrificer).

14. He then looks (at the rice) and (addressing the cart) mutters (Vāg. S. I, 9 d): 'Wide open (be

¹ Sasni-tama (? 'the most bountiful'): sasni is explained by Mahīdhara (in accordance with Yāska, Nir. V, 1) by saṃsnāta, from snā, 'to purify, cleanse,' or from snā (snai), 'to envelop, wrap round;' hence 'cleanest or purest,' or 'most firmly secured by being tied (with thongs, &c.)' The latter was probably the meaning connected with the word in this sacrificial formula; though the correct derivation is no doubt from san, 'to acquire, gain,' and 'to bestow' (Roth, Nirukta notes, p. 52). In modern Indian carts the yoke is fastened on to the pole by a string.

² Papritama, 'most filled with rice,' &c. Schol.

thou) to the wind!' For wind means breath; so that by this prayer he effects free scope for the air of the (sacrificer's) breath.

15. With the text (Vāg. S. I, 9 e), 'Repelled is the Rakshas!' he then throws away whatever (grass, &c.) may have fallen on it. But if nothing (have fallen on it), let him merely touch it. He thereby drives away from it the evil spirits, the Rakshas.

16. He touches (the rice), with the text (Vāg. S. I, 9 f), 'Let the five take!' for five are these fingers, and fivefold also is the sacrifice¹; so that he thereby puts the sacrifice on it (the cart).

17. He then takes (the rice), with the text (Vāg. S. I, 10a, b): 'At the impulse (prasavana) of the divine Savitṛi, I take thee with the arms of the Asvins, with the hands of Pūshan, thee, agreeable to Agni!' For Savitṛi is the impeller (prasavitṛi) of the gods: therefore he takes this as one impelled by Savitṛi. 'With the arms of the Asvins,' he says, because the two Asvins are the Adhvaryu priests (of the gods). 'With the hands of Pūshan,' he says, because Pūshan is distributor of portions (to the gods), who with his own hands places the food before them. The gods are the truth, and men are the untruth: thus he thereby takes (the rice) by means of the truth.

¹ According to Sāyana, because there are five kinds of oblations (havish-pankti) at the Soma-sacrifice. Cf. Ait. Br. II, 24, with Haug's translation. Compare also the distinction of five different parts in the victim at animal sacrifices: Sat. Br. I, 5, 2, 16; Ait. Br. II, 14; III, 23; and the five kinds of victims, viz. man, horse, bullock, ram, and he-goat: Ath. V. XI, 2, 9; Sat. Br. I, 2, 3, 6. 7; VI, 2, 1, 6. 18; VII, 5, 2, 10; Taitt. S. IV, 2, 10; Kṛānd. Up. II, 6, 1.

18. He now announces (the oblation) to the deity (for whom it is intended). For when the Adhvaryu is about to take the oblation, all the gods draw near to him, thinking, 'My name he will choose! my name he will choose!' and among them who are thus gathered together, he thereby¹ establishes concord.

19. Another reason for which he announces (the oblation) to the deity, is this: whichever deities are chosen, they consider it as an obligation that they are bound to fulfil whatever wish he entertains whilst taking (the oblation): and for that reason also he announces it to the deity. After taking the oblations (to the other deities) in the same way as before²,—

20. He touches (the rice that is left), with the text (Vāg. S. I, 11 a): 'For existence (or, abundance,—I leave) thee, not for non-offering³!' He thereby causes it to increase again.

¹ Viz. by calling out the names, since, without this being done, quarrels would arise among the deities as to whom the offering might be intended for. Mahidh.

² Viz. as in the case of the oblation to Agni, and substituting the name of the respective deity in the formula used above (par. 17). 'Thee, agreeable to (Agni)!'. The oblations prescribed for the full-moon sacrifice are a cake on eight potsherds for Agni, and one on eleven potsherds for Agni and Soma: for each of these cakes he takes four handfuls from the earthen [and throws them into the Agnihotra ladle lying on the winnowing basket which he holds with his left hand. *With each of the first three handfuls of each of the two oblations he repeats the above text, whilst the fourth handful is thrown in silently. After the oblation for Agni is taken, he pours it from the ladle into the winnowing basket so as to lie on the southern side; and then takes out the oblation for Agni-Soma, which is afterwards poured into the basket so as to lie north of the first heap]. Kāty. Sr. II, 3. 20-21 and Scholl.

21. He now (whilst seated on the cart) looks towards east, with the text (Vāg. S. I, 11 b): 'May I perceive the light!' For that cart being covered up, its eye is thereby, as it were, affected with evil. Light, moreover, represents the sacrifice, the day, the gods, and the sun; so that he thereby perceives this same (fourfold) light.

22. He then descends (from the cart), with the text (Vāg. S. I, 11 c): 'May those provided with doors stand firm on the earth!' Those provided with doors are the houses: for the houses of the sacrificer might indeed be capable of breaking down behind the back of his Adhvaryu, when he walks forward (from the cart) with the sacrifice, and might crush his (the sacrificer's) family. By this (text), however, he causes them to stand firmly on this earth, so that they do not break down and crush (his family); for this reason he says: 'May those provided with doors stand firm on the earth!' He then walks forward (north of the Gārhapatya fire), with the text (Vāg. S. I, 11 d), 'I move along the wide aerial realm,' the application of which is the same (as before; see par. 4).

23. In the case of one (viz. householder) whose Gārhapatya fire they (the priests) use for cooking oblations, they place the utensils in the Gārhapatya (house); and let him (the Adhvaryu) in that case put (the winnowing basket with the rice) down at the back (or west) side of the Gārhapatya. But in the case of one whose Āhavantya they use for cooking oblations, they place the utensils together in the Āhavantya; and let him in that case put it (the Āhavantya. He the text (Vāg. S. I, I place thee! for

the navel means the centre, and the centre is safe from danger: for this reason he says, 'On the navel of the earth I place thee!' And further, 'In the lap of Aditi (the boundless or inviolable earth)!' for when people guard anything very carefully, they commonly say that 'they, as it were, carried it in their lap;' and this is the reason why he says, 'In the lap of Aditi!' And further, 'O Agni, do thou protect this offering!' whereby he makes this oblation over for protection both to Agni and to this earth: for this reason he says, 'O Agni, do thou protect this offering!'

THIRD BRĀHMAṆA.

1. He now prepares two strainers (pavitra)¹, with the text (Vāg. S. I, 12 a): 'Purifiers (or strainers, pavitra) are ye, and belonging to Vishṇu!' For Vishṇu is the sacrifice; so that he thereby says, 'You belong to the sacrifice.'

2. Two there are of them: for means of cleansing (pavitra) is this (wind) which here ventilates (pavate); and this, it is true, ventilates as one only; but on entering into man, it becomes a forward and a backward one, and they are these two, to wit, the prāṇa (breathing out) and the udāna (breathing up or in)². And as this (clarifying process) takes place

¹ These strainers (or clarifiers) are to consist of two blades of Kusa grass, with unbroken or undecayed tops, and without buds on them; and they must be severed from their roots by means of other Kusa blades, so as to be of equal length (viz. one prāṇa, or span of thumb and fore-finger, long). Kāty. Sr. II, 3, 31.

² Thus Sāyana here takes the terms prāṇa (vāpīṅgalādindī-dvārā bahir nirgaktān prāṇaḥ prāṇ) and udāna (tathaiva dvārā punar antaḥ pravisan pratyāṇ). In Ait. Br. II, 29, and Kāṇḍ. Up. I, 3, 3, prāṇa, apāna, and vyāna are mentioned as the

in accordance with the measure of that (process of breathing), therefore there are two (strainers).

3. There may also be three: for the vyāna (or pervading vital air)¹ is a third (kind of breathing); but in reality there are only two. Having then strained the sprinkling water² with these two (strainers), he sprinkles with it. The reason why he strains it with the two (strainers) is this:

4. Vṛitra in truth lay covering all this (space) which here extends between heaven and earth. And because he lay covering (vṛi) all this, therefore his name is Vṛitra.

5. Him Indra slew. He being slain flowed forth stinking in all directions towards the water; for in every direction lies the ocean. And in consequence of this, some of the waters became disgusted, and, rising higher and higher, flowed over: whence (sprung) these grasses (of which the strainers are made; for they represent the water which was not putrified. With the other (water), however, some

three vital airs: where prāna is taken by Professors Haug and Müller as 'in-breathing' ('respiration' or 'expiration,' Röer), and apāna as 'out-breathing' ('inspiration,' Röer). Five vital airs are generally enumerated (Sat. Br. IX, 2, 2, 5); but theological speculation evidently considered these bodily processes a very convenient source of symbolism, as we find mention made in the Sat. Br. of six (XIV, 1, 3, 32); seven (III, 1, 3, 21; XIII, 1, 7, 2); nine (I, 5, 2, 5); and ten (XI, 6, 3, 7) breaths or vital airs.

¹ 'A combination of the out-breathing and in-breathing;' but as there is no distinction between this kind of breath and the others (combined), two must be considered as the normal number of strainers. Schol.

² He pours water into the Agnihotra ladle (in which some of the awn of the rice remains), and after cleaning it with the two strainers, he sprinkles with it. Kāty. II, 3, 33 seq. The details of this process are given in par. 6 seq.

matter has become mixed up, inasmuch as the putrid *Vṛitra* flowed into it. This he now removes from it by means of these two strainers; whereupon he sprinkles with the (sacrificially) pure water. This is the reason why he strains it through them.

• 6. He strains it, with the text (*Vāg. S. I, 12 b*): 'By the impulse of *Savitṛi* I purify thee with this flawless purifier (or ventilator, *pavitra*), with the rays of the sun!'. For *Savitṛi* is the impeller (*prasavitṛi*) of the gods, so that he strains this (water) as one impelled by *Savitṛi*. 'With this flawless purifier (ventilator, *pavitra*),' he says, because this (wind) which here ventilates (or purifies, *pavate*) is a flawless purifier. 'With the rays of the sun,' he says, because they, the rays of the sun, are certainly purifying; and for this reason he says, 'With the rays of the sun.'

7. Having taken it (the water with the ladle) in his left hand, he makes it spirt upwards with his right hand, and eulogises and glorifies it, with the text (*Vāg. S. I, 12 c*): 'Shining (or divine) waters! ye the first-going, the first-drinking¹ ones!' For the waters are shining; and for this reason he says, 'Shining waters!' 'First-going,' he calls them, because they flow towards the sea and are therefore going in front (or forwards). 'First-drinking,' he calls them, because they are the first that drink of king *Soma*² and are therefore 'drinking first.' And further: 'Forward now lead this sacrifice³,

¹ *Agrepuvaḥ*; *Mahidhara* allows to it the alternative meaning 'first-purifying.'

² 'Because, for the sake of extracting the juice from the *Soma* plants, water is poured on them, so that the water drinks of the juice before the gods do.' *Sây.*

³ I. e. 'carry the sacrifice through without hindrance.' *Mahidh.*

forward the Lord of Sacrifice, the liberal, god-loving Lord of Sacrifice!' whereby he says, 'Well (lead) the sacrifice, well the sacrificer!'

8. And further (Vâg. S. I, 13 a): 'You Indra chose (for his companions) in the battle against Vṛitra!' For Indra, when he was battling with Vṛitra, did choose them (the waters) and with their help he killed him; and for this reason he says, 'You Indra chose in the battle against Vṛitra!'

9. 'You chose Indra in the battle against Vṛitra!' for they, too, chose Indra when he was battling with Vṛitra, and with them he killed him: therefore he says, 'You chose Indra in the battle against Vṛitra!'

10. And further (Vâg. S. I, 13 d): 'Consecrated by sprinkling are ye!' With these words he makes amends to them¹. He then sprinkles the (first) oblation². One and the same meaning applies to the (whole process of) sprinkling, viz. he thereby makes sacrificially pure that (which he sprinkles).

11. He sprinkles, with the text (Vâg. S. I, 13 e): 'Thee, agreeable to Agni, I sprinkle!' Thus for whichever deity the oblation is intended, for that one he thereby renders it sacrificially pure. When he has in the same way as before sprinkled (all) the oblations,—

12. He then sprinkles the sacrificial vessels³,

¹ He, in the first place, sprinkles the sprinkling water in the ladle with itself; and the guilt incurred in the act of consecrating it with itself, that is, with something unconsecrated, is made amends for by the accompanying formula, Sây. Similarly Mahîdhara: 'The unconsecrated (water) cannot consecrate other (water).'

² Before doing so he asks the Brahman's permission (cf. p. 7, note 1), 'O Brahman! shall I sprinkle the oblation?' when the latter, after muttering the mantra, 'Sprinkle the sacrifice! gladden the deities,' &c., gives the permission by 'Ôm! sprinkle!' Paddh. on Kâty. II, 3, 36.

³ According to some authorities the vessels are placed together

with the text (Vāg. S. I, 13 g), 'Be ye pure for the divine work, for the sacrifice to the gods!' for it is for the divine work, the sacrifice to the gods, that he consecrates them. 'Whatever, that belongs to you, the impure have defiled by touching, that I hereby purify for you!' For whatever belonging to them some impure one—either a carpenter or some other impure person—has on this occasion desecrated by touching, that he thereby renders sacrificially pure for them by means of the water; and therefore he says, 'Whatever, that belongs to you, the impure have defiled by touching, that I hereby purify for you'!!

FOURTH BRĀHMAṆA.

1. He now takes the black antelope skin², for completeness of the sacrifice. For once upon a time the sacrifice escaped the gods, and having become a black antelope roamed about. The gods having thereupon found it and stripped it of its skin, they brought it (the skin) away with them.

on one heap, and are then consecrated together by one sprinkling. According to others, each vessel must be consecrated separately. Kāty. Sr. II, 3, 39.

¹ After he has done the sprinkling, he puts the remaining water away in some place where nobody is allowed to walk [as between the *pranītās* and the *Āhavanīya*; or (according to *Āpastamba*) before, or east of, the *Gārhapatya*, since nobody is allowed to pass between the *Gārhapatya* and *Āhavanīya*. The two strainers also remain in the sprinkling water]. Kāty. Sr. II, 3, 40.

² The skin of the black antelope may be regarded as one of the symbols of Brāhmanical worship and civilisation. Thus it is said in *Manu* II, 22-23: 'That which lies between these two mountain ranges (the *Himālaya* and the *Vindhya*), from the eastern to the western ocean, the wise know as *Āryāvarta* (the land of the *Āryas*). Where the black antelope naturally roams about, that should be known as the land suitable for sacrifice; what lies beyond that is the country of the *Mlecchas* (barbarians).'

2. Its white and black hairs represent the *Rik*-verses and the *Sāman*-verses; to wit, the white the *Sāman* and the black the *Rik*; or conversely, the black the *Sāman* and the white the *Rik*. The brown and the yellow ones, on the other hand, represent the *Yagus*-texts.

3. Now this same threefold science is the sacrifice; that manifold form, that (varying) colour of this (science) is what is (represented by) this black antelope skin. For the completeness of the sacrifice (he takes the skin): hence the rite of initiation (for the Soma-sacrifice) is likewise performed on the black antelope skin;—for the completion of the sacrifice: hence it is also used for husking and bruising (the rice) on, in order that nothing of the oblation may get spilt; and that, if any grain or flour should now be spilt on it, the sacrifice would still remain securely established in the sacrifice. For this reason it is used for husking and bruising upon.

4. He thus takes the black antelope skin, with the text (*Vāg. S. I, 14 a*): 'Bliss-bestowing (*sarman*) art thou!' For *karman* ('hide') is the name of that (skin of the) black deer used among men, but *sarman* (bliss) is (that used) among the gods; and for this reason he says, 'Bliss-bestowing art thou!' He shakes it, with the text (*Vāg. S. I, 14 b*), 'Shaken off is the *Rakshas*, shaken off are the enemies!' whereby he repels from it the evil spirits, the *Rakshas*. He shakes it whilst holding it apart from the vessels¹; whereby he shakes off whatever impure matter there may have been on it.

¹ According to some exegetes the *Adhvaryu* himself must step beyond (i.e. aside from) the vessels when he shakes the skin; according to others, he should not move, but only hold the skin

5. He spreads it (on the ground with the hairy side upwards, and) with its neck-part turned to the west¹, with the text (Vāg. S. I, 14 c): 'The skin of Aditi art thou! May Aditi acknowledge thee!' For Aditi is this earth, and whatever is on her, that serves as a skin to her: for this reason he says, 'The skin of Aditi art thou!' And 'may Aditi acknowledge thee!' he says, because one who is related (to another) acknowledges (him). Thereby he establishes a mutual understanding between her and the black antelope skin, (thinking) 'they will not hurt each other.' While it is still being held down with his left hand,—

6. He at once takes the mortar with his right hand, fearing lest the evil spirits, the Rakshas, might rush in here in the meantime. For the priest (brāhmaṇa)² is the repeller of the Rakshas: therefore, whilst it is still being held down with his left hand,—

7. He puts the mortar (on it), with the text (Vāg. S. I, 14 d, e): 'A wooden stone (adri) art thou!'

apart from the vessels, so that no impure matter should fall on them. Some also maintain that the skin should only be shaken once, whilst others think it should be done three times. Cf. Kāty. Sr. II, 4, 2. Schol. *

¹ Special mention is here made of this feature, since as a rule (Kāty. I, 10, 4) the skin is spread with its neck-part turned eastwards. He lays it down on the north side of the sacrificial ground, either west of the utkara (the mound formed by the earth dug out in constructing the altar, and by other rubbish) or exactly north of the Gārhapatya. Schol. on Kāty. II, 4, 3.

² Only a Brāhmaṇa can perform sacrifice. If, as is permitted in certain ceremonies, a Kshatriya or Vaisya officiates, he, as it were, becomes a Brāhmaṇa (and is addressed as such) for the occasion, by means of the dīkshā, or rite of initiation. Cf. Sat. Br. III, 2, 1, 39; XIII, 4, 1, 3.

or 'A broad-bottomed stone (grāvan) art thou!' For, just as there (in the Soma-sacrifice) they press king Soma out with stones (grāvan), thus here also he prepares the oblation (haviryagñā) by means of the mortar and pestle, and the large and small mill-stones¹. Now 'stones (adrayaḥ)' is the common name of these, and therefore he says, 'a stone art thou.' And 'wooden,' he calls it, because this one (the mortar) really is made of wood². Or, he says, 'a broad-bottomed stone (grāvan) art thou,' because it is both a stone and broad-bottomed. He adds: 'May Aditi's skin acknowledge (receive) thee!' whereby he establishes a mutual understanding between it (the mortar) and the black antelope skin, thinking: 'they will not injure each other.'

8. He then pours the (two portions of) rice (from the winnowing basket into the mortar), with the text (Vāg. S. I, 15 a): 'Thou art the body of Agni, thou the releaser of speech!' For it is (material for) sacrifice, and hence (by being offered in the fire) it becomes Agni's body. 'The releaser of speech,' he adds, because he now releases that speech which he restrained when he was about to take the rice (from the cart). The reason why he now releases his speech, is that the sacrifice has now obtained a firm footing in the mortar, that it has become diffused; and for this reason he says, 'the releaser of speech!'

¹ Here, as in I, 5, 2, 11 (haviryagñe 'tha saumye 'dhvare), we have the simple division of the Śrauta-sacrifices into oblations (of ghee, milk, rice, barley, &c.) and libations (of Soma). More usually the parubandhu, or animal-sacrifice, is added as a third division. See also I, 7, 2, 10.

² The mortar (ulūkhala) and pestle (musala) are to be made of very hard wood, viz. both of varāṇa wood (Cratæga Roxburghii), or the mortar of palāsa wood (Butea Frondosa), and the pestle of

9. Should he, however (by some accident), utter any human sound before this time, let him in that case mutter some *Rik* or *Yagus*-text addressed to *Vishṇu*¹; for *Vishṇu* is the sacrifice, so that he thereby again obtains a hold on the sacrifice, and penance is thereby done by him (for not keeping silent). He adds: 'For the pleasure of the gods I seize thee!' for the oblation is taken with the intention 'that it shall gladden the gods.'

10. He now takes the pestle, with the text (*Vāg. S. I, 14 b*), 'A large, wooden stone art thou!' for it is a large stone, and made of wood, too. He thrusts it down, with the text (*Vāg. S. I, 14 c*), 'Do thou prepare this oblation for the gods!² do thou prepare it thoroughly!' thereby saying, 'Get this oblation ready for the gods! get it quite ready!'

11. He then calls the *Havishkṛit*³ (preparer of the sacrificial food), 'Havishkṛit, come hither! Havishkṛit, come hither!' The *Havishkṛit*⁴ no doubt is speech, so that he thereby frees speech from

khadira wood (*Acacia Catechu*). The former is to be of the height of the knee, and the latter three *aratnis* (cubits) long. Schol. on *Kāty. I, 3, 36*; M. Müller, *Die Tottenbestattung bei den Brahmanen*, *Zeitsch. der D. Morg. Ges.* IX, p. xl.

¹ *Kāty. Sr. II, 2, 6-7* lays down the general rule, that if the *Brahman* or *Adhvaryu* (and according to some, the sacrificer also) by some slip were to utter any sound during the time for which restraint of speech (*vāg-yama*) is enjoined, they must atone for the transgression by muttering some mantra addressed to *Vishṇu*, such as the couplet (*Vāg. S. V, 38, 41*), 'Widely, O *Vishṇu*, stride!' &c., or the formula (*ib. I, 4*), 'O *Vishṇu*, preserve the sacrifice!'

² Or 'for the god,' 'for the goddess,' as the case may be.

³ Or, he pronounces the *havishkṛit* formula, see next note. According to *Kāty. Sr. II, 4, 13* he calls out three separate times.

⁴ *Havishkṛit* denotes not only the person that prepares the oblation, but also this formula by which that person is called.

restraint. And speech, moreover, represents sacrifice¹, so that he thereby again calls the sacrifice to him.

12. Now there are four different forms of this² call, viz. 'come hither (ehi)!' in the case of a Brāhman; 'approach (āgahi)!' and 'hasten hither (ādava)!' in the case of a Vaisya and a member of the military caste (rāganyabandhu³); and 'run hither (ādhāva)!' in that of a Sūdra. On this occasion he uses the call that belongs to a Brāhman, because that one is best adapted for a sacrifice, and is besides the most gentle: let him therefore say, 'come hither (ehi)!'

13. Now in former times it was no other than the wife (of the sacrificer) who rose at this (call, to act) as Havishkṛit; therefore now also (she or) some one (priest)⁴ rises in answer to this call. And at the time when he (the Adhvaryu) calls the Havishkṛit, one of the priests⁴ beats the two mill-stones.

¹ Viz. in the shape of the sacrificial formulas.

² This inversion of the order of the second (or Kshatriya) and third (or Vaisya) castes is rather strange. The Sūtras of Bhāradv., Āpast., and Hirany. assign the same formulas to the several castes as here. Cf. Hillebrandt, Neu- und Vollmondsopfer, p. 29.

³ According to the Schol. on Kāty. Sr. II, 4, 13, either the wife of the patron or the Āgnidhra (the priest who kindles the fire) acts as Havishkṛit. Mahidh. on Vāg. S. I, 15 includes the patron (sacrificer) himself, unless yagamānaḥ patnī is a misprint for yagamānapatnī. According to Āpastamba, 'either a maid-servant or the wife grinds; or the wife threshes and the Sūdra woman grinds' (cf. Schol. on Kāty. Sr. II, 5, 7). Similarly Bhāradv. and Hirany.; cf. Hillebrandt, p. 38, n. 2. Similar cases of differences between the ritualistic practices of the present time and those of former times are very frequently alluded to in the ritualistic books; and are of especial interest, as they afford some insight into the gradual development of the sacrificial ceremonial. Cf. Weber, Ind. Stud. X, 156 seq.

⁴ Viz. the Āgnidhra, whilst seated north of the expansion

The reason why they produce this discordant noise, is this :

• 14. Manu was in possession of a bull¹. Into him had entered an Asura-killing, foe-killing voice ; and by his snorting and roaring the Asuras and Rakshas were continually being crushed. Thereupon the Asuras said to one another : ' Evil, alas ! this bull inflicts upon us ! how can we possibly destroy him ? ' Now Kilāṭa and Ākuli were the two priests (brahman) of the Asuras.

15. These two said, ' God-fearing, they say, is Manu : let us two then ascertain ! ' They then went to him and said : ' Manu ! we will sacrifice for thee ! ' He said : ' Wherewith ? ' They said : ' With this bull ! ' He said : ' So be it ! ' On his (the bull's) being killed the voice went from him.

16. It entered into Manāvi, the wife of Manu ; and when they heard her speak, the Asuras and Rakshas were continually being crushed. Thereupon the Asuras said to one another : ' Hereby even greater evil is inflicted on us, for the human voice speaks more ! ' Kilāṭa and Ākuli then said : ' God-fearing, they say, is Manu : let us then ascertain ! ' They went to him and said : ' Manu ! we will sacrifice for thee ! ' He said : ' Wherewith ? '

(vihāra) of the fires ; he strikes with the wedge (samyā, a stick of khadira wood, usually some six or eight inches long, used for placing under the lower grindstone on the north side, so as to make it incline towards east) twice the lower and once the upper grindstone. Schol. on Kāty. Sr. II, 4, 15.

¹ This bull of Manu has been compared by Dr. Kuhn (*Zeitschrift für Vergl. Sprachf.* IV, 91 seq.) with the Minotaur of the Greeks. Cf. also J. Muir, *Original Sanskrit Texts*, vol. i. p. 188 seq. ; and Professor Weber's *Translation of the first Adhyāya*, *Ind. Streifen*, I, p. 50.

They said: 'With this thy wife!' He said: 'So be it!' And on her being killed that voice went from her.

17. It entered into the sacrifice itself, into the sacrificial vessels; and thence those two (Asura priests) were unable to expel it. This same Asura-killing, foe-killing voice sounds forth (from the mill-stones when they are beaten with the wedge). And for whomsoever that knows this, they produce this discordant noise on the present occasion, his enemies are rendered very miserable.

18. He beats the mill-stones with the wedge, with the text (Vâg. S. I, 16 a): 'A honey-tongued cock (kukku/a¹) art thou (O wedge)!' For honey-tongued indeed was he (the bull) for the gods, and poison-tongued for the Asuras: hence he thereby says: 'What thou wert for the gods, that be thou for us!' He adds: 'Sap and strength do thou call hither! with thy help may we conquer in every battle!' In these words there is nothing that is obscure.

19. Thereupon² he (the Adhvaryu) takes the winnowing basket, with the text (Vâg. S. I, 14 b): 'Rain-grown art thou!' For rain-grown it is indeed, whether it be made of reeds or of cane or of rushes, since it is the rain that makes these grow.

¹ Mahidhara offers the following etymological derivation of this word: 1. from *kya kva*, 'where? where?' ['He who, wishing to kill the Asuras, roams about everywhere, crying "where, where are the Asuras?"']; 2. from *kuk*, 'a hideous noise,' and *ku*, 'to spread;' or 3. one who, in order to frighten the Asuras, utters a sound resembling that of the bird called *kukku/a* (cock). Professor Weber translates it by 'Brüller' (roarer, crier).

² Viz. when the rice has been husked (by the *Havishkrî* in the mortar). Schol. on Kâty. Sr. II, 4, 16.

20. He then pours out the (threshed) rice (from the mortar into the winnowing basket), with the text (Vāg. S. I, 16 c): 'May the rain-grown acknowledge (receive) thee!' For rain-grown also are these (grains), whether they be rice or barley, since it is the rain that makes them grow. By these words he establishes an understanding between them and the winnowing basket, in the hope 'that they will not injure each, other.'

21. He now winnows (the rice), with the text (Vāg. S. I, 16 d): 'Cleared off is the Rakshas! cleared off are the evil-doers!' The husks (which have fallen on the ground) he throws away¹, with the text (Vāg. S. I, 16 e), 'Expelled is the Rakshas!' for those evil spirits, the Rakshas, he thereby expels.

22. He then separates (the husked grains from the unhusked), with the text (Vāg. S. I, 16 f): 'May the wind separate you!' For it is that wind (which is produced by the winnowing) which here purifies (or blows, pavate); and it is the wind that separates everything here (on earth) that undergoes separation: therefore it also separates here those (two kinds of grain) from each other. Now when they are undergoing this process, and whilst he is separating² (the husked, so as to drop them into a pot),—

¹ He puts them into the central one of the pots herds for the Agni cake, and throws them on the utkara, or heap of rubbish (cf. p. 25, note 1). Schol. on Kāty. Sr. II, 4, 19. Before he proceeds with his work, he has to touch water; cf. p. 2, note 2.

² He separates them whilst holding the mouth of the winnowing basket sideways or horizontally, and makes the husked ones fall into the pot. Schol. on Kāty. Sr. II, 4, 20. According to the Pad-dhati, he now puts the unhusked once more into the mortar and threshes them again, and then pouring them back into the basket repeats the same process.

23. He addresses (those in the pot) thus (Vāg. S. I, 16 g): 'May the divine Savitrī, the golden-handed, receive you with a flawless¹ hand!' By this he says: 'May they be well received!' He then cleans them thrice²; for threefold is the sacrifice.

24. Here now some clean them with the formula: 'For the gods get clean! for the gods get clean!' But let him not do so: for this oblation is intended for some particular deity; and if he were to say, 'For the gods get clean!' he would make it one intended for all the deities, and would thereby raise a quarrel among the deities. Let him therefore do the cleaning silently!

SECOND ADHYĀYA. FIRST BRĀHMAṆA.

1. Now the one (viz. the Āgnidhra) puts the potsherds on (the Gārhapatya fire); the other (viz. the Adhvaryu) the two mill-stones (on the black antelope skin): these two acts are done simultaneously. The reason why they are done simultaneously (is this):

2. The head of this sacrifice is (represented by) the rice-cake³: for those potsherds (kapāla), no doubt, are to this (rice-cake) what the skull bones (kapāla) are to the head, and the ground rice is

¹ Viz. with the fingers joined together so as not to allow any grains to fall to the ground. Mahidh.

² By removing the minute husks and grains (kaṇa) he makes the husked grains (tandula) free from dust and shiny (this is apparently done by repeated winnowings). Schol. on Kāty. Sr. II, 4, 22.

³ This idea was no doubt suggested by the derivation of the word puroḍāś (rice-cake), from puras, 'before, in front, at the head,' and dās, 'to offer' (see I, 6, 2, 5); the double meaning of kapāla (shell or cup and skull) being made use of to complete the simile.

nothing else than the brain. Now this (combination of skull and brain) certainly forms one limb: 'Let us put that (which is) one together! Let us make it one!' thus they think; and therefore the two acts are done simultaneously.

* 3. He who puts the potsherds on (the fire), takes the shovelling-stick (upavesha), with the text (Vāg. S. I, 17 a): 'Bold (dhrīshṭi) art thou!' For since with it he, as it were, attacks the fire boldly, therefore it is called dhrīshṭi¹. And since with it he touches (the coals) at the sacrifice, since with it he attends to (upa-vish) this (Gārhapatya fire), therefore it is called upavesha.

4. With it he shifts the coals to the fore-part² (of the khara or hearth-mound), with the text (Vāg. S. I, 17 b): 'O fire! cast off the fire that eateth raw flesh! drive away the corpse-eating one!' For the raw flesh-eating (fire) is the one with which men cook what they eat; and the corpse-eating one is that on which they burn (the dead) man: these two he thereby expels from it (the Gārhapatya).

5. He now pulls toward himself³ one coal, with the text (Vāg. S. I, 17 c): 'Bring hither that (fire) which maketh offerings to the gods!' He thinks: 'On that (fire), which makes offerings to the gods, we

¹ The upavesha, or dhrīshṭi, is made of fresh varāṇa or palāśa wood, a cubit (aratni) or span (vitasti) long; one of its ends having the shape of a hand (hastākṛiti), to serve as a coal shovel; cf. Mahīdh. and Schol. on Kāty. I, 3, 36; II, 4, 26. Dhrīshṭi is apparently derived from the root dhrīsh, 'to be bold.'

² The burning coals have been hitherto lying on the western side of the Gārhapatya hearth, and as this side, which has been well heated by this time, will be used for the potsherds to be put on, he shifts the coals to the eastern or fore-part of the hearth.

³ Viz. to the centre of the cooking-place.

will cook the oblations! on that one we will perform the sacrifice!' and for this reason he pulls (one of the coals) toward himself.

6. On it he places the central potsherd¹. For

¹ In Yâgñika Deva's commentary on Kâty. II, 4/37, full explanations are given regarding the manner of arranging the potsherds (kapâlas) on which the sacrificial cakes are spread, and which vary in number and shape. The Adhvaryu is first to describe a circle, the diameter of which is six aṅgulas (an aṅgula or thumb's breadth=about $\frac{3}{4}$ inch). This circle he then divides into three parts by drawing across, from west to east, two parallel lines at a distance of two aṅgulas from one another, so as to make the two outer (or southern and northern) segments of equal size. The middle division he then covers with three equal square potsherds (measuring two aṅgulas on each side), by laying down first the central one, then the one behind or west of it, and lastly the front or eastern one. He then lays down another (the fourth) south of the first or central one; after which he divides the still remaining potsherds equally between the southern and the northern segments, or, in case of that number being uneven, assigns the odd potsherd to the southern division. Thus, in the present case, where in the first place a cake on eight potsherds is to be offered to Agni; after laying down the three intermediate ones and the fourth, or central one of the southern division, he divides the remaining four equally between the southern and northern segments, beginning, in laying them down, in the south-east corner, and moving around from right to left, so as to end in the north-east. Similarly in the case of the cake on eleven potsherds for Agnīshomau, after laying down the first four potsherds, he assigns four of the remaining seven to the southern, and three to the northern division. Thus with cakes requiring an uneven number of potsherds, the number of those of the southern division exceeds that of the northern one by two; and in the case of an even number, by one only. This is the rule applying to cakes requiring at least six potsherds. When one potsherd only is required, it is to be of the size of a hand; when two, they are to form a circle divided into two equal parts by a line drawn from south to north; when three, the circle is divided into three sections from south to north; when four or five, it is divided into two halves from west to east; and in the one case three potsherds are placed in the southern and one (of half-moon shape) in the northern half; in the other case three in the northern and two in the southern division. The potsherds,

the gods, when they were performing sacrifice, were in fear of a disturbance from the Asuras and Rakshas. They were afraid lest those evil spirits, the Rakshas, might rise from below them. Now Agni (fire) is the repeller of the Rakshas, and for this reason he thus places (the potsherd) on it. The reason why it is just this (coal) and no other (on which the potsherd is put) is, that this one, having been consecrated by the (above) sacrificial formula, is sacrificially pure: that is why he places the central potsherd on it.

7. He puts it on, with the text (Vāg. S. I, 17 d): 'Thou art firm; make thou the earth firm!' For under the form of the earth he renders this same (sacrifice) firm; by it he chases away the spiteful enemy. He adds: 'Thee, devoted to the brahman, devoted to the kshatra, devoted to the (sacrificer's) kinsmen, I put on for the destruction of the enemy!' Manifold, indeed, are the prayers for blessing in the sacrificial texts (yagus): by this one he prays for the priestly and military orders, those two towers of strength (vīrye, energies)¹. 'Thee, devoted to the (sacrificer's) kinsmen,' he says, because kinsmen mean wealth, and wealth he thereby prays for. When he says, 'I put thee on for the destruction of the enemy,' whether or not he wishes to exorcise, let him say, 'for the destruction of so

though mostly irregular in shape, must always exactly fit one another, so as not to leave any space between. This is effected by rubbing the edges. The cake itself is to be of the shape of a tortoise; the convex shield, or carapace, of the latter consisting of plates arranged in a somewhat similar way as the potsherds of most cakes, viz. in a central (dorsal) and two lateral sets.

¹ For special prayers for the two highest castes, in the Vāgas. Samh., cf. Weber, Ind. Stud. X, 27.

and so!' The moment it (the potsherd) has been put down (and while it is still being touched) with the (fore-)finger of his left hand,—

8. He seizes a (second) coal, lest the evil spirits, the Rakshas, should in the meantime rush in here. For the Brāhman is the repeller of the Rakshas¹: hence, the moment it (the potsherd) has been put down (and while it is still being touched) with the finger of his left hand,—

9. He pushes the coal on it, with the text (Vāg. S. I, 18 a): 'Accept, O Agni, this holy work (brahman)²!' He says this, lest the evil spirits, the Rakshas, should rush in here before; for Agni is the repeller of the Rakshas: this is the reason why he pushes it on (the potsherd).

10. He then puts on that (potsherd) which is (to stand) behind (or west of the first or central one), with the text (Vāg. S. I, 18 b): 'A support art thou! make firm the aerial region!' Under the form of the atmosphere he makes this (sacrifice) firm; by this he chases away the spiteful enemy. He adds: 'Thee, devoted to the brahman, devoted to the kshatra, devoted to the (sacrificer's) kinsmen, I put on for the destruction of the enemy!'

11. He then puts on that one which is (to stand) before (i. e. east of the first potsherd), with the text (Vāg. S. I, 18 c): 'A stay art thou! do thou make firm the sky!' Under the form of the sky he makes this same (sacrifice) firm; by it he chases away the spiteful enemy. He adds: 'Thee, devoted to the brahman, devoted to the kshatra, devoted to the kinsmen, I put on for the destruction of the enemy!'

¹ Cf. I, 1, 4, 6.

² Mahādhara admits the alternative interpretation, 'Receive (me) the priest!'

12. He now puts on the one that is (to stand) on the right (i.e. south of the first), with the text (Vāg. S. I, 18 d): 'For all the regions I put thee on!' What fourth (world) there is or is not beyond these (three) worlds, by that indeed he thereby chases away the spiteful enemy. Uncertain, no doubt, is what fourth (world) there is or is not beyond these (three) worlds, and uncertain also are all those regions; for this reason he says, 'For all the regions I put thee on!' The remaining potsherds he puts on¹ either silently, or with the text (Vāg. S. I, 18 e): 'Layer-forming are ye! heap-forming are ye!'

13. He then covers them over with (hot) coals, whilst muttering the text (Vāg. S. I, 18 f): 'May ye be heated with the heat of the Bhrigus and

¹ Viz. dividing them in the manner explained at p. 34, note 1, and beginning (south)-east, and moving around from left to right (i.e. following the course of the sun). Mr. Ralph Griffith (Translation of the Rāmāyan, I, p. 90) has compared this Hindu rite of *pradakshina* or *dakshinikarana* with the Gaelic *deasil*, as described in the following passage of Sir W. Scott's *The Two Drovers*: "'But it is little I would care for the food that nourishes me, or the fire that warms me, or for God's blessed sun itself, if aught but weel should happen to the grandson of my father. So let me walk the *deasil* round you, that you may go safe out into the far foreign land, and come safe home.'" Robin Oig stopped, half embarrassed, half laughing, and signing to those near that he only complied with the old woman to soothe her humour. In the meantime she traced around him, with wavering steps, the propitiation, which some have thought has been derived from the Druidical mythology. It consists, as is well known, in the person, who makes the *deasil*, walking three times round the person who is the object of the ceremony, taking care to move according to the course of the sun.' Cf. note at p. 45. Note also the etymological connection between *dakshina* and *deiseil* (Old Ir. *dessel*, from *dess*, Gael. *deas*, south or right side). For the corresponding rite (*dextratio*) at the Roman marriage ceremonies see Rosbach, *Römische Ehe*, pp. 315, 316; Weber, *Ind. Stud.* V, p. 221.

Āṅgiras¹ for it is indeed the brightest light, that of the **Bhr̥gu**s and **Āṅgiras**. He covers them with the view that 'they shall be well heated.'

14. Now he² who puts the two mill-stones on (the black antelope skin), (in the first place) takes up the black antelope skin, with the text (*Vāg. S. I, 19*): 'Bliss-bestowing art thou!' He shakes it, with the text (*ib.*): 'Shaken off is the Rakshas, shaken off are the enemies!' the import and application of which is the same (as above, I, 1, 4, 4). He spreads it (on the ground) with the neck-part turned towards west, whilst muttering the text (*ib.*): 'The skin of Aditi (the inviolate or boundless earth) art thou! May Aditi acknowledge (receive) thee!' the import (of this formula) being the same (as before, I, 1, 4, 5).

15. He then puts the lower mill-stone on it, with the text (*Vāg. S. I, 19*): 'A rock-bowl art thou! May the skin of Aditi acknowledge thee!' for it is a bowl (*dhishanā*) and a rock too; and by saying, 'May the skin of Aditi acknowledge thee,' he establishes an understanding between it and the black antelope skin, so that 'they will not hurt each other.' This one (the lower mill-stone) represents the earth.

16. He now puts upon (the west side of) it the wedge³ with its point turned towards north, whilst

¹ The old families of the *Bhr̥gu*s and *Āṅgiras* are frequently mentioned together, and often also in conjunction with the *Atharvans*: it is indeed to these three families that the native authorities attribute the texts and ritual of the *Atharva-veda*, or fourth Veda, which is generally referred to in the later Vedic writings under the designation *Atharvāṅgirasas*. It is probable that the *Bhr̥gu-Āṅgiras* in the above formula of the *Vāgas. Samhitā* are intended as equivalent to the latter term. Cf. Weber, *Omina et Portenta*, p. 346.

² Viz. the *Adhvaryu*; cf. I, 2, 1, 1.

³ According to the corresponding rule of *Kātyāyana* (II, 5, 4)

muttering the text (Vāg. S. I, 19): 'The stay of the sky art thou!' that is to say, it represents the atmosphere; for by means of the atmospheric region those two, the sky and the earth, are firmly kept asunder; and for this reason he says, 'The stay of the sky art thou!'

17. He then puts the upper mill-stone on (the lower one), with the text (Vāg. S. I, 19): 'A rock-born bowl, art thou! May the rock acknowledge thee!' For this one being smaller is, as it were, the daughter (of the lower mill-stone)¹; for this reason he calls it 'rock-born.' 'May the rock acknowledge thee!' he says, because one of the same kin acknowledges (receives the other): thereby he establishes an understanding between those two mill-stones, thinking 'they will not hurt one another!' This one, as it were, represents the sky; (or) the two mill-stones are, as it were, the two jaws, and the wedge is the tongue: that is why he beats (the mill-stones) with the wedge², for it is with the tongue that one speaks.

18. He now pours the rice on (the lower stone), with the text (Vāg. S. I, 20): 'Grain (dhānyam) art thou! do thou gratify (dhi)³ the gods!' for it is

and to his commentators (and Mahidhara on Vāg. S. I, 19) and the Black Yagur-veda, he does not lay the wedge on the lower mill-stone, but inserts it under the west or back-part of the stone, so as to make the latter incline towards east and to steady it.

¹ In the Gobhiliśya *Gṛhya-sūtra* II, 1, 16 the upper stone is similarly called 'the son or child' of the lower one [*drishatputra*], which the editor, Kandrakānta, interprets as '*drishad* and its son;' or optionally, 'the son of the *drishad*.' Cf. Weber, *Ind. Stud.* V, p. 305 note.

² See I, 1, 4, 13.

³ Mahidhara derives *dhānya* from the root *dhi*; and apparently allows to it here the double meaning 'corn or grain,' and 'that which satisfies or pleases.'

grain; and it is with the intention 'that it may gratify the gods' that the rice-oblation is taken.

19. He then grinds it, with the text (Vāg. S. I, 20): 'For out-breathing (I grind) thee! for in-breathing thee! for through-breathing (pervading vital air) thee! May I impart a long duration to the life (of the sacrificer)!' He pours it (the ground rice on the skin), with the text (ib.): 'May the divine Savitṛi, the golden-handed, receive thee with a flawless hand!' 'For his (the sacrificer's) eye (I look at) thee!'

20. The reason why he thus grinds it, is that the sacrificial food of the gods is living, is *amṛita* (ambrosia, or not dead) for the immortals. Now with the mortar and pestle, and with the two mill-stones they kill this rice-offering (*haviryagñā*).

21. When he now says: 'For out-breathing thee! for in-breathing thee!' he thereby again imparts out-breathing and in-breathing (to it), and by saying 'for through-breathing thee!' he imparts through-breathing (to it). By 'may I impart a long duration to the life!' he bestows life on it. By 'may the divine Savitṛi, the golden-handed, receive thee with a flaw-

¹ On the three kinds of breathing, see I, 3, 2-3.

² According to Kātyāyana (II, 5, 7) and Mahidhara, this last formula ('May I, &c.') should be joined to the one that follows, and pronounced by the Adhvaryu whilst he pours the ground rice on the skin. Mahidhara interprets it thus: 'I put thee, (O rice!) on the black antelope skin) for (increasing) the life (of the sacrificer) with a view to a long continuance (of the sacrificial work);' or 'I place thee along the long expanse (i.e. the skin) for thy (the rice's) long life!'

³ See I, 1, 4, 23.

⁴ Thus, according to Kāty. or Mahidh., whilst he looks at the ground rice on the skin.

less hand!' he says: 'May they be well received!' By 'for the eye thee!' he bestows eye-sight on it. Now these (attributes) are those of a living being; and thus that sacrificial food for the gods is indeed living, is a *mṛita* (ambrosia, or not dead) for the immortals. This is the reason why he thus grinds (the rice). (Whilst) they are grinding the (ground) grains¹, (and whilst) they are heating the potsherds,—

22. Some one² pours clarified butter (into the *āgyasthāli*, or butter-pot). Now whatever oblation, in being taken, is announced to a (particular) deity, that belongs to the respective deity, that he takes with a special prayer; but in taking this oblation, to wit, the butter, he does not announce it to any particular deity, and therefore takes it with an undefined formula, viz. with (*Vāg. S. I, 20*): 'Juice of the great ones art thou!' For 'the great ones' some (take to be) a name for the cows; and their juice indeed it is: for this reason he says, 'The juice of the great ones art thou!' And thus, moreover, is some of that (butter) taken with a sacrificial formula: and for this reason also he says, 'The juice of the great ones art thou!'

¹ *Pimshanti pish/āni*; the grinding of the ground or grinding of flour (*pish/a-peśhana*) is a common expression in later Sanskrit for doing a useless work ('carrying owls to Athens,' or 'coals to Newcastle'). In the present passage, however, the phrase has to be understood, according to Śāyana, as meaning 'whilst they (the sacrificer's people) carry on the work of grinding begun by the Adhvaryu.'

² The *Āgnīdhra* or somebody else, according to Śāyana; but according to the Schol. on *Kāty. II, 5, 9*, it is done by the sacrificer himself, who thereupon prepares the *veda* or bunch of sacrificial grass, tied in the middle, and cut straight at each end, and used for sweeping, &c. Cf. *Kāty. I, 3, 21-22*; *II, 5, 9*.

SECOND BRĀHMAṆA.

1. He pours (the ground rice) into that which contains the strainers—viz. into a dish (pātri) on which he has laid the two strainers—with the text (Vāg. S. I, 21): 'At the impulse of the divine Savitri I pour thee out, with the arms of the Asvins, with the hands of Pūshan!' The import of this formula is the same (as before, I, 1, 2, 17).

2. He now sits down somewhere inside the altar (vedi)¹. Then some one (viz. the Āgnīdhra) comes with the kneading-water² and brings it to him. He (the Adhvaryu) receives it through the strainers, with the text (Vāg. S. I, 21): 'Let the waters mingle with the plants!' for thereby the water unites with the plants, viz. with the ground rice,—'The plants with the sap!' for the plants thereby unite with the sap; viz. that ground rice with the water, for water is their sap,—'The shining (or wealthy ones) with the moving!' for the shining ones are the waters, and the moving ones are the plants, and these two are thereby mixed together,—'Let the sweet mingle with the sweet!' whereby he says, 'let the savoury be mixed with the savoury!'

3. He then mixes (the two) together, with the text (Vāg. S. I, 22): 'For generation I unite thee!' for, in order that it (the dough or the sacrificial cake prepared from it) may bring offspring to the sacri-

¹ 'He sits down (with the dish) either behind the cooking fire, or inside the altar,' Kāty. II, 5, 11. According to Mahādeva, the former alternative is the one favoured by the Kānvas.

² According to Kāty. II, 5, 1, the kneading-water (or mixing-water, upasarganī) has been put on the (Gārhapatya) fire (by the Agnīdh) at the time of, or previously to, the spreading of the black antelope skin.

ficer, for his prosperity, for food, and so on,—for these reasons he mixes them together. And he also mixes them together with the intention of placing it (the dough) on (the fire): hence, in order that it (the sacrificial cake) may be produced over the fire, for that purpose also he mixes them together.

4. He now divides it into two halves, if there be two oblations: at the full-moon sacrifice there really are two oblations. He then touches them,—where (by so doing) he would not again mix (the two) together,—with the (respective) formulas (Vāg. S. I, 22): 'This to Agni!' 'This to Agni-Soma!' Separately indeed they take that sacrificial food (from the cart) in the first place¹; then they thresh it together, then they grind it together, then he again divides it: for this reason he thus touches (them separately). The one (the Adhvaryu) now places the cake over (the fire), the other (the Āgnīdhra) puts the clarified butter on:

5. These two acts are done simultaneously. The reason why these two acts are done simultaneously is that one half of the body of the sacrifice no doubt is that butter, and the other half is this rice-offering. 'That half and this half, these two let us now take to the fire!' thus (they think): for this reason those two acts are done simultaneously, and thus this body of the sacrifice is joined together.

6. That one (the Āgnīdhra) puts the butter on, with the text (Vāg. S. I, 22): 'For sap—thee!' When he says 'for sap thee!' he says it for the sake of rain; therefore he takes it off again, with the text (Vāg. S. I, 30): 'For juice—thee!' What juice is

¹ See I, 1, 2, 17 seq., especially p. 17, note 2.

(by the plants) from the rain, for that he says this.

7. Now he (the Adhvaryu) puts on (*adhi-vṛig*) the cake, with the text (*Vāg. S. I, 22*): 'Heat (or a hot vessel, *gharma*) art thou!' whereby he makes it (a means of) sacrifice, and puts it on in the same way as if he were putting the (*pravargya*) cauldron (*gharma*)¹ on,—'Life-sustaining (*visvāyus*)!' he adds, whereby he obtains life (for the sacrificer).

8. He spreads it (over the respective potsherds), with the text (*Vāg. S. I, 22*): 'Spread widely, thou wide-spreading one!' whereby he causes it to spread. He adds: 'May thy Lord of Sacrifice spread widely (prosper)!' Lord of Sacrifice, namely, is the sacrificer: hence it is for the sacrificer that he thereby prays for blessing.

9. Let him not make it too broad; for he would make it a human (profane, common cake), if he were to make it (too) broad. Unlucky for (or, excluded from) the sacrifice indeed is that one, to wit, the common (cake). 'That I may not do anything that

¹ *Gharma*, literally 'heat,' is also the technical term for a kind of cauldron (also called *mahāvira*) used at the *Pravargya* ceremony, a preparatory rite of the *Soma*-sacrifice: the empty cauldron is there put on the fire, and when thoroughly heated (whence its name), fresh milk is poured into it. The technical phrase for putting on the cauldron is *pra-vṛig*, from which *pravargya* is derived; and the same verb, though with a different preposition (*viz. adhi-vṛig*), being technically used for the putting on of the sacrificial cake, this verbal coincidence has probably suggested this connection of the two ceremonies, there being a constant tendency to establish some kind of relation between ordinary offerings and the *Soma*-sacrifice, as the most solemn one; cf. III, 4, 4, 1; X, 2, 5, 3 seq.; *Ait. Br. I, 18* seq. Previously to the spreading of the cake, the cinders are swept off from the potsherds with the grass-brush (*veda*), *Hilleb. p. 41, note 7.*

is unlucky at the sacrifice,' thinks, and for that reason he should not make it too broad.

10. And some now say: 'He should make it of the size of a horse's hoof!' But who knows how large is a horse's hoof? Let him make it of such a size as in his own mind he does not think would be too broad.

11. He then touches it over with water, either once or three times: for whatever in this (rice-offering) they either injure or tear asunder in the threshing or grinding of it, that—water being (a means of) expiation (or purification)—he thereby expiates with water, that is, with (the means of) expiation; that he thereby makes good: for this reason he touches it over with water.

12. He touches it over, with the text (Vāg. S. I, 22): 'May the fire not injure thy skin!' for on the fire he is now going to heat it: 'May that (fire) not injure thy skin!' this is what he thereby says.

13. He now carries fire round it¹. By this he encloses it with an unbroken fence, lest the evil

¹ The paryagnikaranam consists in performing pradakṣhinā (see p. 37, note 1) on an object whilst holding a fire-brand or burning coal; or (according to the Paddhati) in moving one's hand, which holds the burning coal, round the oblation, from left to right. According to Kāty. II. 5. 22, the Adhvaryu does so on the present occasion, whilst muttering the formula, 'Removed is the Rakshas! removed are the enemies!' (Taitt. S. I, 1, 8, 1.) This practice of paryagnikaranam may be compared with the carrying of fire round houses, fields, boats, &c., on the last night of the year, a custom which, according to Mr. A. Mitchell (The Past in the Present, p. 145), still prevails in some parts of Scotland, and which he thinks is probably a survival of some form of fire-worship, and intended to secure fertility and general prosperity. The obvious meaning of the ceremony would seem to be the warding off of the dark and mischievous powers of nature.

spirits, the Rakshas, should seize upon it; for Agni (fire) is the repeller of the Rakshas: this is the reason why he carries fire round it.

14. He bakes¹ it, with 'the text (Vāg. S. I, 22): 'Let the divine (or God) Savitri bake thee!' for it is not a man that bakes it, but a god it is: therefore it is the God Savitri that bakes it². He adds: 'In the highest heaven!' He means to say 'among the gods,' when he says 'in the highest heaven.' He touches it: 'I will ascertain whether it is done!' thus (he thinks, and) for that reason he touches it.

15. He touches it, with the text (Vāg. S. I, 23): 'Be not afraid! shrink not!' He thereby says: 'Do not thou be afraid, do not thou shrink, because I, a man, touch thee that art not human!'

16. When it is done, he covers it over (with hot ashes): 'Lest the evil spirits, the Rakshas, should espy it,' thus (he thinks); and 'Lest it should lie, as it were, naked and despoiled!' thus also (he thinks):—that is the reason why he covers it over.

17. He covers it over, with the text (Vāg. S. I, 23): 'May the sacrifice not be liable to languish, nor the sacrificer's race liable to languish!' 'That the sacrifice or the sacrificer may not languish after this, when I cover this over,' thus (he thinks, and) for this reason he covers it over in this manner (i. e. with the above text).

¹ On the upper side it is baked by burning straw put on or held over it, whereby it takes a crust (ivaḥ, 'skin'). Schol. on Kāty. II, 5, 23.

² With the name of no other God the epithet deva ('shining,' 'God') is so frequently used as with that of Savitri: hence, according to the author's reasoning, it is he that must be intended, whenever a god not otherwise specified is alluded to.

18. He then pours out for the Āptya deities the water with which the dish has been rinsed and that in which he has washed his fingers¹. The reason why he pours it out for the Āptyas (is this):

THIRD BRĀHMANA.

THE PREPARATION OF THE ALTAR.

1. Fourfold, namely, was Agni (fire) at first. Now that Agni whom they at first chose for the office of Hotri priest passed away. He also whom they chose the second time passed away. He also whom they chose the third time passed away². Thereupon the one who still constitutes the fire in our own time, concealed himself from fear. He entered into the waters. Him the gods discovered and brought forcibly away from the waters. He spat upon the waters, saying, 'Bespitten are ye who are an unsafe place of refuge, from whom they take me away against my will!' Thence sprung the Āptya deities, Trita, Dvita, and Ekata.

2. They roamed about with Indra, even as now adays a Brāhman follows in the train of a king. When he slew Visvarūpa, the three-headed son of Tvashtri, they also knew of his going to be killed and straightway Trita slew him. Indra, assuredly was free from that (sin), for he is a god³.

¹ The washing of the fingers and the dish, and has taken place after the putting on and touching over of the cake, and before the paryagnikarānam is performed.

² In I, 3, 3, 13-16, the three former Agnis (or the three brothers of Agni, acc. to Mahidh., Vāg. S. II, 2) are said to have fled from fear of the thunderbolt, in the shape of the vasha formula.

³ Cf. I, 6, 3, 1 seq. In the Taitt. Sāmh. II, 5, 1, 1, Visvarūpa, the Tvashtra, is said to have been a sister's son of the Asuras, and

3. And the people thereupon said: 'Let those be guilty of the sin who knew about his going to be killed! 'How?' they asked. 'The sacrifice shall wipe it off upon (shall transfer it to) them!' they said. Hence the sacrifice thereby wipes off upon them (the guilt or impurity incurred in the preparation of the offering), when they pour out for them the water with which the dish has been rinsed, and that in which he (the Adhvaryu) has washed his fingers.

4. And the Āptyas then said: 'Let us make his pass on beyond us!' 'On whom?' they asked. 'On him who shall make an offering without a dakṣiṇā (gift to the officiating priests)!' they said. Hence one must not make an offering without a dakṣiṇā: for the sacrifice wipes (the guilt) off upon the Āptyas, and the Āptyas wipe it off upon him who makes an offering without a dakṣiṇā.

5. Thereupon the gods ordained this to be the

house-priest (purohita) to the gods, and to have been killed by Indra, because he had secretly contrived to let the oblations go to the Asuras, instead of to the gods. Thus by killing him, Indra (or Trita, according to our version of the legend) became guilty of that most hideous crime, the brahmahatyā, or killing of a Brāhmaṇa. Trita, the Āptya (i.e. probably 'sprung from, or belonging to the ap, or waters of the atmosphere'), seems to have been a prominent figure of the early Indo-Iranian mythology, the prototype, in many respects, of Indra, the favourite god of the Vedic hymns. The notion of wishing evil and misfortune away to Trita, or far, far away, is a familiar one to the Vedic bards. The name Traitana also occurs once in Rig-veda (I, 158, 5), though in a rather dark passage. On the connection between Trita (? Traitana) and the Iranian Thraetona (Ferīdūn), son of Athvya, see E. Burnouf, Journ. Asiat. V, 120; R. Roth, Zeitschr. d. Deutsch. Morg. Ges. II, p. 216 seq. Dvita (the second) and Ekata are no doubt later abstractions suggested by the etymology of the name Trita (the third), although the former, Dvita, occurs already in the Vedic hymns.

dakṣhiṇā at the new- and full-moon sacrifices, to wit, the Anvāhārya mess of rice¹; 'lest the oblation should be without a dakṣhiṇā.' That (rinsing water) he pours out (for each Āptya) separately: thus he avoids a quarrel among them. He makes it hot (previously)²: thus it becomes boiled (drinkable) for them. He pours it out with the formulas, 'For Trita thee!' 'For Dvita thee!' 'For Ekata thee!'—Now it is as an animal sacrifice that this sacrificial cake is offered³.

¹ The Anvāhārya consists of boiled rice prepared from the rice-grains that remain after the sacrificial cakes have been prepared. It is put on the Dakṣhiṇa fire by the Adhvaryu for cooking after covering over the cakes and pouring out the water. Kāty. II, 5, 27. Sāyana explains the term as 'that which takes away (anvā-hri) from the sacrificer the guilt incurred by mistakes during the sacrifice'; but the St. Petersburg Dictionary offers the more probable explanation of it as 'that which serves to supplement (anvā-hri) the sacrifice.'

² According to Sāyana 'he makes the poured-out water hot with a coal.' Kātyāyana (II, 5, 26) and his commentators, on the other hand, supply the following particulars: 'Having heated (with straw lighted in the Gārhapatya) the water which has been used for washing the dish and hands, he pours it out for the Āptyas (from east to west into three lines drawn with the wooden sword from west to east, north of the sacrificial ground) in such a manner that it does not flow together, with the formulas, "For Trita thee!" &c., respectively.'

³ That is to say, the sacrificial cake is a substitute or symbol (pratimā) for the animal sacrifice (as this it would seem was originally a substitute for the human sacrifice) by which the sacrificer redeems himself from the gods. Cf. Sat. Br. XI, 1, 8, 3; Taitt. Br. III, 2, 8, 8. The initiation (dīkṣhā) of the sacrificer constitutes his consecration as the victim at the animal sacrifice (Sat. Br. XI, 7, 1, 3; Ait. Br. II, 3, 9; 11; Taitt. Br. II, 2, 82; T. S. VI, 1, 11, 6; Kaush. Br. X, 3; XI, 8), or as the sacrificial food at the haviryajña (Sat. Br. III, 3, 4, 21; Taitt. Br. III, 2, 8, 9), or as the horse at the horse-sacrifice (Taitt. Br. III, 9, 17, 4-5), &c. See, also, Taitt. S. VII, 2, 10, 4; Kāṭh. 34, 11, where it is said that ~~one must~~

6. At first, namely, the gods offered up a man as the victim¹. When he was offered up, the sacrificial essence went out of him. It entered into the horse. They offered up the horse. When it was offered up, the sacrificial essence went out of it. It entered into the ox. They offered up the ox. When it was offered up, the sacrificial essence went out of it. It entered into the sheep. They offered up the sheep. When it was offered up, the sacrificial essence went out of it. It entered into the goat. They offered up the goat. When it was offered up, the sacrificial essence went out of it.

7. It entered into this earth. They searched for it, by digging. They found it (in the shape of) those two (substances), the rice and barley : therefore even now they obtain those two by digging ; and as much

not perform the dvādaśāha for any one, since in having to eat of the victim, the cake, &c., one would eat the sacrificer's own flesh, &c. Cf. Weber, *Ind. Streifen*, I, p. 73. In accordance with these notions it would seem that man originally sacrificed his equal, as the best substitute for his own self ; and that, as advancing civilisation rendered human sacrifices distasteful, the human victim was supplied by domestic animals, ennobled by constant contact with man ; and finally by various materials of human diet.

¹ On this legend and the one in the *Ait. Br.* II, 8, but slightly differing from ours, see Max Müller's *History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature*, p. 420 ; A. Weber's *Ind. Streifen*, I, p. 55 ; Haug's *Transl. of the Ait. Br.* p. 90 ; J. Muir's *Original Sanskrit Texts*, IV, p. 289 note. Professor Max Müller remarks : 'The drift of this story is most likely that in former times all these victims had been offered. We know it for certain in the case of horses and oxen, though afterwards these sacrifices were discontinued. As to sheep and goats, they were considered proper victims to a still later time. When vegetable offerings took the place of bloody victims, it was clearly the wish of the author of our passage to show that, for certain sacrifices, these rice-cakes were as efficient as the flesh of

Cf. also II, 1, 4, 3.

efficacy as all those sacrificed animal victims would have for him, so much efficacy has this oblation (of rice &c.) for him who knows this. And thus there is in this oblation also that completeness which they call 'the fivefold animal sacrifice.'

* 8. When it (the rice-cake) still consists of rice-meal, it is the hair¹. When he pours water on it, it becomes skin². When he mixes it, it becomes flesh: for then it becomes consistent; and consistent also is the flesh. When it is baked, it becomes bone: for then it becomes somewhat hard; and hard is the bone. And when he is about to take it off (the fire) and sprinkles it with butter, he changes it into marrow. This is the completeness which they call 'the fivefold animal sacrifice.'

9. The man (purusha) whom they had offered up became a mock-man (kim-purusha³). Those two, the horse and the ox, which they had sacrificed,

¹ According to Sāyana, because, like the hair of the victim, the particles of the ground rice are minute and numerous. According to Ait. Br. II, 9, on the other hand, the awn or beard of the rice represents the hair; the husks the skin; the minute particles of chaff removed by the final winnowings, the blood; the ground rice the flesh; and 'whatever other substantial part is in the rice' are the bones of the victim.

² 'Because it becomes as flexible as skin,' Sāyana.

³ It is doubtful what particular kind of being the term *kim-purusha* (depraved man) is here intended to denote. The authors of the *St. Petersburg Dictionary*, whom Professor Weber follows (Ind. Stud. IX, 246), take it (probably correctly) to denote 'a monkey.' Professor Haug, on the other hand, in his translation of the corresponding passage in the Ait. Br. II, 8, thinks 'the author very likely meant a dwarf,' whilst Professor Max Müller (History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature, p. 420) translates it by 'a savage.' Perhaps one of the species of apes which particularly resemble man, is intended by it. Cf. Weber, *Omina et Portenta*, p. 356.

became a *bos gaurus* and a *gayal* (*bos gavaeus*) respectively. The sheep which they had sacrificed, became a camel. The goat which they had sacrificed, became a *sarabha*¹. For this reason one should not eat (the flesh) of these animals, for these animals are deprived of the sacrificial essence (are impure). *

FOURTH BRĀHMANA.

1. When Indra hurled the thunderbolt at *Vṛitra*, that hurled one became fourfold. Of (three parts of) it the wooden sword (*sphya*) represents one-third or thereabouts, the sacrificial post one-third or thereabouts, and the chariot one-third or thereabouts. That piece, moreover, with which he struck him, was broken off (*sṛi*); and on falling down it became an arrow (*sara*): hence the designation arrow, because it was broken off. And in this way the thunderbolt became fourfold.

2. In consequence of this, the priests make use of two (of these pieces) at the sacrifice, and men of the military caste (*rāganyabandhu*) also make use of two of them in battle: viz. the priests make use of the sacrificial post and the wooden sword, and the men of the military caste of the chariot and the arrow.

3. Now when he takes up the wooden sword², he raises that thunderbolt against the wicked, spiteful enemy, even as Indra at that time raised the thunderbolt against *Vṛitra*: that is the reason why he takes the wooden sword.

4. He takes it, with the text (*Vāg. S. I, 24*): 'At the impulse of the divine Savitri, I take thee with

¹ A fabulous kind of deer with eight legs, which was supposed to kill elephants and lions.

² See note on I, 1, 2, 8.

the arms of the Asvins, with the hands of Pūshan ; thee that performs sacred rites to the gods!' Savitri, namely, is the impeller of the gods : thus he thereby takes that (wooden sword) as one impelled by Savitri. 'With the arms of the Asvins,' he says, because the Asvins are the two Adhvaryu priests (of the gods) : with their arms he therefore takes it, not with his own. Pūshan is distributor of portions (to the gods) : with his hands he therefore takes it, not with his own ; for it is the thunderbolt, and no man can hold that : he thus takes it with (the assistance of) the gods.

5. 'I take (thee) that performs sacred rites to the gods,' he says, because a sacred rite means a sacrifice : 'that performs sacrifices to the gods,' he thereby says. After taking it in his left hand and touching it with his right, he murmurs—by what he murmurs he makes it sharp,—

6. He murmurs (Vâg. S. I, 24) : 'Thou art Indra's right arm!' for Indra's right arm no doubt is the most powerful one, and for that reason he says : 'Thou art Indra's right arm!' 'The thousand-spiked, hundred-edged!' he adds, for a thousand spikes and a hundred edges had that thunderbolt which he hurled at Vṛitra : he thereby makes it to be that (thunderbolt).

7. 'The sharp-edged Vāyu (wind) art thou!' he adds ; for that indeed is the sharpest edge, to wit, that (wind) which here blows : for that one sweeps right across these worlds. He thereby makes it sharp. When he (further) says : 'The killer of the enemy!' let him, whether he wishes to exorcise or not, say : 'The killer of so and so!' When it has been sharpened, he must not touch either himself or the earth with it : 'Lest I should hurt either myself or the earth with that sharp thunderbolt,' thus he thinks, and for

that reason he does not touch either himself or the earth with it.

8. The gods and the Asuras, both of them sprung from Pragāpati¹, were contending for superiority. The gods vanquished the Asuras; and yet these afterwards harassed them again.

9. The gods then said: 'We do, no doubt, vanquish the Asuras, but nevertheless they afterwards again harass us. How then can we vanquish them so that we need not fight them again?'

10. Agni then said: 'By fleeing northwards they escape from us.' By fleeing northwards they had indeed escaped from them.

11. Agni said: 'I will go round to the northern side, and you will then shut them in from here²; and whilst shutting them in, we will put them down by these (three) worlds; and from what fourth world there is beyond these (three) they will not be able to rise again.'

12. Agni thereupon went round to the northern side; and they (the other gods) shut them in from here; and whilst shutting them in, they put them down with these (three) worlds; and from what fourth world

¹ Pragāpati is called the father of the gods and Aśuras, I, 5, 3, 2; and they are represented as entering on his inheritance, I, 7, 2, 22; IX, 5, 1, 12. Not only the gods and Asuras, but also the men derive their origin from Pragāpati, XIV, 8, 2, 1. He has created all beings, I, 6, 3, 35; Ait. Br. III, 36.

² I.e. 'from the sacrificial ground,' Sāyana. It seems doubtful to me whether it does not rather mean 'you will then shut them in, or block them up, within that place,' that is to say, north of the altar, where the utkara, or heap of rubbish, lies. The four worlds by which he puts down the enemies are represented by the loose soil which is dug up by the sphya being flung four separate times at the grass-bush lying on the altar (vedi), and which is then thrown on the utkara.

there is beyond these (three) they did not rise again. Now this same (expulsion of the Asuras) is virtually the same act as the flinging away of the grass-bush ¹.

13. The Āgnīdhra goes round to the north, for he is virtually the same person as Agni himself. The Adhvaryu then shuts them in from here; and whilst shutting them in, he puts them down by means of these (three) worlds; and from what fourth world there is beyond these (three) they do not rise again. Thus now also they do not rise again, for by the same means by which the gods kept them off, the priests now also keep them off during the sacrifice.

14. And whoever has evil designs upon the sacrificer and hates him, him he thereby puts down by means of these (three) worlds, and what fourth world there is beyond these. And in putting him down with these (three) worlds, and what fourth world there is beyond these, he flings everything away from this (earth), for on it all these worlds rest: for what would he fling away, if he were to fling (the grass-bush) away with the words, 'The air I throw away, the heaven I throw away!' therefore he flings everything away from this (earth) ².

15. Thereupon, after putting the grass-bush between ³, he flings (the wooden sword at it). 'Lest I

¹ The ceremony called *stambayagus* (-haranam) consists in 'the throwing away of the grass-bush after cutting it by the (flinging of the) wooden sword, with the simultaneous reciting of Yagus-texts' [*yagurmantrako darbhaḥ stambayaguḥ, taṭṭa stambarūpam sphryena bhittvotkaradese haret, Śāy., Taitt. S. I, 1, 9*].

² This passage, in which the author seems to argue against some other ritualistic authority, is not quite clear to me. The Taitt. Br. has, 'from the atmosphere he drives him away (by the second throw), from the sky he drives him away (by the third throw).'

³ That is, between himself, or the wooden sword, and the altar. According to Kāty. II, 6, 15, he lays the grass-bush down on the

should injure the earth with this sharp thunderbolt! thus (he thinks, and) for that reason he flings after putting the grass-bush between.

16. He flings it, with the text (Vāg. S. I, 25): 'O earth, that affordest the place for making offerings to the gods! may I not injure the root of thy plant!' He thereby makes her, as it were, with roots remaining in her¹. Whilst he takes up (the earth dug up by the sword), he thus addresses her: 'May I not injure the roots of thy plants!'—And in further saying, 'Go to the fold, the abode of the cows!' when he is about to throw it away (on the heap of rubbish), he causes it not to forsake him; for that which is within the fold² does not forsake him: for that reason he says, 'Go to the fold, the abode of the cows!'—He further says (whilst looking at the hole in the ground): 'May the sky rain on thee!' Wherever; in digging into her, they wound and injure her—water being (a means of) expiation—that he thereby expiates by the water which is (a means of) expiation; that he thereby makes good by means of the water: that is the reason why he says: 'May the sky rain on thee!'—'Tie him down, O divine Savitṛi, to the furthest end of the earth!' he says (whilst throwing on the heap of rubbish the soil dug up); he thus

altar with its top pointing northwards, with the text: 'The armour of the earth art thou!'

¹ Sāyana explains it by *uttaramūlām iva karoti*; '*prāhivīm uparibhāgāvasthitamūlayuktām ivā*' (? 'with the roots remaining in its (the earth's) upper part, or surface'). Cf. also Sāy. on Taitt. S. I, 1, 9 (p. 155).

² The Taitt. Br. (III, 2, 9, 3) identifies the fold (pen, stable) with the metres (? which enclose the altar in the shape of the first set of lines), cf. Sat. Br. I, 2, 5, 6 seq. This identification rests on the double meaning of *go* (in *gosthānam*) as 'cow' and 'metre.'

says to the divine Savitṛi: 'Tie him down to blind darkness!' when he says 'to the furthest end of the earth,'—'With a hundred fetters!' by this he means to say, 'so that he cannot free himself.'—'Him who hates us and whom we hate, do not release from there!' Whether or not he wishes to exorcise, let him say: 'So and so . . . do not release from there!'

17. He then throws (the wooden sword) a second time, with the text (Vāg. S. I, 26): 'May I drive Araru away from the earth, the place of offerings!' Araru¹, namely, was an Asura and Rakshas. Him the gods drove away from this (earth), and in the same way he (the Adhvaryu) thereby drives him away from this (earth). He adds (whilst repeating the several corresponding acts): 'Go to the fold, the abode of the cows! May the sky rain on thee! Tie him down, O divine Savitṛi, to the furthest end of the earth, with a hundred fetters, him who hates us and whom we hate, do not release him from there!'

18. The Āgnīdhra presses it down (on the heap of rubbish), with the text (Vāg. S. I, 26): 'O Araru! thou shalt not fly up to heaven!' For when the gods drove away Araru, the Asura-Rakshas, he wished to fly up to heaven. Agni pressed him down, saying, 'O Araru, thou shalt not fly up to heaven!' and he did not fly up to heaven. In the same way the

¹ Of this demon we have no further particulars except that in Rig-veda X, 99, 10, he is said to have four feet; see also Taitt. Br. III, 2, 9, 4 seq. Perhaps there is some connection between Araru and the Arurmaghas in Ait. Br. VII, 28, and the Arunmukhas in Kaushīt. Up. 3, 1; both of them enemies of Indra. Cf. M. Haug's and Max Müller's translations of these works; and Weber, Ind. Stud. I, 411.

Adhvaryu thereby cuts him off from this world, and the Āgnīdhra from the side of heaven. That is the reason why he does this.

19. He then throws (the wooden sword) a third time, with the text (Vâg. S. I, 26): 'Let thy drop not spring up to the sky!' Her (the earth's) drop no doubt is that moisture of hers upon which the creatures subsist. 'Let this thine (moisture) not fly away to the sky!' he thereby says.—He adds (whilst again repeating the several acts): 'Go to the fold, the abode of the cows! May the sky rain on thee! Tie him down, O divine Savitri, to the furthest end of the earth, with a hundred fetters, him who hates us and whom we hate, do not release him from there!'

20. Three times he throws it, with the sacrificial formula (Yagus); for three are these worlds, and with these worlds he thereby puts him (the evil spirit) down¹. And what these worlds are, that in truth is the Yagus: for that reason he throws it thrice with the sacrificial formula.

21. Silently (he throws) a fourth time². What fourth world there may or may not be beyond these (three), by that one he thereby drives away the spiteful enemy. For uncertain indeed is what fourth world there may or may not be beyond these (three); and uncertain also is what (is done) silently: for that reason (he throws) silently a fourth time.

¹ In the corresponding passage of the Black Yagus (Taitt. Br. III, 2, 9, 5 seq.) the Adhvaryu is represented as driving the enemy away from the four worlds by throwing the sword four times.

² When, together with the dug-out soil, he throws the grass-bush on the heap of rubbish. Kâty. II, 6, 24.

FIFTH BRĀHMAṆA.

1. The gods and the Asuras, both of them sprung from Pragāpati, were contending for superiority. Then the gods were worsted, and the Asuras thought : 'To us alone assuredly belongs this world !'

2. They thereupon said : 'Well then, let us divide this world between us ; and having divided it, let us subsist thereon !' They accordingly set about dividing it with ox-hides from west to east.

3. The gods then heard of this, and said : 'The Asuras are actually dividing this earth : come, let us go to where the Asuras are dividing it. For what would become of us, if we were to get no share in it ?' Placing Vishṇu, (in the shape of) this very sacrifice, at their head, they went (to the Asuras).

4. They then said : 'Let us share in this earth along with yourselves ! Let a part of it be ours !' The Asuras replied rather grudgingly : 'As much as this Vishṇu lies upon, and no more, we give you !'

5. Now Vishṇu was a dwarf¹. The gods, however,

¹ This legend is given in Muir's Original Sanskrit Texts, IV, p. 122, where it is pointed out that we have here the germ of the Dwarf Incarnation of Vishṇu ; and in A. Kuhn's treatise, 'Ueber Entwicklungstufen der Mythenbildung,' p. 128, where the following remarks are made on the story : 'Here also we meet with the same struggle between light and darkness : the gods of light are vanquished and obtain from the Asuras, who divide the earth between themselves, only as much room as is covered by Vishṇu, who measures the atmosphere with his three steps. He represents (though I cannot prove it in this place) the sun-light, which, on shrinking into dwarf's size in the evening, is the only means of preservation that is left to the gods, who cover him with metres, i.e. with sacred hymns (probably in order to defend him from the powers of darkness), and in the end kindle Agni in the east—the dawn—and thereby once more obtain possession of the earth.' Compare also the corresponding legend in Taitt. Br. III, 2, 9, 7,

were not offended at this, but said: 'Much indeed they gave us, who gave us what is equal in size to the sacrifice.'

6. Having then laid him down eastwards, they enclosed him on all (three) sides with the metres, saying (Vāg. S. I, 27), on the south side, 'With the Gâyatri metre I enclose thee!' on the west side: 'With the Trishubh metre I enclose thee!' on the north side: 'With the Gagati metre I enclose thee!'

7. Having thus enclosed him on all (three) sides, and having placed Agni (the fire) on the east side, they went on worshipping and toiling with it (or him, i.e. Vishṇu, the sacrifice). By it they obtained (sam-vid) this entire earth; and because they obtained by it this entire (earth), therefore it (the sacrificial ground) is called vedi (the altar). For this reason they say, 'As great as the altar is, so great is the earth;' for by it (the altar) they obtained this entire (earth). And, verily, he who so understands this, wrests likewise this entire (earth) from his rivals, excludes his rivals from sharing in it.

8. Thereupon this Vishṇu became tired; but being enclosed on all (three) sides by the metres, with the

where the gods are granted by the Asuras as much as they can enclose; and by the Vasus being placed in the south, the Rudras in the west, the Ādityas in the north, and Agni in the east, they obtain the whole of the earth.

¹ In the actual performance of the sacrifice this represents the pūrva-parigraha, or first enclosing of the altar by a single line being drawn with the wooden sword on each of the three sides (viz. S.W. to S.E.; S.W. to N.W.; N.W. to N.E.) whilst muttering the respective texts. Before doing so he has, however, to ask and receive the permission of the Brahman, *mutatis mutandis*, in the usual way (cf. p. 7 note): the same forms have to be gone through at the marking of the second and third enclosures. Kāty. II, 6, 25 seq. On the ritualistic application of the metres, see note on I, 3, 2, 9.

fire on the east, there was no (means of) escaping: he then hid himself among the roots of plants.

9. The gods said: 'What has become of Vishṇu? What has become of the sacrifice?' They said: 'On all (three) sides he is enclosed by the metres, with Agni to the east, there is no (way of) escaping: search for him in this very place!' By slightly digging they accordingly searched for him. They discovered him at a depth of three inches (or thumb's breadths): therefore the altar should be three inches deep; and therefore also Pāṇḍikī¹ made the altar for the Soma-sacrifice three inches deep.

10. This, however, one must not do. Among the roots of the plants he (Vishṇu) hid himself: therefore let him (the Adhvaryu) bid (the Āgnīdhra) to cut out the roots of the plants. And since they found (anu-vid) Vishṇu in that place, therefore it is called vedi (altar).

11. When they had found him, they enclosed him with a second enclosure, saying (Vāg. S. I, 27), 'Of good soil art thou, and auspicious art thou!' on the south side; for when they had thus obtained this earth they made it of good soil and auspicious;— 'Pleasant art thou, and soft to sit upon!' they said on the west side, for when they had thus obtained this earth, they made it pleasant and soft to sit upon;— 'Abounding in food and drink art thou!' they said on the north side, for when they had thus obtained this earth, they made it abounding in food and drink.

¹ This teacher is mentioned again, Sat. Br. II, 1, 4, 27, along with two others, viz. Āsuri and Mādhuki, but nothing further is known of him. According to the Black Yagur the altar is made four (not three) aṅgulas deep.

12. Threefold¹ he draws round the first line of enclosure, threefold the second : hence sixfold (the two) ; for six seasons there are in the year, and the year, as Pragâpati (Lord of Creation), is the sacrifice². As large as the sacrifice, as wide as its extent is, so wide does he thereby enclose it.

13. With six sacred words³ he draws around the first line of enclosure, with six the second : thus (together) twelvefold, for twelve no doubt are the months of the year ; and the year, as Pragâpati, is the sacrifice. As large as the sacrifice, as wide as its extent is, so wide does he thereby enclose it.

14. 'Let it (the altar) measure a fathom⁴ across on the west side,' they say : that, namely, is the size of a man, and it (the altar) should be of (the) man's size. 'Three cubits long (should be) the

¹ Viz. each enclosing line consists of three divisions corresponding to the three sides (S., W., N.) of the altar.

² Pragâpati (Lord of Creation) is here, as elsewhere, identified with the year (probably as the representative of the eternal process of regeneration) and consequently with the annual cycle of sacrificial performance, or the sacrifice itself. Cf. Sat. Br. I, 5, 2, 16 ; X, 4, 3, 1.

³ According to Sâyana, because each of the three mantras, 'gâyatreṇa (traish/ubhena, gâgatena resp.) tvâ khandasâ pari grîhṇāmi,' consists of two parts, the first ending with tvâ, the second with grîhṇāmi, which makes together six. Similarly with the second triad of mantras. In the former case the Taittirīya text (Taitt. S. I, 1, 9, 3), 'The Vasus may enclose thee with the Gâyatri metre, the Rudras with the Trish/ubh metre, the Âdityas with the Gagati metre!' would furnish a more natural explanation of the six sacred words.

⁴ Vyâma, the space between the extreme ends of the outstretched arms. It is doubtful whether it is here intended for a fixed measure, or whether it is a relative one, depending on the size of the respective sacrificer. The size of a man was supposed to be equal to the extent of his outstretched arms.

"easterly line¹," for threefold is the sacrifice,' (so they say, but) in this there is no (fixed) measure: let him make it as long as he thinks fit in his own mind!

15. The two shoulders (of the altar) he carries along both sides of the (Āhavanīya) fire. For the altar (vedi, fem.) is female and the fire (agni, masc.) is male; and the woman lies embracing the man: thereby a population productive of offspring is obtained. For this reason he carries the two shoulders (of the altar) along both sides of the fire.

16. It (the altar) should be broader on the west side, contracted in the middle, and broad again on the east side; for thus shaped they praise a woman: 'broad about the hips, somewhat narrower between the shoulders, and contracted in the middle (or, about the waist).' Thereby he makes it (the altar) pleasing to the gods.

17. It should be sloping towards east, for the east is the quarter of the gods; and also sloping towards north, for the north is the quarter of men. To the south side he sweeps the rubbish (loose soil), for that is the quarter of the deceased ancestors. If it (the altar) were sloping towards south, the sacrificer would speedily go to yonder world; and thus (by making the altar in the prescribed way) the sacrificer lives for a long time: for this reason he sweeps the loose soil to the south side. Let

¹ I.e. a line drawn from the middle of the western side through the centre of the altar to the Āhavanīya fire. The same line prolonged from the western side of the altar westwards to the Gārhapatya would measure eight (eleven or twelve) steps (prakrama or vikrama, of two feet or pada each) from fire to fire. See I, 7, 3, 23-25.

him then cover it (the altar) over with (fresh) rubbish; for rubbish means cattle, and well-stocked with cattle he thereby makes it¹.

18. He (the Āgnidhra) smooths it down (from east to west). The gods, namely, when they were preparing for the contest, said to one another: 'Come, let us remove to the moon for safety what imperishable place of worship there is on this earth; so that if the Asuras, on vanquishing us, should drive us away from here, we may afterwards, by praising and mortifying, prevail again!' They accordingly removed to the moon what imperishable place of worship there was on this earth. That now is the black (spots) in the moon: hence they say, 'In the moon is the place of worship for this earth.' It is in this place of worship also that his sacrifice is performed: for that reason he smooths (the altar) down².

19. He smooths it down, with the text (Vāg. S. I, 28): 'Before the bloody (battle) with its rushings hither and thither³, O mighty one!' the bloody one no doubt is the battle, for in battle

¹ Purīsha, rubbish; 'sandy or gravel-like soil,' Śāy. on Taitt. Br. III, 2, 9, 12; purīsha also means 'faeces, manure,' in which sense it is probably taken symbolically for 'cattle.' The Taitt. Br. better: 'well supplied with cattle he thereby makes him (the sacrificer).'

² ? By stroking along the altar he shifts it to the moon.

³ The interpretation of purā krūrasya visrīpaḥ here given by the author, and also by Mahldhara on Vāg. S. I, 28, is more than doubtful. Śāyana on Taitt. S. I, 1, 9 is probably more correct in taking purā visrīpaḥ (abl. or gerund) krūrasya to mean 'before the sneaking away of the cruel enemy (Araru, lying fettered on the heap of rubbish)'—he supplies: 'thou, O altar, containest merely the divine oblations, but since his removal thou containest everything.' Cf. also Weber, Ind. Streifen, II, p. 463.

bloody deeds are done, and slain lie man and horse; and before that battle they removed it (the altar to the moon); therefore he says, 'Before the bloody (battle) with its rushings hither and thither, O mighty one!'—'lifting up the life-bestowing earth,' for after lifting up what was living on this earth, they removed it to the moon; therefore he says, 'lifting up the life-bestowing earth;'—'which they raised to the moon by prayers,' 'which they placed in the moon by worship,' he thereby says,—'that (earth) the wise still point out and worship,' to that they accordingly address their worship; and the offering of him also who so understands this, is performed in that place of worship.

20. He now says (to the Āgnīdhra; Vāg. S. I, 28), 'Put the sprinkling-water down (on the altar)!' That thunderbolt, the wooden sword, and the priest (brāhmaṇa) have hitherto defended that sacrifice. Now the water also is a thunderbolt: that thunderbolt he thereby lays down for its defence. While the sprinkling-water is being held close above the wooden sword, he takes up the latter. If he were to set the sprinkling-water down, while the wooden sword is still lying, the two thunderbolts would come into collision with each other; but in this way the two thunderbolts do not come into collision with each other: for that reason he takes up the wooden sword, while the sprinkling-water is being held close above it.

21. He pronounces this (entire) speech:—'Put the sprinkling-water down (on the altar)! put fuel and barhis (sacrificial grass) beside it! wipe the ladles! gird the (sacrificer's) wife! come hither with the clarified butter!' This is a direction (given to

the Āgnīdhra); he (the Adhvaryu) may pronounce it, if he choose; or, if he so choose, he may omit it: for he (the Āgnīdhra) himself knows that this work has now to be done.

22. He then flings the wooden sword northwards (on the heap of rubbish). If he wishes to exorcise¹, (he does so), with the text, 'I fling thee as a thunderbolt for so and so!' and as a thunderbolt the wooden sword accordingly strikes down (the enemy).

23. He then washes his hands²; for what there was bloody (or injured) on it (the altar) that he thereby removes from it: that is why he washes his hands.

24. Now those who made offerings in former times, touched (the altar and oblations) at this particular time, while they were sacrificing. They became more sinful. Those who washed (their hands) became righteous. Then unbelief took hold of men: 'Those who sacrifice become more sinful, and those who sacrifice not become righteous,' they said. No sacrificial food then came to the gods from this world: for the gods subsist on what is offered up from this world³.

25. The gods thereupon said to Br̥haspati Āngīrasa, 'Verily, unbelief has come upon men; ordain thou the sacrifice to them!' Br̥haspati Āngīrasa then went and said, 'How comes it that you do not sacrifice?' They replied, 'From a desire for what

¹ Otherwise he uses the text (Vāg. S. I, 28): 'A killer of the enemy art thou!' Kāty. II, 6, 42.

² He does so (on the utkara) and then lays down the wooden sword west of the pravṛtta water. Kāty. II, 6, 43.

³ Men, on the other hand, subsist on what is bestowed on them from yonder world. Taitt. S. III, 2, 9, 7; Taitt. Br. II, 2, 7, 3.

should we sacrifice, since those who sacrifice become more sinful, and those who sacrifice not become 'righteous?'

26. Bṛhaspati Āṅgīrasa then said, 'What we have heard of as produced' for the gods that is this sacrifice, that is to say, the cooked oblations and the prepared altar; therewith you have performed while touching: that is why you have become more sinful. Sacrifice therefore without touching, for thus you will become righteous!' 'How long?' they asked. 'Till the spreading of the sacrificial grass (on the altar),' he said. By the sacrificial grass, namely, it (the altar) becomes appeased. If, therefore, before the spreading of the sacrificial grass anything were to fall on it, let him only remove it at the time when he spreads the sacrificial grass; for when they spread the sacrificial grass, then they also step on it with the foot. He who knowing this sacrifices without touching, becomes indeed righteous: let him therefore sacrifice, without touching (the altar and oblations).

THIRD ADHYĀYA. FIRST BRĀHMANA.

1. He (the Āgnīdhra) now brushes the spoons² (with the grass-ends). The reason why he brushes

¹ Parishūtam, which Sāyana interprets by parigr̥hitam, 'hedged round' [? 'set apart']. The Kāṇva MS. reads parishutam.

² Besides the Agnihotra-havanī, or milk ladle used at the morning and evening oblations (see p. 11, note 2; and II, 3, 1, 17), three different sruḥ or offering-spoons are used, viz. the guhū, upabhr̥t, and dhruvā. They are made each of a different kind of wood, of an arm's length (or, according to others, a cubit long), with a bowl of the shape and size of the hand, and a hole cut through the bark and front side of the bowl and fitted with a spout some eight or nine inches long, and shaped like a goose's bill. The sruva or dipping-spoon, on the other hand, chiefly used for ladling the clarified

the spoons is that the course¹ pursued among the gods is in accordance with that pursued among men. Now, when the serving up of food is at hand among men,—

2. They rinse the vessels, and having rinsed them, they serve up the food with them : in the same way is treated the sacrifice to the gods, that is to say, the cooked oblations and the prepared altar ; and those vessels of theirs, the sacrificial spoons.

3. Now, when he brushes (the spoons), he in reality rinses them, thinking, 'with these rinsed ones I will proceed.' He thereby rinses them with two substances for the gods, and with one for men ; viz. with water and the brahman (spirit of worship) for the gods,—for the water is (represented by) the sacrificial grass², and the brahman (by) the sacrificial formula ;—and with one for men, that is with water alone : and thus this takes place separately³.

4. He, in the first place, takes the dipping-spoon

butter (or milk) from the butter vessel into the offering-spoons, is of khadira wood (*Acacia Catechu*), a cubit long, with a round bowl measuring a thumb's joint across, and without a spout. In our text the term *sruṭ* is used both in the general sense of 'spoon' and in the narrower one of 'offering-spoon,' as distinguished from the *sruva* or 'dipping-spoon.'

¹ The brushing of the spoons is here compared with the rinsing of vessels preparatory to their being used for serving up the food. At the same time, we shall see further on (I, 8, 3, 26–27) that the two principal offering-spoons, the *guhū* and *upabhr̥it*, are looked upon as yoke-fellows, they being the two horses that are supposed to convey the sacrifice (and consequently the sacrificer himself) to the world of the gods ; hence this process of cleaning also corresponds to the rubbing down of the horses preparatory to the setting out of the sacrificer on his progress to the world of the gods.

² See I, 1, 3, 5.

³ It is doubtful to me whether this last passage merely refers to the several spoons, or whether it refers to the symbolical meaning

(sruva, masc.) and makes it hot (on the Gārhapatya fire), with either of the texts (Vâg. S. I, 29), 'Scorched is the Rakshas, scorched are the enemies!' or, 'Burnt out is the Rakshas, burnt out are the enemies!'

5. For when the gods were performing sacrifice they were afraid of a disturbance on the part of the Asuras and Rakshas. Hence by this means he, from the very opening of the sacrifice, expels from here the evil spirits, the Rakshas¹.

6. He brushes it thus inside with the (grass-)tops (cut off from the grass in tying the veda), with the text (Vâg. S. I, 29), 'Not sharp² art thou, (but yet) a destroyer of the enemies!' he says this in order that it may unceasingly destroy the enemies of the sacrificer. Further, 'Thee, the food-abounding (masc.), I cleanse for the kindling of food³!'— 'thee that art suitable for the sacrifice, I cleanse for the sacrifice,' he thereby says. In the same way he brushes all the spoons, saying, 'Thee, the food-abounding (fem.) . . .,' in the case of the offering-spoon (sruk, fem.). The prâsitraharaṇa⁴ (he brushes) silently.

of the wiping with sacrificial grass and the accompanying formula. In the latter case it might mean: 'and thus that (act) becomes different (i.e. has a different significance).'

¹ Cf. I, 1, 2, 3, and note.

² A-nisita, 'not sharpened,' from śā (śo), 'to sharpen' (thus also Mahidh.). If, however, anuparata, 'unceasing,' in the text is intended by the author to explain anisita, he would seem to identify the root śā with sā (so), 'to bring to an end, to finish.' The spoon is sharpened by the wiping, cf. Taitt. Br. III, 3, 1, 1.

³ Vâgedhyāyai, 'for the lighting (brightening) of the sacrifice (by means of the butter which is poured into the fire), the sacrifice being the food of the gods,' Mahidh. The St. Petersburg Dictionary suggests vâgetyāyai, 'thee, the courser, I wipe for the race!' Cf. p. 68, note 1.

⁴ The prâsitraharaṇa is a pan of khadira wood, either

7. Inside he brushes with the (grass-)tops thus (viz. from the handle to the top, or in a forward, eastward direction from himself); outside with the lower (grass-)ends thus (viz. in the opposite or backward direction, towards himself)¹: for thus (viz. in the former way) goes the out-breathing, and thus (in the opposite way) the in-breathing. Thereby he obtains out-breathing and in-breathing (for the sacrificer): hence these hairs (on the upper side of the elbow) point that way, and these (on the lower side) point that way².

8. Each time he has brushed and heated (a spoon), he hands it (to the Adhvaryu). Just as, after having rinsed (the eating vessels) while touching them, one would finally rinse them without touching them, so here: for this reason he hands over each (spoon) after heating it³.

square or round (? oval, of the shape of a cow's ear, Sây.; of the shape of a mirror, Kâty.), used for holding the Brahman's portion (prâsitra) of the sacrificial cake. According to Kâty. II, 6, 49, the *sritâvadânam* (cake-cutter) and (purodâsa-)pâtṛi (cake-dish) also have to be cleaned on this occasion.

¹ While brushing the spoons he stands east of the Âhavanīya fire-house, looking toward east. The way of brushing, prescribed by the Black Yagus (Taitt. Br. III, 3, 1, 3-4; comm. on Taitt. S. I, 1, 10), seems to be more complicated.

² Viz. the former ('aratner uparibhâgasya lomâni'), according to Sâyana, point in a forward direction (away from the body), and the latter ('prish/ababhâgasya lomâni') in a backward direction. The Taitt. Br. III, 3, 1, 4 has 'on the elbow (aratnau) the hairs above (point) forward, those below backward,' which Sâyana (Taitt. S. I, 1, 1, 10) explains by 'the short hairs above the wrist (? manibandhâd ūrdhvam) are forward-pointed (prânmukha), but those below are backward-pointed (pratyaṅgâ).'

³ That is to say, the heating of the spoons corresponds to the usual final rinsing of household vessels with water without touching them. Sâyana.

9. The dipping-spoon (*sruva*, masc.) he brushes first, and then the other spoons (*sruk*, fem.). The offering-spoon (*sruk*), namely, is female, and the dipping-spoon is male, so that, although in this way several women meet together, the one that is, as it were, the only male youth among them, goes there first, and the others after him. This is the reason why he brushes the dipping-spoon first, and afterwards the other (offering-)spoons.

10. Let him brush them so as not to spatter anything towards the fire, as he would thereby bespatter him, to whom he will be bringing food, with the slops of the vessels: therefore let him brush them so as not to spatter anything towards the fire, that is to say, after stepping outside (the *Āhavanīya* fire-house) towards the east.

11. Here now some throw the grass-ends used for cleaning the spoons into the (*Āhavanīya*) fire. 'To the *veda* (grass-bunch) they assuredly belonged, and the spoons have been cleaned with them: hence it is something that belongs to the sacrifice, and (we throw it into the fire) in order that it should not become excluded from the sacrifice,' thus (they argue). Let him, however, not do so, since he would thereby make him to whom he will offer food, drink the slops of the vessels¹. Let him therefore throw them away (on the heap of rubbish).

12. He (the *Agnīdhra*) then girds the wife (of the

¹ The *Black Yagus* (Taitt. Br. III, 3, 2, 1) prescribes that the grass-ends, after the brushing, should be thrown into the fire, and not on the heap of rubbish, as some do; or at all events they should not be thrown on the *utkara*, without their having been previously washed with water, as they would otherwise bring ill-luck to the cattle.

sacrificer)¹. She, the wife, truly is the hinder part of the sacrifice. 'May the sacrifice go on increasing before me!' thus (she thinks while) he girds her, thinking, 'may she sit thus girt by my sacrifice!'

13. He girds her with a cord (yoktra): for with a cord (yoktra) they yoke the draught-animal (yogya). Impure indeed is that part of woman which is below the navel; and therewith she will be facing the sacrificial butter: that part of her he thereby conceals with the cord, and only with the pure upper part of her body she then faces the sacrificial butter. This is the reason why he girds the wife².

14. He girds her over the garment. Now the garment represents the plants, and (the cord represents) Varuṇa's noose³ (raggu): hence he thereby places the plants between (her and the noose), and

¹ The mistress of the house is seated south-west of the Gârhapatya fire [with bent (or raised) knees and her face turned towards north-east]. The Āgnidhra then girds her round the waist, outside the garment, with a triple cord of reed-grass (muṇḍga). Kāty. II, 7, 1; and Sāyana on our passage.

² According to Taitt. Br. III, 3, 3, 2-3 the symbolical meaning of this act is, that it represents the *vratopanayana*, or initiation of the wife into the sacred rite. The girding of the wife would thus possess a significance similar to that of the ordinary *upanayana*, or investiture of the youth with the sacred cord.

³ The noose (pāśa) is one of the chief attributes of God Varuṇa, the symbol of his supreme power and his abhorrence of sin. Thus we read in Atharva-veda IV, 16, 4 seq.: 'And if one were to flee far beyond the sky, one would not escape from king Varuṇa. From heaven his spies issue forth to this (world), and with their thousand eyes survey the earth. King Varuṇa sees all that happens between heaven and earth and beyond them: the very twinklings of the eyes of men are numbered by him. . . . May all those baleful nooses of thine, O Varuṇa, that are thrown sevenfold and three-

thus that noose of Varuṇa does not injure her. This is the reason why he girds her over the garment.

15. He girds her, with the text (Vāg. S. I, 30), 'A zone art thou for Aditi!' Aditi, indeed, is this earth. She is the wife of the gods, and that one is his (the sacrificer's) wife. It is for the latter, accordingly, that he makes it a zone instead of a noose (or string). A zone means a girdle, and he thereby makes it this for her.

16. Let him not make a knot¹, for the knot is Varuṇa's (attribute); and Varuṇa would lay hold on the (sacrificer's) wife, if he were to make a knot. For this reason he does not make a knot.

17. He twists it through upwards², with the text (Vāg. S. I, 30), 'The pervader³ of Vishṇu art thou!' Let her not sit to the west of the sacrifice, with her face towards the east. For Aditi is this earth⁴, she is the wife of the gods, and she indeed sits on the west of the sacrifice of the gods, with her face turned

fold, ensnare him who speaks untruth, and pass by him who speaks the truth!

¹ Taitt. Br. III, 3. 3, 4, on the contrary, prescribes a knot (granthim grathnāti), as the symbol which is to secure all blessings for her.

² He winds the cord round her waist from left to right (pradakṣhiṇam), and having fixed the southern end by twice twisting round the northern one, he draws the southern end through the encircling cord upwards (so as to hang down, uparishāl lambayet, Sāyana. Kāty. II, 7, 1, &c., Scholl.).

³ Veshya=vyāpaka, Mahīdh.; 'perhaps a headband,' St. Petersburg. Dict. It is apparently an etymological play on the name of Vishṇu (? the all-pervading sun). The formula, according to Mahīdhara, is addressed to the southern end of the cord which is drawn through the girdle (? the pervading ray of Vishṇu).

⁴ Aditi is the earth and therefore the altar, which represents the earth; hence Aditi, in the shape of the altar, looks towards the east.

towards the east: and this lady would, therefore, raise herself to her (Aditi), and would speedily go to yonder world. And thus (viz. by sitting in the prescribed way) she lives for a long time, thus she propitiates her (Aditi), and thus the latter harms her not. For this reason let her sit somewhat to the south.

18. She looks down upon the sacrificial butter¹; for assuredly that wife is a woman, and the butter (represents) seed: hence a productive union is thereby brought about. For this reason she looks towards the butter.

19. She looks, with the text (Vâg. S. I, 30), 'With an unimpaired eye I look on thee;' whereby she says, 'with an uninjured eye I look on thee.'— 'Agni's tongue art thou!' for when they offer up that (butter) in the fire, then Agni's tongues, as it were, issue forth: therefore she says, 'Agni's tongue art thou!'— 'A good caller² of the gods,' whereby she says, 'well for the gods;'— 'be thou for every dainty (or, sacrificial site, dhâman), for every prayer of mine!' whereby she says, 'for every sacrifice of mine be thou (a good caller)!'

20. Having then taken up the butter (from the

¹ He takes the pot containing the clarified butter from the fire, with the text (Vâg. S. I, 30): 'For juice thee!' [see I, 2, 2, 6,] puts it down on the ground before the sacrificer's wife and bids her look down on it. Kâty. II, 7, 4.

² Suhûh. The Kânva recension and Taitt. S. I, 1, 10, 3 have subhûh, 'well-being, good,' which reading seems also to be presupposed by our author's explanation 'well (or good) for the gods.' The Black Yagus assigns this entire mantra to the Adhvaryu, when he has taken the butter from the Âhavanîya, and puts it down north of the altar. In other respects also it differs considerably from the order followed by our author.

ground), he (the Agnidhra) carries it eastwards. In the case of one whose Āhavanīya fire is used for the cooking, he (now in the first place) puts it on the Āhavanīya, thinking, 'My oblation shall be entirely cooked on the Āhavanīya¹!' The reason why he first puts it thereon (viz. on the Gārhapatya) is, because he will have to make the wife look at it: for it would not be proper, if he were to take it (from the Āhavanīya) to the west in the midst of the performance, for the purpose of making the wife look at it; and if he were not to let the wife look at it at all, he would thereby exclude her from the sacrifice. And in this way, then, he does not exclude the (sacrificer's) wife from the sacrifice: therefore he does not take it eastwards till after melting it close by the wife (on the Gārhapatya), and making her look at it. In the case of one who (through death or from other causes) has not his wife with him, he puts it from the very beginning on the Āhavanīya. He then takes it again from thence and puts it down within the altar.

21. Here now they say,—'He must not place it within the altar; for from that (butter) they make the oblation to the wives of the gods²: he therefore excludes the wives of the gods from the company (of

¹ According to the ritual of the Black Yagus, the butter, after the sacrificer's wife has looked at it, is again heated on the Gārhapatya fire, in order to remove the impurity which has thereby been imparted to it.

² The patnīsaṃyāgas are four oblations of butter to Soma, Tvashṛī, the wives of the gods, and Agni Gārhapati respectively, made at the end of these sacrifices. See I, 9, 2, 1. It would seem that, according to the ritual of the Black Yagus, the butter is not put on the altar, but on a line drawn with the wooden sword north of the altar. See p. 74, note 2.

their husbands)¹, and thereby his (the sacrificer's) wife becomes dissatisfied with her own husband. Yāgyavalkya, however, said in reference to this point, 'Let it be so as it has been prescribed for the wife! who would care whether his wife may consort with other men?' 'As the altar is (part of the) sacrifice, and the butter is (part of the) sacrifice, I will build up the sacrifice from out of the sacrifice!' thus thinking, let him place it within the altar.

22. The two strainers are lying in the sprinkling water. He takes them from thence and purifies (ut-pū) the butter with them. Now one of them is related to the wind (that blows) upwards (utpavana)², so that he thereby makes it (the butter) sacrificially pure.

23. He clarifies it, with the text (Vāg. S. I, 31), 'By the impulse of Savitṛi I purify thee with a flawless purifier (strainer), with the rays of the sun!' The meaning (of this formula) is the same (as before).

24. He then purifies the sprinkling water with the strainers covered with butter, with the text (Vāg. S.

¹ Avasabhāh karoti=avagataganasamūhāh karoti, Sāy.; the gods are supposed to be assembled around the altar (cf. I, 3, 3, 8): hence by placing the butter, from which the oblations to the wives of the gods are to be made, within the altar, the Adhvaryu would separate the wives from their husbands.

² I am not quite certain as to whether this last scornful remark is really to be assigned to Yāgyavalkya. The Kāṇva text has,—Yāgyavalkya, however, said, 'Let him place it within the altar!' thus he said. 'Let it be so as it has been prescribed for the wife,' thus (thinking) let him place it, whether or not she consort with other men.

³ Probably the same as ud-āna (breathing upwards or inspiration), which one of the strainers is said to represent in I, 1, 3, 2. See also I, 1, 3, 6; Taitt. Br. III, 3, 4, 4. The St. Petersburg Dictionary proposes the meaning 'an implement for cleaning' for utpavana in this passage.

1, 31), 'By the impulse of Savitrī I purify you (O waters) with a flawless purifier, with the rays of the sun!' The meaning is the same (as before).

25. The reason why he purifies the sprinkling water with the strainers covered with butter is, that he thereby puts milk into the water, and that the milk thereby (becomes) beneficial¹ in the water, for, when it rains, plants are thereby produced; and on eating the plants and drinking the water, vital fluid (serum) results therefrom: and thus (he does this) in order to supply the vital fluid (of the sacrificer).

26. He then looks down on the butter. Here now some make the sacrificer look down. Yāgñavalkya, however, said in reference to this point,—'Why do not (the sacrificers) themselves become (act as) Adhvaryu priests? and why do not they (the sacrificers) themselves recite when far higher blessings are prayed for²? How can these (people) possibly have faith in this³? Whatever blessing the officiating priests invoke during the sacrifice that is for the benefit of the sacrificer alone.' The Adhvaryu should accordingly look down on it.

¹ A play on the word *hitam*, which means both 'put, placed,' and 'beneficial, salutary.'

² The Kāṇva text has as follows,—Here now some make the sacrificer eye it, arguing, 'whatever blessing (resides therein) that he should himself pray for.' Yāgñavalkya, however, said in reference to this point, 'Why then does not he himself become Adhvaryu? and why does he not recite (the solemn prayers of the Hotri priest), and that when they pray for higher blessing? Whatever blessing the priests invoke at the sacrifice, that they invoke for the sacrificer alone;' thus he said. The Adhvaryu, therefore, should look down on it.

³ Teshām sākṣhinām atraivāvekṣaṇam yagamānenaiva kartavyam iti kasmāt kāraṇāt śraddhā gātā, evam tām śraddhām prahasya, Sāy. The Kāṇva text omits this derisive remark.

27. He looks down on it. The eye assuredly is the truth, for the eye is indeed the truth. If, therefore, two persons were to come disputing with each other and saying, 'I have seen it!' 'I have heard it!' we should believe him who said, 'I have seen it!' and not the other: hence he thereby causes it (the butter) to increase by means of the truth.

28. He looks down on it, with the text (Vāg. S. I, 31), 'Lustrous art thou! resplendent art thou! immortal (or, ambrosia) art thou!' That prayer is indeed true, for that (butter) is lustrous, it is resplendent, it is immortal: hence he thereby causes it to increase by that (prayer) which is true.

SECOND BRĀHMANA.

1. Now the sacrifice is the man. The sacrifice is the man for the reason that the man spreads (performs) it; and that in being spread it is made of exactly the same extent as the man¹: this is the reason why the sacrifice is the man.

2. The *guhû* (spoon) further belongs to that (man-shaped sacrifice), and so does the *upabhṛit*; and the *dhruvâ*² represents its trunk. Now it is from the

¹ The sacrifice is the representation of the sacrificer himself; and hence its dimensions are to be those of a man, viz. the altar (*vedi*) on its western side is to measure a fathom, or space between the extreme ends of the outstretched arms (? of the sacrificer), which is supposed to be equal to the size of a man; see I, 2, 5, 14. Originally these measurements were no doubt relative to the size of the sacrificer; but it is doubtful whether this was still the case at the time of our author.

² For a description of these spoons, see p. 67, note 2. The *guhû* is supposed to represent the right, and the *upabhṛit* the left arm, and the *dhruvâ* the trunk.

trunk that all these limbs proceed, and for this reason the entire sacrifice proceeds from the dhruvā.

3. The dipping-spoon (sruva, masc.) is no other than the breath. This breath passes through (or, goes to) all the limbs, and for that reason the dipping-spoon goes to all the offering-spoons (sruḥ, fem.).

4. That guhû further is to him no other than yonder sky, and the upabhr̥it this atmosphere, and the dhruvā this same (earth). Now it is from this (earth) that all the worlds originate: and from the dhruvā, therefore, the whole sacrifice proceeds.

5. The dipping-spoon then is no other than that blowing one (the wind); it is this that sweeps across all these worlds: and for that reason the sruva goes to all the offering-spoons.

6. Now when this sacrifice is being performed, it is performed for the gods, the seasons, and the metres (or sacred texts). To the gods belongs what sacrificial food there is, to wit, king Soma and the sacrificial cake: all this he takes, while announcing it with the formula, 'I take thee, agreeable to so and so!' for thus it becomes theirs.

7. And whatever oblations of butter are taken, they are taken for the seasons and the metres. Every one of them he takes in the form of butter without announcing it (to any particular deity). In the guhû he takes of it four times (with the sruva from the pot), in the upabhr̥it eight times¹.

8. Now when he takes of it four times (with the sruva) in the guhû, he takes it for the seasons, since

¹ He takes butter in the guhû and upabhr̥it by four or eight ladlings with the dipping-spoon. As we learn further on, the quantity taken in the guhû, by ladling four times, should exceed that in the upabhr̥it, although the latter requires eight ladlings. Cf. Kāty. II, 7, 13.

he takes it for the fore-offerings¹, and the fore-offerings are the seasons: all this he takes in the form of butter without making any announcement, in order to avoid sameness; for if he were to take it with the formulas 'For Spring (I take) thee!' 'For Summer—thee!' he would commit (the fault of) a repetition²: he therefore takes it in the form of butter without making any announcement.

9. When, on the other hand, he takes eight times (with the *sruva*) in the *upabhr̥it*, he takes it for the metres³, since it is for the after-offerings¹ that he takes it; and the after-offerings are the metres: all this he takes in the form of butter without making any announcement, in order to avoid sameness; for were he to take it with the formulas 'For the *Gāyatrī*—thee!' 'For the *Trish/ubh*—thee!' he would commit a repetition: he therefore takes it in the form of butter without making any announcement.

10. Again, when he takes four times (with the *sruva*)

¹ On the *prayāgas*, or oblations of clarified butter introductory to, and the *anuyāgas*, oblations of the same material made subsequently to, the chief sacrifice, see I, 5, 3, 1 seq., and I, 8, 2, 1 seq.

² Repetition of one and the same sacrificial act on the same day is to be avoided, as far as possible. The repetition in the present case would consist in his announcing the butter-oblations to the several deities in the same way as he has done in regard to the rice-portions. See I, 1, 2, 17-18.

³ On the frequent symbolical employment of the metres in the ritual, as the embodiment of supreme harmony and the efficacy of prayer, see Weber, *Ind. Stud.* VIII, 8 seq. The three principal Vedic metres are the *gāyatrī* (three times eight syllables), the *trish/ubh* (four times eleven syllables), and the *gagatī* (four times twelve syllables); and three *anuyāgas* there are at these sacrifices, viz. to the *barhis* or sacrificial grass, to *Narāsaṃsa* and *Agni Svish-takr̥it* respectively. In the present instance (see par. 16) the *trish/ubh* and *gagatī* metres are taken together as one, and as a fourth is added the *anush/ubh* (four times eight syllables).

in the *dhruvā*, he takes it for the whole sacrifice, and all this he takes in the form of butter without making any announcement. To whom indeed should he announce it, since he cuts it off for all the deities? He therefore takes it in the form of butter without making any announcement.

11. Now the sacrificer stands behind the *guhû*, and he who means evil to him stands behind the *upabhr̥it*. The eater stands behind the *guhû*, and what (or, he who) is to be eaten stands behind the *upabhr̥it*. And the *guhû*, indeed, is the eater, and the *upabhr̥it* is that which is to be eaten. In the *guhû* he takes four times (with the *sruva*), and in the *upabhr̥it* eight times.

12. Now when he takes four times (butter) in the *guhû*, he thereby makes the eater more limited, smaller; and when he takes eight times in the *upabhr̥it*, he makes that which is to be eaten more unlimited, more abundant: for a flourishing condition indeed exists where the eater is smaller and that which is to be eaten more abundant.

13. In taking four times in the *guhû*, he takes (altogether) more butter, and in taking eight times in the *upabhr̥it* he takes less butter.

14. For when, in taking four times (butter with the *sruva*) in the *guhû*, he takes more butter, he thereby, in making the eater more limited, smaller, imparts vigour and strength to him. And when, in taking eight times in the *upabhr̥it*, he takes less butter, he thereby, in making that which (or, him who) is to be eaten more unlimited, more abundant, makes it (or, him) vigourless and weaker. And thus a king who has established himself among a numberless people, subdues them even from a single dwelling.

and takes possession of whatever he likes¹: with that very same energy (the Adhvaryu acts) when he takes a greater quantity of butter in the *guhû*. Now what he takes in the *guhû*, that he offers with the *guhû*; and what he takes in the *upabhrîit*, that also he offers with the *guhû*.

15. And in reference to this point they say: 'Wherefore then is he to take it in the *upabhrîit*, if he does not offer it with the *upabhrîit*?' Now, if he were to offer it with the *upabhrîit*, those subjects (of the king) would assuredly become separated from him, nor would there be either an eater or what is to be eaten. When, on the other hand, he pours (the butter) together and thus offers it with the *guhû*, thereby the people pay tribute to the Kshatriya. Hence by what he takes in the *upabhrîit*, the Vaisya (man of the people), under the rule of the Kshatriya, becomes possessed of cattle; and when he pours (the butter) together and offers it with the *guhû*, thereby the Kshatriya, whenever he likes, says, 'Hallo Vaisya, just bring to me what thou hast stored away!' Thus he both subdues him and obtains possession of anything he wishes by dint of this very energy.

16. These butter-portions, then, are taken for the metres. Now what he takes in the *guhû* (by ladling) four times (with the *sruva*), that he takes for the *gâyatri*; and what he takes in the *upabhrîit* (by ladling) eight times, that he takes for the *trishûbh* and *gagati*; and what he takes in the *dhruvâ* (by

¹ Tasmâd uta rîgâpârâm visam prâvasâyâpy ekavesmanaiva ('by one who has a single dwelling, i.e. by himself,' Sâyana) ginâti tvad yathâ tvat kâmayate tathâ satate. The MS. of the Kârva text has: 'Tasmât kshatriyo rîgotâpârâd visam prâvasâya ginâti tvad yathâ tva(t) kâmayate tat karoti.'

ladling) four times, that he takes for the anushṭubh. For the anushṭubh is speech, and from speech all this (universe) springs: hence it is from the dhruvā that the whole sacrifice originates. The anushṭubh also is this (earth), and from it all this (universe) originates: hence it is from the dhruvā that the whole sacrifice originates.

17. He takes (butter with the sruva), with the text (Vāg. S. I, 31), 'Verily, thou art the favourite resort (or, dainty) of the gods!' He thereby makes that butter the most favourite resort of the gods: for this reason he says, 'verily, thou art the favourite resort of the gods!'—'An unassailable means of worship!' the butter is indeed a thunderbolt: therefore he says, 'an unassailable means of worship!'

18. Once he puts (butter with the sruva) into the guhū with this formula, three times silently. With the same formula he puts (butter) once into the upabhrīt, seven times silently. With the same formula he puts once (butter) into the dhruvā, three times silently. Now, as to this, they say, 'Thrice he should take with the formula in each case, for three-fold is the sacrifice.' Nevertheless (it is done) only once with each (spoon), for it is just in this way that the taking thrice (with a formula) is accomplished.

THIRD BRĀHMANA.

1. The Adhvaryu takes the sprinkling-water, and sprinkles in the first place the fire-wood¹, with the

¹ The fire-wood had been brought by the Āgnīdhra and laid down on the altar. The Adhvaryu now unties and sprinkles it. [Before doing so he has, as usual, to ask and obtain the permission of the Brahman. The same is the case in regard to the barhis, but not in regard to the altar.] Kāty. II, 7, 19.

text (Vāg. S. II, 1), 'A black deer, living in the den, art thou¹; I sprinkle thee, agreeable to Agni!' He thereby makes it sacrificially pure for Agni.

2. He then sprinkles the altar, with the text (Vāg. S. II, 1), 'Thou art the altar; I sprinkle thee, agreeable to the barhis (sacrificial-grass covering)!' He thereby makes it sacrificially pure for the grass covering.

3. He (the Āgnīdhra) then hands the sacrificial grass² to him (the Adhvaryu). The latter puts it down (on the altar) with the knot turned to the east, and sprinkles it, with the text (Vāg. S. II, 1), 'Barhis art thou! I sprinkle thee, agreeable to the spoons!' He thereby makes it sacrificially pure for the spoons.

4. Thereupon he pours the sprinkling-water

¹ ? Ākhare-sh/ha; it probably has a double meaning in this place, viz. 'that which dwells in a den (ākhara)' and 'that which has its place on the hearth (khara).'

² 'At the beginning of the sacrifice the Adhvaryu makes of the load of Darbha or sacred grass, which has been brought to the sacrificial compound, seven mush/is or bunches, each of which is tied together with a stalk of grass, just as the Baresma (Barsom) of the Parsis. The several names of these seven bunches are, 1. Yagamānamush/i, the bunch kept by the sacrificer himself in his hand as long as the sacrifice lasts. 2. Three bunches from the Barhis, or the covering of the Vedi on which the sacrificial vessels are put. These are unloosened and spread all over the Vedi. 3. Prastara. This bunch, which must remain tied, is put over the Darbha of the Vedi. 4. Paribhogant. From this bunch the Adhvaryu takes a handful out for each priest, and the sacrificer and his wife, which they then use for their seat. 5. The Veda. This bunch is made double in its first part; the latter part is cut off and has to remain on the Vedi; it is called parivāsana. The Veda itself is always wandering from one priest to the other, and is given to the sacrificer and his wife. It is handed over to the latter only when one of the priests makes her recite a mantra.' Haug's translation of the At. Br. p. 79.

which is left on the roots of the (grass) plants, with the text (Vâg. S. II, 2), 'A moistening art thou for Aditi!' Aditi, indeed, is this earth; hence it is for the latter that he thus moistens the roots of the plants: thereby these plants become root-moistened; and even if their tops are dry, their roots at least remain moist.

5. Having thereupon untied the knot, he takes the prastara bunch from the front (of the barhis), with the text (Vâg. S. II, 2), 'Vishṇu's crest art thou!' Vishṇu, namely, is the sacrifice, and this (the prastara) is his top-knot or crest: this he thereby makes it at this sacrifice¹. From the front he takes it, because this top-knot also is (worn) on the front (of the head): for this reason he takes it from the front.

6. He then undoes the band (of the barhis). 'His (the sacrificer's) wife is sure to bring forth without difficulty²,' thinking thus he undoes the band. He puts it down on the right hip (of the altar); for this represents his (the sacrificer's) waist-band, and it is on the right side that the waist-band is (tied): this is the reason why he puts it down on the right hip. He again covers it over (with sacrificial grass); for the waist-band also is covered (by the upper garment): for this reason he again covers it.

7. He now spreads the barhis (on the altar). For the prastara is the top-knot; and this other

¹ Because, according to Sâyana, it lies on the front, or eastern side of the altar, near the Âhavanīya fire, and men also wear their top-knot (in the form of a ball or lump) on the fore-part of their head. The prastara he hands to the Brahman-priest. Kâty. II, 7, 22.

² Prakṛiptam; Sâyana takes it in the sense of 'a completely formed (child).'

sacrificial grass is for this (sacrifice) what other hair there is below that (top-knot, viz. the beard, &c.) :— that (hair) he thereby puts on it, and for this reason he spreads the barhis.

8. Now the altar (vedi, fem.) is a woman, and around her sit the gods and those priests who have studied and teach revealed lore¹; and as they thus sit around her, he makes her not naked: hence it is in order to avoid nudity (on her or the altar's part) that he spreads the barhis.

9. As large as the altar is, so large is the earth; and the plants (are represented by) the barhis; so that he thereby furnishes the earth with plants; and those plants are firmly established in this earth: for this reason he spreads the barhis.

10. Here now they say, 'Let him strew abundantly; for where the plants are most abundant on her, there the means of subsistence are most amply afforded by her: let him therefore strew abundantly!' It is in favour of him (the sacrificer) who procures (the sacrificial grass), then, (that this is done.) He strews it threefold², for threefold is the sacrifice. Or he may also spread it whilst lifting up (the tops)³; for

¹ 'Around her on the south sit the gods and those man-gods (manushyadevâh), the priests who have studied and teach revealed lore.' Kāṇva recension.

² Viz. in three layers, one beside the other, each consisting of one handful of grass. He first spreads a layer on the east side from the southern to the northern shoulder of the altar, with the tops of the blades turned towards the east; then a second one west of it, so as to cover the roots of the first with the tops of the second layer; and in the same way a third one on the west side of the altar. If he thinks fit, he may make more than three layers, but their number should be uneven. Kāty. II, 7, 22-26 (schol.).

³ That is to say, he is to begin on the west side, and in laying down the successive layers, he is to lift up (with a stick or some

it has been said by the seer (Vāg. S. VII, 32), 'They spread the barhis continuously.' He spreads it with the roots below (the tops); for it is with their roots below that those plants are firmly established in this earth: for this reason he spreads it with the roots below.

11. He spreads it, with the text (Vāg. S. II, 2), 'I spread thee, soft as wool, pleasant to sit upon for the gods.'—when he says 'thee, soft as wool,' he thereby means to say 'agreeable to the gods;' and by 'pleasant to sit upon for the gods,' he means to say 'forming a good seat for the gods.'

12. He now trims the fire¹. The Āhavanīya, doubtless, is the head of the sacrifice, for the head is the fore-part²: that fore-part of the sacrifice he thereby trims. He trims it while holding the prastara (which he has received back from the Brahman) close over it; for the prastara is the top-knot, and it is this which he thereby puts on it: for this reason he trims (the fire) while holding the prastara close over it.

13. He then lays the (three) enclosing-sticks (paridhi) around (the fire). The reason why he lays the enclosing-sticks around (is this). When at first the gods chose Agni for the office of Hotri, he said: 'Verily; I am not equal to this, that I should be your Hotri, and that I should carry your oblation. Already you have chosen three before,

other object) the heads of the preceding layer and push the roots of the succeeding one under them. Ib. 27 (schol.).

¹ He takes one stick from the fuel and gets the fire ready (for the oblations, either by throwing the stick into it, or by stirring it with the stick). Ib. 29.

² The Āhavanīya is at the foremost or eastern end of the sacrificial ground.

and they have passed away¹. Restore them to me : then I shall be equal to this, that I should be your *Hotri* and that I should carry your oblation !' They said, 'So be it !' and they restored to him those (three former Agnis): they are these enclosing-sticks.

14. He then said, 'The thunderbolt, (in the shape of) the *vasha*-call², has struck these down : I am afraid of that thunderbolt, the *vasha*-call. Lest that thunderbolt, the *vasha*-call, should strike me down, enclose me by those (three Agnis, or *paridhis*); and thus that thunderbolt, the *vasha*-call, will not strike me down.' They said, 'So be it !' and they enclosed him with those (three sticks), and that thunderbolt, the *vasha*-call, did not strike him down. When he encloses Agni with those (sticks) he buckles armour on him.

15. They (the other three Agnis) then said, 'If you join us with the sacrifice in this wise, then let us also have a share in the sacrifice !'

16. The gods said : 'So be it ! What shall fall outside the enclosure, that is offered unto you ; and what they shall offer just upon you, that will sate

¹ See I, 2, 3, 1.

² The call '*vasha*' (or *vausha*), apparently signifying 'may he (Agni) carry it (the oblation) up !' (from *vah*, to bear, carry), is pronounced by the *Hotri* at the end of the *yāgyās* or offering prayers (see note on I, 5, 1, 16). Professor Weber has somewhere proposed to derive it from *vaksh*, to grow, increase, hence 'may it prosper, or agree, with you !' Different, but quite fanciful, interpretations of *vasha* are given *Sat. Br.* I, 5, 2, 18 ; *Ait. Br.* 3, 6. As to the awful solemnity of this formula, and the danger arising from a careless use of it, see *Ait. Br.* 3, 8, on which Haug remarks, 'Up to the present day the *Shrotriyas* or sacrificial priests never dare to pronounce this formula save at the time of sacrificing. They say that if they would do so at any other time, they would be cursed by the gods.'

you ; and what they shall offer up in the fire that will sate you !' Thus what they offer up in the fire, that satisfies them (the Agnis) ; and what they offer up just upon them (the enclosing-sticks, or Agnis), that satisfies them ; and what is spilled outside the enclosure, that is offered to them¹ : hence no sin attaches to what (butter) is spilt ; for into this earth they entered (when they, the Agnis, passed away), and whatever is spilt here,—all that remains indeed in her.

17. That which is spilt he touches, with the formulas (Vāg. S. II, 2), 'To the Lord of the Earth—svāhā !' 'To the Lord of the World—svāhā !' 'To the Lord of Beings—svāhā !' These, indeed, are the names of those Agnis,—to wit, Lord of the Earth, Lord of the World, and Lord of Beings. Thus in like manner as that (oblation) which is accompanied by 'Vashat' is offered up (to the particular deity to which it is announced), so is this (offered up) on his (the sacrificer's) part to those Agnis.

18. Here now some people take the sticks they lay around from the fire-wood ; but let him not do so, for unsuitable for laying around are those which they take from the fire-wood, since the fire-wood is prepared for the purpose of being put upon (the fire) ; but what other (kind of sticks) they bring to him, called 'enclosing-sticks (paridhis),' they are indeed suitable for his purpose : let them therefore bring others.

19. Indeed, they should be of Palāsa wood

¹ The Kāṇva text has as follows:—They said, 'So be it! what shall fall outside the enclosure that shall be yours! and what they shall offer just upon you that shall sate you!' for what they offer just upon them that does indeed sate them (enān) ; and what they offer up in the fire that is theirs (eshām, ? the gods') ; and what falls outside the enclosure by that he shall incur no guilt, &c.

(*Butea Frondosa*) ; for the *Palāsa* tree, doubtless, is the Brahman¹, and Agni also is the Brahman : for this reason the Agnis should be of *Palāsa* wood.

20. Should he be unable to procure them of *Palāsa* wood, they may be of *Vikankaṭa* wood (*Flacourtia Sapida*) ; and if he be unable to procure any of *Vikankaṭa*, they may be of *Kârshmarya* wood (*Gmelina Arborea*) ; and if he be unable to procure any of *Kârshmarya* wood, they may be of *Vilva* (*Aegle Marmelos*), or of *Khadira* (*Acacia Catechu*), or of *Udumbara* wood (*Ficus Glomerata*). These, doubtless, are the trees that are suitable for sacrificial purposes, and from these trees they (the enclosing-sticks) are therefore (taken).

FOURTH BRĀHMANA.

1. They should be green (fresh) ; for that is (what constitutes) their living element, by that they are vigorous, by that possessed of strength : for this reason they should be green.

2. The middle stick he lays down first (on the west side of the fire), with the text (*Vâg. S. II, 3*), 'May the Gandharva *Visvâvasu*² lay thee around

¹ The Brahman, or supreme spirit (? or, sacred writ), is more than once identified with the *Palāsa* tree in the *Satapatha Br.*, as in V, 2, 4, 18 ; VI, 6, 3, 7 ; XII, 7, 2, 15 ; and with the leaf of that tree (*palāśasya palāśam*) in II, 6, 2, 8. [? Cf. *Rig-veda* X, 31, 7, 'Which was the wood, which was the tree, out of which they fashioned heaven and earth?' and *Taitt. Br.* II, 8, 9, 6, 'Brahma was the wood, Brahma was that tree out of which they fashioned heaven and earth ;' also *Ath-veda* X, 7, 38, 'The gods form part of the divine essence (*Skambha-Brahma*) as branches of a tree.']

² The genius *Visvâvasu* is already mentioned in *Rig-veda* X, 85, 21 seq., and X, 139, 4, where Grassmann identifies him with the rainbow (cf. Roth, *Nirukta* notes, p. 145). See also *Sat. Br.* III, 2, 4, 2 ; XIV, 9, 4, 18.

for the security of the All! Thou art a fence to the sacrificer, thou (art) Agni, invoked and worthy of invocation!

3. He then lays down the southern one, with the text (ib.), 'Thou art Indra's arm for the security of the All! Thou art a fence to the sacrificer; thou Agni, invoked and worthy of invocation!'

4. He then lays down the northern one, with the text (ib.), 'May Mitra-Varuṇa lay thee around in the north with firm law for the security of the All! Thou art a fence to the sacrificer, thou Agni, invoked and worthy of invocation!' They are indeed Agnis, and for that reason he says, 'Agni, invoked and worthy of invocation!'

5. Thereupon he puts on (the fire) a samidh (kindling-stick). He first touches with it the middle enclosing-stick: thereby he first kindles those (three Agnis). After that he puts it on the fire: thereby he kindles the visible fire.

6. He puts it on¹, with the gāyatrī stanza (Vâg. S. II, 4), 'Thee, O Sage, who callest (the gods) to the feast, we will kindle so as to shine brilliantly; thee, O Agni, mighty at the sacrifice!' He thereby kindles the gāyatrī²; the gāyatrī, when kindled, kindles the other metres; and the metres, when kindled, carry the sacrifice to the gods.

¹ According to Sâyaṇa, the two sticks or pieces of wood are put on the fire in a manner similar to that in which the two âghâras or sprinklings of clarified butter are made (see I, 4, 4-5); viz. the first in the direction north-west to south-east, and the second from south-west to north-east.

² The gāyatrī is the first of the three principal metres, cf. p. 80, note 3. It consists of three octo-syllabic pādas, of which Rig-veda I, 164, 25 says,—'The gāyatra, they say, has three flames (or fire-brands, samidh): therefore it excelled in grandeur and power.'

7. By the second kindling-stick (*samidh*), which he now puts on, he kindles the spring; the spring, when kindled, kindles the other seasons; and the seasons, when kindled, cause living beings to be produced and the plants to ripen. He puts it on, with the formula (*Vâg. S. II, 5*), 'A kindler (*samidh*) art thou!' for the spring is indeed a kindler.

8. When he has put it on, he murmurs (*ib.*), 'May the sun guard thee from the east against any imprecation!' for the enclosing-sticks serve for protection on all (the other three) sides; and thereby he makes the sun the protector on the east side, fearing 'lest the evil spirits, the *Rakshas*, should rush in from the east:' for the sun is the repeller of the evil spirits, the *Rakshas*.

9. By that third kindling-stick, then, which he puts on at the after-offerings¹, he kindles the officiating priest (*brâhmaṇa*); and he, the priest, when kindled, carries the sacrifice to the gods.

10. He now returns to the altar covered (with sacrificial grass). Having taken two stalks of grass, he lays them down across (the *barhis* or grass covering, with the tops to the north), with the formula (*Vâg. S. II, 5*), 'Savitri's arms² are ye!' The *prastara* bunch is indeed the top-knot (of the sacrifice); and he now lays down these two cross-wise as its eye-brows: thereby these two (represent) the transverse eye-brows. The *prastara*, further,

¹ See I, 8, 2, 3.

² *Bâhû*, 'the two arms,' is apparently taken here by our author both in its natural sense and as the arms of the bow or arch, formed by the eye-brows. The *barhis*, or grass covering of the altar, was, as we saw (I, 3, 3, 7), identified with the beard and other hair of the body.

(represents) the kshatra (or military class); and the other barhis the vis (or, the common Āryan people);—(and the two stalks he puts down between them) for the sake of separating (vidhrīti) the kshatra and the vis: for this reason he lays them down crosswise; and for this reason these two (stalks) are called vidhrīti.

11. On them he spreads the prastara, with the formula (Vāg. S. II, 5), 'I spread thee, soft as wool, pleasant to sit upon for the gods!' When he says 'thee, soft as wool,' he means to say 'agreeable to the gods;' and by 'pleasant to sit upon for the gods' he means to say 'forming a good seat for the gods.'

12. He presses it down (with his left hand), with the text (ib.), 'May the Vasus, the Rudras, the Ādityas sit on thee!' These three, that is, the Vasus, the Rudras, and the Ādityas, namely, are (classes of) gods; and these, he means to say, are to sit down on it. While it is still being held down with his left hand,—

13. He seizes the guhû with his right, fearing 'lest the evil spirits, the Rakshas, should enter there in the meantime;' for the officiating priest (brāhmaṇa) is the repeller of the Rakshas: therefore, while it (the prastara) is still being held down with his left hand,—

14. He seizes the guhû, with the text (Vāg. S. II, 6), 'Fond of butter art thou, Guhû by name!' for fond of butter indeed it is, and Guhû by name;—'Sit down here with the favourite resort¹ (or dainty) on the favourite seat!' The upabhrīt (he takes), with the formula (ib.), 'Fond of butter art thou, Upabhrīt

¹ Viz. the butter, which is the dear resort, or home, of the gods; see I, 3, 2, 17. Possibly, however, dhāman may here mean 'dainty.'

by name!’ for fond of butter indeed it is, and Upabhr̥it by name ;—‘ Sit down here with the favourite resort on the favourite seat!’ The dhruvâ (he takes)° with ‘Fond of butter art thou, Dhruvâ by name!’ for fond of butter indeed it is, and Dhruvâ by name ;—‘ Sit down here with the favourite resort on the favourite seat!’ What other sacrificial food there is, (he puts down on the prastara), with the formula, ‘With the favourite resort sit down on the favourite seat!’

15. He lays the *guhû* down on (the prastara), and the other spoons down below, (viz. on the barhis, north of the *guhû*, and so as not to touch it or one another); for the *guhû* assuredly is the kshatra, and the other spoons (*sruk*) are the vis: he thereby makes the kshatra superior to the vis. Hence the people here serve, from a lower position, the Kshatriya seated above them: for this reason he places the *guhû* upon (the prastara) and the other spoons down below it.

16. He touches the offerings, with the text (*Vâg. S. II, 6*), ‘Safely they have sat down,’ for safely indeed they sat down ;—‘ in the lap (*yoni*) of divine truth!’ for the sacrifice is indeed the lap of divine truth, and in the sacrifice they sat down ;—‘ Protect these, O Vishnu! protect the sacrifice! protect the lord of sacrifice!’ thereby he refers to the sacrificer ;—‘ Protect me, the leader of the sacrifice!’ thereby he does not exclude himself either from the sacrifice. Vishnu, assuredly, is the sacrifice: hence it is to the sacrifice that he makes all that over for protection. This is the reason why he says, ‘Protect these, O Vishnu!’

FIFTH BRĀHMANA.

THE KINDLING OF THE FIRE, THE PRAVARA, AND THE TWO
LIBATIONS (ÂGHĀRA) OF BUTTER.

1. With the fire-wood (*idhma*, lighting material) the Adhvaryu lights (*indh*) the fire: hence it is called fire-wood. And with the kindling verses (*sâmidheni*) the Hotri kindles (*sam-indh*, to make blaze): hence they are called kindling verses.

2. He (the Adhvaryu ¹) says (to the Hotri): 'Recite to the fire as it is being kindled!' for it is to the fire, when it is being kindled, that he recites.

3. Here now some people say, 'O Hotar, recite to the fire as it is being kindled!' But let him not say so; for that (priest) is not a Hotri as yet; only when he (the sacrificer) elects him ², does he

¹ The Adhvaryu, in the first place, prepares a seat for the Hotri, either west of the altar or north of its left hip; and covers it with dry *Kura* grass. [He then calls, 'O Hotri, come!'] The Hotri, having rinsed his mouth north-east of the Âhavanîya, with his face to the east, turns round from left to right and betakes himself to the sacrificial ground, always keeping his right foot before the left. He finally takes up his position so as to have the heel of the right foot in a line with the north hip of the altar, and the toes on the barhis; whilst he keeps the hands on a level with the heart, spread open and joined together, and looks towards the junction of the earth and sky. The Adhvaryu then takes a *samidh* (kindling-stick) and calls on him as above. The Hotri now mutters the formulas 'Adoration to the teacher! Adoration to the observer! Adoration to the promulgator!' &c. (Âsv. Srautas I, 2, 1). The sacrificer then takes the wooden sword and says, 'Recite for me, as it were, stretching along (i. e. continuously)!' whereupon the Hotri, having asked and received the permission of the Brahman, proceeds to recite the kindling verses. Kâty. III, 1, 1 seq.; Âsv. I, 1, 4 seq.

² This does not take place until the pravara or invitation addressed to Agni, the Hotri of the gods, to assist in calling the

become a Hotri. Let him therefore say, 'Recite to the fire as it is being kindled!'

4. He recites (verses) addressed to Agni: he accordingly kindles it (the fire) with the aid of its own deity. In the gāyatrī metre (are the verses which) he recites; for the gāyatrī is Agni's metre: by means of its own metre he thereby kindles it. The gāyatrī is vigour, the gāyatrī is the brahman¹ (the priestly order): with vigour he thereby kindles it.

5. Eleven (verses) he recites; for of eleven syllables consists the trish/ubh metre. The gāyatrī is the brahman and the trish/ubh is the kshatra (or military order)². With the aid of these two energies he thus kindles it: for this reason he recites eleven (verses).

6. Thrice he recites the first verse, and thrice the last one; for of threefold beginning are sacrifices, and of threefold termination: therefore he recites thrice the first and the last (verses).

7. Fifteen sāmīdhentī verses result (from this repetition of the first and last of the eleven verses). The fifteen-versed chant³, doubtless, is the thunderbolt

gods to the sacrifice, cf. Sâyana and Sat. Br. I, 5, 1, 1 seq. According to some authorities, however, the choosing of the Hotri seems to take place at this particular time, or even before, at the time of the agnyānvādhāna; cf. Hillebrandt, p. 73.

¹ The gāyatrī (though it is not the most frequent metre) is considered as the first, as it is the shortest, of Vedic metres. The hymns addressed to Agni are mostly in the gāyatrī metre.

² The hymns celebrating the heroic deeds of Indra and his associates, the wind-gods, are almost entirely composed in the trish/ubh, the most frequent of Vedic metres.

³ The *pañcadāsa-stoma*, or form of recitation in fifteen verses at the Soma-sacrifice, is sacred to Indra (Nirukta 7, 10), the wielder of the thunderbolt.

and the thunderbolt means strength; so that he thereby converts the sâmidhents into strength: hence, if he should hate any one, he may crush him with his great toes¹ at the time when those (verses) are recited. By saying, 'I here crush so and so!' he crushes him with that thunderbolt.

8. Fifteen nights indeed there are in a half-moon; and growing by half-moons the year passes: hence he thereby obtains the nights.

9. Now in the fifteen gâyatṛi verses there are indeed three hundred and sixty syllables²; and three hundred and sixty days there are in a year: hence he thereby obtains the days, he thereby obtains the year.

10. For an ishṭi (which is performed in order to obtain the fulfilment of a special wish)³ let him recite seventeen sâmidhent verses; for in a low voice he sacrifices to the deity to which he offers an ishṭi.

¹ Or, with his thumbs (aṅgush/hâbhyâm). The Kāṇva text has 'pâdyâbhyâm aṅgush/hâbhyâm;' but Kāty. III, 1, 7 has 'aṅgush/hâbhyâm pâdyâbhyâm vâ,' which would seem to leave a choice between the thumbs and the great toes; the commentator, however, takes vâ in a restrictive sense. The sacrificer is to press down the earth with his great toes (or thumbs) each time when a kindling verse is recited.

² The gâyatṛi verse consists of three times eight syllables, and $24 \times 15 = 360$. In the place of the last sâmidhent (called paridhānti), however, the Vāsishṭas have a trishubh stanza (4×11 syllables), so that the above computation of syllables does not hold good in their case. One might be inclined to infer from this that the trishubh was the more original, a gâyatṛi being substituted later to yield the above symbolical number of syllables. Cf. Taitt. S. II, 5, 7 seq.; Taitt. Br. III, 5, 3.

³ The kâmyeshṭi, and ishṭi generally, are performed with certain modifications, on the model of the new- and full-moon sacrifice, of which they are therefore said to be vikṛitis or modifications.

Twelve months, namely, there are in a year, and five seasons¹: this (makes) the seventeenfold Pragâpati. For verily Pragâpati is all: hence for, what wish he performs the ish/i, that wish he thus accomplishes by means of the All. In a low voice he sacrifices to the deity; for what is spoken in a low voice is undefined (indistinct), and undefined is the 'All:' hence for whatever wish he performs the ish/i, that wish he thus accomplishes by means of the All. This is the practice in regard to an ish/i.

11. Some people say: 'Let him recite twenty-one sâmidhenti verses also at the full- and new-moon sacrifice.' Twelve, doubtless, are the months of the year, five the seasons, and three these worlds: this (makes) twenty; and the twenty-first is this very (sun) that here shines: he is the resort, he the stay; thereby he (the sacrificer) obtains this resort, this stay. He may therefore recite twenty-one.

12. Let him recite them only for one of established prosperity (gatasrî), who would not wish to become either better or worse. For, what he for whom they recite is like, like that he will either be or worse², for whom, that knows this, they recite

¹ In other passages, and in later times generally, six seasons, comprising two months each, are counted, but the transitional season between winter and spring, sisira, is not unfrequently, as in our passage, combined with the winter season (hemanta), or partially with that and the spring (vasanta). On the identification of Pragâpati with the year, cf. note on I, 2, 5, 12.

² The condition of one who is gatasrî cannot be improved, but only impaired. The construction of this paragraph is somewhat doubtful to me. It runs thus: Tâ haitâ gatasrer evânubrûyâd ya ikkhen na sreyânt syâm na pâpiyân iti yâdrisâya haiva sate 'nvâhus tâdrîn vâ haiva bhavati pâpiyân vâ yasyaivam vidusha etâ

those (twenty-one verses). This, however, is mere speculation, for those (twenty-one verses) are not recited¹.

13. Thrice he should recite the first and thrice the last (verse), without drawing breath; for three are these worlds, so that he thereby spreads (santan) these worlds, gains these worlds. Also three breaths there are in man: this recitation thereby causes him (the sacrificer) to be extended (santata), not cut short (by death).

14. He (the Hotṛi) should endeavour to recite this (uninterruptedly) as long as his strength lasts. If, on the other hand, he were to take breath in the middle (of the verse), it would be a slight on this very (sacrifice)²: by reciting this (holy) com-

anvāhuḥ so eṣhā mīmāṃsaiva na tv evaitā anūṭyante. Sāyana seems to take it thus:—‘He should recite them only for a gatasrî. A householder who desires neither an improvement nor a lowering of his position, is just such a one for whom the Hotṛis recite the sâmidhenîs in the appointed (niyatena) way. Further, for whomsoever, that thus knows the irregular (?anīyata, not regulated) way of recitation, they recite those twenty-one sâmidhenîs, he becomes either worse or better. What is set forth in the words from “A householder who desires neither an improvement” &c. is mere speculation; the recitation is not to be performed in this way.’ The corresponding paragraph of the Kāṇva recension is much briefer and clearer:—Tad etad gatasrî eva kurvîta na ha sreyân na pâpīyân bhavati yasyaivam anvāhuḥ saishā mīmāṃsaiva na tv anūṭyante, ‘only a gatasrî, however, should do this; for neither better nor worse becomes he for whom they recite thus. This is indeed speculation, but they (the twenty-one sâmidhenîs) are not recited.’

¹ In the Taitt. S. II, 5. 10, the number of verses (effected by the repetition) is given as varying, according to the special object in view, between fifteen and forty-eight.

² ? Or, it would be an act of neglect on his, the sacrificer’s, part: by (the Hotṛi) reciting without fetching breath, that act, that neglect would be avoided.

position without taking breath, that slight will be avoided.

15. If, however, he do not care to undertake this, he may also recite one (verse) at a time without drawing breath: he thereby spreads those worlds one by one, gains those worlds one by one. The reason why he takes breath, is that the *gâyatri* is indeed breath; and that by reciting a complete *gâyatri* verse, he accordingly bestows complete breathing (on the sacrificer): let him therefore recite one (verse) at a time without breathing.

16. He recites them in a continuous, uninterrupted way: thereby he makes the days and nights of the year continuous, and in a continuous, uninterrupted way revolve those days and nights of the year. And in this way he gives no access to the spiteful enemy; but access he would indeed give, if he were to recite them discontinuously: he therefore recites in a continuous, uninterrupted way.

FOURTH ADHYĀYA. FIRST BRĀHMANA.

1. He recites after uttering (the syllable) 'Hiñ!' Sacrifice, they say, is not (performed) without the *Sâman*; and neither is the *Sâman* chanted without 'Hîñ' having been uttered. By his uttering 'Hiñ!' the peculiar nature (*rûpam*) of the word 'Hiñ' is produced (in the sacrifice); and by the sacred syllable (*om*) it assumes the nature of the *Sâman*. By uttering 'Om! Om!' this his entire sacrifice becomes endowed with the *Sâman*.

¹ That is, by uttering 'Om!' after each verse. The recitation of the first verse is preceded by the mystic words 'Hiñ bhûr bhuvaḥ svar om!' *Āsv. S. I, 2, 3*. Both syllables 'hiñ' and 'om' are essential elements in the recitation of *Sâman* hymns. See II, 2, 4, 11 seq.

2. And (another reason) why he utters 'Hiñ!' is this. The word 'Hiñ' means breath, for the word 'Hiñ' does indeed mean breath: he cannot therefore pronounce the word 'Hiñ,' when he closes his nostrils. The *rik* (verse) he recites with his voice. Now, voice and breath are a pair, so that a productive union of the *sâmidhents* is thereby effected at the outset: for this reason he recites, after uttering 'Hiñ!'.

3. He utters the word 'Hiñ' in a low voice. Were he, on the contrary, to pronounce 'Hiñ' aloud, he would make 'voice' of both the one and the other: for this reason he utters the word 'Hiñ' in a low voice.

4. He recites with 'â (hither)!' and 'pra (forth or thither)!'. He thereby joins a *gâyatri* verse directed hitherward to one directed away from here: the one which tends from hence carries the sacrifice to the gods, and the one which tends hitherward pleases the men. For this reason he recites with 'â' and 'pra.'

5. And (another reason) why he recites with 'â' and 'pra,' is this. 'Pra (forth)' clearly means out-breathing, and 'â (hither)' means in-breathing: hence he thereby obtains out-breathing and in-breathing (for the sacrificer). For this reason he recites with 'â' and 'pra.'

¹ The particles *pra* and *â* were apparently used in phrases wishing one a safe journey and return (cf. Ait. Br. 3, 26, with Haug's note). The first *sâmidheni* begins, 'prâ vo vâgâ abhidyavañ' (forth go your viands, heavenward); and the second 'âgna & yâhi vitâye' (come hither, Agni, to the feast!). It is from these verses that the above symbolical explanation is derived. Cf. Taitt. S. II, 5, 7, 3 [*prâkṣnam* reto dhiyate—*prâtikiñ* *pragâ* *gâyante*].

6. Yet (other reasons) why he recites with 'hither (â)' and 'thither (pra),' are these. 'Thither' the seed is cast, and 'hither' birth takes place. 'Thither' the cattle disperse (for grazing), 'hither' they return. Indeed, everything here (moves) 'hither' and 'thither:' for this reason he recites with 'â' and 'pra.'

7. He recites¹, 'Forth go your viands, heaven-

¹ The following is a connected translation (as literal as possible, if not elegant) of the eleven sâmidhenis, or kindling verses, in the same octosyllabic metre as the original. The first and eleventh verses are recited three times; and when at the end of each verse the Hotri pronounces the syllable om, the Adhvaryu throws a stick (samidh) into the fire,—up to the eighth verse, at the end of which the tenth stick is thrown in. At the end of the ninth verse five of the remaining six sticks are thrown into the fire. The throwing of the first stick is accompanied by the sacrificer pronouncing the dedicatory formula (tyâga), 'For Agni this, not for me!'

1. Forth go your viands, heavenward,
In havis rich; with buttered (spoon)
He nears the gods, wishful of bliss.
2. Come hither, Agni, to the feast;
Invokéd for the offering-gift,
As Hotri on the barhis sit!
3. With samidhs thee, O Ângiras,
With butter we exhilarate:
Shine forth, O youngest, brilliantly!
4. Agni, do thou obtain for us
That region wide and glorious,
That great and mighty one, O God!
5. Praiseworthy he, adorable,
Visible through the veil of gloom,
Agni, the mighty one, is lit.
6. The mighty Agni is lit up,
Yea, as a horse that bears the gods:
With offerings him they glorify.
7. O mighty one! we mighty men
Do kindle thee, the mighty one,—
O Agni, thee that brightly shines.

ward!—hereby, then, the ‘thither’ is (realised). And (in the second verse), ‘Come hither, Agni, to expand!’—by this, on the other hand, the ‘hither’ is (realised).

8. Now, in reference to this point, some people say, ‘Both these (texts) surely result in a “thither².”’ This, however, is beyond the ordinary understanding: the text, ‘forth go your viands, heavenward!’ is clearly (directed) away from (the sacrificer); and the text, ‘Come hither, Agni, to expand!’ is (directed) towards (him).

9. He recites (the first kindling verse), ‘Forth go your viands, heavenward!’ this, then, tends in a forward direction. ‘Viands’ (vâga)³ he says, because viands mean food: hence food is obtained (for the sacrificer) by this recitation. ‘Heavenward’ he says, because those that tend heavenward are the half-

-
8. Agni we choose as messenger,
As Hotṛi the all-knowing,—him,
Performing well this sacrifice.
 9. He who is kindled at the cult,
Agni, the bright, the laudable,
The flaming-locked, him we adore.
 10. O Agni, worshipped, thou art lit:
Adore, good worshipper, the gods!
Oblation-bearer, sure, art thou.
 11. Make offerings! do reverence!—
Him, Agni, while the cult proceeds,
For your oblation-bearer choose!

¹ See further on, par. 22 seq.

² Inasmuch as Agni, whilst coming to the sacrifice, goes away from the gods. Sây.

³ In the Taitt. S. II, 5, 7, 3-4 also vâga is in the first place rendered by ‘food,’ while afterwards it is identified with the months (i.e. the coursers? gamanasîla, Sây.); as abhidyavaḥ (in the sense of ‘shining in both directions,’ i.e. in the form of the waxing and waning moon, Sây.) is referred to the half-moons.

moons: it is, therefore, the half-moons which he obtains by this recitation. 'In havis rich' he further says, because those that are rich in havis (milk, butter) are the cattle; it is cattle, therefore, that he thereby obtains through the recitation.

10. 'With buttered (spoon)—' he adds. Now Māthava, the (king of) Videgha¹, carried Agni Vaisvānara in his mouth. The Rishi Gotama Rāhūgana was his family priest. When addressed (by the latter), he made no answer to him, fearing lest Agni might fall from his mouth.

11. He (the priest) began to invoke the latter with

¹ To this important legend attention was first drawn by Professor Weber, Ind. Stud. I, 170 seq. (cf. also Ind. Streifen, I, p. 13; J. Muir, Sanskrit Texts, II, p. 402). It was pointed out by Weber that this legend distinguishes three successive stages of the eastward migration of the Brāhmanical Hindus. In the first place the settlements of the Āryans had already been extended from the Pañjab (where they were settled in the times of the hymns of the Rig-veda) as far as the Sarasvatī. They thence pushed forward, led by the Videgha Māthava and his priest, according to our legend, as far east as the river Sadānīrā (that is, 'she that is always filled with water'), which, according to Sāyana, is another name for the Karatoyā (the modern Kurattee, on which Bograh lies), which formed the eastern boundary of the Videhas; or more probably the Gandakī (the modern Gunduck, a noble river which falls into the Ganges opposite Patna, and) which formed the boundary between the Kosalas and the Videhas (cf. par. 17). It would appear from our legend, that for some time the Āryans did not venture to cross this river; but at the time of the author the country to the east of it had long been occupied by them. Sāyana takes the hero of the legend to be Videgha, the Mādhava or son of Madhu; but Videgha, an older form of Videha, is more probably intended here (as Weber takes it) for the name of that people and country (corresponding to the modern Tirhut). The Agni Vaisvānara (or Agni who is common to all men) of our legend Professor Weber considers a personification of Brāhmanical worship and civilisation and the destructive effects of their extension.

verses of the Rig-veda, 'We kindle thee at the sacrifice, O wise Agni, thee the radiant, the mighty caller to the sacrificial feast (Rig-veda V, 26, 3)!—O Videgha!'

12. He (the king) did not answer. (The priest went on), 'Upwards, O Agni, dart thy brilliant, shining rays, thy flames, thy beams (Rig-veda VIII, 44, 16)!—O Videgha-a-a!'

13. Still he did not answer. (The priest continued), 'Thee, O butter-sprinkled one, we invoke! (Rig-veda V, 26, 2);' so much he uttered, when at the very mentioning of butter, Agni Vaisvânara flashed forth from the (king's) mouth: he was unable to hold him back; he issued from his mouth, and fell down on this earth.

14. Mâthava, the Videgha, was at that time on the (river) Sarasvatî¹. He (Agni) thence went burning along this earth towards the east; and Gotama Râhûgana and the Videgha Mâthava followed after him as he was burning along. He burnt over (dried up) all these rivers. Now that (river), which is called 'Sadânîrâ,' flows from the northern (Himâlaya) mountain: that one he did not burn over. That one the Brâhmanas did not cross in former times, thinking, 'it has not been burnt over by Agni Vaisvânara.'

15. Now-a-days, however, there are many Brâhmanas to the east of it. At that time it (the land east of the Sadânîrâ) was very uncultivated, very marshy, because it had not been tasted by Agni Vaisvânara.

16. Now-a-days, however, it is very cultivated, for the Brâhmanas have caused (Agni) to taste it through

¹ Or, according to Sâyana, he was then in the Sarasvatî, plunged into the river in order to quench the heat produced by Agni.

sacrifices. Even in late summer that (river), as it were, rages along¹: so cold is it, not having been burnt over by Agni Vaisvânara.

17. Mâthava, the Videgha, then said (to Agni), 'Where am I to abide?' 'To the east of this (river) be thy abode!' said he. Even now this (river) forms the boundary of the Kosalas and Videhas; for these are the Mâthavas (or descendants of Mâthava).

18. Gotama Râhûgana then said (to Mâthava), 'Why didst thou not answer when addressed by us?' He replied, 'Agni Vaisvânara was in my mouth; I did not reply, lest he should escape from my mouth.'

19. 'How then did this happen?'—'At the moment when thou didst utter the words, "(Thee), O butter-sprinkled one, we invoke!" just then, at the mention of butter, Agni Vaisvânara flashed forth from my mouth; I was unable to hold him back, he issued from my mouth.'

20. That (word) in the sâmidhenis, therefore, which contains butter (ghrîta) is especially suitable for kindling (sam-indh); and by it he accordingly kindles him (Agni, the fire) and bestows vigour on this (sacrificer).

21. Now that (word) is ghrîṭâkyâ, 'with the buttered (spoon).—'He nears² the gods, wishful of bliss.' Wishful of bliss, truly, is the sacrificer, since he wishes to approach the gods, to go to³

¹ That is to say, it is not affected by the heat of the summer, as the other rivers, but rushes along as rapidly and as well-filled as ever.

² Gigâti is taken by Sâyana in the sense of 'he sings, praises.' Our author, on the other hand, seems to interpret it by 'he conquers (gi)'; see, however, next note.

³ The text has, 'Sa hi devân gigîshati sa hi devân gigâmsati.' The Kânva recension has the same reading, except that it omits 'hi'

the gods: therefore he says, 'he nears the gods, wishful of bliss.' This (verse), which is addressed to Agni, is undefined (vague); and undefined, doubtless, is the 'All'; he thus commences (this holy work) with the All.

22. [He recites the second sâmidheni]: 'Come hither, Agni, to expand!'—'To expand' he says, because at the beginning these worlds were well-nigh contiguous to one another: at that time one could touch the sky thus¹.

23. The gods desired, 'How could these worlds of ours become farther apart from one another? How could there be more space for us?' They breathed through them (the worlds) with these three syllables (forming the word) *vitaye*², and these worlds became far apart from one another; and there was then ampler space for the gods: ample space, therefore, he will have for whom, knowing this, they recite this (verse) containing (the word) '*vitaye*.'

24. He proceeds, 'Invoked for the giver of oblations!' 'The giver of oblations³, of course, is the

in both cases. Instead of *gigâmsati*, however, some MSS., as well as Sâyana, read *gighâmsati* ('he wishes to conquer, or beat, the gods'), probably an old corruption, easily accounted for by the circumstance that *gigîshati* is the regular desiderative of *gi*, 'to conquer,' though it also occurs in some passages as the desiderative of *gâ*, 'to go.' Sâyana, however, though he reads *gighâmsati*, here allows to the root *han* (with Naigh. 2, 14) the meaning of 'to go.' Cf. Weber, *Omina und Portenta*, p. 406, note 4.

¹ Viz. by stretching the arms upwards. Sâyana.

² That is, *vi-itaye*, 'for going asunder,' a fanciful analysis of the word *vîti*; the correct rendering is 'for the meal or food,' 'for the feast.'

Havyadâti, the correct meaning of the word is 'the giving of oblations.'

sacrificer : hence 'invoked for the sacrificer' is what he thereby means to say.—'As Hotri on the barhis sit!' Agni, indeed, is the Hotri, and the barhis (the covering of sacrificial grass on the altar) is this world : hence he thereby establishes Agni (the fire) in this world, as this fire is established (or, beneficial, hita) in this world. This (verse), then, is recited with reference to this world (the earth) : through it this world is conquered by him for whom, knowing this, they recite this (verse).

25. [He recites the third sâmidhent] : 'With samidhs thee, O Âṅgiras!'—with samidhs (kindling-sticks), indeed, the Âṅgiras kindled him. 'O Âṅgiras!' he says, for Agni is indeed Âṅgiras¹.—'With butter we exhilarate!' This (viz. ghr̥itena, 'with butter') is a word which is especially suitable for the kindling of Agni : by it he kindles him, and bestows vigour on this (sacrificer).

26. 'Shine forth, O youngest, brilliantly!' he adds ; for brilliantly he shines, when kindled ; and 'O youngest!' he says, because he is really the youngest Agni² : therefore he says, 'O youngest!' This (verse) is recited with reference to yonder world, to wit, the aërial world ; hence this (verse), which is addressed to Agni, is undefined, for undefined is yonder world : that world he thereby gains, for whom, knowing this, they recite this verse.

27. [He recites the fourth sâmidhent] : 'Agni, do thou obtain for us that (region) wide and

¹ Rig-veda I, 31, 1, he is called the first of the Âṅgiras.

² The fire which has just been kindled is frequently called the youngest (yavishtha). Sâyaṇa takes it as 'the ever young.' See also the legend regarding the three Agnis who preceded the present Agni in the office of divine Hotri, I, 2, 3, 1 ; 3, 3, 13.

glorious!' For wide, indeed, is yonder (region) wherein the gods (dwell), and glorious is that (region) wherein the gods (dwell). When he says, 'Do thou obtain for us¹,' he means to say, 'make us go to it!'

28. 'That great and mighty one, O God!' For great, indeed, is yonder (region) wherein the gods (dwell), and mighty² is that wherein the gods (dwell). This (verse), then, is recited with reference to yonder world: that heavenly world he thereby gains, for whom, knowing this, they recite this (verse).

29. He recites (the fifth sâmidheni): 'Praiseworthy he, adorable,' for worthy of praise he is, and worthy of adoration;—'visible through the veil of gloom' for when kindled he is seen right through the gloom;—'Agni, the mighty one (bull), is lit,' for he is indeed lit up, the mighty one.

[He recites the sixth sâmidheni]: 'The mighty Agni is lit up,' for he is indeed lit up.

30. 'Yea³, as a horse that bears the gods,' for having become a horse he does indeed carry the sacrifice to the gods: the (word) 'na' which occurs in this verse has the meaning of 'om' (verily); hence he says, 'Yea, as a horse that bears to the gods.'

¹ Vivâsasi, Sâyana explains it by prakâsaya, 'illuminate it;' but cf. Sâyana on Rig-veda VI, 16, asmân akkha abhigamaya, 'make it (dhanam) come to us.'

² Suvîrya is taken by our author as an adjective, co-ordinate with the others; but it is evidently a noun ('abundance of heroes' or 'manliness, manly power,' St. Petersburg Dictionary) qualified by the adjectives.

³ Na is taken by our author as a particle of asseveration; though in reality it is a particle of comparison. In later Sanskrit na is only used as particle of negation.

31. 'With offerings him they glorify,' for with offerings men indeed glorify him; therefore he says, 'with offerings him they glorify.'

32. [He recites the seventh sāmīdhenī]: 'O mighty one! we mighty men do kindle thee, the mighty one!' for they indeed kindle him;—'O Agni, thee that brightly shines!' for he indeed shone brightly when he was kindled.

33. He recites this tristich which contains the word (*vrishan*), 'mighty.' All these kindling verses, it is true, are addressed to Agni; Indra, however, is the deity of sacrifice, Indra is the mighty (hero); hence these his (the sacrificer's) kindling verses thereby become possessed of Indra: this is the reason why he recites the tristich containing the word 'mighty.'

34. He recites [the eighth sāmīdhenī]: 'Agni we choose as messenger!' Now the gods and the Asuras, both of them sprang from Praṇāpati, were contending for superiority. When they were thus contending, the gāyatrī stood between them. That gāyatrī was the same as this earth, and this earth indeed lay between them². Now both of them knew that whichever she would side with, they would be victorious and the others would be defeated. Both parties then invited her secretly to come to them. Agni acted as messenger for the gods; and an Āsura-Rakshas, named Sa-

¹ *Vrishan*, 'the male, the vigorous one, the bull;' cf. Max Müller, Translation of Rig-veda Sanhitā, I, p. 121 seq.

² 'On the top of Mount Meru lies the city of Amarāvati, wherein the gods dwell; and beneath Meru lies Irāvati, the city of the Asuras: between these two lies the earth.' Sāyana.

harakshas¹, for the Asuras. She then followed Agni: he therefore recites, 'Agni we choose for messenger,' because he was the messenger of the gods.—'As Hotri the all-knowing, him!'

35. Here now some people recite, 'He who is the Hotri of the all-knowing²;' lest (in saying 'for Hotri, the all-knowing, him') one should say to oneself 'enough (i.e. have done)!' This, however, he should not do; for by (doing) so they do at the sacrifice what is human; and what is human, is inauspicious at a sacrifice. Therefore, lest he should do what is inauspicious at the sacrifice, he should recite, just as it is recited by the *Rîk*, 'for Hotri, the all-knowing, him!' [He continues], 'Performing well this sacrifice!' for he, Agni, is indeed a good performer of the sacrifice: for this reason he says, 'performing well this sacrifice.' She (gâyatri, or the earth) sided with the gods, and the gods thereupon were victorious and the Asuras were defeated: and verily he for whom, knowing this, they recite this (verse), is himself victorious and his adversaries are defeated.

36. He therefore recites this, the eighth sâmidhent). This, indeed, is peculiarly a gâyatri verse, since it is of eight syllables that the gâyatri (metre) consists of; for this reason he recites the eighth (sâmidhent).

¹ Cf. the corresponding passage in Taitt. S. II, 5, 11, 8, where Daivya is given as the name of the messenger of the Asuras.

² That is to say, instead of 'Hotâram visvavedasam,' they recite 'Hotâ yo visvavedasaḥ;' for the reason that Hotâram (accusative of hotri) might be understood to be 'hotâ aram,' aram, 'enough,' being a particle implying a prohibition. Our author, however, promptly sets his face against this application of human reasoning to an inspired text.

37. Here now some people place the two (dhāyyās) additional kindling verses before (the eighth sâmidhenî), arguing, 'The two dhāyyās¹ mean food: this edible food we place in front (or, in the mouth, mukhatah).' But let him not do this: for with him who inserts the additional verses before (the eighth), the latter (the eighth) is clearly out of its place², since in that case it (and the succeeding verse) become the tenth and eleventh verses. With him, on the other hand, for whom they recite this as the eighth (kindling verse), it is indeed in its proper place: let him therefore insert the two additional verses after (the ninth).

38. [He recites the ninth kindling verse]: 'He who is kindled at the cult—the cult (adhvara), doubtless, is the sacrifice: 'he who is kindled at the sacrifice' he thereby says;—'Agni, the bright, the laudable,' for he is both bright and laudable;—'the flaming-locked, him we adore!' for when he is kindled, his locks, as it were, flame. Previously to (the beginning of the tenth verse), 'O Agni, worshipped, thou art lit!' let him (the Adhvaryu) put on

¹ Whenever thirteen kindling verses are recited instead of eleven (or counting the repetitions of the first and last verses, seventeen instead of fifteen), the two verses R g-veda III, 27, 5 and 6 are inserted according to our author after the ninth, and according to others before the eighth, sâmidhenî. They are called dhāyyâ, probably derived from dhâ, 'to put, add,' whilst those ritualists whose practice is here rejected apparently connect the word with the root dhâ (dhe), 'to suck.'

² According to Sâyana, because it no longer occupies the eighth place for which it is specially appropriate on account of its being, according to our author, 'peculiarly a gâyatrí (eight-syllabled) verse.' This reasoning is far from satisfactory, since the two dhāyyās (Rig-veda III, 27, 5 and 6) are also gâyatrí verses.

all the kindling-sticks with the exception of the one stick (which is to be put on at the after-offerings¹); for it is now that the *Hotri* completes (the kindling); and what then is left of the kindling-sticks, other than the one stick, that is left (unused altogether); and what is left (unused) of the sacrifice, that is left for his (the sacrificer's) spiteful enemy: let him, therefore, previously to this (verse), put on all the *samidhs*, save one.

39. [He continues]: 'Adore, good worshipper, the gods!' worship (*adhvara*) doubtless means sacrifice: 'adore the gods, good sacrificer,' he thereby says;—'Oblation-bearer, sure, art thou!' for he, Agni, is indeed the bearer of oblations: for this reason he says 'oblation-bearer, sure, art thou.'

[He recites the last *sâmidheni*]: 'Make offerings! do reverence! Him, Agni, while the cult proceeds, for your oblation-bearer choose!' by this (verse) he urges them on: 'make offerings and worship! do this for (the accomplishment) of whatever desire you kindled him!' this is what he thereby means to say. 'Him, Agni, while the cult proceeds,' he says, because cult means sacrifice: hence he thereby says, 'him, Agni, whilst the sacrifice proceeds;—'for your oblation-bearer choose!' for he, Agni, is indeed the oblation-bearer, and for this reason he says 'for your oblation-bearer choose (him)!'

40. This tristich, containing (the word) 'cult (*adhvara*),' he thus recites. For once when the gods were engaged in sacrificing, their rivals, the *Asuras*, wished to injure (*dhurv*, *dhvar*) them; but, though desirous of injuring them, they were

¹ See I, 8, 2, 3.

unable to injure them and were foiled : for this reason the sacrifice is called *adhvara* ('not damaged, uninterrupted'); and for whomsoever, that knows this, they recite this tristich containing (the word) *adhvara* ('cult, sacrifice'), his rival, though desirous of injuring him, is foiled; and he, (the sacrificer), moreover, gains as much as one gains by offering a Soma-sacrifice¹.

SECOND BRĀHMANA.

1. Now in former times the gods appointed Agni to the chief (office), namely, that of *Hotri*; and having appointed him to the chief (office) saying 'do thou carry this our oblation!' they cheered him up, saying, 'Surely, thou art vigorous; surely, thou art equal to this!' thereby endowing him with vigour, even as in our own days, when they appoint any one from among their kinsmen to the chief (office), they cheer him up saying 'surely, thou art vigorous; surely, thou art equal to this!' thereby endowing him with vigour. By what, therefore, he recites after this, he eulogizes him, puts vigour into him².

2. 'O Agni, thou art great! O priest (*brāhmaṇa*), O *Bhârata*!' for Agni, indeed, is the brahman

¹ *Saumya adhvara* is the common designation of the solemn Soma-sacrifice; hence, our author argues, the word *adhvara* is here used for sacrifice (*yagña*) with a view to insure to this offering the efficacy of a Soma-sacrifice.

² The invocations he now proceeds to recite, on the termination of the *sâmidhenis* or kindling verses, belong to the class of formulas called *nigada*. In the present case, they consist of the *pravara-mantra*—or formula by which Agni is invited to assist the sacrificer as *Hotri* or Invoker on the present occasion, as he has of old assisted his ancestors (cf. the following note)—and of short detached formulas called *nivid*. *Sâyana* on *Taitt. S.* II, 5, 8.

(sacerdotium): therefore he says 'O Brāhmaṇa!'—
 'O Bhārata' he says, because he (Agni) bears (bhar)
 the oblation to the gods: therefore they say 'Agni
 is bhārata (the bearer).' 'Or, he, being the breath,
 sustains (bhar) these creatures: therefore he says
 'O Bhārata (sustainer)!'

3. He now calls on (Agni as) the ancestral (Hotri
 priest)¹. He thereby introduces him both to the
 Rishis and to the gods (as if he were to say), 'Of
 great vigour is he who has obtained the sacrifice!'
 This is the reason why he calls on (Agni as) the
 ancestral (Hotri).

4. He calls from the remote end (of the sacri-

¹ Ârsheyam pravṛinīte, literally 'he chooses the ancestral' (rishi). I take 'ârsheyam' as a masculine adjective qualifying a supplied '(Agnim) hotâram.' In this way the formula is explained by Sâyana on I, 5, 1, 9 (rishinâm sambandhinam adhvaryur hotâram vṛinīte), and this seems to me the most natural interpretation. It is true, however, that, as the formula ('he chooses the ancestral') became stereotyped, its exact import became forgotten, and ârsheya was generally taken as a neuter, either adjective (viz. 'nâmadheyam,' 'apatyam') or noun (ancestral lineage). Agni is invoked as the one who has of old officiated as the Hotri of the sacrificer's ancestors, three or five ancestral names being usually mentioned: thus, in the case of a sacrificer belonging to the Gâmadagna Vatsa family, claiming Bhrigu, Kyavana, Apnavâna, Aurva, and Gamadagni as its founders, Agni is invoked, on the present occasion, as 'Bhârgava Kyâvana⁸ Âpnâvâna Aurva Gâmadagna!' (Ârval. Sr. 12, 10, 6; Sâyana on Taitt. S. II, 5, 8). If the sacrificer belongs to the Kshatriya or Vaisya castes, the priest substitutes for the sacrificer's ancestors those of his family priest (purohita) or his spiritual guide (guru); and in the case of kings the same course was adopted, or the names of their royal rishi ancestors (râgarshi) were chosen. As to the second pravara, or the election of the human Hotri, for the present sacrifice, see I, 5, 1, 1. Cf. Max Müller, History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature, p. 386 seq.; A. Weber, Ind. Stud. IX, 321 seq.; X, 78 seq.; M. Haug, Aitar. Br., Translation, p. 479.

ficer's ancestral line) downwards; for it is from the remote end downwards that a race is propagated. He (the Hotṛi) also thereby propitiates the lord of seniority for him (the Sâcrificer); for here among men the father comes first, then the son, and then the grandson: this is the reason why he calls from the remote end downwards.

5. Having named (him as) the ancestral one, he says, '(thou wert) kindled by the gods kindled by Manu;' for in olden times the gods did kindle him: for this reason he says 'kindled by the gods;' and 'kindled by Manu' he says, because in olden times Manu did kindle him: for this reason he says 'kindled by Manu.'

6. He continues, 'Praised by the *Rishis* (wert thou);' for in olden times the *Rishis* did praise him: for this reason he says 'praised by the *Rishis*.'

7. Further, 'Gladdened by bards (*vipra*);' for those bards, the *Rishis*, indeed gladdened him: for this reason he says 'gladdened by bards.'

8. Further, 'Celebrated by sages (*kavi*);' for those sages, the *Rishis*, indeed celebrated him: this is why he says 'celebrated by sages.'

9. Further, 'Sharpened by the brahman (the Veda or vedic formulas),' for he is indeed sharpened by the brahman;— 'the receiver of butter-offerings,' for he is indeed the receiver of butter-offerings.

10. Further, 'The leader of oblations (*yagña*), the carrier of (Soma-)sacrifices (*adhvara*),' for through him they lead forward all oblations, both the domestic oblations and the others: this is why he says 'the leader of oblations.'

11. 'The carrier (*rathi*) of sacrifices;' for being a cart (as it were) he conveys the sacrifice to the

gods: this is the reason why he says 'the carrier of sacrifices.'

12. Further, 'The unsurpassed Hotri, the surpassing bearer of oblations;' for him the Rakshas do not surpass (tar): for this reason he says 'the unsurpassed (atūrta) Hotri.' 'The surpassing (tūrni, rather 'swift') bearer of oblations,' for he overcomes (tar) every evil: therefore he says 'the surpassing bearer of oblations'¹.

13. Further, 'The mouth-vessel², the offering-spoon of the gods;' for he, Agni, is indeed the vessel of the gods: therefore they make offerings in Agni to all the gods, he being the vessel of the gods. And, verily, whosoever knows this, obtains the vessel of him whose vessel he desires to obtain³.

14. Further, 'The cup from which the gods drink;' for from him, being (as it were) a cup, the gods drink (the Soma-libations): for this reason he says 'the cup from which the gods drink.'

15. Further, 'Thou, O Agni! dost encompass the gods, as the felly the spokes;' 'in the same way in which the felly on all sides encompasses the spokes, so dost thou on all sides encompass the gods,' this is what he thereby says.

16. 'Bring hither the gods for the sacrificer!' this he says in order that he (Agni) may bring the gods.

¹ At this point of the recitation a pause is made, during which (as already partly during the preceding recitation) the Adhvaryu and Āgnīdhra engage in the acts detailed in I, 4, 4, 13 seq. Cf. Hillebrandt, Neu und Vollm. p. 81.

² Ās-pātram: the fire is, as it were, the vessel into which the sacrificial food is thrown and from which it is eaten by the gods.

³ ? Sāyana supplies 'food:' he obtains the vessel of that food of which he wishes to obtain the vessel.

to this sacrifice¹.—‘Bring Agni hither, O Agni!’ this he says in order that he may bring Agni to the butter-portion intended for Agni.—‘Bring Soma hither!’ this he says in order that he may bring Soma to the butter-portion intended for Soma.—‘Bring Agni hither!’ this he says in order that he (Agni) may bring Agni hither to that indispensable² cake which is offered to Agni on both occasions (at the new- and the full-moon sacrifices).

17. And (in the same way) according to the respective deities³. He then continues, ‘Bring hither the butter-drinking gods!’ this he says in order that he may bring hither the prayâgas and anuyâgas (fore and after-offerings), for the prayâgas and anuyâgas (represent) indeed the butter-drinking gods.—‘Bring Agni hither for the Hotri⁴ship!’ this he says in order that he may bring Agni hither for the office of Hotri.—‘Your own greatness bring hither!’ this he says in order that he (Agni) may

¹ Here begins what is called the devatânâm âvahanam, or invitation (lit. bringing) of the deities to the oblations. Whilst the Hotri recites these formulas, the Adhvaryu performs what is set forth in I, 4, 5, 2 seq.

² Atyuta, lit. ‘not fallen,’ i. e. immutable, invariable. For the legendary explanation of this epithet of Agni and his oblation, see I, 6, 1, 6; 2, 5-6.

³ The three preceding invocations are used alike at the new- and full-moon sacrifices, but the subsequent ones differ according to the oblations that are made, viz. a rice-cake to Indra-Agni (or an oblation of mixed milk and butter to Indra) at the new-moon ceremony; and to Agni-Soma at the full-moon sacrifice. Previously to these an upâmsuyâga or ‘low-voiced oblation’ is made by some to Agni-Soma at the full moon, and one to Vishnu (or to Agni-Soma) at the new-moon sacrifice; according to others also one to Pragâpati,—the names of the gods being whispered in the respective formulas.

bring hither his own greatness ; his own greatness, in truth, is his voice : hence he says it in order that he may bring hither his voice ¹.—‘Bring thou hither (the gods), Q Gâtavedas ², and offer up a good offering!’ what deities he bids him bring hither, with regard to those (deities) he thereby says ‘bring them hither!’ When he says, ‘offer up a good offering!’ he means to say, ‘sacrifice in the proper order!’

18. He recites (the invitatory prayer) ³ while standing, since it is yonder (sky) which he thereby recites ; for, indeed, the invitatory prayer (signifies) yonder (sky), and by it he recites that which is yonder (sky). This is the reason why he recites standing.

19. The offering-prayer ³ he pronounces while sitting, since the offering-prayer (represents) this (earth) : hence no one pronounces the offering-prayer while standing ; for the offering-prayer is

¹ Sâyaṇa on Taitt. S. II, 5, 9 explains the formula ‘Bring hither the own greatness’ by ‘bring hither whatever greatness or power is peculiar to each of the havis-eating gods,’ and he remarks expressly that it is not to be referred to Agni, as our author certainly appears to do. Cf. I, 7, 3, 13.

² Gâtavedas probably means ‘he who knoweth (all) beings,’ but it is more generally explained by ‘he who possesseth riches (or wisdom),’ not to mention other interpretations. According to Haug, Ait. Br. vol. ii. p. 224, the proper meaning of the term is ‘having possession of all that is born, i. e. pervading it.’ He further mentions that the *Rishis* are quite familiar with the idea of the fire being an all-pervading power ; and that by Gâtavedas the ‘animal fire’ is particularly to be understood. Our present formula ‘â ka vaha gâtavedaḥ suyagâ ka yaga’ somewhat differs from the corresponding formula of the Taitt. S. II, 5, 9, 5, ‘â kâgne devân vaha suyagâ ka yaga gâtavedaḥ.’

³ For the anuvâkyâ or invitatory prayer, and the yâgyâ or offering-prayer, see p. 135 note.

9. Further, 'The flaming-locked, him we adore!' the flaming-locked, doubtless, is the *sisna*, for it is that organ which chiefly burns (torments) him who is endowed with it: the *sisna* he accordingly kindles by this (the ninth verse).

10. Further, 'O Agni, worshipped, thou art lit!' what downward breathing there is that he kindles with this (the tenth verse); 'make offerings, do reverence!' with this (the eleventh verse) he kindles the entire body from the nails to the hair.

11. And if any one were to curse this one (the *Hotri*) at the (recitation of the) first kindling verse, then he (the *Hotri*) should say to him, 'Thereby thou hast put thine own out-breathing into the fire: by that out-breathing of thine shalt thou undergo suffering!' for this is what would take place.

12. If any one were to curse him at the second (verse), he should say to him, 'Thereby thou hast put thine own in-breathing into the fire: by that in-breathing of thine shalt thou undergo suffering!' for this is what would take place.

13. If any one were to curse him at the third (verse), he should say to him, 'Thereby thou hast put thine own up-breathing into the fire: by that up-breathing of thine shalt thou undergo suffering!' for this is what would take place.

14. If any one were to curse him at the fourth (verse), he should say to him, 'Thereby thou hast put thine own ear into the fire: by that ear of thine shalt thou undergo suffering, thou shalt become deaf!' for this is what would take place.

15. If any one were to curse him at the fifth (verse), he should say to him, 'Thereby thou hast put thine own voice into the fire: by that voice of

thine shalt thou undergo suffering, thou shalt become dumb!' for this is what would take place.

16. If any one were to curse him at the sixth (verse), he should say to him, 'Thereby thou hast put thine own mind into the fire: by that mind of thine shalt thou undergo suffering, thou shalt move about as one possessed with the (demon) "mind-stealer," as one deranged in mind!' for this is what would take place.

17. If any one were to curse him at the seventh (verse), he should say to him, 'Thereby thou hast put thine own eye into the fire: by that eye of thine shalt thou undergo suffering, thou shalt become blind!' for this is what would take place.

18. If any one were to curse him at the eighth (verse), he should say to him, 'Thereby thou hast put thine own central breath into the fire: by that central breath of thine shalt thou undergo suffering, thou shalt expire and die!' for this is what would take place.

19. If any one were to curse him at the ninth (verse), he should say to him, 'Thereby thou hast put thine own organ into the fire: by that organ of thine shalt thou undergo suffering, thou shalt become emasculate!' for this is what would take place.

20. If any one were to curse him at the tenth (verse), he should say to him, 'Thereby thou hast put thine own down-breathing into the fire: by that down-breathing of thine shalt thou undergo suffering, thou shalt die from constipation!' for this is what would take place.

21. If any one were to curse him at the eleventh (verse), he should say to him, 'Thereby thou hast

put thine entire body into the fire : with that entire body of thine shalt thou undergo suffering, thou shalt swiftly pass to yonder world !' for this is what would take place.

22. For in like manner as one undergoes suffering on approaching the fire that has been kindled by means of the kindling verses, so also does one undergo suffering for cursing a priest (brāhmaṇa) who knows and recites the kindling verses.

FOURTH BRĀHMAṆA.

1. That same fire, then, they have kindled, (thinking), 'In it, when kindled, we will sacrifice to the gods.' In it, indeed, he makes these two first oblations¹ to Mind and Speech (or, Voice) ; for mind and speech, when yoked together, convey the sacrifice to the gods.

2. Now, what is performed (with formulas, pronounced) in a low voice, by that the mind conveys the sacrifice to the gods ; and what is performed (with formulas) distinctly uttered by speech, by that the speech conveys the sacrifice to the gods. And thus takes place here a twofold performance, whereby

¹ Viz. the two āghāras, or pourings (libations) of butter. The first libation, which belongs to Prajāpati, is made by the Adhvaryu, while seated north of the fire, immediately after the commencement of the pravara, in a continuous line from west to east, on the north part of the fire. The second libation (cf. note on I, 4, 5, 3) is made by the Adhvaryu while standing on the south side, in the same way on the southern part of the fire. According to some authorities of the Black Yagus ritual (quoted by Hillebrandt, Neu und Vollm. pp. 80, 86) the sacrificer pronounces the anumantṛāṇas, 'For Prajāpati is this, not for me : thou art the mind of Prajāpati !' and 'Indra's voice (speech) art thou : enter into me with the voice, with Indra's power !' over the two libations respectively.

he gratifies these two, thinking, 'gratified and pleased, these two shall convey the sacrifice to the gods.'

- 3. With the dipping-spoon (sruva, m.) he makes that libation (of clarified butter) which he makes for the mind; for the mind (manas, n.!) is male, and male is the sruva.

4. With the offering-spoon (sruk, f.) he makes that libation which he makes for speech (vāk, f.); for speech is female, and female is the sruk.

5. Silently (without a formula) and even without 'svāhā (hail)!' he makes that libation which he makes for the mind; for undefined (or indistinct) is the mind, and undefined is what takes place silently.

6. With a mantra he makes that libation which he makes for speech; for distinct is speech, and distinct is the formula.

7. Sitting he makes that libation which he makes for the mind, and standing that which he makes for speech. Mind and speech, when yoked together, assuredly convey the sacrifice to the gods. But when one of two yoke-fellows is smaller (than the other) they give him a shoulder-piece¹. Now speech is indeed smaller than mind; for mind is by far the more unlimited, and speech is by far the more limited (of the two); hence he thereby (by standing) gives a shoulder-piece to speech, and as well-matched yoke-fellows these two now convey the sacrifice to the gods: for speech, therefore, he sprinkles while standing.

8. Now the gods, when they were performing sacrifice, were afraid of a disturbance on the part

¹ Upavaha (m.; upavahas, n., Kāṇva rec.), explained by Sāyana as a piece of wood inserted under the yoke (and on the neck of an ox) in order to make it level with the height of the yoke-fellow.

of the Asuras and Rakshas. They, therefore, stood up erect against them on the south side (of the sacrificial ground); for strength is, as it were, erect; hence he makes the (second) libation while standing to the south (of the fire). When he makes a libation on each side (of the fire, north and south), this (pair), mind and speech, though indeed joined together, become separate: for one of the two libations is the head of the sacrifice and the other is its root.

9. With the dipping-spoon (*sruva*) he makes that libation which is the root of the sacrifice, and with the offering-spoon (*sruk*) that which is the head of the sacrifice.

10. Silently he makes that libation which is the root of the sacrifice; for silent, as it were, is this root (of trees &c.), and in it the voice does not sound.

11. With a formula he makes that libation which is the head of the sacrifice; for the formula is speech, and from the head this speech sounds.

12. Sitting he makes that libation which is the root of the sacrifice; for seated, as it were, is this root. Standing he makes that libation which is the head of the sacrifice; for this head stands, as it were.

13. When he has made the first libation with the dipping-spoon, he says, 'Agnidh, sweep (touch over) the fire¹!' In like manner as one would lay the yoke on (the shoulders of the team), so also he makes that first libation; for after laying on the yoke they fasten (the team to it).

14. He (the *Āgnidhra*) then sweeps (the fire with

¹ See I, 4, 2, 12, with note.

the band of the fire-wood): he thereby harnesses it, thinking, 'Now that it has been harnessed, may it convey the sacrifice to the gods!' for this reason he sweeps it. While sweeping it he moves around, since in harnessing they move around the team. He sweeps thrice each time (i.e. thrice along each of the three enclosing-sticks): threefold is the sacrifice.

15. He sweeps (once), with the text (Vâg. S. II, 7 a), 'O Agni, food-gainer! I cleanse thee, the food-gainer, who art about to hasten to the food!' Whereby he says, 'I cleanse thee who art going to convey the sacrifice (to the gods), thee fit for the sacrifice!' He then sweeps thrice over (the fire) silently: for just as, after harnessing (the animal), one urges it on, saying, 'Go on! pull!' so does he thereby strike it with the lash¹, thinking, 'Go on and convey the sacrifice to the gods!' That is why he (sweeps) thrice over it silently; and in like manner as this act is performed between (the two sprinklings of butter), so this mind and speech, though forming one, thereby become, as it were, separate.

FIFTH BRÂHMANA.

1. When he (the Adhvaryu) is about to make the second libation with the offering-spoon (sruk), he (twice) lays his joined hands (añgali) on the ground before the two offering-spoons (guhû and upabhrit), with the formulas (Vâg. S. II, 7 b), 'Adoration to the gods!' 'Svadhâ to the fathers!' Thereby he propitiates the gods and the fathers, now that

¹ The sweeping of the fire is performed with the straw-band with which the fire-wood was tied together (Kâty. III, 1, 13), and which is here compared with the lash of a whip.

he is about to perform the duties of the sacrificial priest. With the formula, 'May ye two be easy to manage for me!' he takes the two offering-spoons: he thereby means to say, 'May ye two be easy to handle for me; may I be able to handle you!'—He further says (Vâg. S. II. 8), 'May I this day offer up the butter to the gods unspilt!' whereby he means to say, 'May I to-day perform an undisturbed sacrifice to the gods!'

2. And again, 'May I not sin against thee with my foot, O Vishnu!' Vishnu, indeed, is the sacrifice: it is the latter therefore that he propitiates by saying, 'may I not sin against thee!' Further, 'May I step into thy wealth-abounding shade, O Agni!' whereby he says, 'may I step into thy auspicious shade, O Agni!'

3. Further, 'Thou art the abode of Vishnu!' Vishnu, indeed, is the sacrifice, and near to this he now stands: this is why he says, 'thou art the abode of Vishnu!' 'Here Indra performed his heroic deed²;' for it was while standing in this place that Indra drove off towards the south the evil spirits, the Rakshas: for this reason he says, 'here Indra performed his heroic deed.'—'Erect stood the cult;' cult,

¹ While he pronounces this formula (and while the Hotri recites the formula of invitation to the gods, cf. note on I, 4, 2, 16) the Adhvaryu steps to the south side of the altar (and Âhavanîya fire) and in so doing must take care always to keep the left foot before the right (Kâty. III. 1, 16, 18) and not to touch the top of the prastara, ib. 17, schol. In returning (par. 5) to his former position he has to keep the right foot before the left.

² With this and the succeeding formulas, the Adhvaryu makes the second libation (cf. note on I, 4, 4, 1). Before the butter is poured into the fire the sacrificer pronounces the dedicatory formula, 'Om! for Indra this, not for me!'

namely, means sacrifice, hence he thereby says 'erect stood the sacrifice.'

- 4. Further (Vāg. S. II, 9): 'O Agni, take thou upon thyself the office of *Hotri*, take thou upon thyself the part of messenger!' for Agni is both *Hotri* and messenger to the gods: hence he thereby says, 'know thou¹ both (offices) which thou art (holding) for the gods!'—'May earth and heaven guard thee! Guard thou earth and heaven!' there is nothing obscure in this.—'Indra, by this butter-oblation, may be the maker of good offering (*svishṭakṛit*) for the gods! Svāhā!' Indra, indeed, is the deity of sacrifice; therefore he says 'Indra, by this butter-oblation...' 'It is for speech that he makes this sprinkling, and Indra is speech' so say some; and for this reason also he says 'Indra, by this butter-oblation...'

5. Having then returned (to his former position behind the altar), without letting the two offering-spoons touch each other, he mixes (some of the butter left in the *guhû*) with (that in) the *dhruvâ*. Now the second libation (which he has just offered) is the head of the sacrifice, and the *dhruvâ* is its body²: hence he thereby replaces the head on the body. And the second libation, moreover, is the head of the sacrifice, and the head (*siras*) represents excellence (*sri*), for the head does indeed represent excellence: hence, of one who is the most excellent (*śreshṭha*) of a community, people say that he is 'the head of that community.'

¹ *Veḥ*, in the formula, our author refers to *vid*, 'to know,' instead of to *ṽi*, 'to strive after, undertake.'

² Cf. I, 3, 2, 2, and Taitt. S. II, 5, 11, 7-8. The second libation (*āghâra*) has just been made with the *guhû*.

6. The sacrificer, assuredly, stands behind the dhruvâ, and he who means evil to him stands behind the upabhr̥it¹. Hence if he were to mix (the butter remaining in the guhû) with (that in) the upabhr̥it, he would bestow excellence on him who means evil to the sacrificer; but in this way he bestows that excellence on the sacrificer himself: for this reason he mixes (the butter in the guhû) with (that in) the dhruvâ.

7. He mixes it, with the text (Vâg. S. II, 9 h), 'Light with light!' for light (lustre), indeed, is the butter in the one (spoon) and light also is that in the other. Thereby these two lights unite with each other, and for this reason he mixes (the butter) in this manner.

8. Now a dispute once took place between Mind and Speech as to² which was the better of the two. Both Mind and Speech said, 'I am excellent!'

9. Mind said, 'Surely I am better than thou, for thou dost not speak anything that is not understood by me; and since thou art only an imitator of what is done by me and a follower in my wake, I am surely better than thou!'

10. Speech said, 'Surely I am better than thou, for what thou knowest I make known, I communicate.'

¹ The same idea has been expressed above, I, 3, 2, 11.

² Cf. Taitt. S. II, 5, 11, 4: 'Mind and Speech (or Voice) were contending against one another.' 'I will carry the oblation to the gods!' said Speech. 'I (will carry it) to the gods!' said the Mind. They went to Pragâpati to question him. Pragâpati said (to Speech), 'Thou art the handmaid (dûti) of the mind, for what one thinks in one's mind that one speaks with one's speech.' [Speech replied], 'Then indeed they shall not offer to thee with speech!' For this reason they offer to Pragâpati with the mind; for Pragâpati, as it were, is the mind, &c.

11. They went to appeal to Pragāpati for his decision. He, Pragāpati, decided in favour of Mind, saying (to Speech), 'Mind is indeed better than thou, for thou art an imitator of its deeds and a follower in its wake; and inferior, surely, is he who imitates his better's deeds and follows in his wake.'

12. Then Speech (vāk, fem.) being thus gainsaid, was dismayed and miscarried. She, Speech, then said to Pragāpati, 'May I never be thy oblation-bearer, I whom thou hast gainsaid!' Hence whatever at the sacrifice is performed for Pragāpati, that is performed in a low voice; for speech would not act as oblation-bearer for Pragāpati.

13. That germ (retas) the gods then brought away in a skin or in some (vessel). They asked: 'Is it here (atra)?' and therefore it developed into Atri. For the same reason one becomes guilty by (intercourse) with a woman who has just miscarried (ātreya); for it is from that woman, from the goddess Speech, that these (germs) originate¹.

FIFTH ADHYĀYA. FIRST BRĀHMANA.

1. He (the Adhvaryu) now utters his call for the Pravara (choosing of the Hotri)². The reason why he utters his call, is that the (Adhvaryu's) call is

¹ 'Tasmād apy ātreyyā yoshitainasvy etasyai hi yoshāyai vāko devatāyā eṭe sambhūtāḥ,' [ete laukikāḥ sarve garbhāḥ sambhūtāḥ, Sây.].—The Kāṇva text has, 'Tasmād api striyātreyyainasvity āhur etasyā hi sa yoshāyā devatāyā vākaḥ sambhūta iti' ['—for it is from that woman, from the goddess Speech, that he (Atri) originated'].
² The Hotri, on concluding the invitation of the gods, sits down with raised knees in the same place where he has been standing (see p. 95, note 1), parts the sacrificial grass of the altar, and measures a span on the earth, with the text (Āsv. I, 3, 22), 'Aditi is his mother, do not cut him off from the air. With the aid of

the sacrifice: 'having bespoke the sacrifice, I will choose the Hotri,' thus (he thinks, and) for this reason he utters his call for the Pravara.

2. He utters his call after taking the fuel-band; for if the Adhvaryu were to utter his call without taking hold of the sacrifice, he would either be unsteady or meet with some other ailment.

3. Here now some utter the call after taking sacrificial grass (barhis) from the covered altar, or they utter the call after cutting off and taking a chip of fire-wood, arguing, 'this, surely, is something belonging to the sacrifice; after taking hold of this, the sacrifice, we will utter the call.' Let him, however, not do this; for that also wherewith the fire-wood was tied together and wherewith they sweep the fire¹ is, doubtless, something belonging to the sacrifice; and thus indeed he utters his call after taking hold of the sacrifice: for this reason let him utter the call after taking the fuel-band.

4. Having uttered the call, he in the first place chooses him who is the Hotri of the gods, that is, Agni. Thereby he propitiates both Agni and the gods: for by first choosing Agni, he propitiates Agni;

Agni, the god, the deity; with the threefold chant, with the rāthantara-sāman, with the gāyatri metre, with the agnish/oma sacrifice, with the vasha/-call, the thunderbolt, — I here kill him who hates us, and whom we hate! The Adhvaryu having thereupon walked round the Hotri from left to right, steps behind the utkara (heap of rubbish) with his face to the east and the fuel-band in his hand, and calls on (âsrāvayati) the Âgnīdhra, with Ō srāvaya (or Ōm srāvaya, i.e. â srāvaya; or simply srāvaya; cf. Sāyana on Taitt. S. I, 6, 11). The Âgnīdhra (whilst standing north of the Adhvaryu, with his face to the south, and taking the wooden sword and the fuel-band from the Adhvaryu) responds (pratyâsrāvayati) by 'astu srausha!'

¹ See p. 127, note 1.

and by first choosing him who is the *Hotri* of the gods, he propitiates the gods.

5. He says, 'Agni, the god, the divine *Hotri*—,' for Agni is indeed the *Hotri* of the gods, therefore he says 'Agni, the god, the divine *Hotri*:' thereby he propitiates both Agni and the gods; for by his first mentioning Agni he propitiates Agni; and by his first mentioning him who is the *Hotri* of the gods, he propitiates the gods.

6. 'May he worship, knowing the gods¹, he the thoughtful one,'—for he, Agni, indeed, knows the gods well: hence he thereby says 'may he who knows them well worship (them) in due form!'

7. 'Like as Manu (did), like as Bharata; '—Manu, indeed, worshipped with sacrifice in olden times, and doing as he did these descendants of his now sacrifice: therefore he says 'like as Manu.' Or, say they, (it means) 'at the sacrifice of Manu,' and therefore he says 'as (he did) with Manu.'

8. 'Like as (with) Bharata,'—for, say they, he bears (*bhar*) the oblation to the gods, hence Bharata (the bearer) is Agni; or, say they, he, having become the breath, supports (*bhar*) these creatures, and therefore he says 'like as Bharata.'

9. He then chooses (Agni as) the ancestral (*Hotri*). He thus introducēs him both to the (ancestral) *rishis* and to the gods (as if he were saying), 'he is of mighty strength who obtained the sacrifice!' for this reason he chooses (him as) the ancestral one.

10. He chooses from the remote end (of the sacrificer's ancestral line)² downwards; for it is from the

¹ Thus our author. It should rather be 'May (he) worship the gods, he the wise, the considerate one.'

² Cf. p. 115, note 1.

remote end downwards that a race is propagated. Thereby he also propitiates the lord of seniority; for here among men the father comes first, then the son, and then the grandson; this is the reason why he chooses from the remote end downwards.

11. Having named the ancestral, he says, 'Like as Brahman;'—for Agni is the Brahman (the Veda, or the sacerdotium), and therefore he says 'like as Brahman;'—'may he bring (the gods) higher!' what deities he bids him bring hither, those he refers to in saying 'may he bring (them) hither.'

12. 'The Brāhmaṇas (priests) are the guardians of this sacrifice;' for guardians of the sacrifice, indeed, are those Brāhmaṇas who are versed in the sacred writ, because they spread it, they originate it: these he thereby propitiates; and for this reason he says, 'the Brāhmaṇas are the guardians of the sacrifice.'

13. 'N. N. is the man,' thereby he chooses this man for his Hotri; heretofore he was not a Hotri, but now he is a Hotri.

14. The chosen Hotri mutters,—has recourse to the deities: in order that he may give the vashat-call to the gods in its proper order, that he may convey the oblation to the gods in its proper order, that he may not stumble, he has thus recourse to the deities.

15. He mutters on this occasion¹, 'Thee, O divine Savitri, they now choose,'—thereby he has recourse to Savitri for his impulsion (prasava), for Savitri is the impeller (prasavitri) of the gods;—' (thee who art) Agni, for the Hotri'ship,' thereby he

¹ Except the beginning, these formulas are entirely different from those given by Âsv. S. I, 3, 23-24.

propitiates both Agni and the gods; for by first naming Agni, he propitiates Agni; and by first naming him who is the Hotri of the gods, he propitiates the gods.

16. 'Together with father Vaisvânara,'—for the father Vaisvânara ('common to all men'), doubtless, is the year, is Pragâpati (lord of creatures); hence he thereby propitiates the year and thus Pragâpati.—'O Agni! O Pûshan! O Bṛihaspati! speak forth and offer up sacrifice (pra-yag)!—he (the Hotri), namely, will have to recite the anuvâkyâs and the yâgyâs¹; he therefore now propitiates those gods: 'do ye recite, do ye offer!' thus (he thereby says).

17. 'May we partake of the bounty of the Vasus, of the wide sway of the Rudras! may we be beloved of the Âdityas, for the sake of (a diti) security from injury, free from obstruction!'—these, to wit, the Vasus, Rudras, and Âdityas, namely, are three (classes of) gods: 'may we enjoy their protection' he thereby says.

18. 'May I this day utter speech that is agreeable to the gods;'—by this he means to say 'may I this day recite what is agreeable to the gods,' for auspicious it is when one recites what is agreeable to the gods.

19. 'Agreeable to the Brahmans,'—by this he means to say 'may I this day recite what is agreeable to the Brâhmanaś (priests);' for auspicious it is when one recites what is agreeable to the Brâhmanas.

¹ The yâgyâs (offering-prayers) are the prayers which the Hotri pronounces when the offerings are poured into the fire (this being done simultaneously with, or immediately after, the vaushaś, 'may he carry it,' with which the yâgyâ ends, is pronounced). At the chief oblations the offering-prayer is preceded by an anuvâkyâ or puro 'nuvâkyâ (invitatory prayer) by which the gods are invited to come to the offering, and which ends with 'om.'

20. 'Agreeable to Narâsamsa¹,'—man (nara), namely, is a creature: hence he says this for all the creatures; thereby it is auspicious, and whether or not he knows (forms of speech that are agreeable), they are uttered (and received with applause), 'well he has recited! well he has recited!'—'What at the Hotri choice may escape the crooked eye this day, that may Agni bring back here, he, the knower of beings (gâtavedas), the nimble one (vikarshani)!'—by this he means to say, 'even as those (three) Agnis, whom they first chose for the Hotriship, passed away², (but thou, the fourth Agni, wast then obtained,) so do thou make good for me whatever mistake may have been committed at my election!' and it is accordingly made good for him.

21. He now touches the Adhvaryu and the Agnidhra: for the Adhvaryu is the mind, and the Hotri is speech: thus he thereby brings mind and speech together.

22. At the same time he mutters³, 'From anguish may the six spaces protect me, fire, earth, water, wind, day, and night'!—'may these deities protect

¹ Narâsamsa ['the hope or desire (âsamsâ) of man (nara)'] is a mystical form of Agni, invoked chiefly in the Âprî-hymns at animal sacrifices. 'Yathâ sarve 'pi narâ â sarvatah ramsanti tathâvidhâya.' Sâyana.

² See the legend I, 2, 3, 1 seq.

³ This and the succeeding formulas also are entirely different from those given in Ârv. S. I, 3, 27 seq. The Sâṅkhây. S. I, 6 (Hillebrandt, Neu und Vollm. p. 91) seems to coincide, to some extent, with those given by our author.

⁴ The six spaces or wide expanses (urvi) are several times referred to in Vedic texts, but the conception seems to have been very vague. They are generally supposed to include the space above, the space below, and the four quarters. In Rîg-veda VI, 47, 3-5 it is stated that they have been measured out

me from disease!' thus he thereby says; for he whom these deities protect from disease, will not stumble (or fail).

23. He steps beside the Hotri's seat, takes one stalk of (reed) grass from the Hotri's seat and casts it outside (the sacrificial ground), with the formula, 'Ejected is the wealth-clutcher (parāvasu, lit. "off-wealth")!' Formerly, namely, the Hotri of the Asuras was one Paṛāvasu by name: him he thereby ejects from the Hotri's seat.

24. He then sits down on the Hotri's seat, with the formula, 'I here sit down on the seat of the wealth-bestower (arvāvasu, lit. "hither-wealth")!' for one Arvāvasu by name was the Hotri of the gods¹, and on his seat he accordingly sits down.

25. At the same time he mutters, 'O All-maker, thou art the protector of lives! do not ye two (fires) scorch me away (from this)², injure me not! this

by Indra, and that outside of them there is no being (bhuvanam); and they are then enumerated thus: the expanse of the earth, the height (varshman, ? highest point or sphere) of the sky (div), the sap (pīyūsha) in the three elevations [? i.e. flowing, animating moisture, as rain, rivers, sap, &c.], the atmosphere, the ocean (? arnas, ? of light, air), and the sky (div). The enumeration of six objects in Atharva-veda II, 12, 1 seems to refer to the same conception: heaven and earth (dyāvāprithivī), the wide atmospheric region, the genius (fem.) of the field (kshetrasya patnī), the far-strider (Sun, Light), the wide atmospheric region (uru-antariksham as before; cf. the double enumeration of div in the R̥ik passage); and what has the Wind for its guardian (vātagopa). Cf. Weber, Ind. Stud. XIII, p. 164. Sāṅkh. Gr̥hya-sūtra I, 6, 4 gives heaven and earth, day and night, water and plants (St. Petersburg Dictionary s.v.).

¹ According to the Kaushīt. Br. VI, 10, Arvāvasu was the Brahman of the gods. Weber, Ind. Stud. II, 306.

² The Hotri's seat stands north of the north-west corner of the altar, the Āhavanīya and the Gārhapatya fires being about equidistant from it towards south-east and south-west respectively.

is your sphere ;' with this he moves slightly northwards : by this (mantra, he indicates that) he sits midway between the Âhavanīya and the Gârhapatya, and thus he propitiates these two ; and in accordance with what he says, 'do not scorch me away from this ! injure me not !' they do not injure him.

26. He then mutters whilst looking at the (Âhavanīya) fire, 'All ye gods, instruct me, how and what I am to mind while seated here as the chosen Hotri ! declare my share (of the sacrificial duties), how and by what road I am to convey the oblation to you !'—for as one says to those for whom food has been cooked, 'order me how I am to bring it you, how I am to serve it up for you !' in like manner he is desirous of directions regarding the gods, and for this reason he mutters thus, 'instruct me how I may utter the Vasha/-call for you in its proper order, how I may bring you the oblation in its proper order !'

SECOND BRĀHMANA.

THE FORE-OFFERINGS (PRAYĀGAS).

1. [The Hotri continues], 'May Agni, the priest (hotri), know (undertake) Agni's priestly duty (hau-tram),—thereby he says 'may Agni, as Hotri, know this !' 'Agni's priestly duty' he says, because it is his duty that he must know ;—'that means of salvation¹,—the means of salvation, assuredly, is the sacrifice : 'may he know the sacrifice' is what he thereby says.—'Favourable to thee, O Sacrificer, is

¹ Prāvitrām, literally 'that which promotes, protects' ('unser Hort'). Sâyana on Taitt. S. II, 5, 9, 5 explains it by 'prakṛiḥ/am avitrām phaladānarūpam asmadrakṣaḥavam yasmin homānu-sh/hāne tad idam prāvitrām.' For this and the succeeding formulas, see Ârv. I, 4, 10-11.

the deity!' by this he says 'favourable is the deity to thee, O Sacrificer, whose Hotri is Agni¹!'—
, 'Take up² the spoon, O Adhvaryu, full of butter!' thereby he urges on the Adhvaryu. The reason why he mentions one (spoon) only (is this).

2. The Sacrificer, doubtless, stands behind the *guhû*, and he, who means evil to him, stands behind the *upabhr̥it*; and if he were to speak of two (spoons), he would cause the spiteful enemy to countervail the Sacrificer. Behind the *guhû* stands the eater, and behind the *upabhr̥it* the one to be eaten; and if he were to speak of two (spoons), he would make the one to be eaten countervail the eater. For these reasons he speaks of one (spoon) only.

3. [He continues], '—(the spoon which is) devoted to the gods, possessed of all boons,' he praises, he magnifies it when he says 'devoted to the gods, possessed of all boons.'—'Let us praise the gods, the praiseworthy! let us adore the adorable! let us worship the worshipful!' that is, 'let us praise those gods who are praiseworthy! let us adore those who are adorable! let us worship those who are worthy of worship!' the praiseworthy, to wit, are the men, the adorable the fathers, and the worshipful the gods.

4. For, indeed, the creatures that are not allowed to take part in the sacrifice are forlorn; and therefore

¹ Âsv. I, 4, 10, and Sāṅkh. I, 6 give as belonging to the text of the mantra: *yo agniṃ hotāram avr̥ihâh*, 'thou who hast chosen Agni for thy Hotri'; the same reading is mentioned in Taitt. S. II, 5, 9, 5.

² Thus Sāyana (*âsyasva*=haste dhāraya); 'schöpfe ein (ladle in),' St. Petersburg Dictionary; 'pour into the fire,' Hillebrandt, p. 93.

he makes those creatures here on earth that are not forlorn, take part in the sacrifice: behind the men are the beasts, and behind the gods are the birds, the plants, and the trees; and thus all that here exists is made to take part in the sacrifice.

5. These same (preceding formulas) are nine utterances; for nine, in number, are those breaths (or vital airs) in man¹, and these he thereby puts into him (the sacrificer): for this reason there are nine utterances.

6. The sacrifice fled away from the gods. The gods called out after it, 'Listen (*â-sru*) to us!² come back to us!' It replied, 'So be it!' and returned to the gods; and with what had thus returned to them, the gods worshipped; and by worshipping with it they became the gods they now are.

7. Now when he (the Adhvaryu) calls (on the Âgnidhra), he thereby calls after the sacrifice, 'Listen to us! come back to us!' and when he (the Âgnidhra) responds, then the sacrifice comes back, saying 'so be it!' and with it, thus passing over to them, as with seed³, the priests carry on the tradition, imperceptibly to the sacrificer; for even as people hand on from one to the other a full vessel⁴, in

¹ See p. 20, note 1.

² The legend is intended to explain the origin and symbolical meaning of the call (*âsrâvana*) of the Adhvaryu (viz. O *srâvaya*! 'make listen!') and the response (*pratyâsravana*) of the Âgnidhra (viz. *astu srausha*!).

³ The sacrifice is the seed (*vîga*) that produces heaven as its fruit. *Sâyana*.

⁴ I.e. 'even as they pass on from hand to hand a pail (*ghaṭa*) filled with water when a tub is to be filled inside the house.' *Sâyana*.

the same way they (the priests) hand down that (sacrifice) from one to the other. They hand it down by means of speech, for the sacrifice is speech (prayer), and speech is seed: therefore they keep up the tradition by means of it.

8. After he has said (to the Hotri), 'Recite!' the Adhvaryu must utter nothing improper (worldly); neither must the Hotri utter anything improper. The Adhvaryu¹ utters his call: thereby the sacrifice passes on to the Āgnidhra.

9. The Āgnidhra must utter nothing improper until his response. The Āgnidhra responds: thereby the sacrifice passes back to the Adhvaryu.

10. The Adhvaryu must utter nothing improper until he pronounces (the word) 'yaga (recite the offering-prayer):' in saying 'yaga the Adhvaryu hands the sacrifice on to the Hotri.

11. The Hotri must utter nothing improper until his vashaṭ-call. By the vashaṭ-call he pours it (the sacrifice) into the fire, as seed into the womb; for the fire is indeed the womb of the sacrifice, from thence it is brought forth. So now at the havis-sacrifice. And at the Soma-cult,—

12. When he has drawn (the Soma), the Adhvaryu must not utter anything improper until his summons

¹ As soon as the Hotri has pronounced the formula 'O Adhvaryu, take up the spoon full of butter!' (par. 2 above), the Adhvaryu takes the two offering-spoons (*guhû* and *upabhrit*) and steps back (from the west side along the north side of the altar and the west side of the fire) to the south side of the altar and the fire (the *yagati-sthâna*), and (with his face to north-east) utters his call, and (having been responded to by the Āgnidhra) calls on the Hotri: 'samidho yaga (pronounce the offering-prayer to the kindling-sticks)!' Kâty. III, 2, 16.

(for the chanting of the stotra¹): with the call 'draw near!' the Adhvaryu hands the sacrifice on to the Udgâtris (chanters).

13. The Udgâtris must not utter anything improper until the last (stotra-verse): 'this is the last one,' thus thinking, the Udgâtris hand on the sacrifice to the Hotri.

14. The Hotri must utter nothing improper until the vasha²-call. With the vasha²-call he pours it (the sacrifice) into the fire, as seed into the womb; for the fire is indeed the womb of the sacrifice, since from thence it is brought forth.

15. If he whom the sacrifice approaches were to utter anything improper, he would waste the sacrifice, even as he might waste (water by spilling from) a full vessel. And where the officiating priests thus practice sacrifice with a perfect mutual understanding between them, there everything works regularly and no hitch occurs: therefore it is in this way that the sacrifice must be nursed.

16. Now there are here five utterances, viz. (1) 'Bid (him, Agni, or them) hear!' (2) 'Yea, may he (or, one) hear!' (3) 'Pronounce the prayer to the kindling-sticks!' (4) 'We who pronounce the prayer . . .' (5) 'May he bear (the sacrifice to the gods)²!' fivefold is the sacrifice, fivefold the animal victim, five are the seasons of the year: this is the one measure of the sacrifice, this its consummation.

¹ See IV, 2, 5, 7-8.

² (1) O srâvaya (for â srâvaya), the Adhvaryu's call; (2) astu sraushat, the Âgnîdhra's response; (3) (samidho) yaga, the Adhvaryu's summons to the Hotri; (4) ye yagâmahe, the beginning of the Hotri's yâgyâ, or offering-prayer (see p. 135 note); (5) vasha², concluding formula of the yâgyâ.

17. These (five formulas) consist of seventeen syllables;—seventeenfold, indeed, is Pragāpati, and Pragāpati is the sacrifice: this is the one measure of the sacrifice, this its consummation.

18. With 'Q srāvaya¹!' the gods sent forth the east wind; with 'Astu sraushat¹!' they caused the clouds to flow together; with 'Yaga (pronounce the yāgyā)!' (they sent forth) the lightning; with 'Ye yagāmahe (we who pray),' the thunder; with the vashat-call they caused it to rain².

19. Should he (the sacrificer) be desirous of rain, or should he perform a special offering³, or even at the new- and full-moon sacrifice itself, he may say, 'Verily, I am desirous of rain!'—and he may also say to the Adhvaryu, 'Ponder thou in thy mind the east wind and the lightning!'—to the Āgnidhra, 'Ponder thou the clouds in thy mind!'—to the Hotri, 'Ponder thou in thy mind the thunder and rain!'—to the Brahman, 'Ponder thou all these in thy mind!'—for where the officiating priests thus practice sacrifice with a perfect mutual understanding between them, there it will indeed rain.

20. With 'O srāvaya!' the gods called the shining one (virāg, viz. cow); with 'Astu sraushat¹!' they untied the calf and let it go to her; with 'Yaga!' they raised (its head to the udder of the cow)⁴; with

¹ For āsrāvaya (cf. p. 131, note 2), i.e. 'bid (him, Agni, or them) hear!' but the author here makes srāvaya the causative of sru (sru), 'to flow'; hence ā srāvaya, 'make flow'; and astu sraushat [properly 'Yea, may he (or one) hear!'] he makes 'Yea, may it flow!'

² A fanciful etymology of vashat from root vrish, 'to rain'; for the true derivation of the word, see p. 88, note 2.

³ I. e. an offering made with a view to the obtainment of some special wish (kāmyeshā).

⁴ Thus (or 'they led it up to the udder of the cow') Sāyana

'Ye yagâmahe!' they sat down by her (for milking); with the vasha/-call they milked her. The shining one, doubtless, is this (earth), and of her this is the milking: and for him who knows this to be the milking of the shining one, this shining (earth-cow) thus milks out all his desires.

THIRD BRÂHMANA.

1. The fore-offerings (prayâga), assuredly, are the seasons: hence there are five of them, for there are five seasons.

2. The gods and the Asuras, both of them sprang from Praçâpati, were once contending for this sacrifice, (which is) their father Praçâpati, the year: 'Ours it (he) shall be!' 'Ours it (he) shall be!' they said.

3. Then the gods went on praising and toiling. They saw these fore-offerings and worshipped with them. By means of them they gained (pra-gi) the seasons, the year; they deprived their rivals of the seasons, of the year: hence (the fore-offerings are) victories (pragaya), for, assuredly, pragaya is the very same term as prayâga (fore-offering)¹. And in the same way this one (the sacrificer) wins by means of them the seasons, the year; deprives his rivals of the seasons, of the year. This is the reason why he performs the fore-offerings.

4. The sacrificial food at these offerings consists of

explains udanayan. In his commentary on Taitt. S. I, 6, 11 he interprets the analogous udanaishât by 'he raises (or brings) the milk-pail;' where the St. Petersburg Dictionary apparently takes it in the sense of 'he led the calf away from the cow.'

¹ In reality prayâga (from yag, 'to sacrifice') has, of course, nothing to do with pragaya (from gi, 'to conquer').

clarified butter. Now the butter, indeed, is a thunderbolt, and with that thunderbolt, the butter, the gods gained the seasons, the year, and deprived their rivals of the seasons, of the year.* And with that thunderbolt, the butter, he now, in the same way, gains the seasons, the year, and deprives his enemies of the seasons, of the year. For this reason clarified butter forms the sacrificial food at these (offerings).

5. Now this butter is the year's own liquor: hence the gods gained it (the year) by means of its own liquor; and in the same way he also now gains it by means of its own liquor. This is the reason why clarified butter forms the sacrificial food at these (fore-offerings).

6. Let him (the Adhvaryu) not move from that same spot where he may be standing when he calls for the fore-offerings. A battle, it is true, is witnessed whenever any one performs the fore-offerings, and whichever of the two combatants is worsted, that one, no doubt, retreats; and he who obtains the victory, advances still nearer: he (the Adhvaryu) might therefore (feel inclined to) step nearer and nearer (to the fire), and offer the oblations (while moving) nearer and nearer¹.

7. This, however, he should not do; he should not move from that same spot where he may be standing when he calls for the fore-offerings. Let

¹ Though the author does not state expressly that this change of position in performing the five fore-offerings is advocated by some other ritualists, he apparently argues in this passage against an actually adopted theory and practice, which the Sûtras also mention as optional. In the case of the Adhvaryu changing his position, he is at each successive fore-offering to pour the butter on a part of the fire east of the preceding one. Kāty. III, 2, 18-21.

him rather offer the (five) oblations in that part (of the fire) where he thinks there is the fiercest blaze; for only by being offered in blazing (fire), oblations are successful.

8. He (the Adhvaryu), having called (on, and having been responded to by, the Āgntdhra), says (to the Hotṛi), 'Pronounce the offering-prayer (yāgyā) to the Samidhs (kindling-sticks)!' Thereby he kindles the spring; the spring, when kindled, kindles the other seasons; the seasons, when kindled, generate the creatures and ripen the plants. In the same (formula) he also implies the (four) remaining seasons, and in order to avoid sameness, he introduces the others by merely saying each time, 'Pronounce the offering-prayer!' For were he to say, 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to Tanûnapât!' 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to the Ids!' and so on, he would commit (the fault of) repetition: hence he introduces the remaining (seasons or fore-offerings) by merely saying each time, 'Pronounce the offering-prayer!'

9. He (the Hotṛi) now pronounces the offering-prayer (yāgyā) to the Samidhs. The samidh (kindler), doubtless, is the spring. The gods, at that time, appropriated the spring, and deprived their rivals of the spring; and now this one (the

¹ On the necessity of avoiding sameness of ritualistic practices cf. note on I, 3, 2, 8. The five fore-offerings (prayāga, here identified with the five seasons) are addressed respectively to the kindling-sticks (samidh), to Tanûnapât (or Narâsamsa, both mystical forms of Agni), to the Ids (personifications of the forms of devotional feeling), to the sacrificial grass-covering of the altar (barhis), and to Agni and Soma (or other deities). Since, in introducing the first fore-offering, the Adhvaryu has mentioned its recipient, he is not to do so in the case of the remaining four.

sacrificer) also appropriates the spring, and deprives his rivals of the spring : this is the reason why he pronounces the offering-prayer to the Samīdhs.

10. After that he pronounces the offering-prayer to Tanūnapât. Tanūnapât, doubtless, is the summer; for the summer burns the bodies (tanūn tapati) of these creatures. The gods, at that time, appropriated the summer, and deprived their rivals of the summer; and now this one also appropriates the summer, and deprives his rivals of the summer : this is the reason why he pronounces the offering-prayer to Tanūnapât.

11. He then pronounces the offering-prayer to the Iḍs. The Iḍs (praises), doubtless, are the rains; they are the rains, inasmuch as the vile, crawling (vermin)¹ which shrink during the summer and winter, then (in the rainy season) move about in quest of food, as it were, praising (iḍ) the rains : therefore the Iḍs are the rains. The gods, at that time, appropriated the rains, and deprived their rivals of the rains; and now this one also appropriates the rains, and deprives his rivals of the rains : this is the reason why he pronounces the offering-prayer to the Iḍs.

12. He then pronounces the offering-prayer to the Barhis (covering of sacrificial grass on the altar). The barhis, doubtless, is the autumn; the barhis is the autumn, inasmuch as these plants which shrink during the summer and winter grow by the rains, and in autumn lie spread open after the fashion of barhis : for this reason the barhis is the autumn. The gods, at that time, appropriated the autumn, and deprived their rivals of the autumn;

¹ Such as lizards, alligators. Sāyana.

and now this one also appropriates the autumn, and deprives his rivals of the autumn: this is why he pronounces the prayer to the barhis.

13. He then pronounces the offering-prayer with 'Svâhâ! Svâhâ!'. The Svâhâ-call, namely, marks the end of the sacrifice, and the end of the year is the winter, since the winter is on the other (remoter) side of the spring. By the end (of the sacrifice) the gods, at that time, appropriated the end (of the year); by the end they deprived their rivals of the end; and by the end this one also now appropriates the end; by the end he deprives his rivals of the end: this is why he pronounces the offering-prayers with 'Svâhâ! Svâhâ!'

14. Now the spring, assuredly, comes into life again out of the winter, for out of the one the other is born again: therefore he who knows this, is indeed born again in this world.

15. In order to avoid sameness he prays (alternately) with 'may they accept!' and 'may he (or it) accept²!' for he would commit (the fault) of repe-

¹ See further on, par. 22. As to Svâhâ! marking the conclusion of the sacrifice, see the Samish/ayagus I, 9, 2, 25-28.

² The first offering-prayer (to the logs) is 'yê yagâmahe samidhañ, samidho agna âgyasya vyantû vâusha!' i.e. 'we who pronounce the offering-prayer to the Samidhs,—the Samidhs, O Agni, may accept the butter! vâusha!' Similarly at the other fore-offerings; but at the second and fourth, where the object of worship is a single one (viz. Tanûnapât and the Barhis respectively), 'may he (or it) accept (vetu)!' has to be substituted for 'may they accept (vyantu)!'. The difference of number in these verbal forms is symbolically explained as implying a distinction of sex, for the reason that there may be more wives to one man, but only one husband to a woman. The elliptic expression ye yagâmahe is thus explained by Sâyana on Taitt. S. I, 6, 11: 'All we Hotri priests that are urged on by the Adhvarvu calling "Recite (thou)!" we do recite, we do pronounce

tion, if he were to pray with 'may they accept!' each time, or with 'may he accept!' each time. By 'may they accept!' doubtless, females (are implied); and by 'may he accept!' a male (is implied): thereby a productive union is effected, and for this reason he prays (alternately) with 'may they accept!' and 'may he (or it) accept!'

16. Now at the fourth fore-offering, to the barhis, he pours (butter) together (into the *guhû*¹). The barhis, namely, represents descendants, and the butter seed: hence seed is thereby infused into the descendants, and by that infused seed descendants are generated again and again. For this reason he pours together (butter) at the fourth fore-offering, that to the barhis.

17. Now, a battle, as it were, is going on here when any one performs the fore-offerings; and whichever of the two combatants a friend (an ally) joins, he obtains the victory: hence a friend thereby joins the *guhû* from out of the *upabhr̥it*, and by him it (or he) obtains the victory. This is why he pours together (butter) at the fourth fore-offering, that to the barhis.

18. The sacrificer, doubtless, (stands) behind the *guhû*, and he who means evil to him, (stands)

the *yâgyâ*.' This introductory part of the offering-formula is called *âgur*, 'acclamation, assent' (*Âsv.* I, 5, 4); it is alluded to in *Mahâbhâr.* Vanap. 12480 (cf. Muir, O. S. T. I, p. 135), and apparently by *Pân.* VIII, 2, 88 (cf. Haug, Ait. Br. II, p. 133 n.).

¹ In making the oblation, the *Adhvaryu* holds the *guhû* over the *upabhr̥it* and pours some of the butter from the *guhû* over the spout of the *upabhr̥it* into the fire. At the third *prayâga* he empties all the butter remaining in the *guhû* into the fire, and thereupon, for the fourth oblation, replenishes the empty spoon with half the contents of the *upabhr̥it*, after which he proceeds as before.

behind the upabhr̥it: hence he thereby makes the spiteful enemy pay tribute to the sacrificer. The consumer, doubtless, (stands) behind the guhū, and the one to be consumed behind the upabhr̥it: hence he thereby makes the one that is to be consumed pay tribute to the consumer. This is the reason why he pours (butter) together at the fourth fore-offering, that to the barhis.

19. He pours (the butter) together without (the two spoons) touching (each other). If he were to touch (the one spoon with the other) he would touch the sacrificer with his spiteful enemy, he would touch the consumer with the one to be consumed: for this reason he pours (the butter) together without touching.

20. He holds the guhū over the upabhr̥it). Thereby he keeps the sacrificer above his spiteful enemy, he keeps the consumer above the one to be consumed: for this reason he holds the guhū over (the upabhr̥it).

21. The gods once said, 'Well then, now that the battle has been won, let us establish the entire sacrifice on a firm basis; and should the Asuras and Rakshas (again) trouble us, our sacrifice will then be firmly established!'

22. At the last fore-offering they established the entire sacrifice by means of the Svāhā ('hail!'). With 'Svāhā Agni!' they established the butter-portion for Agni; with 'Svāhā Soma!' they established the butter-portion for Soma; and with (the second) 'Svāhā Agni!' they established that indispensable sacrificial cake which there is on both occasions (i.e. at the new- and full-moon sacrifices).

23. And so with the (other) deities respec-

tively¹. With 'Svāhā the butter-drinking gods!' they established the fore-offerings and the after-offerings (anuyāgas), for the fore-offerings and after-offerings, doubtless, represent the butter-drinking gods. With the formula 'May Agni graciously accept of the butter!' they established Agni as Svishṭakṛit ('maker of good offering'), for Agni is indeed the maker of good offering. And till this day that sacrifice stands as firm as the gods established it. This is the reason why at the last fore-offering he prays with 'Svāhā! Svāhā!' according to the number of oblations (there are at the chief sacrifice). After he (the sacrificer) has won his battle, he establishes the entire sacrifice on a firm basis, so that, if after this he should violate the proper order of the sacrifice, he need not heed it; for he will know that his sacrifice is firmly established. Now what with exclaiming 'Vashat,' with offering, and with calling out 'Svāhā,' this same sacrifice was well-nigh exhausted.

24. The gods were anxious as to how they might replenish it, how they might again render it efficient and practise (worshipping) with it, when efficient.

25. Now what was left in the *guhū* of the butter wherewith they had established the sacrifice, with that they sprinkled the havis (dishes, or kinds, of sacrificial food) one after another, and thereby replenished them and again rendered them efficient, because the butter is indeed efficient. Hence after offering the last fore-offering, he sprinkles the havis one after another, and thereby replenishes them and again renders them efficient, because the butter is indeed efficient². Hence also from whatever sacrificial

¹ Cf. p. 118, note 3. The words 'Svāhā Agnim' &c. are preceded by 'ye yagāmahe,' see before, p. 148, note 2.

² After the Adhvaryu has performed the last fore-offering, he

food he (afterwards at the principal oblations) cuts off (a portion for a deity), that he again sprinkles (with butter), that he replenishes and renders efficient for the (*Svishṭakṛit*) maker of good offering. But when he cuts off the portion for the maker of good offering, then he does not again sprinkle (the sacrificial food out of which the portion has been cut), since after that he will not make any other oblation in the fire from the sacrificial food¹.

FOURTH BRĀHMANA.

1. He (accordingly) pronounces the offering-prayer to the Samidhs (kindling-sticks). The Samidhs (kindlers), doubtless, are the breaths (vital airs), and he thereby kindles the breaths; for this man (the sacrificer) is kindled (animated) by his breaths: hence if he (the sacrificer) be burning (with fever, &c.), he (the Adhvaryu) will say, 'Stroke (thyself)!'. If he be hot, then one may feel confident, for then he is kindled; and if he be cold, then one need hope no longer. Thus he thereby puts the breaths into him: this is the reason why he pronounces the prayer to the Samidhs.

2. He then pronounces the offering-prayer to Tanûnapât. Tanûnapât, doubtless, is seed; hence he thereby casts seed: this is why he pronounces the prayer to Tanûnapât.

steps back behind the altar and sitting down beside the dishes of sacrificial food, anoints, with the butter remaining in the *guhû*, first the (butter in the) *dhruvâ*, then the several sacrificial dishes, and finally the (butter in the) *upabhrî*. *Kâty.* III, 3, 9.

¹ What remains of the dish of sacrificial food, after the oblation to Agni *Svishṭakṛit* (I, 7, 3, 1 seq.) has been made, is eaten by the priests and the sacrificer, and in their case the several portions are basted with butter, as they are cut off, but not the dish of food from

3. He then pronounces the offering-prayer to the *Id's*. The *Id's*, doubtless, are offspring; when the seed thus cast springs into life, then it moves about in quest of food, as it were, praising (*Id*). Hence he thereby makes him (the sacrificer) propagate offspring: this is the reason why he pronounces the prayer to the *Id's*.

4. He then pronounces the offering-prayer to the *Barhis*. The *barhis*, doubtless, means abundance, hence he thereby produces an abundance: this is why he pronounces the prayer to the *barhis*.

5. He then pronounces the offering-prayer with 'Svâhâ! Svâhâ!' The Svâhâ-call, indeed, is what the winter is among the seasons; for the winter subjects these creatures to its will: hence in winter the plants wither, and the leaves fall off the trees; the birds retire more and more, and fly lower and lower; and the wicked man has his hair, as it were falling off¹; for the winter subjects these creatures to its will. And, verily, he who knows this, makes that locality wherein he lives, his own, for his own happiness and supply of food.

6. The gods and the Asuras, both of them sprung from Pragâpati, were once contending for superiority. With staves and bows neither party were able to overcome the other. Neither of them having gained the victory, they (the Asuras) said, 'Well then, let us try to overcome one another by speech, by sacred writ (brahman)! He who cannot follow up our uttered speech by (making up) a pair, shall be defeated and lose everything, and the other party

¹ The Kânva recension has, 'the beasts retire more and more, and the birds fly lower and lower; and the vile-caste man *varṇaḥ puruṣaḥ*) has his hair, as it were, falling off.'

shall win everything!' The gods replied, 'So be it!' The gods said to Indra, 'Speak thou!'

7. Indra said, 'One (eka, m., unus) for me!' The others then said, 'One (ekâ, f., una) for us!' and thus found that (desired) pair, for eka (unus) and ekâ (una) make a pair.

8. Indra said, 'Two (dvau, m., duo) for me!' The others then said, 'Two (dve, f., duae) for us!' and thus found that pair, for dvaṇ (duo) and dve (duae) make a pair.

9. Indra said, 'Three (trayaḥ, m.) for me!' The others then said, 'Three (tisraḥ, f.) for us!' and thus found that pair, for trayaḥ and tisraḥ make a pair.

10. Indra said, 'Four (katvâraḥ, m.) for me!' The others then said, 'Four (katasraḥ, f.) for us!' and thus found that pair, for katvâraḥ and katasraḥ make a pair.

11. Indra said, 'Five (pañka, m. f., quinque) for me!' Then the others found no pair, for after that (numeral four) there is no pair, for then both (masculine and feminine) are pañka. Thereupon the Asuras were defeated and lost everything, and the gods won everything from the Asuras, and stripped their rivals, the Asuras, of everything.

12. For this reason let him (the sacrificer) say¹, when the first fore-offering has been performed, 'One (eka) for me!' and 'One (ekâ) for him whom

¹ Viz. as anumantṛana, or after-call, supplementary prayer, pronounced immediately after the oblation has been poured into the fire. According to Kâty. III, 3, 5, a second anumantṛana has to be added each time, consisting of a single word, viz. 'brilliant,' 'respectable,' 'famous,' 'holy,' 'an eater of food' [suppl. 'may I become'] respectively. Differently the Black Yagus; cf. Hillebrandt, p. 96, note 6.

we hate!' And if he should not hate any one, let him say, 'who hates us and whom we hate!'

13. With the second fore-offering, 'Two (dvau) for me!' and 'Two (dvē) for him who hates us and whom we hate!'

14. With the third fore-offering, 'Three (trayaḥ) for me!' and 'Three (tisraḥ) for him who hates us and whom we hate!'

15. With the fourth fore-offering, 'Four (catvāraḥ) for me!' and 'Four (katasraḥ) for him who hates us and whom we hate!'

16. With the fifth fore-offering, 'Five (pañka) for me!' and 'Nothing for him who hates us and whom we hate!' For, there being 'five' to 'five,' he (the enemy) is defeated, and whoever knows this, appropriates to himself everything that belongs to that (enemy of his), strips his enemies of everything.

SIXTH ADHYĀYA. FIRST BRĀHMANA.

1. Now the Seasons were desirous to have a share in the sacrifice among the gods, and said, 'Let us share in the sacrifice! Do not exclude us from the sacrifice! Let us have a share in the sacrifice!'

2. The gods, however, did not approve of this. The gods not approving, the Seasons went to the Asuras, the malignant, spiteful enemies of the gods.

3. Those (Asuras) then throve in such a manner that they (the gods) heard of it; for even while the foremost (of the Asuras) were still ploughing and sowing, those behind them were already engaged in reaping and threshing: indeed even without tilling the plants ripened forthwith for them.

4. This now caused anxiety to the gods: 'That owing to that (desertion of the Seasons), enemy

(viz. the Asuras) seeks to injure enemy (viz. us) is of little consequence; but this indeed goes too far: try to find out how henceforth this may be different¹!

5. They then said, 'Let us invite the Seasons!'—'How?'—'Let us offer prayer to them first of all at the sacrifice!'

6. Agni then said, 'But whereas hitherto you used to offer prayer to me in the first place, what is now to become of me?' 'We will not remove you from your place!' said they. And since, in inviting the Seasons, they did not remove Agni from his place, for that reason Agni is immutable²; and verily, he who knows that Agni to be immutable, does not move from the place where he bides.

7. The gods said to Agni, 'Go and invite them hither!' Agni went to them and said, 'O Seasons, I have obtained for you a share in the sacrifice among the gods.' They said, 'In what form hast thou obtained it for us?' He replied, 'They will offer prayer to you first at the sacrifice.'

8. The Seasons said to Agni, 'We will let thee share along with us in the sacrifice who hast obtained for us a share in the sacrifice among the gods!' And because Agni has been allowed a share along with the Seasons (the offering-prayers are): 'The Samidhs, O Agni, (may accept the butter)³ . .

¹ 'Kaniya in nv ato dvishan dvishate 'râtīyati kim v etāvan-mâtram upagâñīta yathedam ito 'nyathâsad iti.' The Kânva MS. has, 'tad u vai devânām atathâsa kanīya in nu tato dvishan dvishate 'râtīyed atha kim tāvanmâtram. Te hoṣuḥ katham idam ito no 'nyathâ syād iti.'

² Akṣyuta, literally 'not fallen,' hence invariable, indispensable is an epithet frequently applied to Agni's sacrificial cake; cf. I, 4, 2, 16; I, 6, 2, 5.

³ See p. 148, note 2.

'Tanûnapât, O Agni ...!' 'The *Id's*, O Agni ...!' 'The Barhis, O Agni ...!' 'Svâhâ Agni!' And verily, whosoever knows that Agni is thus allowed to share (in the sacrifice) along with the Seasons, he is allowed to participate in whatever auspicious rite is performed by one who professes to be equal (in that knowledge) to him;—for him, being possessed of Agni, the Seasons, themselves possessed of Agni, ripen the plants and everything here.

9. Now, as to this point, some raise the objection, 'But since they invite the fore-offerings last of all (at the two libations of butter)¹, why do they offer prayer to them first of all?'—Because they established them last of all in the sacrifice²; and because they said, 'we will offer prayer to you first:' for that reason they invite them last, and offer prayer to them first.

10. By the fourth fore-offering the gods, assuredly, obtained the sacrifice, and by the fifth they firmly established it; and by what part of the sacrifice after that remained unaccomplished they gained the world of heaven.

11. In going to heaven they were afraid of an attack from the Asuras and Rakshas. They placed Agni at their head, as the Rakshas-killer, the repeller of the Rakshas; they placed Agni in their midst, as the Rakshas-killer, the repeller of the Rakshas; they placed Agni in their rear, as the Rakshas-killer, the repeller of the Rakshas.

¹ In the devatânâm âvahanam or invitation of the deities, the last formula, addressed to the butter-drinking deities, is supposed to refer to the fore- and after-offerings. Cf. I, 4, 2, 16-17.

² See I, 5, 3, 23.

12. And if the Asuras and Rakshas wished to attack them in front, Agni repelled them, as the Rakshas-killer, the repeller of the Rakshas; if they wished to attack in the centre, Agni repelled them, as the Rakshas-killer, the repeller of the Rakshas; and if they wished to attack in the rear, Agni repelled them, as the Rakshas-killer, the repeller of the Rakshas: being thus guarded on all sides by Agnis, they reached the world of heaven.

13. And in the same way this one now obtains the sacrifice by means of the fourth fore-offering, and by means of the fifth he establishes it; and by what part of the sacrifice after that remains unaccomplished, he gains the world of heaven.

14. Now when he pronounces the offering-prayer over Agni's butter-portion, he thereby places Agni in front, as the Rakshas-killer, the repeller of the Rakshas; and when Agni's sacrificial cake is (offered), he thereby places Agni in the midst, as the Rakshas-killer, the repeller of the Rakshas; and when he pronounces the offering-prayer to Agni Svish/akṛit (the maker of good offering), he thereby places Agni in the rear, as the Rakshas-killer, the repeller of the Rakshas.

15. And if the Asuras and Rakshas try to attack him (the sacrificer) in front, Agni repels them, as the Rakshas-killer, the Repeller of the Rakshas: if they try to attack him in the centre, Agni repels them, as the Rakshas-killer, the repeller of the Rakshas; and if they try to attack him from behind, Agni repels them, as the Rakshas-killer, the repeller of the Rakshas: being thus guarded on every side by Agnis, he gains the world of heaven.

16. And if any one were to imprecate evil on him

previously to (or, in the fore-part of) the (chief) sacrifice, let him be thus spoken to, 'Thou shalt suffer some disease of the face! thou shalt become either blind or deaf!' for these, in truth, are diseases of the face: and thus it would indeed fare with him.

17. If any one were to imprecate evil on him in the middle of the sacrifice, let him be thus spoken to, 'Thou shalt be without offspring, without cattle!' for offspring and cattle, indeed, constitute the centre (i.e. the substantial possession of man): and thus it would indeed fare with him.

18. If any one were to imprecate evil on him after the completion of the (chief) sacrifice, let him be thus spoken to, 'Unstable and poor, thou shalt swiftly go to yonder world!' for thus it would indeed fare with him. One should not therefore utter imprecations: for whosoever thus understands this has the advantage.

19. He who gains by means of the fore-offerings, assuredly, gains the year¹. But he alone gains it who knows its doors; for what were he to do with a house who cannot find his way inside? Even as those (fore-offerings) are (the doors) of this (sacrifice), so is the spring a door, and so is the winter a door, of that (year). This same year he enters, as the world of heaven; for, assuredly, the year is all, and the All (universe) is imperishable: his thereby becomes imperishable merit, the imperishable world.

THE TWO BUTTER-PORIONS (ĀGYABHĀGA) TO AGNI AND SOMA.

20. Here now some say, 'To what deity belong the butter-portions?' Let him reply, 'To Pragâpati;'

¹ See I, 5. 3. 3.

for, assuredly, Pragāpati is undefined¹ (mysterious); and undefined are the butter-portions, because they have the sacrificer for their deity; for the sacrificer is Pragāpati at his own sacrifice, since it is by his order that the priests spread and produce it.

21. Having basted the havis with butter and made two cuttings from it, he pours some of the butter thereon: thus the oblation is offered combined with butter, and thereby indeed it is offered combined with the sacrificer; and for one who knows this,—whether he has a sacrifice performed for him while he is far away, or while he is near,—the sacrifice is performed in the same way as it would be performed if he were near; and he who knows this, even though he do much evil, is not shut out from the sacrifice.

SECOND BRĀHMAṆA.

1. Verily, by means of the sacrifice the gods made that conquest (of the world of heaven). When they had conquered, they said, 'How may this (celestial region) be made unattainable by men?' They then sipped the sap of the sacrifice, as bees would suck out honey; and having drained the sacrifice and effaced the traces of it with the (sacrificial) post², they

¹ Because he (? as lord of creatures) represents all the deities, and one cannot say 'he is such or such a one,' Śāyana. Cf. also I, 1, 1, 12.

² Yūpena yopāyitvā, literally 'having made it level by means of the yūpa,' = yūpenākkhādyā, 'having covered it over with the yūpa,' Śāyana (cf. also on Rig-veda I, 104, 4). For other versions of the same myth, cf. Ait. Br. II, 1 ['they debarred them (ayopayan, viz. the men and Rishis from the sacrificial knowledge) by means of the yūpa,' Haug]; Taitt. S. VI, 3, 4, 7;

concealed themselves: and because they effaced (ayopayan, viz. the sacrifice) with it, therefore it is called yûpa (sacrificial post). Now this was heard by the *Rishis*:

2. 'Verily, by means of the sacrifice the gods gained this conquest. When they had conquered, they said, "How may this (celestial region) be made unattainable by men?" They then sipped the sap of the sacrifice, as bees would suck out honey; and having drained the sacrifice and effaced the traces of it with the (sacrificial) post, they concealed themselves.' They (the *Rishis*) thereupon set about searching for it.

3. They went on praising and toiling; for by (religious) toil, the gods indeed gained what they wished to gain, and (so did) the *Rishis*. Now whether it be that the gods caused it (the sacrifice) to attract (or, peep forth to) them, or whether they took to it of their own accord, they said, 'Come, let us go to the place whence the gods obtained possession of the world of heaven!' They went about saying (to one another), 'What attracts? What attracts?' and came upon the sacrificial cake which had become a tortoise and was creeping about. Then they all thought, 'This surely must be the sacrifice!'

4. They said, 'Stand still for the *Asvins*! stand still for *Sarasvati*! stand still for *Indra*!' still it

5, 3, 1. The legend is intended to supply, by means of a fanciful etymology, a symbolical meaning for the yûpa or sacrificial post to which the victim is tied.

Kim praro^{ka}tate = 'what thinkest thou?' *Sâyana*. The primary meaning of pra-ru^k is 'to shine forth.' Here it has apparently to be taken in the double sense of 'to peep forth, to appear,' and 'to please.' The German 'einleuchten' (*St. Petersburg Dictionary*) approaches more nearly to the original.

crept on;—‘Stand still for Agni!’ at this it stopped. Having then enveloped it in fire (Agni), knowing, as they did, that it had stopped for Agni, they offered it up entirely, for it was an oblation to the gods. Then the sacrifice pleased them¹; they produced it, they spread it. And this same sacrifice is taught by the former to the later; the father (teaches it) to his son when he is a student (*brahmaṭārin*).

5. Now that (cake), which caused the sacrifice to attract (or, appear to) them, first (*purāṣa*) bestowed (*dāṣa*) it upon them: hence it is (called) *purodāṣa*, for *purodāṣa*, doubtless, is the same as *purodāṣa*². This same cake on eight potsherds for Agni is indispensable on both occasions (at the new- and full-moon ceremonies).

6. That (cake for Agni) does not constitute the (special) sacrificial food (*havis*) either at the full-moon, or at the new-moon, sacrifice; since the one for Agni and Soma constitutes the *havis* at the full-moon, and the *Sānnāyya*³ at the new-moon sacrifice. That one (for Agni) constitutes rather the regular (or, corresponding) sacrifice on both occasions, and because of its fearing lest it should become detached from the sacrifice, it is offered up at the beginning of both the full-moon and the new-moon sacrifice: this is the reason why it is offered at this particular time.

¹ Or ‘appeared to them, shone forth to them,’ *prāroṇata*; see preceding note.

² In the compound *purodāṣa* or *purodāṣ* the original dental *d* has been changed to the lingual *ḍ*, apparently through the influence of the preceding *r*.

³ See I, 6, 4, 9. One would expect the *Sānnāyya* (to Indra) or the cake to Indra-Agni. The full-moon offering is sacred to Agni-Soma; and the new-moon offering to Indra-Agni; see I, 8, 3, 1 seq.

7. And if any one (householder) were to resort to him (the Adhvaryu) and say, 'Perform an *ishṭi* for me!' let him perform it. Whatever desire the *Rishis* entertained when they performed that sacrifice, that desire of theirs was accomplished; and accordingly whatever desire he (the sacrificer) entertains in having this sacrifice performed, that desire of his is accomplished. For whatever deity sacrificial food is taken, to that deity they offer it up in the fire (Agni);—and if he is about to offer it up in the fire, why should he announce it to another deity? To Agni alone therefore (it is announced).

8. Agni (the fire), assuredly, represents all the deities, since it is in the fire that they make offering to all deities: to Agni alone therefore (he should announce it) since he thereby has recourse to all the deities.

9. Agni, assuredly, is the safest¹ among the gods: let him then have recourse to him whom he considers the safest among the gods, and therefore (announce the sacrifice) to Agni.

10. Agni, assuredly, is the most tender-hearted of gods: let him then have recourse to him whom he considers the most tender-hearted of gods, and therefore (announce the sacrifice) to Agni.

11. Agni, assuredly, is the nearest of the gods: let him then have recourse to him whom he considers as the nearest of those to be approached, and therefore (let him announce the sacrifice) to Agni.

12. If (beside the full-moon sacrifice) he perform an *ishṭi* (with a view to the accomplishment of some

¹ Addhātām, adv., literally 'most surely'; according to Sāyana = *atīsayena pratyakshaphaladam*, 'pre-eminently a giver of perceptible benefits.'

special desire)¹, let him recite seventeen kindling verses; (and in that case) he utters the offering-prayer (yāgyā) in a low voice, for this is the characteristic form of an *ish/i*; the yāgyā and the anuvākyā should contain the word 'head²;' the two butter-portions should be offered to the *Vritra*-slayer (Indra); and the two samyāgyās³ should be in the *virāg* metre.

THIRD BRĀHMANA.

1. *Tvash/ri* had a three-headed, six-eyed son. He had three mouths; and because he was thus shaped, his name was *Visvarūpa* ('All-shape').

2. One of his mouths was Soma-drinking, one spirit-drinking, and one for other kinds of food. Indra hated him and cut off those heads of his.

3. Now from the one which was Soma-drinking, a hazel-cock (francoline partridge) sprang forth; whence the latter is of brownish colour, for king Soma is brown.

4. From the one which was spirit-drinking, further, a sparrow sprang; whence the latter talks as if stammering, for he who has drunk spirits, talks as if he stammered.

5. Then from the one which served for other kinds of food, a partridge sprang; whence the latter

¹ See I, 3, 5, 10.

² For these verses, the first of which begins 'Agni is the head of the sky,' see *Vāg. S.* XIII, 14 and 15.

³ That is, the yāgyā (offering-prayer) and puro'nuvākyā (invitatory prayer) at the *Svish/akrit*, or oblation to Agni, as the maker of good offering, at the end of the chief oblations. The two *virāg* formulas are *Rig-veda* VII, 1, 3 (*Vāg. XVII*, 76; *Taitt. S.* IV, 6, 5, 4) *preddho agne didihi*, and *Rig-veda* VII, 1, 18 (*Taitt. S.* IV, 3, 13, 6) *imo agne*. Cf. *Ait. Br.* I, 5.

is much variegated in colour: on its wings, namely, butter-drops, as it were, have dropped in one place and honey- (or mead-) drops in another, for such-like, as it were, was the food which he consumed with that (mouth).

6. *Tvashtri* was furious: 'Has he indeed slain my son?' he exclaimed. He brought Soma-juice from which Indra was excluded; and just as the Soma-juice on being produced had Indra excluded from it (apendra), so it remained (when it was offered up).

7. Indra thought with himself, 'They are now excluding me from Soma!' and though uninvited, he consumed what pure (Soma) there was in the tub, even as the stronger (consumes) that of a weaker. That (Soma) however, injured him; it flowed in all directions from (the openings of) his vital airs; from his mouth alone it did not flow, but from all the other (openings of the) vital airs it flowed; hence (was instituted) at that time the *ishtri*, called *Sautrāmaṇi*: on the occasion of that (ceremony) it is explained how the gods healed him¹.

8. *Tvashtri* was furious, and exclaimed, 'Has he indeed consumed my Soma uninvited?' However, he himself desecrated the sacrifice, for what pure (Soma) there was left in the tub he let flow (into the fire), saying, 'Grow thou, having Indra for thy foe²!' The moment it reached the fire, it

¹ See V, 5, 4, 2 seq., where the whole legend is repeated; and Taitt. S. II, 4, 12, 1. One of the objects of the *Sautrāmaṇi* is the expiation of an immoderate consumption of Soma by a priest.

² According to Taitt. S. II, 4, 12, 1, also the fault committed by *Tvashtri* consisted in his faulty accentuation of the compound *indrasatru* in the formula. What he intended to say was that Agni, on drinking the Soma, should grow strong so as to be 'the foe (slayer) of Indra,' and the compound should therefore have been accented on the second member, viz. *indraśatru* (the foe of

developed (into human shape), or, as some say, it so developed whilst on its way (to the fire). It became possessed of¹ Agni and Soma, of all sciences, all glory, all nourishment, all prosperity.

9. And since it so developed whilst rolling onwards (*vrit*), it became *Vritra*; and since he sprang forth footless, therefore he was a serpent. Danu and Danâyû received him like mother and father², whence they call him Dānava.

10. And because he (*Tvash/ri*) said, 'Grow thou having Indra for thy foe!' therefore Indra slew him (*Vritra*). Had he said, 'Grow thou, the foe (slayer) of Indra!' he (*Vritra*) would certainly have forthwith slain Indra.

11. And because he (*Tvash/ri*) said, 'Grow thou!' therefore he (*Vritra*) grew an arrow's range sideways and an arrow's range forward: he forced back both the western ocean and the eastern one; and in proportion as he extended did he devour the food.

12. In the fore-noon the gods offered him food, at mid-day the men, and in the after-noon the Fathers.

13. Now while Indra was thus moving on (in pursuit of *Vritra*), he addressed Agni and Soma, 'Ye belong to me and I belong to you! That one is nothing to you: why then do ye support that Dasyu against me? Come over to me!'

ndra); but by accenting it on the first member, *Indrasatru*, he made it 'having Indra for his foe (slayer).' According to the version of the Taitt. S., Agni, the fire, on the Soma being poured into it, rose up (spirted) as if to execute *Tvash/ri*'s wish; but immediately relapsed into its former state of inertness on hearing the mis-pronounced word.

¹ *Abhisambabhûva*, 'he grew by consuming,' &c. *Sâyana*.

² The *Kāṇva* text has, 'Danu and Dānavî received him as mother and father.'

14. They replied, 'What is to be our reward in that case?' He offered them that Agni-Soma cake on eleven potsherds: this is the reason why there is a cake on eleven potsherds for Agni and Soma.

15. They went over to him, and after them went forth¹ all the gods, all the sciences, all glory, all nourishment, all prosperity: thus by offering that (cake to Agni and Soma) Indra became what Indra now is. Such then is the significance of the full-moon offering; and he who, knowing this, performs the full-moon offering in this wise, attains to the same state of prosperity, becomes thus endowed with glory, becomes such a consumer of food (as *Vṛitra*).

16. Now *Vṛitra*, on being struck, lay contracted like a leather bottle drained of its contents, like a skin bag with the barley-meal shaken out. Indra rushed at him, meaning to slay him.

17. He said, 'Do not hurl (thy thunderbolt) at me! thou art now what I (was before). Only cut me in twain; but do not let me be annihilated!' He (*Indra*) said, 'Thou shalt be my food!' He replied, 'So be it!' He accordingly cut him in twain; and from that (part) of his which was of the Soma nature², he made the moon, and that which was demoniacal (*asūrya*) he made enter these creatures as their belly, hence people say³: '*Vṛitra* was then a consumer of food, and *Vṛitra* is so now.' For even now, whenever that one (the moon) waxes fuller, it fills itself out of this world⁴; and when-

¹ *Preyuh*, 'the gods &c. that were in *Vṛitra*'s mouth went out,' *Sāyana*; see preceding page, note 1.

² '*Yat saumyam nyaktam āsa*' ['*yat saumyo nyaṅga āsa*,' *Kāṇva* rec.], 'what was imbued with Soma,' 'what had Soma inherent in it.' Cf. '*yat somasya nyaktam āsa*,' I, 7, 1, 1.

³ 'People say so when anybody eats much food,' *Sāyana*.

⁴ See I, 6, 4, 15.

ever these creatures crave for food, they pay tribute to this Vṛitra, the belly. Whosoever knows that Vṛitra as a consumer of food, becomes himself a consumer of food.

18. Those deities then said, 'Ye, Agni and Soma, whom we have followed hither, take the best part (of the sacrificial food): do let us share along with you in what ye have!'

19. They both said, 'What (share) shall then be ours?' They replied, 'For whatever deity they shall take out sacrificial food, they shall in the first place offer to you some clarified butter!' Whenever, therefore, they take out sacrificial food for any deity, they in the first place offer two butter-portions to Agni and Soma. This does not take place at the Soma-sacrifice, nor at the animal offering; for they said, 'for whatever deity they take out . . . ¹.'

20. Agni then said, 'In me they shall sacrifice for all of you, and thus I give you a share in me!' For this reason they sacrifice in Agni (the fire) to all the gods; and for this reason they say that Agni is all the deities.

21. Soma then said, 'Me they shall offer up to all of you, and thus I give you a share in me!' For this reason they offer up Soma to all the gods; and hence they say that Soma is all the deities.

22. And further, since all the gods were abiding in Indra, for that reason they say that Indra is all the deities, that the gods have Indra for their chief (śreshṭha). Thus the gods came in a three-

¹ The nirvāpanam, or taking out (literally throwing out) of (handfuls of) havis from the receptacle and putting it into the winnowing basket (or other vessels), does not apply to these two kinds of sacrifices. Cf. I, 1, 2, 5 seq.

fold way to consist of one deity; and he who knows this becomes individually the chief of his own (people).

23. Twofold, verily, is this, there is no third: to wit, the moist and the dry; and what is dry, that relates to Agni; and what is moist, that relates to Soma. But (it may be objected) if this is twofold only, why then this manifold performance:—the two butter-portions for Agni and Soma, the low-voiced offering to Agni and Soma, and the rice-cake for Agni and Soma,—when by means of any one of these he obtains all, why then this manifold performance? [The answer to this objection is that] so manifold is the power, the generative force of Agni and Soma.

24. The sun, indeed, relates to Agni, and the moon to Soma; the day relates to Agni, and the night to Soma; the waxing half-moon relates to Agni, and the waning one to Soma.

25. 'By means of the two butter-portions he obtains the sun and the moon; by means of the low-voiced offering he obtains the day and the night; and by means of the rice-cake he obtains the two half-moons,' thus say some.

26. Āsuri, on the other hand, said: 'By means of the two butter-portions he gains any two (of those objects¹); by means of the low-voiced offering he obtains any (other) two; and by means of the rice-cake he obtains any (other) two: "all has been obtained, all has been conquered by me! with that All I will slay Vṛitra; with the All I will slay the

¹ 'Yatame vā yatame vā dve āpnoti.' Sāyana supplies vastuṅ, 'objects.' The Kāṇva recension, on the other hand, reads, 'Yatame vā yatame vā dve devate āpnoti.'

spiteful enemy!" thus he thinks, and for that reason there is this manifold performance.'

27. On this point it has also been remarked: 'Why this sameness (of performance)? By what is introduced between the butter(-offering) to Agni and Soma and the rice-cake to Agni and Soma, a repetition of performance (is committed)'.¹ Sameness (of performance), nevertheless, is avoided in this way: the one (viz. the low-voiced offering) consists of butter, and the other of a rice-cake, hence the one is different from the other. Moreover, after reciting a *Rik*-verse as anuvâkyâ, he pronounces the yâgyâ with the word 'pleased' (in the case of the butter-portions to Agni and Soma); and after reciting a *Rik*-verse as anuvâkyâ, he pronounces the yâgyâ in the form of a *Rik*-verse (in the case of the low-voiced offering to Agni and Soma), hence the one is (again) different from the other². Sameness of performance is also avoided in this way: in a low voice (he utters the formulas when) he offers of the butter, and with a loud voice of the cake; and what is (uttered) in a low voice, that is the manner of Pragâpati: hence he recites for that (low-

¹ See p. 80, note 2. The objection here raised is, that the low-voiced offering, which is intermediate between the two above-mentioned oblations to Agni-Soma, is made to the same two deities.

² When the two butter-portions to Agni and Soma are offered, the Hotri recites the verses Rig-veda VI, 16, 34 (Vâg. S. 33, 9), and Rig-veda I, 95, 5 (Vâg. S. 19, 42) respectively, as anuvâkyâs, or invitatory prayers, each of which is followed by the yâgyâ (offering-formula): 'We who pronounce the offering-prayer to Agni (or Soma respectively),—may Agni (Soma) pleased (*gushânâh*) accept of the butter-oblation! Vâusha!'. At the low-voiced offering (*upâmsuyâga*) to Agni-Soma, on the other hand, he first utters (in a low voice) as anuvâkyâ the verse Rig-veda I, 93, 2, and thereupon as yâgyâ Rig-veda I, 93, 6.

voiced offering) an anushṭubh-verse as the invitatory formula (anuvākya), for the anushṭubh represents speech, and Pragāpati also is speech.

28. By means of that low-voiced offering the gods stealing near slew, with that thunderbolt, the vashaṭ-call, whichever they wished of the Asuras; and so does this one, after stealing near by means of that low-voiced offering, slay with that thunderbolt, the vashaṭ-call, the wicked, spiteful enemy¹. This is why he performs the low-voiced offering.

29. Having recited (at the butter-portions) a *Rik*-verse as the anuvākya, he recites the yāgyā with the word 'pleased:' in consequence of this, creatures are brought forth here with teeth on one side (in one jaw); for the *Rik* means bone and the tooth also is bone, so that he thereby produces bone on one side.

30. Having recited (at the low-voiced offering) a *Rik*-verse as the anuvākya, he recites as the yāgyā a (second) *Rik*-verse: in consequence of this, creatures with teeth on both sides are brought forth here; for the *Rik* means bone and the tooth also is bone, so that he thereby produces bone on both sides. These creatures, indeed, are of two kinds, viz. such as have teeth on one side only, and such as have teeth on both sides²; and verily he who sacrifices, knowing

¹ The two prayers of the low-voiced offering are muttered in a low voice; but the 'Vāusha!' at the end of the offering-prayer (as the 'Om!' at the end of the invitatory prayer) is uttered aloud. Hence the above symbolical explanation.

² The same distinction is made in Rig-veda X, 90, 10, where it is stated that from the Purusha sprang the horse and what other animals with two rows of teeth (viz. the ass and mule, according to Sāyana) on the one hand, and cows, goats, and sheep on the other. In Taitt. II, 2, 6, 3, also the horse is mentioned along with man as belonging to the former class of living beings. Cf. also Taitt. V, 1, 2, 6; Ath.-veda V, 19, 2; 31, 3; Weber, Ind. Stud. X, 58.

thus the generative power of Agni and Soma, becomes rich in offspring and cattle.

31. When he (the sacrificer) is about to enter upon the fast of the full-moon ceremony, he may not be entirely sated. He therefore now compresses (that part of) his belly which relates to the Asuras; and next morning, by means of the oblations, that which relates to the gods. Now the practice regarding the full-moon ceremony is as follows:

32. One may (enter on the) fast at the very time (of full moon), thinking, 'Now I will slay Vṛitra, now I will slay the spiteful enemy!'

33. One may also fast only on the following day. Now he who (enters on the) fast at the very time (of full moon), gets, as it were, into collision¹ (with some one); and when two come into collision with one another, it is indeed doubtful which of the two will get the better of the other. He, on the other hand, who prefers to fast on the second day (only), is as one who crushes from behind a retreating (enemy) before he is able to resist the attack: striking in one direction², in fact, is he who thus keeps the fast on the second day only.

34. Let him therefore enter on the fast at the very time (of full moon). He who keeps the fast on the following day only is as one who finally crushes one struck down by some one else; he only does what has been done before by some one else, he only

¹ Sam-kramate, literally 'comes together with, meets (somebody).' This symbolical explanation was probably suggested by the circumstance that the full moon marks the junction (sandhi) of the two pakshas or half months; whereas the new moon (amā-vāsyā, 'dwelling together') marks the point of least distance between sun and moon.

² Anyatoghâtin, ? thus St. Petersburg Dictionary.

follows another's lead; let him therefore enter on the fast at the very time (of full moon).

35. After Pragâpati had created the living beings, his joints (parvan) were relaxed. Now Pragâpati, doubtless, is the year, and his joints are the two junctions of day and night (i. e. the twilights), the full moon and new moon, and the beginnings of the seasons.

36. He was unable to rise with his relaxed joints; and the gods healed him by means of these havis-offerings: by means of the Agnihotra they healed that joint (which consists of) the two junctions of day and night, joined that together; by means of the full-moon and the new-moon sacrifice they healed that joint (which consists of) the full and new moon, joined that together; and by means of the (three) Kâturmâsyas (seasonal offerings) they healed that joint (which consists of) the beginnings of the seasons, joined that together.

37. With his joints thus repaired he betook himself to this food,—to the food which is here (offered) to Pragâpati; and he who, knowing this, enters upon the fast at the very time (of full moon), heals Pragâpati's joint at the proper time, and Pragâpati favours him. Thus he who, knowing this, enters upon the fast at the very time (of full moon) becomes a consumer of food: let him therefore enter on the fast at the very time (of full moon).

38. These two butter-portions (to Agni and Soma), truly, are the eyes of the sacrifice; he, therefore, offers them in front (of, or before, the havis), for these eyes are in the front (of the head). Hence he thereby places the eyes in the front; and for this reason these eyes are in the front (of the head).

39. Some people offer Agni's butter-portion in the north-eastern part (of the fire), and Soma's butter-portion in the south-eastern part, thinking, 'Thereby we place the eyes in the front (of the head).' This, however, is rather unintelligible; for the several dishes of sacrificial food (*havis*) represent the body of the sacrifice; when therefore he offers in front of (or before) the *havis*, he thereby places the eyes in the front. Let him rather make the offerings (in that part of the fire) where he thinks the fiercest blaze is; for only by being offered in blazing (fire) are oblations successful¹.

40. Having recited (at the butter-portions) a *Rik*-verse as *anuvākyā* (invitatory formula), he recites by way of *yāgyā* (offering-prayer) the (formula containing the word) 'pleased;' thereby these boneless eyes are set in what is bone. If, on the other hand, after reciting a *Rik*-verse as *anuvākyā*, he

¹ Kāty. III. 3. 20-22 admits either mode of offering the butter-portions. These oblations are effected in the following way:—The *Adhvaryu*, having called on the *Hotri* to recite the *anuvākyā*, takes with the dipping-spoon (*sruva*) butter from the *dhruvā* and puts it into the *guhū*; he then draws some with the *sruva* from the butter-pot and replenishes the *dhruvā* with it [according to the *Kāṇvas*, with the text 'May the *dhruvā* fatten with the *havis*-butter, sacrifice after sacrifice, for those who go to the gods,—the udder of *Sūryā* in the lap of *Aditi*: may the earth flow abundantly at this sacrifice!']. The same process is then repeated three (additional) times (with a *Gamadagni* four times): hence the offering is said to consist of four (or five) cuttings. The *Hotri* then recites the *anuvākyā* (see note on I, 6, 3, 27), which is followed by the *Adhvaryu*'s call '*om srāvaya*' and the *Āgnidhra*'s response '*astu srausha*.' Thereupon the *Hotri*, having been called upon by the *Adhvaryu* to give the offering-prayer to Agni (or Soma), recites the respective *yāgyā*, at the concluding *vausha* of which the oblation is poured into the fire, (whilst the sacrificer utters the usual dedicatory formula, 'This for Agni (Soma), not for me!')

were to use a *Rik*-verse as the *yāgyā*, he would make it bone instead of eye.

41. Those two (qualities), truly, are related to the natures of Agni and Soma: that which is white is related to Agni, and that which is black is related to Soma. If, however (it were asserted), on the contrary, that what is black is related to Agni, and what is white is related to Soma,—[the answer would be:—] what sees is of the nature of Agni, for dry, as it were, are the eyes of one who looks, and that which is dry relates to Agni;—and what sleeps is of the nature of Soma, for moist, as it were, are the eyes of one who is asleep, and moist also is Soma. And, verily, he who thus knows those two butter-
portions to be eyes, remains endowed with eye-sight till old age in this world, and starts in yonder world possessed of eye-sight.

FOURTH BRĀHMANA.

SPECIAL PRELIMINARY RITES OF THE NEW-MOON SACRIFICE.

1. When Indra had hurled the thunderbolt at *Vṛitra*, thinking himself to be the weaker, and fearing lest he had not brought him down, he concealed himself and went to the farthest distances¹. Now the gods knew that *Vṛitra* had been slain and that Indra had concealed himself.

2. Agni of the deities, *Hiraṇyastûpa*² of the *Rishis*, and the *Bṛiḥati* of the metres, set about searching for him. Agni discovered him and stayed

¹ *Parāḥ parāvataḥ*, literally 'to the most distant distances,' 'zu den fernsten Fernen.'

² *Hiraṇyastûpa* of the family of the *Āṅgiras*, is the reputed author (or seer) of the hymns *Rig-veda* I, 31–35; IX, 4; 69. Of these, I, 32 and 33, which celebrate the exploits of Indra, seem to have been especially prized.

with him (as a guest) that (day and) night. He (Indra), namely, is the Vasu¹ of the gods, for he is their hero.

3. The gods said, 'Our Vasu, who has gone to live away from us, is this day dwelling together (amâ vas, viz. with Agni²);' and as one would cook a dish of rice or a goat in common for two relatives or friends who have come to stay with him,—for such-like is human (fare), as the sacrificial food (havis) is that of the gods,—in like manner they offered to those two together that sacrificial food, the rice-cake on twelve potsherds for Indra and Agni. This is the reason why there is a rice-cake on twelve potsherds for Indra and Agni.

4. Indra said, 'When I had hurled the thunderbolt at Vṛitra, I was terrified, and (in consequence of this fright) I am much emaciated. This (cake) does not satiate me: prepare for me what will satiate me!' The gods replied, 'So be it!'

5. The gods said, 'Nothing but Soma will satiate him: let us prepare Soma for him!' They prepared Soma for him. Now this king Soma, the food of the gods, is no other than the moon³. When he

¹ That is, as would seem, the benefactor, or the treasure (dhanarûpa, Sâyana) of the gods. Indra is the chief of the Vasus. Indra being so beneficent and important a personage, it was, according to Sâyana, worth Agni's while to stay with him. Possibly also a play on the word Vasu, and vas, 'to dwell,' is intended here.

² Thus Sâyana; but it probably means, 'he is staying at a home, or at home (amâ) to-day.'

³ The identification of the Soma (plant and juice) with the moon already occurs in some of the hymns of the Rîg-veda, all of which, however, probably belong to the later ones. According to the St. Petersburg Dictionary, the identification was probably suggested by the circumstance that *indu*, 'drop, spark,' applies both to the Soma and the moon. Rîg-veda X, 85, 3 says that 'of that Soma which the priests know, no one ever eats.'

(the moon, masc.) is not seen that night either in the east or in the west, then he visits this world ; and here he enters into the waters (f.) and plants (f.). He is indeed a treasure for the gods, he is their food. And since during that night he here dwells together¹ (amā vas), therefore that (night of new moon) is called amāvāsyā (the dwelling together, or at home).

6. They prepared it² (Soma for Indra), after having it collected, part by part, by the cows: in eating plants (they collected it) from the plants, and in drinking water (they collected it) from the waters. Having prepared and coagulated it, and made it strong (pungent), they gave it to him³.

7. He said, 'This does indeed satiate me, but it does not agree with me⁴: devise some means by which it may agree with me!' They made it agree with him by means of boiled (milk).

8. Now although this (mixture of sweet and sour milk) is, indeed, one and the same substance—it being milk (payas) and belonging to Indra—they,

¹ Viz. with the waters and plants (or, he stays at home).

² It should be borne in mind that Soma is masculine in Sanskrit.

³ In Taitt. S. II, 5, 3. 2 seq. the corresponding story is applied directly to the Sānnāyā. In consequence of the struggle with Vṛtra, Indra lost his energy, which fell to the earth and produced plants and shrubs. He thereupon complained to Pragāpati, who bade the cattle collect (sam-ni) it again by browsing the plants and shrubs. It was then milked out from them, and as the milk did not agree with Indra, it was boiled, and as it still did not satisfy Indra, it was mixed with sour milk.

⁴ Na mayi srayate, literally 'it does not stay in me' = na tish/ati, na sātmyam bhagate, Sāyana. The author here (as in I, 8, 1, 17) connects, or confounds, the verb sri with srā, 'to cook, make done,'—hence, 'it does not boil in me;' the milk being warm, or, as it were, boiled, when it comes from the cow, see II, 2, 4, 15. Hence also boiled milk is mixed with the Soma.

nevertheless, declare it to be (two) different (substances). Since he said 'it satiates (dhl) me,' therefore it is sour milk (dadhi); and since they made it agree (sri) with him with boiled milk (or, by boiling), therefore it is (fresh) boiled milk (srīta)¹.

9. In the same way as the Soma stalk becomes strong² (by being touched or sprinkled with water), so he (Indra) became strong (by the Soma being mixed with boiled milk) and overcame that evil, the jaundice³. Such is likewise the significance of the new-moon ceremony (and the Sānnāyya, or libation of sweet and sour milk offered to Indra thereat); and verily he who, knowing this, mixes (sweet and sour milk at the new-moon sacrifice) in like manner increases in offspring and cattle, and overcomes evil: let him therefore mix together⁴ (sweet and sour milk)⁴.

¹ The author here endeavours to establish some connection between the Sānnāyya (or offering of sweet and sour milk to Indra, which may take the place of the second sacrificial cake offered, at the new-moon sacrifice, to Indra and Agni) and the Soma libations. Sāyana refers to the passage Taitt. Br. I, 4, 7, 6-7, where it is stated that for the morning libation the Soma is to be mixed with boiled milk, for the mid-day libation with sour milk, and for the third (or evening) libation with sour milk that is partly changed into butter (nītamisra).

² Āpyāyeta. On the strengthening or increasing (āpyāyanam) of the Soma-plant by sprinkling it with water before the juice is extracted, see III, 4, 3, 12 seq. Sāyana seems to take the passage thus: 'In the same way as the Soma would make strong (? or become strong), so also the sānnāyyam destroys that evil, the jaundice, in those who drink it.'

³ By the admixture of milk the Soma-juice loses its brownish colour, and is therefore apparently considered to produce the same effect in those who drink the mixture.

⁴ The preparation of the sānnāyya, as it is now practised by priests in Western India, is thus described by Haug (Ait. Br. II, p.

10. In reference to this point they say, 'One who has not performed the Soma-sacrifice¹, must not offer the Sānnāyya; for, indeed, the Sānnāyya is (of the same significance as) a Soma libation, and the latter is not permitted to one who is not a Soma-sacrificer: hence he who has not performed the Soma-sacrifice, must not offer the Sānnāyya.'

11. He may nevertheless offer the Sānnāyya; for have we not heard within this place² that he (Indra) said, 'Do ye now offer Soma to me, and then ye will prepare for me that invigorating draught (āpyāyana, viz. the Sānnāyya)!' 'This does not satiate me, prepare for me what will satiate me!' That invigorating draught they indeed prepared for

443): 'The Adhvaryu takes the milk from three cows called Gaṅgā, Yamunā, and Sarasvatī, on the morning and evening, and gives it to the Āgnīdhra. Half the milk is first drawn from the udder of each of the three cows under the recital of mantras; then the same is done silently. The milk is taken from these cows on the evening of the new-moon day, and on the morning of the following day, the so-called Pratipad (the first day of the month). The milk drawn on the evening is made hot, and lime-juice poured over it to make it sour; whereupon it is hung up. The fresh milk of the following morning is then mixed with it, and both are sacrificed along with the Purodāsa. Only he who has already performed the Agnishoma is allowed to sacrifice the Sānnāyya at the Darsapūrṇima ishā. (Oral information.)' In Vāg. S. I, 4 (Sat. Br. I, 7, 1, 17; Kāty. IV, 2, 26) the names of the three cows are given as Visvāyu, Visvakarman, and Visvadhāyus, unless these are intended merely for epithets or mystic names. Cf. p. 188 note; Weber, Ind. Stud. IX, 232. Instead of the lime-juice, mentioned by Haug as used for rennet, Kāty. IV, 2, 33 prescribes that the milk remaining from the Agnihotra of the preceding evening, and since become sour, should be used.

¹ Thus Taitt. S. II, 5, 5, 1.

² Atrāntareṇa; atra vishaye antareṇa madhye, Sāyana; ? within this our range of hearing; or, in the course of the present ceremony.

him, and therefore even one who has not performed the Soma-sacrifice, may offer the Sânnâyya.

12. The full-moon oblation, assuredly, belongs to the *Vritra*-slayer, for by means of it Indra slew *Vritra*; and this new-moon oblation, also represents the slaying of *Vritra*, since they prepared that invigorating draught for him who had slain *Vritra*.

13. An offering in honour of the *Vritra*-slayer, then, is the full-moon sacrifice. *Vritra*, assuredly, is no other than the moon¹; and when during that night (of new moon) he is not seen either in the east or in the west, then he (Indra) completely destroys him by means of that (new-moon sacrifice), and leaves nothing remaining of him. And, verily, he who knows this, overcomes all evil and leaves nothing remaining of evil.

14. Here now some people enter upon the fast when they (still) see (the moon, on the fourteenth day of the half-month), thinking, 'To-morrow he will not rise: already, then, there is unfailing food for the gods in yonder heaven², and to this we will offer them more from hence (to-morrow)!' — He, indeed, is in a prosperous state with whom, while the old food is still unfailing, fresh food is accruing; for such a one has indeed abundant food. However, he is not now offering Soma, but he is offering milk (i.e. the Sânnâyya), and that (milk) becomes king Soma³ (in yonder world):

¹ See I, 6, 3, 17.

² Viz. in the form of Soma, i.e. the moon, still shining in the heavens during the night preceding the new moon.

³ Who, as we saw, resides in the plants and waters at the time of new moon and consequently in the milk used for the Sânnâyya. If, however, one were to enter upon the fast (and hence on the

15. But as they (the cows), previously (to the new moon), eat mere plants (not imbued with the moon or Soma), and drink mere water, and yield mere milk,—so that (milk which they offer on the day before new moon, is not imbued with Soma, is ordinary milk). For king Soma, the food of the gods, indeed, is no other than the moon. When he is not seen that night either in the east or in the west, then he visits this world, and here enters into the waters and plants. Having then collected him from the water and plants, he (the performer of the Sânnâyya) causes him to be reproduced from out of the libations; and he (Soma, the moon), being reproduced from the libations, becomes visible in the western sky.

16. Now it is only when that food of the gods is unfailing that it comes back (to men): for him, therefore, who knows this, there is unfailing food in this, and imperishable righteousness in yonder world.

17. Thus during that night (of new moon) food moves away from the gods and comes to this world. Now the gods were desirous as to how that (food) might (be made to) come back to them; how it might not perish away from them. For this they put their trust in those who prepare the libation of sweet and sour milk (sânnâyya), thinking, 'when they have prepared it, they will offer it to us.' And, verily, in him, who knows this, both his own kin and strangers put their trust; for in him, who attains to the highest rank, people indeed put their trust.

18. Now the one that burns there (viz. the sun)

sacrifice) previously to the new moon, he would be offering mere milk, not imbued with, and not liable to change into, Soma, and therefore unfit for the gods.

is, assuredly, no other than Indra, and that moon is no other than *Vṛitra*. But the former is of a nature hostile to the latter, and for this reason, though this one (the moon, *Vṛitra*) had previously (to the night of new moon) risen at a great distance from him (the sun, Indra), he now swims towards him and enters into his open mouth.

19. Having swallowed him, he (the sun) rises; and that (other) one is not seen either in the east or in the west. And, verily, he who knows this, swallows his spiteful enemy, and of him they say, 'He alone exists, his enemies exist not¹.'

20. Having sucked him empty, he throws him out; and the latter, thus sucked out, is seen in the western sky, and again increases; he again increases to serve that (sun) as food: and verily if the spiteful enemy of one who knows this, thrives either by trade or in any other way, he thrives again and again in order to serve him as food.

21. Now some people offer (the *Sānnāyya*) to (Indra under the name of) 'Mahendra' (the great Indra), arguing, 'Before the slaying of *Vṛitra* he was Indra, it is true; but after slaying *Vṛitra* he became Mahendra, even as (a *rāga*, or king, becomes) a Mahārāga after obtaining the victory: hence (the *Sānnāyya* should be offered) to Mahendra.' Let him, nevertheless, offer it to 'Indra;' for Indra he was before the slaying of *Vṛitra*, and Indra he is after slaying *Vṛitra*: therefore let him offer it to 'Indra².'

¹ With this explanation of the disappearance of the moon may be compared the later notion of the sun and moon being swallowed by the demon *Rāhu*, at the time of the eclipses.

² *Kāty.* IV, 2, 10 leaves it optional whether the libation of mixed

SEVENTH ADHYĀYA. FIRST BRĀHMANA.

1. He (the Adhvaryu) drives the calves away (from the cows) with a parṇa branch¹. The reason why he drives the calves away with a parṇa branch is this. When the Gāyatrī flew towards Soma (the moon), a footless archer aiming at her while she was carrying him off, severed one of the feathers (parṇa) either of the Gāyatrī or of king Soma²; and on falling down it became a parṇa (palāsa) tree; whence its name parṇa. 'May that

sweet and sour milk is to be offered to Indra or to Mahendra. According to IV, 5, 25, however, such option seems to be permitted only so far as the first performance is concerned, after which one is apparently bound to go on offering during the rest of one's life to whichever deity one has chosen at the beginning. Taitt. S. II, 5, 4, 4, lays it down as the rule that only a *gatasrī* (one who has reached the highest grade of prosperity), viz. a brāhmaṇa versed in the three Vedas (*susruvān*=vedatrayā-bhigṇa, *Sāyana*), the head of a village (*grāmaṇi*), and a *rāganya*, can make offering to Mahendra, since he is their special deity. Others, however, may do the same, after offering the *sānnāyāyam* to Indra for a whole year, and on the expiration of it a rice-cake on eight potsherds to Agni, as the Keeper of Vows.

¹ Parṇa=palāsa, *Butca Frondosa*.

² Gāyatrīyai vā somasya vā='both of G. and of S.,' *Sāyana*. *Apād astā*, 'a footless shooter,' is a doubtful reading and perhaps an old corruption: *Sāyana* reads *apād hastā* (? *adhastāt*); cf. Weber, *various readings*, p. 133. The Kāṇva MS. reads, 'devebhyas tasyā āharantya avādaśtābhyāyatya parṇam prakṛheda.' According to Rig-veda IV, 27, 3, it was the archer *Kṛiānu*, who hit the falcon when it was carrying off the Soma from heaven, and brought down one of its feathers. On the whole myth, see A. Kuhn, *Herabkunft des Feuers und des Göttertranks*, p. 137 seq. Cf. Taitt. S. III, 5, 7, 1; Taitt. Br. I, 1, 3, 10, 'Soma was in the third heaven from here; Gāyatrī fetched him away; one of his feathers was cut off, it became a parṇa (palāsa) tree.' Similarly Taitt. Br. I, 2, 1, 6; see also Sat. Br. I, 8, 2, 10.

which then was of the Soma nature¹ be here with us now!' so he thinks, and for this reason he drives away the calves with a *parṇa* branch.

2. That (branch) he cuts off², with the formula (Vāg. S. I, 1 a, b), 'For sap (I cut) thee! for pith thee!'—'for rain thee' he means to say, when he says 'for sap thee;' and when he says 'for pith thee' he means to say 'for that food-essence which springs from the rain.'

3. They then let the calves join their mothers. He thereupon touches (each) calf (in order to drive it away from the cow), with the formula (Vāg. S. I, 1 c), 'The winds are ye!'—for, indeed, it is this wind that here blows³, it is this (wind) that makes⁴ swell all the rain that falls here; it is it that makes those (cows) swell; and for this⁴ reason he says 'the winds are ye!' Some people add here the formula⁴, 'Going near are ye!' but let him not say this, because thereby another (an enemy) approaches (the sacrificer).

4. After separating one of the mothers from her calf, he touches her, with the text (Vāg. S. I, 1 d), 'May the divine Savitṛi animate you—' for Savitṛi, indeed, is the impeller (*prasavitṛi*) of the gods:

¹ 'Somasya nyaktam,' see p. 167, note 2.

² This act as well as that of letting the calves join the cows, of course, precedes the driving away of the calves. These proceedings take place on the day before the new moon, after the *agnyanvādhāna*. According to Kāty., the sacrificer enters on the vow of abstinence, after the branch has been cut. Previously to these rites, however, the so-called *Pinda-pitṛiyagñā*, or oblation of obsequial *pindās* (balls, dumplings) to the deceased ancestors, has to be performed; for which see II, 4, 2, 1 seq.

³ Pavate, 'blows, purifies.'

⁴ Thus Taitt. S. I, 1, 1, 1.

'may they, impelled by Savitṛi, prepare the sacrifice!' so he thinks, and for this reason he says, 'May the divine Savitṛi animate you!'

5. '—To the most glorious work!' for assuredly the sacrifice is the most glorious work: hence, when he says 'to the most glorious work!' he means to say 'to the sacrifice.'

6. 'Make swell, ye invincible (or inviolable) ones, the share for Indra!' In like manner as then¹, in taking the sacrificial food (rice), he announces it to the deity, so now also he announces that (libation of milk) to the deity when he says 'make swell, ye invincible ones, the share for Indra!'

7. 'Over you that are rich in offspring, over you that are free from suffering and disease—;' in this there is nothing that is obscure; '—no thief, no ill-wisher may lord it!'—he thereby means to say, 'may the evil spirits, the Rakshas, not lord it over you!'—'May ye be numerous and constant to this lord of cattle!'—thereby he means to say 'may ye be numerous with this sacrificer, and not abandon him.'

8. He then hides the branch on the front (eastern) side either of the Āhavanīya or the Gārhapatya house, with the formula (Vāg. S. I, 1 e), 'Protect the sacrificer's cattle!' he thus makes over the sacrificer's cattle to it for protection by means of the Brahman (sacred ~~mantra~~).

9. On it he fastens a strainer (pavitram)², with

¹ Viz. on the occasion of his taking from the cart the rice for the oblations, see I, 1, 2, 17-19.

² See p. 19, note 1. According to Karka this takes place before the hiding of the branch, Scholl. on Kāty. IV, 2, 15. According to Kāty. IV, 2, 12, 13, the upavesha (see I, 2, 1, 3) is cut at this juncture—with the text, 'Accomplishing (vesha) art thou'—from the bottom part of the palāśa branch on the remaining part.

the formula (Vāg. S. I, 2 a), 'Vasu's means of purification (ventilator, strainer, pavitram) art thou!' Vasu, indeed, is the sacrifice: for this reason he says, 'Vasu's means of purification art thou!'

10. That night he performs the Agnihotra with rice-gruel (yavāgū). That milk, namely, (which he milks that night) has already been announced as sacrificial food to a (special) deity; hence, if he were to make the offering with milk, he would offer to one deity that which has been set apart as sacrificial food for another deity: this is the reason why on that night he performs the Agnihotra with rice-gruel. As soon as they have performed the Agnihotra, the pot is made ready. He (the Adhvaryu) thereupon says, 'Announce that she (the cow) has been let loose to (the calf)!' When he (or she, the milker¹) announces, 'She has been let loose!'—

11. He puts the pot on (the Gârhapatya hearth), with the text (Vāg. S. I, 2 b, c): 'Thou art the sky! thou art the earth!'—he praises and eulogises her by thus saying, 'thou art the sky! thou art the earth!'—'Mâtarisvan's cauldron (gharma) art thou²!' he thereby makes it (a means of) sacrifice, and puts it on just as if he were putting on the

of which he thereupon fixes the strainer. When the sâmnāyā oblation is not made (and consequently no palāśa branch is used), the upavesha is made of varāṇa wood.

¹ The milker may be anybody except a Sūdra, Taitt. Br. III, 2, 3, 9; Kāty. IV, 2, 22; Āpast. I, 12, 15.

² Mâtarisvan's cauldron is identified in Taitt. Br. III, 2, 3, 2 with the atmosphere. Mâtarisvan, though sometimes identified with the wind, is more generally either a name of Agni, or the name of a mythic personage who (Prometheus-like) is supposed to have fetched the fire from heaven and brought it to the Bhrigus, who communicated it to man. See Roth, Nir. p. 111; Kuhn, Herabkunft des Feuers und des Göttertranks, p. 5 seq.

(pravargya-) cauldron (gharma)¹.—‘All-holding art thou! stand firm by the highest law! do not waver!’—thereby he steadies it, renders it firm.—‘May thy Lord of Sacrifice not waver!’—the Lord of Sacrifice, doubtless, is the sacrificer, hence it is for the sacrificer that he thereby prays for steadiness.

12. He then puts the strainer (on the pot). He puts it down with the top turned eastwards, for the east is the region of the gods; or with the top turned northwards, for the north is the region of the men; means of purification (pavitram) assuredly is that (wind) which here blows, it sweeps across these worlds: let him therefore put it down with the front northwards².

13. Just as then (i. e. at the Soma-sacrifice) they clarify king Soma with a strainer, in like manner he now clarifies (the milk); and since the strainer wherewith on that occasion they clarify king Soma has its fringe directed towards the north, therefore let him now also put it down with the top northward.

14. He puts it down, with the text (Vâg. S. I, 3 a), ‘Vasu’s means of purification (pavitram) art thou!’—Vasu, indeed, is the sacrifice: for this reason he says, ‘Vasu’s means of purification art thou!’—‘flowing in a hundred streams, flowing in a thousand streams!’—he praises and eulogises it when he says, ‘flowing in a hundred streams, flowing in a thousand streams.’

¹ See I, 2, 2, 7, and note. Compare also the interesting introduction to Dr. Garbe’s edition and translation of Āpastamba’s aphorisms on the Pravargya ceremony, *Zeitsch. der D. Morg. Ges.* XXXIV, p. 319 seq.

² The direction from west to east is the chief one in all sacrificial arrangements: hence that from south to north is the one that lies across the former.

15. He now maintains silence as long as the milking of the three (cows) lasts, for the sacrifice, doubtless, is speech: 'May I perform the sacrifice undisturbed!' so he thinks.

16. When it (the milk of each of the three cows) is poured (by the milker from the wooden pail through the strainer into the pot), he (the Adhvaryu) consecrates it by (whispering each time) the formula (Vâg. S. I, 3 b), 'May the divine Savitri purify thee with Vasu's means of purification, well cleansing and flowing in a hundred streams!' for just as then (at the Soma-sacrifice) they clarify king Soma with a strainer, so he thereby clarifies (the milk).

17. He then says (Vâg. S. I, 3-4), 'Which didst thou milk?' 'Such and such a one,' (the milker replies.) 'This one is Visvâyu (containing all life),' he (the Adhvaryu) says. He then¹ asks regarding the second one, 'Which didst thou milk?' 'Such and such a one,' is the reply. 'This one is Visvakarman (all-doing),' he says. He then asks regarding the third, 'Which didst thou milk?' 'Such and such a one,' is the reply. 'This one is Visvadhâyas (all-sustaining),' he says. The reason why he thus asks is that he thereby bestows certain energies on them. Three (cows) he milks, for three are these worlds: he thereby renders them fit for these worlds. He is now at liberty to speak.

¹ That is, when the milk has been poured through the strainer as before. The Taittiriya school make the mystic names (or epithets) of the three cows Visvâyu, Visvavyâkas (all-embracing), and Visvakarman, cf. Taitt. S. I, 1, 3; Taitt. Br. III, 2, 3, 7. In the latter passage these names are, as here, identified with the earth, atmosphere, and heavens respectively. The milker, in replying to the Adhvaryu, apparently calls the cows by their ordinary names. Cf. p. 178, note 4.

18. After having the last (cow) milked, and having poured a drop of water into the pail which he has made the milker use, and stirred it, he pours it to (the milk)¹, thinking 'what milk was left there, let that also be here!'—(he does so) for the completeness of the sap; for when it rains here, then plants spring up, and on the plants being eaten and the water drunk, thence is this juice produced: and therefore (the water is poured to the milk) for the completeness of the sap. Having then taken it off (the fire), he coagulates it²: he thereby makes it sharp (pungent); for this reason he coagulates it, after taking it off (the fire).

19. He coagulates it, with the formula (Vâg. S. I, 4 d), 'With Soma I coagulate thee, the portion of Indra!' Just as on a former occasion³, when taking sacrificial food for a deity, he announces it (to that deity), in like manner he now announces it to the deity, saying, 'Thee, the portion of Indra!' By saying 'with Soma I coagulate thee,' he makes it palatable to the gods.

20. He then covers it over by a vessel⁴, with the hollow part upwards and containing water, 'lest the evil spirits, the Rakshas, should touch it from above;' for water, indeed, is a thunderbolt; hence

¹ According to Taitt. S. I, 1, 3, Kâty. IV, 2, 32, &c., he, whilst doing so, pronounces the text, 'Unite, ye that follow the eternal law, ye waving ones (with the wave, Kâty.), ye sweetest,—[filling the milk with honey, Kâty.],—ye delightful ones, for the obtaining of wealth!'

² Viz. by adding to it the (sour) milk that is left from the performance of the Agnihotra.

³ See I, 1, 2, 18.

⁴ According to Taitt. Br. III, 2, 3, 11, it may be either a metal or wooden vessel, but not an earthen one (Kâty. IV, 2, 34).

he thus drives away from it the evil spirits, the Rakshas, with a thunderbolt: this is the reason why he covers it over by a vessel with the hollow part upwards and containing water.

21. He covers it over, with the formula (Vāg. S. I, 4 e), 'O Vishṇu, protect the oblation!' for Vishṇu, indeed, is the sacrifice; hence he thereby makes over this sacrificial food to the sacrifice for protection: for this reason he says, 'O Vishṇu, protect the oblation!'

SECOND BRĀHMANA.

THE CHIEF OFFERINGS.

1. Verily, whoever exists, he, in being born, is born as (owing) a debt to the gods, to the *Rishis*, to the fathers, and to men¹.

2. For, inasmuch as he is bound to sacrifice, for that reason he is born as (owing) a debt to the gods: hence when he sacrifices to them, when he makes

¹ The wording of this passage is very ambiguous; so much so indeed, that it could also be taken in the sense that 'whoever exists, is born as (one to whom) a debt (is owed) from the gods,' &c.; cf. I, 1, 2, 19: 'Whichever deities are chosen (for the oblations), they consider it as a debt (due from them), that they are bound to fulfil whatever wish he entertains while taking the oblation.' But see Taitt. Br. VI, 3, 10, 5: 'Verily, a Brāhmaṇa who is born, is born as owing a debt in respect to three things: in the shape of sacred study (brahmaṇya) to the *Rishis*, in the shape of sacrifice to the gods, and in the shape of offspring to the fathers. Free from debt, verily, is he who has a son, who is a sacrificer, who gives (for a time with a guru) as a religious student.' Ath.-veda VI, 117, 3 (Taitt. Br. III, 7, 9, 8): 'May we be debtless in this, debtless in the other, debtless in the third, world! What worlds (paths, Taitt. Br.) there are trodden by the gods and trodden by the fathers,—may we abide debtless on all (those) paths!'

offerings to them, he does this (in discharge of his debt) to them.

3. And further, inasmuch as he is bound to study (the Veda), for that reason he is born as (owing) a debt to the *Rishis*: hence it is to them that he does this; for one who has studied (the Veda) they call 'the *Rishis*' treasure-warden.'

4. And further, inasmuch as he is bound to wish for offspring, for that reason he is born as (owing) a debt to the fathers: hence when there is (provided by him) a continued, uninterrupted lineage, it is for them that he does this.

5. And further, inasmuch as he is bound to practise hospitality, for that reason he is born as (owing) a debt to men: hence when he harbours them, when he offers food to them, it is (in discharge of his debt) to them that he does so. Whoever does all these things, has discharged his duties: by him all is obtained, all is conquered.

6. And, accordingly, in that he is born as (owing) a debt to the gods, in regard to that he satisfies (ava-day) them by sacrificing; and when he makes offerings in the fire, he thereby satisfies them in regard to that (debt): hence whatever they offer up in the fire, is called *avadānam* (sacrificial portion) ¹.

7. Now this (oblation) consists of four cuttings; (the reason for this is, that) there is here first, the invitory prayer (*anuvākya*), then the offering-prayer (*yāgyā*), then the *vashat*-call, and as the fourth, the deity for which the sacrificial food is

¹ The word is really derived from *ava-dâ* (do), 'to cut off.' The Taitt. Br. gives the same fanciful etymological explanation of the term as here.

(destined) : for in this way the deities are dependent on the sacrificial portions, or the portions are dependent on the deities : hence what fifth cutting there is (made by some),¹ that is redundant, for—for whom is he to cut it? For this reason it consists of four cuttings.

8. But a fivefold cutting also takes place (with some people) : fivefold is the sacrifice, fivefold the animal victim, and five seasons there are in the year,—such is the perfection of the fivefold cutting ; and he, assuredly, will have abundant offspring and cattle for whom, knowing this, the fivefold cutting is made. The fourfold cutting, however, is the approved (practice) among the Kuru-Pañkâlas, and for this reason a fourfold cutting takes place (with us¹).

¹ The four 'cuttings' of which each oblation of rice-cake consists are made in the following way : first, some clarified butter, 'cut out' or drawn from the butter in the dhruvâ-spoon by means of the sruva (dipping-spoon) and poured into the guhû (this is called the upastarana or under-layer of butter) ; second and third, two pieces of the size of a thumb's joint, cut out from the centre and the fore-part of the rice-cake and laid on that butter ; and fourth, some clarified butter poured on these pieces of cake (the technical name of this basting of butter being abhighâraṇa). The family of the Gamadagnis, which is mentioned as always making five cuttings (Kâty. I, 9, 3-4), take three pieces of cake instead of two, viz. an additional one from the back (or west) part of the cake. Yâgñika Deva on Kâty. quotes a couplet from some Smṛiti, in which the Vatsas, the Vidas, and the Ârsh/ishenas are mentioned beside the Gamadagnis, as pañkâvattinaḥ or making five cuttings. At the Upâmsuyâga (low-voiced offering),—which is performed between the cake-oblation to Agni and that to Agni-Soma at the full moon, and between the cake-oblation to Agni and that to Indra-Agni (or the sânnâyya, or oblation of sweet and sour milk, to Indra) at the new moon, and which consists entirely of butter,—the four cuttings are effected in the same way as described

9. Let him cut off only a moderate quantity; for were he to cut off a large quantity, he would make it human; and what is human is inauspicious at the sacrifice. Let him therefore cut off only a moderate quantity, lest he should do what is inauspicious at the sacrifice.

10. Having made an under-layer of butter (in the guhû-spoon) and cut off twice from the havis, he then pours over it some butter. There are, indeed, two (kinds of) oblations; the oblation of Soma being one, and the oblation of (or rather, with) butter being the other. Now the one, viz. the Soma-oblation, is (an oblation) by itself; and the other, viz. the butter-oblation, is the same as the offering of havis (rice, milk, &c.) and the animal offering¹; hence he thereby makes it (the cake) butter, and therefore butter is on both sides of it. Butter, doubtless, is palatable to the gods; hence he thereby renders it palatable to the gods: for this reason butter is on both sides of it.

11. The invitatory prayer (anuvākya, f.), doubtless, is yonder (sky), and the offering-prayer (yāgyā, f.) is this (earth)—these two are females. With each of these two the vashaṭ-call (vashaṭkāra, m.) makes up a pair². Now the vashaṭ, indeed,

page 174 note. At the sânnāyā, two (or three) sruva-fuls of both the sweet and the sour milk take the place of the two (or three) pieces of cake.

¹ See page 26, note 1. The parts of the cakes or the sânnāyā, from which cuttings have been made, he bastes, each once, with butter taken with the sruva from the butter-pot; and whenever butter is ladled with the sruva from the dhruvâ into the guhû, the former is replenished from the butter-pot.

² Taylor mithunam asti vashaṭkāra eva, 'to these two the vashaṭ-call is the complement in forming a pair.' On the vashaṭ (vaushaṭ) and the other two formulas, see note on I, 5, 2, 16.

is no other than that scorching one (the sun). When he rises he approaches yonder (sky); and when he sets he approaches this (earth): hence whatever is brought forth here by these two, that they bring forth through that male.

12. Having recited the invitatory prayer and pronounced the offering-prayer¹, he afterwards (*paś-kât*) utters the *vasha* formula; for from behind (*paś-kât*) the male approaches the female: hence, after placing those two in front, he causes them to be approached by that male, the *vasha*. For the same reason let him make the offering either simultaneously with the *vasha* or (immediately) after the *vasha* has been pronounced.

13. A vessel of the gods, doubtless, is that *vasha*. Even as, after ladling, one would mete out (food) into a vessel, so here. If, on the other hand, he were to make the offering before the *vasha*, it would be lost, as would be that (food) falling to the ground: for this reason also let him make the offering either simultaneously with the *vasha* or after it has been pronounced.

14. As seed is poured into the womb, so here. If, on the other hand, he were to make the offering before the *vasha*, it would be lost, as would be the seed poured not into the womb: for this reason also let him make the offering either simultaneously with the *vasha* or after it has been pronounced.

15. The invitatory formula, doubtless, is yonder (sky), and the offering-formula is this (earth). The *gâyatri* metre also is this (earth), and the *trishubh*

¹ The usual formalities, which have been detailed before (see page 174 note), have, of course, to be gone through at each oblation.

is yonder (sky)¹. He recites the gāyatrī verse, thereby reciting yonder (sky), for the invitational formula (anuvākya) is yonder (sky). He recites this (earth), for the gāyatrī verse (viz. the offering-formula) is this (earth).

16. He then presents the offering with a trish/ubh verse², thereby presenting it by means of this

¹ In this passage the invitational formula (anuvākya or puro-
'nuvākya), which is in the gāyatrī metre, is identified with the sky, and the offering-formula (yāgyā), which is in the trish/ubh metre, with the earth. On the other hand, the gāyatrī also is the earth (cf. I, 4, 1, 34), and the trish/ubh the sky; so that, according to this mode of reasoning, there is not only an intimate connection between the two metres, but actual identity. The gāyatrī verse, used as invitational formula, on the occasion of the rice-cake offering to Agni, is Rig-veda VIII, 44, 16 [agnir mūrdhā divaḥ kakut, 'Agni, the head and summit of the sky,' &c.]; with that to Agni and Soma, at the full-moon sacrifice, Rig-veda I, 93, 3 [agnishomau savedasau, sahūti vanatam giraḥ, 'O Agni and Soma, of self-same wealth and invocation, accept this song!' &c.]; and to Indra and Agni, at the new moon, Rig-veda VII, 94, 7 [indrāgni avasā gatam, 'O Indra and Agni, come to us with favour!' &c.]; or with the (optional) milk-offering (sānnāyama), at the new moon, Rig-veda I, 8, 1 [endra sānasim rayim, 'hither, O Indra, bring abundant treasure!' &c.], if to Indra; or Rig-veda VIII, 6, 1 [mahān indro ya ogasā parganyo vṛishimān iva, 'the Great Indra, who in might is equal to the rainy thunder-cloud,' &c.], if to Mahendra.

² The trish/ubh verse, used as offering-formula with the oblation of cake to Agni, both at the new and full moon, is Rig-veda X, 8, 6 [bhuvō yagñasya ragasas ka netā . . . agne . . . , 'be thou the leader of the sacrifice and welkin, . . . O Agni!' &c.]; with that to Agni and Soma, at the full moon, Rig-veda I, 93, 5 [yuvam etāni divi roṣṇāni . . . agnishomau . . . , 'you, O Agni and Soma, (fixed) those lights in the heaven,' &c.]; with that to Indra and Agni, at the new moon, Rig-veda VII, 93, 4 [gīrbhir vipraḥ pramatim iktā māna . . . indrāgni . . . , 'the bard, seeking your grace by songs . . . , O Indra and Agni,' &c.]; and with the milk-offering, at the same sacrifice, if to Indra, Rig-veda X, 180, 1 [pra sasāhishe puruhūta satrūn . . . indrā . . . , 'thou, O Indra, the much-invoked, hast vanquished the enemies!' &c.]; or, if to Mahendra, Rig-veda X, 50, 4 [bhūvas

(earth), for the offering-formula (yāgyā) is this (earth). Over yonder (sky) he places the vasha, for yonder (sky) also is the trish/ubh. Thereby he makes those two (sky and earth) yoke-fellows; and as such they feed together; and after their common meal all these creatures get food¹.

17. Let him pronounce the invitatory formula lingering, as it were: the invitatory formula, namely, is yonder (sky), and the *bṛihat*-(sāman) also is yonder (sky), since its form is that of the *bṛihat*. With the offering-formula let him, as it were, hurry on fast: the offering-formula, doubtless, is this (earth), and the *rathantara*-(sāman) also is this (earth), since its form is that of the *rathantara*². With the invitatory formula he calls (the gods), and with the

tvam indra brahmanā mahān, 'mighty, O Indra, mayest thou be through (our) prayer!' &c.].

¹ For the notion that there is rain (and consequently food) when heaven and earth are on friendly terms with each other, see I, 8, 3, 12. The rain is the food of the earth; and the food, produced thereby, in its turn furnishes food for the sky (or the gods) in the form of oblations.

² The *bṛihat*-sāman (tvām id dhi havāmahe, 'on thee, indeed, we call,' &c., Sāma-veda II, 159-160 = Rig-veda VI, 46, 1-2) and the *rathantara*-sāman (abhi tvā sūra nonumāh, 'to thee, O Hero, we call,' &c., Sāma-veda II, 30-31 = Rig-veda VII, 32, 22-23) are two of the most highly prized Sāma-hymns, which are especially used in forming the so-called *prishthas*, or combinations of two hymns in such a way that one of them (being a mystic representation of the embryo) is enclosed in the other, which is supposed to represent the womb. In these symbolical combinations the *bṛihat* and *rathantara*, which must never be used together, are often employed as the enclosing chants, representative of the womb. They are already mentioned in Rig-veda X, 181. See also Sat. Br. IX, 1, 2, 36-37. Taitt. S. VII, 1, 1, 4, Pragāpati is said to have first created from his mouth Agni together with the Gāyatrī, the *Rathantara*-sāman, the *Brāhmaṇa*, and the goat; and then from his chest and arms Indra, the *Trish/ubh*, the *Bṛihat*-sāman, the *Rāganya*, and the ram.

offering-formula he presents (food to them): hence the invitational formula (anuvākyā) has some such form as 'I call,' 'We call,' 'Come hither!' 'Sit on the barhis!' for with it he calls. With the offering-formula (yāgyā) he offers: hence the offering-formula has some such form as, 'Accept the sacrificial food!' 'Relish the sacrificial food!' 'Accept the potation (āvriśhāyasva)!' 'Eat! Drink! There!'¹ for by it he offers that which (is indicated by) 'there!'

18. Let the invitational formula be one that has its distinctive indication (in the form of the name of the respective deity) at the beginning (in front): for the invitational formula is yonder (sky); and that (sky) yonder has the moon, the stars, and the sun for its mark below².

19. The offering-formula then should be one that has its characteristic indication (further) back³; for the offering-formula is this (earth), and this same (earth) has plants, trees, waters, fire, and these creatures for its mark above.

20. Verily, that invitational formula alone is auspicious, in the first word of which he utters the (name of the) deity; and that offering-formula alone is auspicious in the last word of which he pronounces the vashaḥ upon the deity⁴; for the (name

¹ Literally, 'forwards, thither (pra).'

² Avastāllakshma, 'the sign below or on this (the, to us, nearest or front) side.' See the formulas above, p. 195, note 1.

³ Or upwards, on the upper side, upariśhāllakshnam. See the offering-formulas above, p. 195, note 2.

⁴ Vashaḥ, or rather vāushaḥ ['may he (Agni) carry it (to the gods)!'], is pronounced after each yāgyā or offering-formula, which contains the name of the deity towards the end, or at least not at the very beginning.

of the) deity constitutes the vigour of the *Rik* (verse): hence after thus enclosing it¹ on both sides with vigour, he offers the sacrificial food to that deity for which it is intended.

21. He pronounces (the syllable), *vauk*²; for, assuredly, the *vasha*-call is speech; and speech means seed: hence he thereby casts seed. '*Sha*'³ (he pronounces), because there are six seasons: he thereby casts that seed into the seasons, and the seasons cause that seed so cast to spring up here as creatures. This is the reason why he pronounces the *vasha*.

22. Now the gods and the Asuras, both of them sprung from *Pragāpati*, entered upon their father *Pragāpati*'s inheritance³, to wit, these two half-moons. The gods entered upon the one which waxes, and the Asuras on the one which wanes.

23. The gods were desirous as to how they might appropriate also the one that had fallen to the Asuras. They went on worshipping and toiling. They saw this *haviryagñā*, to wit, the new- and full-moon sacrifices, and performed them; and by performing them they likewise appropriated the one—

24. Which belonged to the Asuras. Now when these two revolve, then the month is produced; and month (revolving) after month, the year (is produced). But the year, doubtless, means all; hence the gods thereby appropriated all that belonged to

¹ Viz. the invitory and offering-formulas.

² The sacrificial call *vausha* (for *vasha*, irregular aorist of *vah*, 'to bear,' cf. p. 88, note 2) is here fancifully explained as composed of *vauk*, for *vāk*, 'speech,' + *sha*, 'six.'

³ *Pragāpati*, or Lord of Creatures, is here, as often (cf. I, 2, 5, taken as representing the year, or Time.

the Asuras, they deprived their enemies, the Asuras, of all. And in the same way he (the sacrificer) who knows this appropriates all that belongs to his enemies, deprives his enemies of all.

25. That (half-moon) which belonged to the gods is (called) yavan, for the gods possessed themselves (yu, 'to join') of it; and that which belonged to the Asuras is ayavan, because the Asuras did not possess themselves of it.

26. But they also say contrariwise:—That which belonged to the gods is (called) a yavan, because the Asuras did not get possession of it; and that which belonged to the Asuras is yavan, because the gods did get possession of it. The day is (called) sabda, the night sagarâ, the months yavya, the year sumeka¹: sveka ('eminently one'), doubtless, is the same as sumeka. And since the Hotri is concerned with these—to wit, the yavan and the ayavan, which (according to some) is yavan—they call (his office) yâvihotram².

THIRD BRĀHMANA.

OBLATION TO AGNI SVISHTAKRIT, [AND THE BRAHMAN'S PORTIONS.]

I. Now by means of the sacrifice the gods ascended to heaven. But the god who rules over

¹ Sumeka is taken by the St. Petersburg Dictionary to mean 'firmly established'; by Grassmann, 'bountiful,' literally 'well-showering.' Our author identifies it with su-eka. The words sabdam (sabdam, Kāṇva rec., =the sounding one) and sagarâ are obscure; yavya here apparently means, 'consisting of the yavas or half-months.'

² The term yâvihotram is obscure, and does not seem to occur anywhere else. The Kāṇva MS. reads yâmihotram (? = gâmihotram). Sâyana's comment is corrupt in several places and affords little help.

the cattle was left behind here : hence they call him Vāstavya, for he was then left behind on the (sacrificial) site¹ (vāstu).

2. The gods went on worshipping and toiling with the same (sacrifice) by which they had ascended to heaven. Now the god who rules over the cattle, and who was left behind here,—

3. He saw (what occurred, and said), 'I have been left behind : they are excluding me from the sacrifice!' He went up after them, and with his raised (weapon)² rose up on the north—the time (when this happened) was that of the (performance of the) Svishṭakṛit.

4. The gods said, 'Do not hurl!' He said, 'Do not ye exclude me from the sacrifice! Set apart an oblation for me!' They replied, 'So be it!' He withdrew (his weapon), and did not hurl it; nor did he injure any one.

5. The gods said (to one another), 'Whatever portions of sacrificial food have been taken out by us, they have all been offered up. Try to discover

¹ Or perhaps, 'he was left behind with, or in, the remains (of the sacrifice);' vāstu being evidently also taken in this sense by our author, in par. 7.

² The text has āyatayā merely, which, to become intelligible, clearly requires some noun, which may have been lost here. Sāyana is silent on this point. In Dr. Muir's version of the legend, *Original Sanskrit Texts*, IV, p. 202, the word is left untranslated. I am inclined to supply some such noun as *heti*, 'weapon'; cf. XII, 7, 3, 20, where this very word is used in connection with Rudra : in later times it is also specially applied to Agni's weapon or flame (*gihvā*, 'tongue'). It is not impossible, however, that we have to supply *tanvā* ('with his raised body, or self'). To *mā vi srākṣhā* (for which the Kāṇva recension reads *mā 'sthā*), 'do not hurl,' and to *samvivarha* ('he drew back'), Sāyana supplies *yagñam*, 'sacrifice : ' hence he apparently takes it thus,—'do not scatter (the sacrifice),'—

some means by which we may set apart an oblation for him !'

6. They said to the Adhvaryu priest, 'Sprinkle the sacrificial dishes (with butter) in proper succession ; and replenish them for the sake of one (additional) portion, and again render them fit for use ; and then cut off one portion for each !'

7. The Adhvaryu accordingly sprinkled the sacrificial dishes in proper succession, and replenished them for the sake of one (additional) portion, and again rendered them fit for use, and cut off one portion for each. This then is the reason why he (Rudra) is called Vāstavya¹, for a remainder (vāstu) is that part of the sacrifice which (is left) after the oblations have been made : hence, if sacrificial food is offered to any deity, the Svishṭakṛit (Agni, 'the maker of good offering') is afterwards invariably offered a share of it ; because the gods invariably gave him a share after themselves.

8. That (offering) then is certainly made to 'Agni,' for, indeed, Agni is that god ;—his are these names : Sarva, as the eastern people call him ; Bhava, as the Bāhikas (call him) ; Paśūnām pati ('lord of beasts,' Paśupati), Rudra, Agni². The name Agni, doubtless, is the most auspicious

¹ On the identification of Agni with Rudra, see also VI, 1, 3, 7 ; and Muir, Original Sanskrit Texts, IV, p. 339 seq.

² Passages such as this and VI, 1, 3, 7 seq. are of considerable interest, as showing, on the one hand, the tendency towards identifying and blending originally distinct and apparently local Vedic gods, especially Rudra, with the person of Agni, the representative of the divine power on earth in the later Vedic triad ; and, on the other hand, the origin of the conception of Siva, in the pantheistic system of the post-Vedic period. On our passage, see also Weber, Ind. Stud. II, p. 37 ; I, p. 189 ; Muir, Original Sanskrit Texts, IV, p. 328.

(*sānta*), and the other names of his are inauspicious: hence it is offered to (him under the name of) 'Agni,' and to (him as) the *Svishṭakṛit*.

9. They (the gods) said, 'What we have offered unto thee who art in yonder place¹, do thou render that well-offered (*svishṭa*) for us!' He made it well-offered for them; and this is the reason why (it is offered) to (Agni as) the *Svishṭakṛit*.

10. Having recited the invitatory formula², he (the *Hotṛi*) enumerates (those deities) which (have received oblations at the fore-offerings, butter-portions, &c.), as well as Agni *Svishṭakṛit*:—'May Agni offer Agni's favourite dainties!' thereby he refers to Agni's butter-portion³.—'May he offer Soma's favourite dainties!' thereby he refers to Soma's butter-portion.—'May he offer Agni's favourite dainties!' thereby he refers to that indispensable cake for Agni which is (offered) on both occasions (at the new- and full-moon sacrifices).

11. And so with the several deities. 'May he offer the favourite dainties of butter-drinking gods!' thereby he refers to the fore-offerings (*prayāga*) and after-offerings (*anuyāga*), for, assuredly, the butter-drinking gods (represent) the fore-offerings and after-offerings.—'May he offer Agni the *Hotṛi*'s

¹ That is, according to *Sâyana*, on the *Āhavanīya* fire-place.

² The *anuvākya* for the *Svishṭakṛit* is *Rig-veda* X, 2, 1: *pipṛhi devāṇ usato yavishṭha* ('gladden thou the longing gods, O youngest!') &c. *Āsv. S.* I, 6, 2.

³ See I, 4, 2, 16–17. These formulas (*nigada*) of enumeration (*ayād agnir agneḥ priyā dhāmāni*, &c.—*yakshad agner hotuḥ priyā dhāmāni*, &c.) form part of the offering-formula. The *yāgyā* proper, however, which they precede is *Rig-veda* VI, 15, 14, *agne yad adya viso adhvarasya hotaḥ* ['O Agni, *Hotṛi* of the cult! when this day (thou comest) to the men.'], &c.

favourite dainties!' thereby he refers to Agni as *Hotri*; for after the gods had set apart this oblation for him, they still further propitiated him by this (formula), and invited him to his favourite dainty¹: this is the reason why he thus enumerates.

12. Here now some make (the name of) the deity precede the 'may he offer (*ayât*)!' thus—'Of Agni may he offer (the favourite dainties)!' 'Of Soma may he offer!' But let him not do this; for those who make the deity precede the 'may he offer!' violate the proper order at the sacrifice, since it is by pronouncing the 'may he offer,' that he pronounces what comes first here: let him therefore place the 'may he offer' first.

13. [The *Hotri* continues to recite]: 'May he sacrifice to² his own greatness!' When, on that occasion³, he asks him (Agni) to bring hither the deities, he also makes him bring hither his own greatness; but before this no worship of any kind has been offered to 'his (Agni's) own greatness:' and he therefore now gratifies him, and thus that (fire) has been established so as to prevent failure on his (the sacrificer's) part. This is the reason why he says 'may he sacrifice to his own greatness.'

14. 'By sacrifice may he obtain for himself food worthy of sacrifice⁴!' the food, doubtless, is these creatures: he thereby makes them eager to sacrifice, and these creatures go on sacrificing, worshipping and performing austerities.

¹ Or, resort, abode, *dhâman*.

² Viz. at the '*devatânâm âvahanam*,' cf. I, 4, 2, 17; p. 118, n. 1.

³ '*Āyagatām egrā ishah*.' Mahidhara, on Vâg. S. XXI, 47, interprets it thus: 'May these (*ishah*) desirous (creatures), fit for sacrifice, sacrifice properly!' Similarly perhaps Sâyana on our passage.

15. 'May he, the knower of beings, (perform)¹ the sacred cult; may he graciously accept the sacrificial food!' Thereby he prays for success to this sacrifice; for when the gods graciously accept the sacrificial food, then he (the sacrificer) gains great things²; for this reason he says 'may he graciously accept the sacrificial food!'

16. The reason why on this occasion the invitatory and offering-formulas are made closely to correspond to each other (*avak/iptatama*), is that the *svishṭakṛit* (is equivalent to) the evening libation, and the evening libation, doubtless, belongs to the *Viśve Devāḥ* (the 'All-gods')³. 'Gladden thou the longing gods, O youngest!' this much in the invitatory formula refers to the *Viśve Devāḥ*⁴. 'O Agni, Hotṛi of the cult! when this day (thou comest) to the men⁵;' this much in the offering-formula refers to the *Viśve Devāḥ*. And because such is the form of these two (formulas), therefore they are of

¹ Here *krinotu* is omitted in the text, but cf. Vāg. S. XXI, 47; Taitt. Br. III, 5, 7, 6; Ārv. S. I, 6, 5. Dr. Hillebrandt, Altind. Neu- und Vollmondsopfer, p. 11 construes it with the preceding formula: 'er mache darbringungswerth die Speisen; er, der Wesenkenner, nehme beim Opfer das havis an.' (?)

² Mahat, 'grosses.'

³ See Vāg. S. XIX, 26. Here the author, as usual (cf. p. 5 note), attempts to enhance the solemnity of the ceremony by identifying it with the *trītiya-savana*, or evening libation at the Soma-sacrifice, both offerings constituting the final ceremonies in the main performance of the respective sacrifices. We shall, however, see (cf. I, 8, 3, 25) that as at the evening libation the remains of the Soma are offered up, so also are the remains of havis offered to the *viśve devāḥ* at the conclusion of the present sacrifice. At IV, 4, 5, 17 it is more especially the offering of rice-cake to Agni and Varuṇa, at the evening libation, which is identified with the *svishṭakṛit*.

⁴ See p. 202, note 2.

⁵ See p. 202, note 3.

the form of the evening libation; and this is why the invitatory and offering-formulas on this occasion are made closely to correspond to each other.

17. They are both *trish/ubh* verses; for the *svish/takṛit* is, as it were, the residue (or site, *vāstu*) of the sacrifice, and the residue (or, a vacant site) is without energy¹. Now the *trish/ubh* means manly power², energy: hence he thereby imparts manly power, energy to that residue, the *svish/takṛit*. This is why they are both *trish/ubh* verses.

18. Or they are both *anush/ubh* verses. The *anush/ubh* is residue (or site, *vāstu*), and the *svish/takṛit* also is residue: hence he thereby puts a residue to a residue³. And, verily, one who knows this, and whose (invitatory and offering-formulas) are two *anush/ubh* verses, his homestead (*vāstu*) is prosperous, and he himself prospers in regard to progeny and cattle.

¹ *Avīryam*; cf. II. 1, 2, 9, where the (*sarīra*) empty body (of *Pragāpati*) is called a *vāstu ayagñīyam avīryam*. See also above, I, 7, 3, 7, where we met with *vāstu* in the sense of 'remainder, that which remains,' as *Sāyana* also seems to take it here.

² *Indriyam*, literally 'Indra's power.' The *trish/ubh* often (e.g. *Rig-veda* X, 130, 5) appears specially related to *Indra*; and the hymns addressed to him are almost entirely in this metre. *Taitt. S. VII, 1, 1, 4* it is said to have been created by *Pragāpati* from his own chest and arms, immediately after *Indra*, and together with the *Bṛhat-sāman*, the *Rāganya*, and the ram; and that these are therefore *vīryāvānt*, having been created out of *vīrya* (i.e. the seats of 'manly power').

³ For this symbolical explanation see *Taitt. S. VII, 1, 1, 5*, where the *anush/ubh* is said to have been created by *Pragāpati*, by his fourth and last creative act, from his feet, together with the *Vairāga-sāman*, the *Sūdra*, and the horse; the two last named being, therefore, styled '*bhūta-saṅkrāmin* (? subservient to creatures).' I do not find it stated anywhere, what *anush/ubh* verses may optionally be taken for the *anuvākyā* and *yāgyā* of the *svish/takṛit*.

19. Now here Bhāllabeya¹ made the invitational formula (consist of) an anush/ubh verse, and the offering-formula of a trish/ubh verse, thinking, 'I thus obtain (the benefits of) both.' He fell from the cart, and in falling, broke his arm. He reflected: 'This has befallen because of something or other I have done.' He then bethought himself of this: '(It has befallen) because of some violation, on my part, of the proper course of the sacrifice.' Hence one must not violate the proper course (of sacrificial performance); but let both (formulas) be verses of the same metre, either both anush/ubh verses, or both trish/ubh verses.

20. He cuts (the portions for Agni Svishṭakṛit) from the north part (of the sacrificial dishes)², and offers them up on the north part (of the fire): for this is the region of that god, and therefore he cuts from the north part and offers on the north part. From that side, indeed, he arose³, and there they (the gods) appeased him: for this reason he cuts from the north part, and offers on the north part.

21. He offers on this side (in front), as it were, of the other oblations. Following the other oblations cattle are produced, and the Svishṭakṛit represents Rudra's power: he would impose Rudra's power on

¹ That is, Indradyumna Bhāllabeya, as the Kāṇva recension reads here and II, 1, 4, 6. Cf. X, 6, 1, 1.

² He makes, as usual, an under-layer (upastarana) of butter in the guhū; cuts a piece from the north part of each of the two cakes (or of the one cake and of both the sweet and the sour milk constituting the sānnāyya); and thereupon bastes the pieces twice (not once) with butter.

³ See above, par. 3. The same quarter is assigned to Rudra, IX. 1. 1. 10. See also Weber, Ind. Stud. I, p. 225.

the cattle if he were to bring it (the Svishṭakṛit) into contact with the other oblations; and his (the sacrificer's) household and cattle would be destroyed. For this reason he offers on this side, as it were, of the other oblations.

22. That (fire)—to wit, the Āhavanīya—is, indeed, that sacrifice by which the gods then ascended to heaven; and that (other fire) which was left behind here, is the Gārhapatya: hence they take out the former from the Gārhapatya, (so as to be) before (east) of it.

23. He may lay it (the Āhavanīya) down at the distance of eight steps (from the Gārhapatya); for of eight syllables, doubtless, consists the gāyatrī: hence he thereby ascends to heaven by means of the gāyatrī.

24. Or he may lay it down at the distance of eleven steps¹; for of eleven syllables, indeed, consists the trishṭubh: hence he thereby ascends to heaven by means of the trishṭubh.

25. Or he may lay it down at the distance of twelve steps; for of twelve syllables, indeed, consists the gagatī: hence he thereby ascends to heaven by means of the gagatī. Here, however, there is no (fixed) measure: let him, therefore, lay it down where in his own mind he may think proper². If he takes it ever so little east (of the Gārhapatya), he ascends to heaven by it.

26. Here now they say, 'Let them cook the sacri-

¹ The Baudhāy. Śulvas. (66) lays it down as the rule that the Brāhmana has to construct his Āhavanīya fire at the distance of eight prakramas (step of two padas or feet each) to the east of the Gārhapatya, the Rāganīya at the distance of eleven, and the Vaisya at the distance of twelve, steps. Thibaut, Pandit X, p. 22.

² See I, 2, 5, 14.

ficial dishes on the Āhavanīya; for thence, assuredly, the gods ascended to heaven, and therewith they went on worshipping and toiling: therein we will cook the sacrificial dishes; therein we will perform the sacrifice! For, as it were, a displacement¹ of the sacrificial dishes would take place, if they were to cook them on the Gārhapatya. The Āhavanīya is the sacrifice: we will perform the sacrifice in the sacrifice!

27. However, they also do cook on the Gārhapatya, arguing, 'The former is indeed āhavanīya (i.e. "suitable for a burnt-offering"); but that one, surely, is not (intended) for this,—viz. that they should cook uncooked (food) on it; but it is (intended) for this,—viz. that they should offer up cooked (food) on it.' He may therefore do it on whichever (fire) he pleases.

28. That sacrifice spake, 'I dread nakedness.' 'What is unnakedness for thee?' 'Let them strew (sacrificial grass) all round me!' For this reason they strew (sacrificial grass) all round the fire. 'I dread thirst.' 'How art thou to be satiated?' 'May I satiate myself after the priest has been satisfied!' Let him therefore, on the completion of the sacrifice, order that the priest be satisfied; for then he satisfies the sacrifice.

FOURTH BRĀHMANA.

1. Praṣāpati conceived a passion for his own

¹ Apaskhala. Sāyana takes skhala to mean winnowing- (or threshing-) floor (?khala): hence apaskhala would mean 'the leaping (of the husk, &c.) out of the winnowing-floor.' The Kāṇva MS. reads, 'apaskhala iva sa havishām yad gārhapatyaḥ' (? 'the Gārhapatya is to the sacrificial food the outside of a winnowing-
were.')

daughter,—either the Sky or the Dawn¹. 'May I pair with her!' thus (thinking) he united with her.

2. This, assuredly, was a sin in the eyes of the gods. 'He who acts thus towards his own daughter, our sister, [commits a sin], they thought.

3. The gods then said to this god who rules over the beasts (Rudra)², 'This one, surely, commits a sin who acts thus towards his own daughter, our sister. Pierce him!' Rudra, taking aim, pierced him. Half of his seed fell to the ground. And thus it came to pass.

4. Accordingly it has been said by the *Rishi*³ with reference to that (incident), 'When the father embraced his daughter, uniting with her, he dropped his seed on the earth.' This (became) the chant (uktha) called *āgnimāruta*⁴; in (connection with)

¹ For other versions of this legend about *Pragāpati* (Brahman)'s illicit passion for his daughter, which, as Dr. Muir suggests, probably refers to some atmospheric phenomenon, see Ait. Br. III, 33, and Tāndya Br. VIII, 2, 10; cf. Muir, Original Sanskrit Texts, IV, p. 45; I, p. 107. See also Sat. Br. II, 1, 2, 9, with note.

² The construction here is irregular. Perhaps this is part of the speech of the gods, being a kind of indirect address to Rudra in order to avoid naming the terrible god. Dr. Muir translates: 'The gods said, "This god, who rules over the beasts, commits a transgression in that he acts thus to his own daughter, our sister: pierce him through"' In the Kāṇva MS. some words seem to have been omitted at this particular place. According to the Ait. Br., the gods created a god *Bhūtavat*, composed of the most fearful forms of theirs. After piercing the incarnation of *Pragāpati*'s sin, he asked, and obtained, the boon that he should henceforth be the ruler of cattle.

³ Via., Rig-veda X, 61, 7, where verses 5-7 contain the first allusion to this legend.

⁴ The *āgnimāruta* is one of the *sāstras* recited at the evening libation of the Soma-sacrifice; and made up chiefly of a hymn addressed to Agni *Vaiśvānara* and one to the *Maruts*; and [following the *stotriya* and *anurūpa pragātha*] a hymn to *Gātavedas*; [and one to the *Āpas*, followed by various detailed

this it is set forth how the gods caused that seed to spring¹. When the anger of the gods subsided, they cured Pragâpati and cut out that dart of this (Rudra); for Pragâpati, doubtless, is this sacrifice.

5. They said (to one another), 'Think of some means by which that (part of the sacrifice torn out with the dart) may not be lost, and how it may be but a small portion of the offering itself!'

6. They said, 'Take it round to Bhaga (Savitri, the Patron), who sits on the south side (of the sacrificial ground): Bhaga will eat it by way of fore-portion², so that it may be as though it were offered. They accordingly took it round to Bhaga, who sat on the south side. Bhaga (Savitri) looked at it: it burnt out his eyes³. And thus it came to pass. Hence they say, 'Bhaga is blind.'⁴

7. They said, 'It has not yet become appeased here: take it round to Pûshan!' They accordingly

verses or couplets]; viz., Rig-veda III, 3, 'vaisvânarâya prâhupâgase,' &c., and I, 87, 'pratvakshasa/ pratavaso,' &c.; [Rig-veda I, 168, 1-2, stotriya; VII, 16, 11-12, anurûpa]; and Rig-veda I, 143, 'pratavyasim navyasim,' &c. (and X, 9, 'âpo hi sh/â mayobhuvas,' &c.) respectively, at the Agnish/oma (and first day of the dvâdarâha). See Ârv. Sr. V, 20, 5; Ait. Br. III, 35; IV, 30.

¹ According to Ait. Br. III, 35, where this legend is also given in connection with the âgnimâruta sâstra, Agni Vaisvânara, aided by the Maruts, stirred (and heated) the seed; and out of it sprang successively Âditya (the sun), Bhrigu, and the Âdityas; whilst the coals (aṅgâra) remaining behind became the Ângiras, and Br/haspati, and the coal dust, the burnt earth and ashes were changed into various kinds of animals. According to Harisvâmin it would seem that our passage has to be understood to the effect that the composition of the âgnimâruta sâstra shows the order of beings which the gods caused to spring forth from the seed. See also IV, 5, 1, 8.

² See note on I, 7, 4, 18.

³ 'Nirdadâha.' The Kaushît. Br. VI, 10 (Ind. Stud. II, 306) and Yâska Nir. 12, 14 have nirgabhâna, 'it knocked out his eyes.' The Kaushît. Br. also makes them first take the prâsitra to Savitri, and when it cut his hands they gave him two golden ones.

took it round to Pūshan. Pūshan tasted it: it knocked out his teeth. And thus it came to pass. Hence they say, 'Pūshan is toothless;' and therefore, when they prepare a mess of boiled rice (*karu*)¹ for Pūshan, they prepare it from ground rice, as is done for one toothless.

8. They said, 'It has not yet become appeased here: take it round to Brihaspati²!' They accordingly took it round to Brihaspati. Brihaspati hasted to Savitri for his impulsion (influence, *prasava* ³), for assuredly Savitri is the impeller (*prasavitri*) of the gods. 'Impel (influence) this for me!' he said. Savitri, as the impeller, accordingly impelled it for him, and being thus impelled by Savitri, it did not injure him: and thus it was henceforth appeased. This, then, is essentially the same as the fore-portion.

9. Now when he cuts off the fore-portion, he cuts out what is injured in the sacrifice,—what belongs to Rudra. Thereupon he touches water: water is (a means) of lustration, hence he lustrates by means of water ⁴. He now cuts off piece by piece the *idā* ⁵, (which represents) cattle.

¹ *Karu*, in the ordinary sense of the word, is a potful of rice (barley, &c.) grains boiled or rather steamed (*antarūshmapakva*), so as to remain whole, as in Indian curry. Cf. Weber, Ind. Stud. IX, p. 216.

² According to Kaushī. Br. VI, 10, they took it from Pūshan to Indra, as the mightiest and strongest of the gods; and he appeased it with prayer (*brahman*); whence the Brahman (in taking the *prāsitra*) says, 'Indra is Brahman.' Weber, Ind. Stud. II, p. 307.

³ The consistent use of derivations from one and the same root (*pra-su*) in this and similar passages is, of course, quite as artificial in Sanskrit as must be any imitation of it in English.

⁴ He thereby averts the evil effects of the act which is connected with Rudra, 'the terrible god'; see p. 2, note 2. Besides, the *idā* with which he now proceeds representing the cattle, he thereby guards the cattle from the *rudriya*, cf. above I, 7, 3. 21.

⁵ See I, 8, 1. 12, 13.

10. Let him cut off (for the fore-portion) ever so small a piece¹: thus the dart comes out; let him therefore cut off ever so small a piece. He should (according to some) put clarified butter on one side only, either below or above: thus that which is hard becomes soft and flows forth; and for this reason he should put butter on one side only, either below or above.

11. Having (nevertheless²) made an underlayer of butter³ and a double cutting from the oblation (havis), he pours butter on the upper side of it; for it is only in this way that this becomes part of the sacrifice.

12. Let him not carry it (to the Brahman) along the front (east) side of the Āhavanīya fire); (though) some, it is true, do carry it along the front side. For on the front side stand the cattle facing the sacrificer: hence he would impose the power of Rudra on the cattle, if he were to carry it along the front side, and his (the sacrificer's) household and cattle would be overwhelmed. Let him therefore cross over in this way (behind the paridhis); for thus he does not impose Rudra's power on the cattle and he removes that (dart) sideways⁴.

¹ According to Kāty. III, 4, 7, the *prāsitra*, or (Brahman's) fore-portion, is to be of the size of a barley-corn or a *pippala* (*Ficus Religiosa*) berry.

² There is no indication in the text of two different practices being here referred to. The Kāṇva recension, however, puts in here, 'but let him not do so,' which is evidently understood in our text also.

³ Viz. in the *prāsitraharana*, or pan which is to receive the Brahman's portion. The hollow part of the vessel is to be either of the shape of a (hand-)mirror, i.e. with a round bowl, or of that of a *kaṃasa* or jug, i.e. with a square bowl (p. 7, note 1). Kāty. I, 3, 40, 41. On the 'underlayer' of butter, see I, 7, 2, 8.

⁴ 'Tiryag evainam nirmimste.' I am in doubt as to whether

13. He (the Brahman) receives¹ it, with the text (Vāg. S. II, 11 b, c), 'At the impulse (prasava) of the divine Savitrī I receive thee with the arms of the Asvins, with the hands of Pūshan!'

14. And in like manner as Brīhaspati then hastened to Savitrī for his impulsion,—for, assuredly, Savitrī is the impeller of the gods,—and said, 'Impel this for me!' and Savitrī, the impeller, impelled it for him; and, impelled by Savitrī, it did not injure him; so now also this one (the Brahman) hastes to Savitrī for his impulsion,—for, assuredly, Savitrī is the impeller of the gods,—and says 'impel this for me!' and Savitrī, the impeller, impels it for him; and, impelled by Savitrī, it does not injure him.

15. He eats² the fore-portion, with the text (Vāg. S. II, 11 d), 'With Agni's mouth I eat thee!' for Agni, assuredly, it does not injure in any way; and so neither does it injure him (the Brahman).

16. He must not chew it with his teeth: 'lest this power of Rudra should injure my teeth!' so (he thinks), and therefore he must not chew it with his teeth.

17. He then rinses his mouth with water;—water is (a means of) purification: hence he purifies himself with water, (that is, a means of) purification. After he has rinsed the vessel³,—

enam (which is omitted in the Kāṇva text) really refers to Rudra's dart. Cf. par. 9.

¹ According to Kāty. II, 2, 15, he first looks at it, with the text (Vāg. S. p. 58): 'With Mitra's eye I look on thee!'

² According to Kāty. II, 2, 17, he previously puts it down on the shoulder of the altar, with the text (Vāg. S. p. 58), 'I put you down on the navel of the earth, in the lap of Aditi!' According to 19, however, this is optional (except when the Brahman does not eat the prāsitra immediately).

³ According to Kāty. II, 2, 20, the Brahman, having rinsed the

18. They bring him the Brahman's portion¹. The Brahman, in truth, sits south of the sacrifice, as its guardian. He sits facing that portion. As regards the fore-portion, that they have already brought to him and he has eaten it. In the Brahman's portion which they now bring to him, he obtains his own share; and henceforth he watches what remains incomplete of the sacrifice: for this reason they bring him the Brahman's portion.

19. He (the Brahman) must maintain silence (from the time he takes his seat on being elected)² up to that speech (of the Adhvaryu), 'Brahman, shall I step forward?' Those (priests) who, in the midst of the sacrifice, perform the *idâ*, which represents the domestic offerings (*pākayaḡṇa*)³, tear the sacrifice to pieces, injure it. Now the Brahman, assuredly, is the best physician: hence the Brahman thereby restores the sacrifice; but, if he were to sit there talking, he would not restore it: he must therefore maintain silence.

20. If he should utter any human sound before that time, let him there and then mutter some *Rik* or *Yagus*-text addressed to *Vishnu*; for *Vishnu* is

vessel [or, according to the comment, the two *prâsitraharana*, one of which is used as lid to the other], touches his navel, with the text (*Vâg. S. p. 58*), 'May the deities there are in the waters purify this! Enter the stomach of Indra, being offered with "Hail!" Mix not with my food! Settle down above my navel! In Indra's stomach I make thee settle!'

¹ The Brahman's regular portion (*brahmabhāga*) of the sacrificial food is cut, like the *prâsitra* or fore-portion (which apparently he receives as the representative of *Bṛhaspati*), from Agni's cake.

² See I, 1, 4, 9.

³ According to the scholiast, it represents the *pākayaḡṇa* or domestic (cooked) offerings, because at the latter, as in the *idâ*, the remains of the offerings are eaten.

the sacrifice, so that he thereby again obtains a hold on the sacrifice: and this is the explanation of that (breach of silence).

21. When he (the Adhvaryu) says, 'Brahman, shall I step forward?' the Brahman mutters thus (Vāg. S. II, 12), 'This thy sacrifice, O divine Savitṛi, they have announced . . .',—thereby he has recourse to Savitṛi for his impulsion (prasava), for he is the impeller (prasavitṛi) of the gods;—'to Bṛihaspati, the Brahman,'—for Bṛihaspati, assuredly, is the Brahman of the gods: hence he announces that (sacrifice) to him who is the Brahman of the gods; and accordingly he says, 'to Bṛihaspati, the Brahman.'—'Therefore prosper the sacrifice, prosper the lord of sacrifice, prosper me!' In this there is nothing that requires explanation.

22. [He continues, Vāg. S. II, 13]: 'May his mind delight in the gushing (of the) butter!' By the mind, assuredly, all this (universe) is obtained (or pervaded, āptam): hence he thereby obtains this All by the mind.—'May Bṛihaspati spread (carry through) this sacrifice! May he restore the sacrifice uninjured!'—he thereby restores what was torn asunder.—'May all the gods rejoice here!'—'all the gods,' doubtless, means the All: hence he thereby restores (the sacrifice) by means of the All. He may add, 'Step forward!' if he choose; or, if he choose, he may omit it.

¹ ? 'Mano gūtir [gyotir, Kāṇva rec.] gushatām āgyasya.' I am inclined to read gūtir [cf. Ath.-veda XIX, 58, 1: ghrīasya gūtīḥ samānā]. Mahīdhara interprets: 'May the rushing (eager) mind devote itself to the butter!' Hillebrandt, Neu- und Vollmondsopfer, p. 135, apparently proposes to combine manogūtir 'des Geistes Schnelligkeit.' Perhaps gushatām has to be taken in a transitive sense: 'May the gushing of the butter delight the mind.'

EIGHTH ADHYĀYA. FIRST BRĀHMANA.

THE IDĀ.

1. In the morning they brought to Manu¹ water for washing, just as now also they (are wont to) bring (water) for washing the hands. When he was washing himself, a fish came into his hands.

2. It spake to him the word, 'Rear me, I will save thee!' 'Wherefrom wilt thou save me?' 'A flood will carry away all these creatures²: from that I will save thee!' 'How am I to rear thee?'

3. It said, 'As long as we are small, there is great destruction for us: fish devours fish. Thou wilt first keep me in a jar. When I outgrow that, thou wilt dig a pit and keep me in it. When I outgrow that, thou wilt take me down to the sea, for then I shall be beyond destruction.'

4. It soon became a *ghasha* (a large fish); for that grows largest (of all fish)³. Thereupon it said, 'In such and such a year that flood will come. Thou

¹ For other translations of this important legend of the deluge, see A. Weber, *Ind. Streifen*, I, p. 9 (*Ind. Stud.* I, 161 seq.); Max Müller, *History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature*, p. 425; J. Muir, *Original Sanskrit Texts*, I, p. 182. For the later versions of the same legend, especially the one from the *Mahābhārata* (*Vanaparvan* 12747-12802), see *Original Sanskrit Texts*, I, p. 196 seq.

² According to the scholiast, 'it will carry away all these creatures that live in Bharatavarsha to some other country.'

³ ? *Sarvad dha ghasha āsa, sa hi gyeshtam vardhate 'thetiḥm samām tad augha āgantā.* 'Bald war er ein Grossfisch (*ghasha*), denn er wuchs gewaltig,' Weber. 'He became soon a large fish. He said to Manu, "When I am full-grown, in the same year the flood will come,"' Max Müller. 'Straightway he became a large fish; for he waxes to the utmost,' Muir. Perhaps *ghasha* is here intended for the name of some fabulous horned fish (cf. *śrīṅgi, śrīṅgi*). In the Black Yagur-veda (*Taitt. S.* I, 7, 1; II, 6, 7) the

shalt then attend to me (i.e. to my advice) by preparing a ship¹; and when the flood has risen thou shalt enter into the ship, and I will save thee from it.'

5. After he had reared it in this way, he took it down to the sea. And in the same year which the fish had indicated to him, he attended to (the advice of the fish) by preparing a ship; and when the flood had risen, he entered into the ship. The fish then swam up to him, and to its horn he tied the rope of the ship, and by that means he² passed swiftly up to yonder northern mountain.

6. It then said, 'I have saved thee. Fasten the ship to a tree; but let not the water cut thee off³, whilst thou art on the mountain. As the water

idā is represented as a cow, produced by Mitra and Varuṇa (see below, par. 24). Perhaps it was this version and the symbolical representation of the *idā* as meaning cattle, which suggested the notion of a horned fish, in adapting an older legend.

¹ I adopt here, though not without hesitation, the interpretation proposed in the St. Petersburg. Dict. (s.v. *upa-ās*), which the separation of *mām* from the verb favours. Professor Max Müller translates: 'Build a ship then, and worship me.' Dr. Muir: 'Thou shalt, therefore, construct a ship, and resort to me.' The *Mahābhārata* has: 'When standing on the ship, thou shalt look out for me: I shall be recognisable (by my being) furnished with a horn,' which, after all, may furnish the correct explanation of our passage.

² Or, 'it,' that is, either the ship, or the fish. That *abhi-dudrāva*, the reading of the Kāṇva school, is the right one, seems to follow from the next paragraph. Professor Weber's edition has *ati-dudrāva*, as read by his best MS., 'it (or he) sailed across the mountain.' The reading of the other MSS. *adhi-dudrāva* must be a clerical error, most likely for *abhi-dudrāva*. Professor Müller translates: 'The fish carried him by it over the northern mountain.' Dr. Muir: 'By this means he passed over (or, he hastened to) this northern mountain.'

³ *Antasphatsit*, ? 'cut thee asunder,' Max Müller; 'wash thee away;' 'fortspült,' Weber; 'abschneiden, intercludere,' St. Petersburg. Dict. I adopt this last meaning, = 'leave thee stranded.'

subsides, thou mayest gradually descend!' Accordingly he gradually descended, and hence that (slope) of the northern mountain is called 'Manu's descent'. The flood then swept away all these creatures, and Manu alone remained here.

7. Being desirous of offspring, he engaged in worshipping and austerities. During this time he also performed a pāka-sacrifice: he offered up in the waters clarified butter, sour milk, whey, and curds. Thence a woman was produced in a year: becoming quite solid¹ she rose; clarified butter gathered in her footprint. Mitra and Varuṇa met her.

8. They said to her, 'Who art thou?' 'Manu's daughter,' she replied. 'Say (thou art) ours,' they said. 'No,' she said, 'I am (the daughter) of him who begat me.' They desired to have a share in her. She either agreed or did not agree², but passed by them. She came to Manu.

9. Manu said to her, 'Who art thou?' 'Thy daughter,' she replied. 'How, illustrious one, (art thou) my daughter?' he asked. She replied,

¹ According to the version of the Mahābhārata, 'the peak of the Himālaya to which the ship was tied, was afterwards called nau-bāndhana, 'the tying of the ship.' Professor Weber also draws attention to Ath.-veda XIX, 39, 8, where the term nāvaprabhram-sana or 'gliding down of the ship' is used in connection with the summit of the Himavat.

² Pibdamānā-iva, as taken by the St. Petersburg Dict. The meaning 'dripping with fat, unctuous,' offered by the commentator, was probably suggested to him by what follows in the text; and by the cow-version (p. 216, note 3), Taitt. Br. II, 6, 7, 1.

³ Or, as the commentator takes it, 'she both promised and did not promise it;' that is to say, she promised, inasmuch as she (Idā) is called maitrāvaruṇī (belonging to, or the daughter of, Mitra or Varuṇa; see XIV, 9, 4, 27), but refused, inasmuch as Mitra and Varuṇa have no share in the idā portions.

‘Those offerings (of) clarified butter, sour milk, whey, and curds, which thou madest in the waters, with them thou hast begotten me. I am the blessing (benediction): make use of me at the sacrifice! If thou wilt make use of me at the sacrifice, thou wilt become rich in offspring and cattle. Whatever blessing thou shalt invoke through me, all that shall be granted to thee!’ He accordingly made use of her (as the benediction) in the middle of the sacrifice; for what is intermediate between the fore-offerings and the after-offerings, is the middle of the sacrifice.

10. With her he went on worshipping and performing austerities, wishing for offspring. Through her he generated this race, which is this race of Manu; and whatever blessing he invoked through her, all that was granted to him.

11. Now this (daughter of Manu) is essentially the same as the *Idā*; and whosoever, knowing this, performs with (the) *Idā*¹, he propagates this race which Manu generated; and whatever blessing he invokes through it (or her), all that is granted to him.

12. It (the *idā*) consists of a fivefold cutting; for the *idā*, doubtless, means cattle, and cattle consist of five parts²: for this reason it (the *idā*) consists of a fivefold cutting.

13. When he (the Adhvaryu) has cut off the *idā* piece by piece³, and broken off the fore-part of the

¹ *Idāyā karati* has the double meaning ‘lives with *Idā* (the woman)’ and ‘practices sacrificial rites with the *idā*-ceremony.’

² See p. 16, note 1.

³ The technical expression used for this fivefold cutting of the *idā* is *sam-ava-do*, ‘to cut off completely (or together),’ or, according to the St. Petersburg Dict., ‘to divide and collect the

cake (for the sacrificer's portion), he puts it (the latter) down (on the barhis) before the dhruvā-spoon. Having then handed over the former (the idā) to the Hotri¹, he passes by him towards the south.

14. He anoints the Hotri here² (with clarified butter taken from the idā); and with it the Hotri anoints his lips, with the text, 'Of thee, offered by the lord of the mind, I eat for sap, for out-breathing!'

15. He then anoints the Hotri here²; and with it the Hotri anoints his lips, with the text, 'Of thee, offered by the lord of speech, I eat for strength, for in-breathing!'

16. At that time, namely, Manu became apprehensive (thinking), 'This (part) of my sacrifice—that is, this idā representing the domestic offering—is certainly the weakest: the Rakshas must not

pieces.' The five cuttings of the idā consist of the upastarāṇa, or underlayer of butter in the idāpātri; of two cuttings of each of the havis (or dishes of sacrificial food) from their southern and central parts respectively; and of two drippings (or bastings, abhighāraṇa) of butter, as in the case of the svishṭakṛt (see Kāty. III. 4, 6, and note on I, 7, 3, 20). According to some authorities, the idā consists of four cuttings only (cf. Hillebrandt, Neu- und Vollm. p. 122).

¹ According to Kāty. III, 4, 8, 9, he does so without quitting his hold of the idā; and he withdraws the latter from the Hotri, when he anoints him.

² A gesture here indicates the two middle joints (or, according to Harisvāmin, the intermediate links) of the Hotri's right fore-finger, viz. first the lower joint, and afterwards (par. 15) the upper joint; whereupon the Hotri applies the respective joints to his lips and smears the butter on them, cf. Āsv. S. I, 7, 1; Kāty. III, 4, 9; Hillebrandt, op. cit., p. 124. In Sat. Br. XII, 2, 4, 5 the fore-finger is called annāditamā, or the finger 'which eats most food'; cf. Weber, Praignāsūtra, p. 97.

injure my sacrifice at this place.' Accordingly by that (butter, taken from the *idā*, and smeared on his lips) he promoted it (the *idā* to a safe place, thinking), 'Before the Rakshas (come)! before the Rakshas (come)!' And in like manner this one also thereby promotes (the *idā* to a safe place, thinking), 'Before the Rakshas (come)! before the Rakshas (come)!' And though he does not (at present) eat (the *idā*) visibly, lest he should eat it before it is invoked, he nevertheless promotes it (to a safe place), when he smears the (butter) on his lips.

17. He now cuts off piece by piece (the *avāntaredā*) in (or, into) the *Hotri*'s hand. That which is cut up piece by piece he thus makes visibly enter ¹ the *Hotri*; and through that which has entered (or is cooked in) his own self, the *Hotri* invokes a blessing on the sacrificer: for this reason he cuts it off piece by piece in the *Hotri*'s hand ².

18. He now calls ³ (the *idā*) in a low voice. At that time, namely, Manu became apprehensive (thinking), 'This (part) of my sacrifice—that is, this *idā*

¹ *Enām hotari srayati*, literally 'he makes it enter into, remain in, the *Hotri*.' The author, however, here, as in I, 6, 4, 7, mixes up the verb *sri* with *srā*, 'to cook.' The reason for this see p. 177, note 4.

² This, according to *Āsv. Sr. I, 7, 3*, and comm., is effected in the following way: the *Hotri* takes the *idā* with his joined hands (*añjali*) and makes it lie in his left hand; whereupon the *Adhvaryu* cuts the (fivefold cut) *avāntaredā* from the *idā* into the *Hotri*'s right hand, the fingers of which point northwards; the five cuttings apparently consist of the 'underlayer' of butter, two pieces cut from the *idā*, and drippings of butter on them. Cf. Hillebrandt, *op. cit.*, p. 125.

³ During the invocation of the *idā* the *Hotri* holds the butter (as well as the *avāntaredā*), and the other priests (except the Brahman) and the sacrificer touch the *idā* (or, according to Karka, the *Hotri*). *Kāty. III, 4, 11, 12*.

representing the domestic offerings—is certainly the weakest: the Rakshas must not injure my sacrifice at this place.' He accordingly called it to him in a low voice (thinking), 'Before the Rakshas (come)! before the Rakshas (come)!' And in like manner this one (the Hotri) thereby calls it (thinking), 'Before the Rakshas (come)! before the Rakshas (come)!'

19. He calls thus (in a low voice)¹, 'Hither is called the Rathantara (chant), together with the earth: may the Rathantara, together with the earth, call me!² Hither is called the Vāmadevya (chant), together with the atmosphere: may the Vāmadevya, together with the atmosphere, call me! Hither is called the Brīhat (chant), together with the sky: may the Brīhat, together with the sky, call me!' In thus calling her (the Idā) to him, he calls to him both these (three) worlds and those chants³.

20. 'Hither are called the cows⁴, together with the

¹ There are considerable differences between the text of the Hotri's call to the idā as here given and that given in Āsv. S. I, 7, 7. The text of the Black Yagur-veda (Taitt. Br. III, 5, 8; Taitt. S. II, 6, 7; I, 7, 1), on the other hand, only differs from ours in one or two points. According to Āsv. S. I, 5, 28, the calls are to be uttered in the highest pitch (cf. Hillebrandt, Neu- und Vollmondsopfer, p. 126, note).

² Viz. the Hotri, as the representative of the officiating priests. Schol.

³ On the rathantara and brīhat sāmāns, see p. 196, note 2. The vāmadevya sāmān is Sāma-veda II, 32-34: kayā naḥ kṛta ā bhuvaḥ ūtī sadāvṛdhaḥ sakhā, 'with what favour will he assist us, the wonderful, ever-gladdening, friend,' &c. Cf. Haug, Ait. Br. II, 246.

⁴ For upahūtā gāvaḥ, the Taitt. reads upahūtā dhenuḥ, 'called hither is the cow.' Āsv. S. has upahūtā gāvaḥ saḥāsiraḥ—upahūtā dhenuḥ saharishabhā. Here and after the succeeding calls we have apparently to supply the inverse formulas, 'May

bull!—the *idā*, assuredly, means cattle: hence it is her he thereby calls in an indirect (mystic) way; (and in saying), 'together with the bull,' he calls her together with her mate.

21. 'Hither is called (*Idā*) by that (sacrifice) which is performed by the seven *Hotris*!—he thereby calls her by the Soma-sacrifice performed by the seven *Hotris*¹.

22. 'Hither is called *Idā*, the conquering!—he thereby calls her directly. 'Conquering' he says, because she overcomes evil, and for that reason he calls her 'the conquering.'

23. 'Hither is called the friend, the food²!—the friend, the food, doubtless, means breath: hence he thereby calls hither the breath. 'Hither is called the *Hek*³!—he thereby calls hither the (body of *idā*), he thereby calls hither the entire (*idā*).

24. He now intones (in a loud voice): '*Idā* is called hither! Hither (thither) is called *Idā*! May *Idā* also call us to her!' In saying, '*Idā* is called

the cows together with the bull call us,' &c., as in *Taitt. Br.*, they being likewise omitted in *Taitt. S. II, 6, 7*.

¹ The seven *Hotris* comprise the *Hotri* with his assistants, the *Maitravaruna* (or *Prasāstri*), and *Akṣāvāka*; and the chief assistants of the Brahman, viz. the *Brāhmanākkṛtsin*, *Āgnīdhra*, *Potri*, and *Neshtri*. The *Grāvastut*, another assistant of the *Hotri*, is often added as eighth *Hotri*. Cf. Haug, *Ait. Br. II*, p. 147. Instead of *upahūtā* *saptahotrā* in our text, the *Kāṇva* text and the Black *Yagur-veda* read *upahūtāḥ* *saptahotrāḥ*, 'called hither are the seven *Hotriships*,' *Āsval. Sr. upahūtā* *divyā* *sapta hotārah*, 'called hither are the seven divine *Hotris*.'

² *Bhaksha*, 'the eating, enjoying'; perhaps the author here takes it in the sense of 'feeder,' in that of 'eater, quaffer'; *Sāyana*, on *Taitt. S. II, 6, 7, 3*, takes it as Soma-drink (*somapiṭha*).

³ Apparently, like *hikkā* (verb *hikk*), imitative of the internal sound of the hiccough. The *Kāṇva* MS. has *harik* instead; and the Black *Yagur* ho, which it identifies with the self (*ātman*).

hither,' he, in a direct way, calls her, who is thereby called hither, as being what she really was: a cow; assuredly, she was, and a cow is four-footed; and therefore he calls her four times¹.

25. But in calling her four times, he calls her in different ways, in order to avoid repetition (of sacrificial performance); for, if he were to call, 'Idā is called hither! Idā is called hither!' or 'Hither is called Idā! hither is called Idā!' he would indeed commit the (fault of) repetition. By saying, 'Idā is called hither!' he calls her hitherwards; and by 'Hither (or thither, lit. called to somebody) is called Idā!' he calls her thitherwards. By saying, 'May Idā also call us to her,' he does not omit himself, and, besides, it (the formula) is changed. By (the second), 'Idā is called hither!' he again calls her hitherwards; so that he thereby (and by the second, 'Hither is called Idā,' again) calls her hitherwards and thitherwards.

26. 'Manu's daughter, the butter-pathed (ghṛīta-paṭi);—Manu, indeed, begat her of old: for this reason he says, 'Manu's daughter.' 'The butter-pathed' he says, because butter gathered in her foot-print: therefore he calls her 'butter-pathed.'

27. And further, 'She who belongs to Mitra and Varuṇa';—this 'Maitrāvaruṇa nature' (is hers), because she met Mitra and Varuṇa².—'She, the god-fashioned one, is called hither as the Brahman³;'

¹ After 'May Idā also call us to her,' he repeats 'Idā is called hither! Called hither (thither) is Idā!'

² See I, 8, 1, 7-8, with note 3.

³ Brahṁā devakṛitopahūtā; the Black Yagur-veda and Āśval. Śr. read 'brahma devakṛitam upahūtam.' Cf. Taitt. S. I, 7, 1, 5, brahma vai devānām br̥haspatiś.

for she, the god-fashioned one, is indeed called hither as their Brahman.—‘Hither are called the divine Adhvaryus, called hither the human!’—he thereby calls both the divine Adhvaryus and those that are human: the divine Adhvaryus indeed are the calves¹ (vatsāk), and what others there are, are the human ones.

28. ‘—They who are to prosper this sacrifice, they who are to prosper the lord of sacrifice.’ Those Brāhmaṇas, who have studied and teach the Veda, assuredly prosper the sacrifice, since they spread (perform) and produce it: these he thereby propitiates. And the calves also assuredly make the lord of sacrifice prosper; for the lord of sacrifice who possesses abundance of them, does indeed prosper; for this reason he says, ‘They who are to prosper the lord of sacrifice.’

29. ‘Hither are called the primeval, law-abiding, divine (fem.) heaven and earth, whose sons are gods.’ He thereby calls to him those two, heaven and earth, within which all this (universe) is embraced.—‘Hither is called this sacrificer:’ thereby he calls the sacrificer to him. Why he does not mention his name on this occasion, is that this is a mysterious benediction on the iṛā. Were he, on the contrary, to mention the name, he would do what is human, and the human certainly is inauspicious at the sacrifice: hence he does not mention

¹ ? The commentator remarks: ‘He says, The divine Adhvaryus assuredly are the calves,’ because, in his opinion, the sânnāyā constitutes the sacrificial food which contains the Adhvaryus (havis—adhvaryuvat). In I, 1, 2, 17 we met with the Arvins as the two divine Adhvaryus.

the name, lest he should do what is inauspicious at the sacrifice¹.

30. 'Hither (he is) called for future worship of the gods;' he thereby in a mysterious manner invokes the blessing of life on this (sacrificer); for as he sacrificed heretofore, so, while living, he will sacrifice hereafter.

31. Moreover, he thereby in a mysterious manner invokes the blessing of offspring for him; for whosoever has offspring,—while he, on his part, goes to yonder world, his offspring sacrifice in this world: hence future worship of the gods means offspring.

32. Moreover, he thereby in a mysterious manner invokes the blessing of cattle for him; for whosoever has cattle, will sacrifice hereafter, as he has sacrificed heretofore.

33. 'Hither (he is) called for more abundant havis-offering;' he thereby in a mysterious manner invokes the blessing of life on him; for as he has sacrificed heretofore, so while living will he hereafter again and again make offerings.

34. Moreover, he thereby in a mysterious manner invokes the blessing of offspring for this (sacrificer); for whosoever possesses offspring, though he, of his own self, be one only, yet that offering is made tenfold by his offspring: hence offspring means more abundant offering.

35. Moreover, he thereby in a mysterious manner invokes the blessing of cattle for him; for whosoever possesses cattle, will make offering again and again, as he has sacrificed heretofore.

36. This then is the benediction (implied in these formulas), 'May I live, may I have offspring, may

¹ With this and the following paragraphs cf. I, 9, 1, 12 seq.

I obtain prosperity!' Now in praying for the blessing of cattle, he prays for prosperity; for cattle means prosperity: hence through these two benedictions everything is obtained; and therefore these two benedictions are here pronounced.

37. [He continues to call], 'Hither (he is) called to this (sacrifice, for the prayer¹), "May the gods graciously accept this my offering (havis)!"' he thereby inyokes complete success on the sacrifice; for what offering the gods graciously accept, by that one gains great things: for this reason he says, 'may they graciously accept².'

38. They (the priests and sacrificer) eat it (the *idā*), and do not offer it up in the fire; for assuredly the *idā* means cattle: hence they do not offer it in the fire, lest they should throw the cattle into the fire.

39. In the vital airs rather it is offered, partly in the *Hotri*, partly in the *Sacrificer*, partly in the *Adhvaryu*. Now, when he has broken off the forepart of the (*Agni*) cake, he places it before the *dhruvā*-spoon. But the *dhruvā* represents the sacrificer: hence this will be eaten by the sacrificer. And if he does not now visibly eat it, lest he should eat before the sacrifice is completed, it nevertheless is now (symbolically) eaten by him. All of them

¹ See Sāyana's comm. on Taitt. S. II, 6, 7, 6.

² Before this formula the Black Yagur-veda inserts, 'Called (he is) to the heavenly abode!' and after it as the final formula, 'All that is dear to him (the sacrificer) is called! Called (he is) of (? by) everything dear that is called!' Taitt. Br. III, 5, 9, 3. For the modifications of the concluding mantras in the case of the *idā* being invoked for the mistress of the house (Sat. Br. I, 9, 2, 5), see Taitt. Br. III, 5, 13.

eat (of the *idā*): 'May it be offered for me in all!' thus (he thinks). Five eat of it,—the *idā* indeed means cattle, and cattle are fivefold: hence five eat of it.

40. Now when he (the *Hotri*) intones (in a loud voice)¹, he (the *Adhvaryu*) divides the (*Agni*) cake into four parts, and lays it on the *barhis* (the sacrificial grass covering the altar). Here it lies in place of the fathers; for there are four intermediate quarters, and the intermediate quarters represent the fathers: for this reason he divides the cake into four parts, and lays it on the *barhis*².

¹ Viz. '*Idā* is called hither!' see par. 24. According to *Kāty.* III, 4, 12, all (the other priests and the sacrificer, probably with the exception of the *Brahman*) touch the *idā* (or, according to *Karka*, they touch the *Hotri* who holds the *idā*) whilst the invocation of the *idā* takes place. The quartering of the cake, according to ib. 13, is done with the text, 'Make swell, O ruddy one! milk me life; milk me offspring; milk me cattle; milk me *brahmahood*; milk me *kshatriyahood*; milk me people! Fatten through the progeny, through the cattle of him who hates us, whom we hate!'

² According to *Kāty.* III, 4, 14, the *Adhvaryu* puts the four parts on the *barhis* and assigns one to each priest. But according to the commentary and to other *Sūtras*, it is the sacrificer who allocates the portions by laying them down so as to correspond with the four intermediate regions, commencing in the south-east (or *Agni's*) region, and saying, 'This for the *Brahman*,' 'This for the *Hotri*,' 'This for the *Adhvaryu*,' 'This for the *Agnīdh*.' The sacrificer then shifts his *Brāhmanical* cord from the right to the left shoulder, and while touching the four portions, and looking towards the south (the region of the fathers), murmurs (*Vāg. S.* II, 31), 'Here, O fathers, regale yourselves! Like bulls come hither (*āvriśhāyadhvam*) each to his own share!' He then quits his hold of the portions, and murmurs, 'The fathers have regaled themselves: like bulls they came each to his own share!' See *Sat. Br.* II, 4, 2, 20 seq.; *Vāg. S.* p. 57. [The *Kāṇva* text of the *Brāhmaṇa* does not mention the formulas here any more than does our author.] He then shifts the cord back on his left shoulder, touches water, and hands the portions to the priests for them to eat. *Kāty.* III, 4, 16-18.

41. And when he recites, 'Hither are called heaven and earth,' he hands it (the *śaḍavatta*¹) to the Āgnīdhra. The Āgnīdhra eats (the two pieces), with the respective texts (*Vāg. S. II, 10-11*), 'Hither is called mother Earth; may mother Earth call me to her! Agni (am I) by virtue of my Āgnīdhra-ship. Hail!' 'Hither is called father Heaven; may father Heaven call me to him! Agni (am I) by virtue of my Āgnīdhra-ship. Hail!' He, the Āgnīdhra, truly is the representative of heaven and earth, and therefore he eats (the *śaḍavatta*) in this manner.

42. And when (the *Hotri*) pronounces the benediction², then (the sacrificer) mutters (*Vāg. S. II, 10 a*), 'May Indra bestow on me that power of his! may abundant riches accrue to us! may there be blessings for us! may there be true blessings for us!' For indeed this is a receiving of blessings: hence what blessings the priests on this occasion invoke on him, those he thereby receives and makes his own.

43. [On the conclusion of the invocation and the eating³] they cleanse themselves (with water poured)

¹ Kāty. Sr. III, 4, 19. There is some uncertainty as to the particular time when the Adhvaryu cuts the *śaḍavatta*; cf. Hillebrandt, p. 123. Mahīdhara on *Vāg. S. II, 10* remarks: When the *Hotri* pronounces the call to heaven and earth, then he (the Adhvaryu), having put one piece of each of the two cakes in (the two bowls of) the *Śaḍavatta* (vessel), gives it to the Āgnīdhra; and the latter eats it with the formulas 'Hither is called (the mother Earth)', &c. The 'six cuttings' of the *Śaḍavatta* consist of a piece of the Agni cake with an 'underlayer' and a dripping of butter for each of the two bowls of the *Śaḍavatta* dish.

² That is, the formula 'Hither is called the sacrificer,' see par. 29.

³ The priests eat first their quarter of the cake and then, with the sacrificer, their share of the *idā*. The *Hotri* eats also the *avāntareḍā*, with the text (*Āsv. S. I, 7, 8*), 'O *Idā*, accept graciously our share!' &c.

through the two strainers (pavitra, 'purifier'). For they have now performed the *idā*, which represents the domestic offerings; and thinking, 'Purified by the purifiers we will now perform what part of the sacrifice remains still unaccomplished,' they cleanse themselves with the strainers.

44. He (the Adhvaryu) then throws the two strainers on the *prastara*¹. The *prastara*, doubtless, represents the sacrificer, and the two strainers the out-breathing and in-breathing: hence he thereby invokes out-breathing and in-breathing on the sacrificer; and for this reason he throws the strainers on the *prastara*.

SECOND BRĀHMAṆA.

THE AFTER-OFFERINGS (ANUYĀGAS).²

1. They now remove two burning *samidhs* (from the *Āhavanīya* fire). That fire, indeed, is now worn out, (and therefore useless) for the after-offerings, since it has been carrying the sacrifice to the gods: 'Let us perform the after-offerings in such (fire) as is not out-worn!' thus they think, and for this reason they remove those two burning *samidhs* (from the fire).

2. Thereupon they again move them close (to the fire). Thereby they cause the fire to increase again and to be no longer out-worn: 'Let us perform what part of the sacrifice remains still unaccomplished in such (fire) as is not out-worn!' so think they, and for this reason they again move them close (to the fire).

3. He (the *Āgnidhra*) then puts on the kindling-

¹ See I, 3. 2. 5 seq. The *Kāṇva* text omits this paragraph.

stick (which was reserved at the time of kindling)¹. He thereby kindles that (fire): 'Let us perform in the well-kindled (fire) what part of the sacrifice remains unaccomplished!' thus he thinks, and for this reason he puts on the samidh.

4. The Hotṛi consecrates it (the kindling-stick) with the formula (Vāg. S. II, 14 a), 'This, O Agni is thy kindler; mayest thou grow and increase by it; and may we also grow and increase!' for even as before he recited over the fire when it was being kindled, so also now he recites. This is the Hotṛi's duty; but the sacrificer himself may pronounce the consecratory formula, if he think that the Hotṛi does not know it².

5. He (the Āgnīdhra) then sweeps (the fire) together. He thereby harnesses it: 'Thus harnessed, may it convey (to the gods) what part of the sacrifice still remains unaccomplished!' thus he thinks, and for this reason he sweeps it together. He sweeps

¹ See I, 4, 1, 38. The Adhvaryu takes the fresh stick (samidh), asks the permission of the Brahman to step forward for the after-offerings; and orders the Āgnīdhra to put the stick on, and trim, the fire. Whilst the Brahman mutters his formula (Vāg. S. II, 12-13), 'This thy sacrifice, O divine Savitṛ, they proclaimed to Brīhaspati, the Brahman,' &c. (see I, 7, 4, 21), the Āgnīdhra executes the Adhvaryu's orders. Kāty. III, 5, 1; II, 2, 21.

² That is to say (as would appear), if the Hotṛi follows a school which does not recognise this particular ceremony as belonging to the Hotṛi's ritual. Thus the Āśval. Śr. makes no mention of it, and hence a Hotṛi belonging to the Sākala or Bāṣkala sākḥās would not undertake the recitation of this consecratory formula. The Sāṅkhāy. Śr., on the other hand, does prescribe it (cf. Hillebrandt, Neu- und Vollm. p. 135, note 4), and a Hotṛi of the Kaushītaki-sākḥā would accordingly claim it as his privilege or duty to consecrate the samidh. For a somewhat different view, cf. Weber, Ind. Stud. X, 155; V, 408.

once (with the band of the fire-wood along each of the three enclosing-sticks); for thrice each time they swept for the gods on the former occasion¹: 'Lest we should do it in the same way as for the gods;' thus he thinks, and accordingly he sweeps once each time in order to avoid repetition (of sacrificial performance). Repetition he would undoubtedly commit, if he were to sweep thrice the first time and thrice the second: for this reason he sweeps once (along each stick).

6. He sweeps (each time), with the formula (Vāg. S. II, 14 b), 'O Agni, food-gainer, I cleanse thee, the food-gainer, who hast hastened to the food!' On the former occasion he said, 'thee who art about to hasten (to the food),' for on that occasion he was indeed about to hasten thither; now, however, he says, who hast hastened (to the food),' for now he has indeed hastened thither: for this reason he says 'thee who hast hastened.'

7. He now makes the after-offerings. Whatever gods he invokes by means of this sacrifice, and for whichever of them this sacrifice is performed, to all offering has now been made; and to all those to whom offering has been made, he now, after that, offers once more: hence the name 'after-offerings.'

8. Now this is why he makes the after-offerings. The after-offerings assuredly are the metres², and the metres are the cattle of the gods: hence as cattle, when harnessed, here convey (burdens) for men, so in like manner the metres, being harnessed,

¹ See I, 4, 4, 14. While, on the former occasion, the Âgnīdhra in sweeping moved round the fire, on the present occasion he remains standing on the north side of it. Kāty. III, 5, 4.

² See I, 3, 2, 8, 9.

convey the sacrifice to the gods. Now the occasion on which the metres gratified the gods, and for which the gods, in their turn, then gratified the metres, was when before this the metres, on being harnessed, conveyed the sacrifice to the gods and thereby gratified them.

9. And this again is why he makes the after-offerings. The after-offerings are the metres: hence he thereby gratifies the metres, and for this reason also he makes the after-offerings. By whatever team, therefore, he has himself drawn, that (team) he would thereby unyoke, saying, 'Give it to drink, feed it well!' and thus his team is propitiated.

10. In the first place he makes offering to the Barhis (sacrificial-grass covering). Though the smallest metre, the gāyatrī is yoked first of the metres¹; and this on account of its strength, since, having become a falcon, it carried off the Soma from heaven². They consider it unseemly, however, that the gāyatrī, being the smallest metre, should be yoked first of the metres; and the gods accordingly arranged the metres here, at the after-offerings, so as it ought to be, 'lest there should be a confusion.'

11. In the first place, then, he offers to the Barhis. The Barhis indeed is this world; the Barhis is the plants: hence he thereby bestows plants on this world, and these plants are firmly rooted in this world. Now this entire universe (gagat) is contained in this (metre), and therefore the latter is (called) gagatī: this is why they have placed the gagatī metre first.

12. In the second place he offers to Narāsaṃsa.

¹ See, for instance, I, 3, 4, 6.

² For this myth, see I, 7, 1, 1.

Now, *Narāsaṃsa* is the air, *Man* (*nara*), namely, means (human) being; and these same beings move about in the air speaking aloud. And when he (man) speaks, they say 'he chants (*sams*);' and therefore *Narāsaṃsa* is the air¹. But the *trishūbh* also is the air², and for this reason they have placed the *trishūbh* second.

13. Then *Agni* is the last (to whom offering is made). *Agni* assuredly is the *gāyatrī*; and therefore they placed the *gāyatrī* last. In this way they established the metres in complete and proper order; and hence no confusion here takes place.

14. The *Adhvaryu*³ says (to the *Hotrī*), 'Pronounce the offering-prayer (*yāgyā*) to the gods!' and the *Hotrī* (begins his prayer) at all (the three offerings) with 'The divine . . .' For the metres assuredly are the gods of the gods, since they are their cattle, and cattle means a home, and a home is a safe resting-place. The after-offerings, doubtless, are the metres: therefore the *Adhvaryu* says, 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to the gods!' and at all of them the *Hotrī* begins with 'The divine . . .'⁴

¹ That is, because man (*nara*) speaks, chants, (*samsati*) in it.

² Either because both are in the middle (viz. the *trishūbh* of the three chief metres, and the air between heaven and earth), or because they consist of eleven parts (viz. the *trishūbh* of eleven syllables, and the air having ten directions, *Sat. Br. VI, 2, 2, 34; VIII, 4; 2, 13*, with itself as the eleventh), or because they are both connected with *Rudra*. *Comm.*

³ As on previous occasions, the *Adhvaryu* first calls on the *Āgnīdhra*, 'Bid (*Agni*) hear (o *sṛāvaya*)!' and the latter responds by 'Yea, may (he) hear (*astu srausha*)!' This is repeated before each of the two other after-offerings. See I, 5, 2, 16.

⁴ The drift of the argument of this paragraph is not quite clear to me. The after-offerings have for their deities the metres, and hence the latter are apparently called the deities of the deities, that is, of the

15. ['The divine Barhis (or Narāsaṃsa) may accept (the offering)] for abundant obtainment of abundant gift! [Vaushat!]' For a deity only the *vashat*-call is pronounced, to a deity only offering is made; but here at the after-offerings there is no (proper) deity¹. When he says 'The divine Barhis,' in this there is neither Agni, nor Indra, nor Soma; when he says 'The divine Narāsaṃsa,' neither is there in this anything whatever (of the nature of a god); and what Agni there is (in the third offering-prayer), he indeed is virtually the *gāyatrī*.

16. The reason, then, why he offers with the formula 'for abundant obtainment of abundant gift'²,

recipients of the offerings. The difference between the fore-offerings and after-offerings in regard to the offering-formula lies in this, that at the first fore-offering the Adhvaryu, in calling on the Hotri, names the particular object of the offering, viz. 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to the *samidhs*!' while for the remaining *prayāgas* he merely calls 'Pronounce the offering-prayer!' and the Hotri begins all his prayers (after the introductory *āgur*-formula) with the name of the respective recipient of the oblation. At the after-offering, on the other hand, the Adhvaryu calls each time, 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to the gods' (or, according to Kāty. III, 5, 8, optionally without 'to the gods,' the second and third times), and the Hotri's prayers begin with 'The divine (Barhis, or Narāsaṃsa, or Agni *Svish/akrit*) . . . ' See I, 5, 3, 8 seq.

¹ Agni *Svish/akrit*, the recipient of the third after-offering, is, as we saw, regarded as representing the *gāyatrī* metre.

² *Vasuvane vasudheyasya* (*vetu*); perhaps better, as Śāyana, on Taitt. S. II, 6, 9, takes it, 'May he partake of the gift of wealth for the (sacrificer's) obtainment of wealth.' 'For the wealth-desirer of wealth-gift' = 'for the desirer of wealth-possession,' St. Petersburg Dict. Our author apparently takes it in the sense of 'for the obtainer of wealth and for the receiver of wealth;' and Mahidhara (*Vāg. S.* XXII, 48; XXVIII, 12) interprets it 'for the giving (or obtainment) of wealth and for the depositing of treasure (i. e. for burying a treasure in the sacrificer's house!)' Harisvāmin takes *vasuvane* as vocative; but the accent is against his view.

is that Agni is the obtainer of wealth, and Indra is the recipient of wealth; and Indra and Agni are indeed the (joint) divinity of the metres: and in this way it is for a deity that the *vasha* is pronounced, and to a deity that the offering is made.

17. After he has made the last after-offering, he pours together (the butter which remains in the *upabhr̥it* with that which attaches to the *guhû*), and offers it (by pouring it from the *guhû* in a line from west to east into the fire). For doubtless these are the after-offerings to the fore-offerings: hence even as there, at the fore-offerings¹, he makes the spiteful enemy pay tribute to the sacrificer, and the one to be consumed pay tribute to the consumer; so now he makes him pay tribute at the after-offerings.

THIRD BRĀHMANA.

SŪKTAVĀKA, SAMYUVĀKA, AND OFFERING OF REMAINS².

1. He now separates the two spoons (*guhû* and *upabhr̥it*), with the text (*Vāg. S. II, 15 a*), 'May I be victorious after the victory of Agni and Soma! with the impetus of the (sacrificial) food I urge myself on.' With his right hand he moves the *guhû* eastwards (from its usual place on the *prastara*-bunch upon the altar), with the text (*ib. b*), 'May Agni and Soma drive him away who hates us, and whom

¹ See I, 5, 3, 18.

² The whole of the third Brāhmaṇa is taken up with the duties of the *Adhvaryu* and *Agnidhra* at the three ceremonies: paragraphs 1-19 with those at the *sūktavāka*; pars. 20-22 with those at the *samyuvāka*; and pars. 23-27 with those at the offering of the remains (*samsrava*) of butter. The duties of the *Hotri* are then detailed in the fourth Brāhmaṇa.

we hate! with the impetus of the (sacrificial) food I drive him away.' With his left hand he moves the upabhr̥it westwards (from its place on the barhis to outside the altar):—Thus, if the sacrificer himself (does it)¹.

2. And if the Adhvaryu (does it, he says), 'May this sacrificer be victorious after the victory of Agni and Soma! with the impetus of the food I urge him on;' and, 'May Agni and Soma drive him away whom this sacrificer hates, and who hates him! with the impetus of the food I drive him away.' Thus he does at the full-moon sacrifice, because the full-moon offering belongs to Agni and Soma.

3. At the new-moon sacrifice, on the other hand, he uses the texts (ib. c, d), 'May I be victorious after the victory of Indra and Agni²! with the impetus of the food I urge myself on;' and, 'May Indra and Agni drive him away who hates us, and whom we hate! with the impetus of the food I drive him away:—Thus, if the sacrificer himself does it.

4. And if the Adhvaryu (does it, he says), 'May this sacrificer be victorious after the victory of Indra and Agni! with the impetus of the food I urge him on;' and, 'May Indra and Agni drive him away whom this sacrificer hates, and who hates him! with the impetus of the food I drive him away.' Thus he says at the new-moon sacrifice, because the new-

¹ In Taitt. Br. III, 3, 9 a different symbolical explanation is given of the separation of the spoons: it is said there that by shifting the guhū eastwards, he drives away the enemies that have been born; and by shifting the upabhr̥it towards the west, he drives away those that will be born hereafter; and the sacrificer then stands firmly established in this world.

² See p. 162, note 3.

moon offering belongs to Indra and Agni. And in this manner he separates (the spoons) according to the respective deities. This is why he thus separates them :

5. Behind the *guhū* stands the sacrificer, and behind the *upabhrīt* stands he who means evil to him: hereby, then, he brings the sacrificer forward to the front (or east), and the one who means evil him he drives back (or towards the west). Behind the *guhū* stands the eater (enjoyer), and behind the *upabhrīt* the one to be eaten (enjoyed): thus he now brings the eater (enjoyer) to the front, and the one to be eaten (enjoyed) he drives back.

6. Thus the separation (of the eater and the eaten) is effected in one and the same act; and hence from one and the same man spring both the enjoyer (the husband), and the one to be enjoyed (the wife): for now kinsfolk (*gātyāḥ*) live sporting and rejoicing together, saying, 'In the fourth (or) third man (i.e. generation) we unite¹.' And this is so in accordance with that (separation of the spoons).

¹ This passage is of considerable importance, as showing that the prohibition of intermarriage between near blood-relations,—so rigidly enforced in later times, and already formulated in passages such as Āpast. Dharm. II, 5, 15, 16, 'One must not give one's daughter to a man belonging to the ~~same gotra~~. Nor to one related (within six degrees) on the mother's (or father's) side.' Gobh. III, 4, 3-5, 'One must take for one's wife one who is not of the ~~same gotra~~, or one who is not *sapinda* to one's mother,'—was not as yet firmly established in our author's time. Harisvāmin remarks on our text, that the Kāṇvas allow intermarriage in such cases from the third generation—(the Kāṇva text of the Śat. Br. reads, 'In the third man we unite, in the fourth man we unite')—and the Saurāśtras from the fourth generation; and that the Dākshinātyas allow marriage with daughters of the mother's brother,

7. Thereupon (the Adhvaryu) anoints the enclosing-sticks (paridhi) with (the butter attaching to) the *guhū*. With that (spoon) with which he has made offering to the gods, with which he has concluded the sacrifice, he thus gratifies the enclosing-sticks: this is why he anoints them with the *guhū*.

8. He anoints them (successively)¹, with the texts (Vāg. S. II, 16 a-c), 'For the Vasus thee!' 'For the Rudras thee!' 'For the Ādityas thee!' For these—to wit, the Vasus, Rudras, and Ādityas—are three (classes of) gods: 'for them (I anoint) thee,' he thereby says.

9. Thereupon, taking hold of the (middle) enclosing-stick, he calls (on the Āgnīdhra) to bid (them) listen²: thus (i. e. by touching the paridhi) it is for the enclosing-sticks that he calls for the *sraushat*. The *sraushat*-call assuredly is the sacrifice: hence he thereby expressly gladdens the enclosing-sticks by means of the sacrifice: for this reason he calls for the *sraushat*, while taking hold of the enclosing-stick.

10. Having called for the *sraushat* (and been responded to by the Āgnīdhra), he thus addresses (the Hotṛi), 'The divine Hotṛis³ are summoned—', the divine Hotṛis, namely, are (represented by) these

and with sons of the father's sister See Weber, Ind. Stud. X, p. 75; Max Müller, History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature, p. 387; Bühler, Sacred Laws of the Āryas, I, p. 126.

¹ Viz. in the order in which they were laid around, i. e. first the middle one, then the southern, and lastly the northern one. Kāty. III, 5, 24.

² The Adhvaryu calls on the Āgnīdhra with 'Make listen (o *srā-vaya*); and the latter responds with 'Yea, may (one) listen! (*astu sraushat*). See I, 5, 2, 18 seq.

³ Śāyana on Taitt. S. I, 1, 13 explains this by 'Impelled are the divine Hotṛis by the highest Lord (*paramesvara*).'

enclosing-sticks, since these are Agnis (fires)¹. When he says, 'the divine Hotṛis are summoned (ishita),' he means to say, 'the divine Hotṛis are wished for (ish/a).' [He continues], '—for the proclamation of success²,'—for on this the gods themselves are indeed intent, to wit, that they should speak what is favourable (conducive to success, sādhu), that they should do what is favourable: hence he says 'for the proclamation of success.'— 'The human one is called upon for the song of praise (sūktavāka)³!' by these words he urges on this human Hotṛi to singing praises.

11. He now takes the prastara-bunch⁴. The prastara assuredly is the sacrificer: hence whither-soever his sacrifice went, thither he thereby wishes him good-speed⁵! Now it is to the world of the gods that his sacrifice went; and to the world of the gods accordingly he thereby takes the sacrificer.

¹ On the Agnis officiating as Hotṛi, I. 2. 3. 1.

² Thus Śāyana explains bhadravākya on Taitt. S. I. 1. 13 (vol. i. p. 233). For the Hotṛi's formula itself, see Sat. Br. I. 9. 1. 4.

³ According to Kāty. III. 6. 1, and the other Sūtras, the Adhvaryu adds here sūktā brūhi, 'recite the praises (hymns)!' which Śāyana on Taitt. Br. III. 6. 15 combines with the preceding sūktavākya, and explains thus: 'hotā tvam sūktasya vāko vakanam yasya so 'yam devaḥ sūktavākaḥ (? i.e. Agni, cf. Sat. Br. I. 9. 1. 4) tasmai sūktavākya devāya sūktā brūhi, idam dyāvaprithivim anuvākoktāni sobhanāni vakanāni kathaya (!)'; but differently on Taitt. S. I. 1. 13, 'idam dyāvaprithivī bhadram abhūd (Taitt. Br. III. 5. 10) ityādy-anuvākāḥ sūktam, tasya vāko vakanam, tadartham mānusho hotā preshitaḥ; ato hetoḥ, he hotas tat sūktam brūhi.'

⁴ The two stalks, called vidhrīti (separation), separating the prastara-bunch from the barhis or grass-covering of the altar (cf. I. 3. 4. 10), he puts back in the place whence they were taken. Kāty. III. 6. 4.

⁵ Svagā, ? literally 'self-go,' i.e. 'success to him!'

12. Should he desire rain, let him take up (the *prastara*), with this text (*Vāg. S. II, 16 d*), 'Be ye in harmony with each other, O heaven and earth!' for when heaven and earth are in harmony with each other, then indeed it rains¹: for this reason he says, 'be ye in harmony with each other, O heaven and earth!'—'May *Mitra* and *Varuṇa* favour thee with rain!' whereby he says, 'may he who rules over the rain favour thee with rain!' Now he that rules over the rain is undoubtedly that blowing one (*Vāyu*, the wind); and he, it is true, blows as one only; but, on entering into man, he becomes a forward and a backward moving one; and they are these two, the out-breathing and the in-breathing. And *Mitra* and *Varuṇa* assuredly are the out-breathing and in-breathing; and hence he says by that (prayer), 'may he who rules over the rain favour thee with rain!' Let him then take it up, with this text, for then the rain will at all times be propitious. He anoints it (the *prastara*): thereby he makes him (the sacrificer) an oblation, thinking, 'May he, as an oblation, go to the world of the gods!'

13. He anoints the top (of the *prastara* with the butter) in the *guhû*, the middle part (with that) in the *upabhṛît*, and the lower end (with that) in the *dhruvâ*; for the *guhû* is, as it were, the top, the *upabhṛît* the middle, and the *dhruvâ* the root.

¹ Cf. *Ait. Âr. III, 1, 2, 2-4* (Max Müller, *Up. I, p. 249*): 'The first half (of a *samhitâ* or combination of final and initial letters) is the earth, the second half heaven, their uniting the rain, the uniter *Parganya*. And so it is when he (*Parganya*) rains thus strongly, without ceasing, day and night; then they say also (in ordinary language), "Heaven and earth have come together." See also *Sat. Br. I, 7, 2, 16*.

14. He anoints (each time), with the text (Vāg. S. II, 16 e), 'May (the gods) eat, licking the anointed bird!'. He thereby causes it (the *prastara* and hence symbolically the sacrificer) to be a bird and fly up from this world of men to the world of the gods. He then draws it twice (towards the *Ahavanīya*) alow (near the ground). The reason why he must draw it alow (is this): the *prastara* is the sacrificer; and in this way he does not remove him from this firm footing of his; and he, moreover, secures rain for this locality.

15. He draws it along, with the text (Vāg. S. II, 16 f), 'Go to the spotted (mares) of the Maruts!'. He means to say, 'Go to the world of the gods,' when he says, 'Go to the spotted (mares) of the Maruts!'.—'Having become a spotted cow, go to the sky and thence bring us rain hither!' The spotted cow, doubtless, is this (earth): whatever rooted and rootless food is here on this (earth), by that this (earth) is a spotted cow. 'Having become

¹ *Vyantu vayo 'ktam rihānāh*. Mahābhāra interprets it, 'May the birds (i.e. the metres) go (to heaven,—taking and) licking the anointed (*prastara*).'. The *Kāṇvas* read, '*vyantu vayo ripto rihānāh*.' The Black Yagus (Taitt. S. I, 1, 13, 1) has '*aktam rihānā vyantu vayah, pragām yonim mā nirmṛiksham, āpyāyantām āpa oshadhayah*,' which Sāyaṇ explains by 'May the birds having licked the anointed (top) go their several ways,' &c.; and the Taitt. Br. III, 3, 9, 3 remarks to *vyantu vayah*, 'Having made him birds, he makes him go to the heavenly world.' According to Sāyaṇa, the three above formulas are by *Āpastamba* referred to the three acts of anointing, whereas the others, he says, divide the first formula into two, and use the second one (*pragām*, &c.) while the lower part of the *prastara* is anointed. See, however, Hillebrandt, *Neu- und Vollm.* p. 142, note 3.

² The Black Yagus (Taitt. S. I, 1, 13) has, 'The spotted (mares) of the Maruts are ve (O plants)!'

this (earth), go thou to the sky!' this is what he thereby says. 'Thence bring us rain hither!' From rain certainly spring vigour, sap, well-being : for this reason he says, 'thence bring us rain hither!'

16. He then takes a single stalk from it. The prastara-bunch is the sacrificer; and therefore, if he were to throw the whole prastara (at once) into the fire, the sacrificer would speedily go to yonder world. In this way, however, the sacrificer will live long; and what the full measure of human life here on earth is, for that he takes this (single stalk) therefrom.

17. Having held (the prastara) for a moment, he throws it into the fire: whither his (the sacrificer's) one (part of) self (or, body)¹ went, thither he thereby causes it to go². But were he not to throw it into the fire, he would cut off the sacrificer from (yonder) world. In this way, however, he does not cut off the sacrificer from (yonder) world.

18. He throws it (with its top) to the east, for the east is the region of the gods; or to the north, for the north is the region of man. With the fingers only they should smooth it down, not with pieces of wood; since it is with sticks that they pierce any other corpse. Fearing, lest they should treat it in the same way as any other corpse, they should smooth it down with the fingers only, not with pieces of wood. When the Hotri recites the song of praise,—

19. The Āgnīdhra says (to the Adhvaryu), 'Throw

¹ The itara ātmā in para. 17 and 19 have to be taken comparatively.

² That is to say, he makes sure that the sacrificer has really obtained the object for which the sacrifice was undertaken,—the right to go to the heavenly world after his death.

(the single stalk) after (the prastara)! — 'whither his (the sacrificer's) other self went, thither make it now go,' this is what he thereby says. [The Adhvaryu] having thrown it silently after, touches himself¹, with the text (Vāg. S. II, 16 f): 'Guardian of the eye art thou, O Agni; guard mine eye!' In this way also he does not throw himself into the fire after (the prastara or sacrificer).

20. He (the Āgnidhra) then says² (to the Adhvaryu), 'Discourse together!'—he thereby says, 'Make him (the sacrificer) discourse with the gods.' [The Adhvaryu asks], 'Has he gone (to the gods), Āgnidh?' whereby he says, 'Has he really gone?'— 'He has gone!' replies the other.—'Bid (the gods) hear!' by these words he (the Adhvaryu) means to say, 'Make him (the sacrificer) be heard, make him be noticed by the gods!'—'May (one or they) hear (srausha!)' thereby he (the Āgnidhra) means to say, 'They know him, they have recognised him.' Thus the Adhvaryu and the Āgnidhra lead the sacrificer to the world of the gods.

21. He (the Adhvaryu) then says, 'Good-speed to the divine Hotris³!' The divine Hotris assuredly are these enclosing sticks, since these are Agnis (fires): it is to them that he thereby bids good-speed, and therefore he says, 'good-speed to the

¹ He touches himself near the heart, or, according to Vaidyanātha, he touches his eyes. After this he has, as usual, to touch the lustral water. See p. 2, note 2.

² Here begins the *saṃyuvāka*; see p. 241, note 1.

³ 'Svagā daivya hotribhyaḥ.' The form *daivya* seems to have become fixed before *hotri*, in consequence of its frequent use, especially in the Āpī hymns, as nom. acc. dual *daivya hotāra*; and in the invocation of the *lā*, as nom. plur. *daivya hotāra*.

divine Hotriś!—‘Success (svasti) to the human!’ thereby he desires that this human Hotriś may not fail.

22. He now throws the enclosing-sticks into the fire. The middle enclosing-stick he throws first, with the text (Vāg. S. II, 17 a), ‘The stick which thou laidst around thee, O divine Agni, when thou wert concealed by the Paxis, I bring thee for thy pleasure; may it not prove faithless to thee!’—With (ib. b), ‘Approach ye the place beloved of Agni!’ he throws the two others after it.

23. He then¹ seizes the *guhû* and the *upabhrî* at the same time. For on the former occasion², when he anoints (the *prastara*—sacrificer), he makes him an oblation, thinking, ‘May he, as an oblation, go to the world³ of the gods!’ for this reason he seizes the *guhû* and the *upabhrî* at the same time.

24. He seizes them for the *Visve Devâh* (the All-gods). For, assuredly, when any sacrificial food is taken without being announced to any one deity, then all the gods think that they have a share in it. Now when he takes that sacrificial food, the (residue of) butter, he does not announce it to any one deity; and hence he takes up (the two spoons) for the *Visve Devâh*, and thus makes that (residue of butter) the *vaisvadeva*³ at the *haviryagña*.

25. He seizes them, with the text (Vāg. S. II, 18),

¹ Here begins the offering of the remains (*samsrava*) of butter; see p. 236, note 2.

² See par. 14 above.

³ The author again connects the *havis*-offering with the more solemn Soma-sacrifice; the third, or evening, libation of Soma being supposed to belong to the *Visve Devâh*; cf. Vāg. S. XIX, 26; *Alt. Br.* VI, 4.

'The residue (of the butter) ye have for your share, ye, mighty by (this) food!' the residue, of course, is that which remains;—'O ye gods, staying on the prastara, and representing the enclosing-sticks¹;' for both the prastara and the enclosing-sticks have been thrown into the fire;—'All of you, applauding this speech,' thereby he makes it the vaisvadeva (belonging to the Visvê Devāḥ);—'Be seated on this couch of grass (barhis) and enjoy yourselves! Svāhā! Vāḥ!'² as one offers what has been consecrated by 'vashaḥ,' this (residue) thereby becomes such for him (the sacrificer).

26. For whomsoever they take the sacrificial food from a cart, for him they unyoke (the spoons, by placing them) on the yoke of that cart, thinking, 'Where we yoke, there we also unyoke'³; for from the same place where they yoke, they also unyoke. For him, on the other hand, for whom they take it from a jar, (they unyoke the spoons, by placing them) on the wooden sword, thinking, 'Where we yoke, there we also unyoke;' for from the same place where they yoke, they also unyoke.

27. Yoke-fellows, indeed, are these two spoons for the sacrifice: he yokes them when he starts⁴ (or,

¹ Paridheyāḥ, literally 'y^o who are to be laid around;' according to Mahidhara = paridhibhāḥ. The Kāṇva text has paridhayaḥ, 'enclosing-sticks.' The Black Yagus (Taitt. S. I, 1, 13, 2) has 'barhishadaḥ (sitting on the barhis)' instead.

² The original meaning of this sacrificial call, as of the apparently allied vashaḥ, vaushaḥ, appears to be, 'May he (Agni) carry it (the oblation to the deity)!' Cf. p. 88, note 2.

³ See I, 1, 2, 8.

⁴ This seems to refer to the time when he gets the spoons ready for their sacred use. He then wipes them with sacrificial grass; that is, he, as it were, rubs down the horses before starting on his journey to the world of the gods. See p. 68, note 1.

first uses them). Now, were he only to release (unyoke) either of them after putting it down, it would fall down just as a draught animal¹ (would, if made to lie down before being unyoked). At the Svish/akṛit these two undergo an unyoking: he then lays them down, and so unyokes them. He then yokes them again, at the after-offerings. Having performed the after-offerings, he effects another unyoking: he lays them down, and so unyokes them. Thereupon he yokes them again when he seizes them both at the same time; and when he has travelled over the way for which he has yoked them, he unyokes them. After the sacrifice offspring (is produced). Hence this man yokes (unites), and then unyokes, and again yokes them; and when he has travelled over the way for which he yoked them, he finally unyokes them. He lays (the spoons) down, with the text (Vāg. S. II, 19 a), 'Fond of butter are ye; protect the two yoke-fellows! gracious are ye: lead me to grace!' whereby he says, 'good are ye: lead me to goodness!'

NINTH ADHYĀYA. FIRST BRĀHMANA.

1. Now² when (the Adhvāryu) says, 'The divine Hotṛis are summoned for the proclamation of success,

¹ I adopt the interpretation of Harisvāmin, who translates *avārāhet* by *adhaḥ patet*. The St. Petersburg Dict. apparently proposes, 'he would unharness them, as he would unharness a horse (or team).' According to Harisvāmin, the author here controverts the view of the *Karakas* (*karakasrutī*), who apparently taught that the (symbolical) unharnessing of the spoons should succeed their being laid down on the yoke; while our author maintains that the unharnessing should precede the laying down.

² The author now proceeds to give in detail the formulas to be recited by the Hotṛi during the ceremonies treated in the preceding Brāhmana (see p. 236, note 2); pars. 1-23 treating of the *sūktavāka*; pars. 24-29 of the *śamyuvāka*.

the human one is called upon for the song of praise (sūkta-vāka); and when the Hotṛi thereupon recites, he recites good words only¹: he thereby invokes a blessing on the sacrificer. It is indeed after the sacrifice that he thus invokes a blessing. A twofold reason there is for his invoking the blessing after the sacrifice.

2. He who sacrifices, assuredly, is the producer of the sacrifice, since it is by his order that the priests spread it, that they produce it. He (the Hotṛi) now invokes a blessing; and that blessing invoked by him, the sacrifice, on its part, realises for this (sacrificer), knowing as it does that he has produced it. For this reason he invokes a blessing after the sacrifice.

3. He who sacrifices, assuredly, pleases the gods. Having pleased the gods by that sacrifice,—that is, partly by Rik-verses, partly by Yagus-formulas, and partly by oblations,—he obtains a share among them. When he has obtained a share among them, then (the Hotṛi) invokes a blessing (on him); and that blessing, invoked by him, the gods realise for this (sacrificer), knowing as they do that he has pleased them. For this reason also he invokes a blessing after the sacrifice.

4. He intones²,—‘Successful this has turned out, O heaven and earth—,’ for successful indeed it has turned out, when one has completed the sacrifice.—‘We have completed the song of praise, and the

¹ Sūktaiva tad āha, which the commentator paraphrases by sūktāny āha. It is apparently intended as an explanation of the term sūktavāka. The word sūkta here has exceptionally the accent on the penultimate.

² See p. 240, note 2. The formulas are given Taitt. Br. III, 5, 10: Ārv. S. I, 9, 1.

utterance of worship', for indeed these two, the singing of praises and the utterance of worship, form the sacrifice : and accordingly he thereby says, 'We have accomplished the sacrifice, we have obtained possession of the sacrifice.'—'Thou, O Agni, art the voice of praise at the listening² of heaven and earth,' he thereby says to Agni, 'Thou art the voice of praise, while heaven and earth listen.'—'May heaven and earth be propitious to thee, O sacrificer, at this sacrifice!' whereby he says, 'May heaven and earth abound in food for thee, O sacrificer, at this sacrifice.'

5. 'They, propitious to the cattle³, profuse in gifts,' he thereby says, 'May they both be propitious to the cattle, and profuse in gifts.'—'They, the fearless and inscrutable⁴;' he thereby says, 'Mayest thou not be afraid of anybody ; may no one obtain before thee this thy wealth !'

¹ 'Sūktavākam uta namovākam.' Our author seems to refer these terms to the *Rik*-verses and the *Yagur*-formulas used during the sacrifice. Śāyana, on Taitt. S. I, 6, 9, takes 'namovāka' in a more restricted sense, viz. as referring to the formula 'namo devebhyah.' Both the Black *Yagur-veda* and *Ārv. S.* add 'ridhyāsma sūktotyam,' which has probably to be taken in the sense of 'May we accomplish that which is expressed in the sūktas.' [Śāyana, 'May we succeed with the sūkta yet to be pronounced.']

² For *upasaruti* the Black *Yagur-veda* has *uparito*, which Śāyana explains, 'Since thou art established in heaven and earth, thou art able to recite the sūkta.'

³ *Samgavi* seems to be a corruption of *Samgayi* (propitious to the household), which is the reading of the Black *Yagur-veda* and *Ārv. S.* (cf. *Rig-veda* IX, 97, 17).

⁴ ? *Apravede*, according to Śāyana, on Taitt. S. I, 1, 13, in an active sense, 'they who do not tell of, do not betray, our faults' (hence 'verschwiegen,' reticent, discreet, St. Petersburg Dict.) 'Difficult to obtain,' Harisvāmin. Our author apparently takes it in the sense of 'not obtained before.'

6. 'They, of wide abode, the afforders of safety;' he thereby says, 'May they both be possessed of wide abodes and exempt from danger.'—'They, the rain-skied, the water-pouring,' he thereby says, 'May they both be possessed of rain.'

7. 'They, the genial and beneficent;' he thereby says, 'May they both be genial and beneficent.'—'They, the rich in sap and substance;' he thereby says, 'May they both abound in moisture and afford the means of subsistence.'

8. 'They, of easy access and good abode;' he thereby says, 'May yonder (sky), which thou approachest from below, afford thee easy access; may this (earth) on which thou abidest (or movest) afford thee good abode.'—'With their knowledge—,' thereby he says, 'Both of these approving—'

9. 'Agni has graciously accepted this oblation, he has grown in strength, he has acquired greater power,' he thereby refers to Agni's butter-portion.—'Soma has graciously accepted this oblation, he has grown in strength, he has acquired greater power,' he thereby refers to Soma's butter-portion.—'Agni has graciously accepted this oblation, he has grown in strength, he has acquired greater power,' he thereby refers to the indispensable cake which is (offered) on both occasions (the new and full-moon sacrifice).

10. And in the same way according to the respective deities. 'The butter-drinking gods have graciously accepted the butter, they have grown in strength, they have acquired greater power;' thereby he refers to the fore-offerings and after-offerings; for the butter-drinking gods truly are the fore-offerings and after-offerings.—'Agni, by virtue of his Hotri-ship, has graciously accepted this oblation, he has

grown in strength, he has acquired greater power ;' thereby he refers to Agni, in virtue of his Hotriship. With 'has graciously accepted' he thus enumerates those deities to whom offering has been made : in saying 'Such a one has graciously accepted the oblation, such a one has graciously accepted the oblation,' he accordingly prays for the accomplishment of the sacrifice; for whatever oblation the gods graciously accept, by that he gains great things : hence he says, '(he) has graciously accepted it.' And '(he) has grown in strength' he says, because what the gods delight in, they make mountain high : for this reason he says '(he) has increased.'

11. '(He) has acquired (lit. made for himself) greater power' he says; for assuredly the power of the gods is the sacrifice : it is the latter therefore which they make still greater; and for this reason he says '(he) has acquired greater power.'

12. 'May he prosper in this sacrifice which goes to the gods!' he thereby says, 'May he be successful in this sacrifice which goes to the gods.'—'Thus prays this sacrificer, N. N.¹;' here he gives the name, and thereby makes him directly successful in his prayer.

13. 'He prays for long life,' what there (viz. at the invocation of the *idā*) was (called implicitly) 'future worship of the gods' that is here (called) expressly 'long life.'

¹ Ārv. S. reads twice asau 'N.N., N.N.:' and the commentary remarks that the Hotri has here to pronounce both the ordinary name of the sacrificer, and his nākshatra name (i.e. the mystic name given him for the duration of the sacrifice, and derived from the respective lunar mansion, or its tutelary deity). This practice was probably not yet in vogue in the time of our author. Cf. Weber, *Nakshatra* II, p. 316 seq.

² See I, 8, 1, 30 seq.

14. 'He prays for abundant offspring,'—what then was 'more abundant offering,' that is here expressly 'abundant offspring.' He who proceeds in this way will ensure dominion. He may, however, say, 'He prays for future worship of the gods,' for thereby (he ensures) long life, offspring, cattle¹.

15. 'He prays for more abundant offering,' thereby (he prays for) that same object. 'He prays for dominion over his co-evals (or countrymen);'—his co-evals, doubtless, are his vital airs, for he is born along with his vital airs: hence he thereby prays for vital airs.

16. 'He prays for a heavenly abode;'—he who sacrifices assuredly sacrifices with the desire that there may be for him also (a place) in the world of the gods: he thereby confers on him a share in the world of the gods. 'May he obtain, may he accomplish what he prays for through this offering!' he thereby says, 'May all, that he prays for through this offering, be fulfilled to him!'

17. These five prayers for blessings he offers now, and three (he offered) ~~at~~ the idā, these are eight. (Of eight syllables, truly, consists the gāyatrī metre, and the gāyatrī means vigour: hence he thereby imparts vigour to the prayers.

18. Let him not offer more than these; for if he offered more, he would do what is in excess; and what is in excess at the sacrifice, that remains over

¹ The ritual of the Black Yagur-veda (Taitt. Br. III, 5, 10; Taitt. S. II, 6, 9, 7) and the Ārv. S. prescribe both these formulas. The order of formulas also, as there given, differs somewhat from that of our work.

² The Black Yagur-veda and Ārv. S. insert here, 'He prays for all that is dear to him.'

for the benefit of his spiteful enemy: hence he should not offer more (prayers) than these.

19. Even less,—seven (he may offer)¹.—‘May the gods vouchsafe him ‘that!’ he thereby says, ‘May the gods grant him that.’—‘May the god Agni solicit that from the gods, we men from Agni—,’ he thereby says, ‘May the god Agni solicit that from the gods, and we will then solicit it for this (sacrificer), from Agni—’

20. ‘—What was searched for and found²;’ they indeed searched for the sacrifice, and found it: therefore he says, ‘what was searched for and found.’—And ‘may both heaven and earth guard this one (enam) from anxiety!’ he thereby says, ‘may both heaven and earth protect him from suffering.’

21. Here now some say, ‘And may both heaven and earth guard me (mā³) . . .,’ arguing that in this way the Hotri does not exclude himself from the benediction. Let him not, however, say this; for, surely, the benediction at the sacrifice is for the sacrificer: what then have the officiating priests to do with it? Whatever blessing the officiating priests invoke at the sacrifice, that is for the sacrificer only. On the other hand, whoever says, ‘and may both heaven and earth guard me . . .,’ does not establish

¹ That is, if he chooses to omit the second formula mentioned in par. 14.

² ‘*Isham ka vittam ka.*’ This is also the reading of the *Āsv. S.* (‘What was wished for and obtained’). The *Kāṇva* text reads ‘*isham ka vittam kâbhût.*’ Our author seems here to refer to the legend in I, 5, 2, 6 seq., or to that in I, 6, 2, 1 seq. The reading of the Black Yagur, *isham ka vitam ka*, ‘what has been offered up and accepted (eaten by the gods),’ is probably the original and correct one.

³ The *Kāṇva* recension, the Black Yagur-veda, and *Āsv. S.* read no, ‘us.’

that blessing anywhere : let him therefore say, 'and may both heaven and earth guard this one. . . .'

22. 'Hither lies the course of any boon;' he thereby makes over to this (sacrificer) whatever is excellent in the sacrifice: for this reason he says, 'hither lies the course of any boon.'

23. 'And this adoration (shall be offered) to the gods!' having attained the completion of the sacrifice, he thereby renders adoration to the gods: for this reason he says, 'and this adoration to the gods!'

24. Thereupon he pronounces the 'All-hail and blessing' (sam-yos)¹. Now it was Samyu Bārhaspatya who perceived, in its true nature, the consummation of the sacrifice. He went to share in the world of the gods. Thereupon that (knowledge) was entirely lost to men.

25. It then became known to the *Rishis*, that Samyu Bārhaspatya had perceived, in its true nature, the consummation of the sacrifice, and had gone to share in the world of the gods. By pronouncing the sam-yo^h, they attained to that same consummation of the sacrifice which Samyu Bārhaspatya had perceived; and to that same consummation of the sacrifice, which Samyu Bārhaspatya had perceived, this (Hotri)

¹ See p. 247, note 2. The original meaning of the terms sam yos, as they occur in the Rig-veda, is happily rendered by Professor Max Müller (Translation of the Rig-veda, I, p. 182) by 'Health and wealth.' In the sacrificial ceremonial a deeper significance has come to be attached to this benedictory formula, for which it is difficult to find an exact equivalent. The entire samyu-vāka, as here given, forms part of a khila to the last book of the *Rik-Samhitā*; cf. Max Müller's edition, vol. vi. p. 32; A. Weber, Ind. Stud. IV, p. 431. The Black Yagus version of the legend regarding Samyu Bārhaspatya (Taitt. S. II, 6, 10) is quite different from ours: they were both invented to explain sam yos.

attains by pronouncing the *sam-yoḥ*. For this reason he pronounces the 'All-hail and blessing.'

26. He intones, 'We long for that All-hail and blessing (*sam-yoḥ*);' whereby he says, 'We long for that consummation of the sacrifice which Samyu Bārhaspatya perceived.

27. 'Success to the sacrifice, success to the lord of sacrifice!' he who wishes for the consummation of the sacrifice, thereby wishes success to the sacrifice and success to the lord of sacrifice.—'Bliss (*svasti*) to us, bliss to men!' he thereby says, 'May we enjoy bliss among the gods, bliss among men!'—'May the means of salvation ascend on high!' he thereby says, 'May this sacrifice secure for us the world of the gods!'

28. 'All-hail, for us, to the two-footed, all-hail to the four-footed¹!' for so far as the two-footed and the four-footed (extend), so far does this universe (extend). Having now attained the consummation of the sacrifice, he bids All-hail to this (sacrificer), and for this reason he says, 'All-hail, for us, to the two-footed, all-hail to the four-footed!'

29. He then touches (the earth) thus with this (finger)². Non-human, verily, he becomes at the

¹ This formula occurs almost identically in Rig-veda VI, 74, 1; VII, 54, 1; (IX, 69, 7.) Cf. Max Müller, Translation of the Rig-veda, I, p. 180, where attention is drawn to a somewhat similar phrase in the Umbrian prayers of the Eugubian tables.

² In Kāty. III, 6, 21 the touching of the altar is prescribed, with the text Vâg. S. II, 19 b; the commentators differ as to whether the sacrificer or the Adhvaryu is to do this. The Kāṇva Samhitā omits that formula, and hence assigns this touching to the Hotṛi. Harisvāmin remarks that the Hotṛi touches the earth with the little finger of his right hand, as stated in the Kāṇva recension. The latter reads 'with the little finger.' No mention is made in the Āsv. S. of this touching of the earth on the part of the Hotṛi.

time when he is chosen for the office of sacrificial priest; and, this earth being a safe standing-place, he thereby (viz. by touching the earth) stands on this safe standing-place; and he thereby also again becomes human: for this reason he thus touches (the earth) with this (finger).

SECOND BRĀHMANA.

THE PATNISAMYĀGAS.

1. Being about to perform the *patnisamyāgas*¹, they betake themselves back to the Gārhapatya fire. The Adhvaryu takes the *guhū* and *sruva*, the Hotri the veda, and the Āgnidhra the butter-(melting) pot.

2. Here now the Adhvaryu, according to some, passes the Āhavanīya on the east side. Let him not, however, do this; for were he to walk on that side, he would be outside the sacrifice.

3. According to others, the Adhvaryu walks (so as to pass) behind the (sacrificer's) wife². Let him not, however, do this either; for verily the Adhvaryu is the fore-part, and the wife is the hind-part of the sacrifice: hence, if he were to pass so, it would be as if one were to put his head behind; and he (the Adhvaryu) would be outside the sacrifice.

¹ The meaning of the term seems to be 'offerings made (to some deities) along with the wives (of the gods);' the deities to whom the four offerings are made, being Soma, *Tvashtri*, the *Devapatnyah* (wives of the gods), and *Agni Gṛihapati*.

² The lady of the house occupies a seat south-west of the Gārhapatya fire. See I, 3, 1, 12. The Adhvaryu now sits down with raised knees (south of her, with his face to the north-east). Kāty. III, 7, 5. The Āgnidhra sits down in the same way north of the fire, with his face to the south, and the Hotri in the middle; cf. Hillebrandt, Neu- und Vollm. p. 151.

4. According to others, the Adhvaryu passes between the wife (and the Gārhapatya fire). Let him not, however, do this either; for were he to pass that way, he would cut off the wife from the sacrifice. Along the east side of the Gārhapatya and the inner side of the Āhavanīya (he passes); for thus he is not outside the sacrifice; and as before, in walking forward (to the Āhavanīya), he passed along the inner side, so he now also takes that path.

5. They now perform the *patñīsaṃyāgas*. From the sacrifice offspring is assuredly produced; and (that offspring) produced from the sacrifice is produced from union; and (the offspring) produced from union is produced after (in consequence of) the completion¹ of the sacrifice: hence one thereby (i.e. by the *patñīsaṃyāgas*) causes that (offspring) to be produced by means of a productive union after the completion of the sacrifice. And so now also offspring is produced by means of a productive union after the completion of the sacrifice. This is why they now perform the *patñīsaṃyāgas*.

6. He makes offering to four deities. Four doubtless means a couple; for a couple means a pair (*dvandva*, lit. two and two), and two and two indeed they are: thus a productive union is thereby effected; and accordingly he makes offering to four deities.

7. He makes (the offerings) of butter for sacrificial food. Butter indeed means seed: hence he thereby scatters seed, and therefore makes them of butter for sacrificial food.

¹ Or, 'is produced from the hind-part of the sacrifice,' i.e. from the sacrificer's wife, seated behind the altar, see par. 3.

8. In a low voice they engage in this (performance)¹. Secretly, doubtless, union takes place; and secretly also (takes place) what (is spoken) in a low voice: this is why they perform in a low voice.

9. He first makes offering to 'Soma. Soma indeed means seed; hence he thereby scatters seed: this is why he makes offering to Soma.

10. He then makes offering to Tvashtri. Now, it is Tvashtri who transforms seed which is scattered. Accordingly it is he who transforms the seed now scattered²: this is why he makes offering to Tvashtri.

11. He then makes offering to the wives of the gods. In the wives, in the womb, the seed assuredly is planted, and thence it is produced; hence he thereby plants the seed in the wives, in the womb; and thence it is produced: for this reason he makes offering to the wives of the gods.

12. When he offers to the wives of the gods, he shuts (the fire) out from view on the eastern side; for, up to the time when they offer to the samish/a-yagus, the deities continue waiting, thinking, 'This

¹ The Adhvaryu calls on the Hotri: 'For Soma (Tvashtri, &c. respectively)' (in a low voice)—'recite!' (aloud). The Hotri then recites the invitiory prayer (anuvākya, for which see Âsv. Sr. I, 10, 5) in a low voice, except the concluding 'om!' which he pronounces aloud. The Adhvaryu now calls on the Āgnīdhra, who responds with 'Astu srausha!'. Thereupon the Adhvaryu calls on the Hotri, 'For Soma,' &c. (in a low voice),—'pronounce the offering prayer!' (aloud); and the Hotri recites the yāgyā, in a low voice, except the concluding 'Vausha!', which is pronounced aloud, and simultaneously with which the Adhvaryu pours the oblation (consisting of four ladlings of butter from the butter-pot into the gubh, by means of the sruva) into the fire.

² He does so in his capacity of divine artificer and architect.

he must offer up to us!' He thereby conceals (this offering) from them; and accordingly Yāgñavalkya says, 'Whenever human women here eat¹ (they do so) apart from men.'

13. He then makes offering to Agni, the householder. Agni, indeed, is this world: hence it is for this world that he thereby produces offspring and that this offspring is produced: this is why he makes offering to Agni, the householder.

14. This (ceremony) concludes with the *idā*²; for here are neither enclosing-sticks nor the *prastara*-bunch. For on that occasion when he wishes the sacrificer good-speed (*svagā*)³ by (offering) the *prastara*, good-speed is at the same time wished to his consort also, since the wife comes (immediately) after the husband. But were he to use a substitute for the *prastara*-bunch, he would produce lassitude (in the wife): for this reason this (ceremony) should conclude with the *idā*. Nevertheless a substitute for the *prastara* is (optionally) made.

15. If he choose to use a substitute for the *prastara*, he thereby wishes the wife good-speed just as

¹ Gighatsanti, 'eat greedily, swallow their food.' The Kāṇva text does not mention Yāgñavalkya, but merely says, 'hence women also here swallow their food apart from men.'

² The *idā*-ceremony (I. 8. 11-18) is repeated after the *patnisamyāgas*, together with the *Samyuvāka* and the offering of remains, but with special reference to the mistress of the house. Since the *prastara*-bunch and the enclosing-sticks have already been consumed by the fire, the *Sūktavāka* is omitted on the present occasion; the *Adhvaryu* merely throwing a stalk of the reed-grass of the *veda* into the fire, as a substitute for the stalk of the *prastara* (representing the sacrificer).

³ See I, 8, 3, 11 seq.

he wishes the sacrificer good-speed by means of the prastara.

16. If he choose to use a substitute for the prastara, he plucks out one stalk from the veda, and anoints its top in the *guhū*, its middle part in the *aruva*, and its lower end in the butter-pan.

17. The *Āgnidhra* then says, 'Throw (it) after!!' [The *Adhvaryu*] having thrown it silently after (the prastara into the fire), touches himself, with the text (*Vāg. S. II, 16 f.*), 'Guardian of the eye art thou, O Agni, guard mine eye!' and in this way he avoids throwing himself after (the prastara into the fire).

18. He (the *Āgnidhra*) then says (to the *Adhvaryu*), 'Discourse together!' (The *Adhvaryu* says), 'Has he gone (to the gods), *Āgnidh*?' 'He has gone!' 'Bid (the gods) hear!' 'May (one or they) hear!' 'Good-speed to the divine *Hotrī*s! Success to the human!' [Then the *Adhvaryu* to the *Hotrī*], 'Pronounce the "All-hail and blessing!"'

19. Thereupon² he (the *Adhvaryu*) seizes at the same time the *guhū* and *sruva*. On the former occasion³ indeed, by anointing (the prastara), he

¹ See I, 8, 3. 19 seq.

² That is, after the *Hotrī* has again recited the *Samyuvāka*, in the same way as above, I, 9, 1, 26-29.

³ Viz. at the offering of the remains of butter (I, 8, 3, 23), of which the present ceremony is the counterpart. Dr. Hillebrandt, *Neu- und Vollm.* p. 160, (after a scholiast) calls this modification *Pragrahahoma* (offered to Agni *adaballāyu astama*). According to *Kāty.* III, 7, 18; 19, this ceremony is followed by the performance, in the *Dakshina* fire, of two (*guhōti*) oblations of butter, to Agni *samvesapati* and *Sarasvatī* respectively (see the formulas *Vāg. S. II, 20, b, c*); and the *pish/alepa-āhuti* to the *Virve Devāh*, being an offering of the remnants of dough, left from the preparation of the sacrificial cakes. These offerings would then be succeeded by the ceremonies treated in par. 21 seq.

made (the sacrificer) an oblation, thus thinking, 'May he go to the world of the gods as an oblation!' For this reason he now seizes the *guhû* and *sruva* at the same time. *

20. He seizes them for Agni, with the text (*Vāg. S. II, 20a*), 'O Agni, unimpaired in vigour, far-reacher!' because Agni is immortal, he says, 'unimpaired in vigour : ' and because Agni is farthest-reaching, he says, 'far-reacher'.'—'Guard me from the thunderbolt! guard me from bonds! guard me from defective sacrifice! guard me from noxious food!' he thereby says, 'Protect me from all kinds of injury!'—'Make our nourishment free from poison!'—nourishment means food: 'make our food wholesome, faultless!' this is what he thereby says.—'In the lap, pleasant to sit in ; ' he thereby says, 'in thyself.'—'Śyāhā! Vāt!' since one offers what has been consecrated by 'vasha /,' this (residue of butter) thereby becomes such for him.

21. The mistress then unties the veda-bunch. The altar (*vedi*) assuredly is female and the veda is male. For union the veda was made: and accordingly when he touches (the altar) with it during the sacrifice, a union productive of offspring is thereby effected.

22. And (the reason) why it is the mistress who unties the veda (is this): the mistress is female and the veda is male; consequently a union productive of offspring is thereby effected: this is why the mistress unties the veda.

23. She unties it. Should she wish to do so

* *Hariśvāmin* derives *aśtama* and *aśish/ha* from *aś*, 'to eat' (instead of from *aś*, 'to reach, penetrate'), hence 'the greatest eater.' *Mahidhara* gives both derivations.

with a Yagus-text, let her do so with this one (Vāg. S. II, 21 a). 'The Veda art thou: whereby thou, O divine Veda, hast become Veda for the gods, thereby mayest thou become Veda for me!'

24. (The Hotri) strews it (from the Gārhapatya) as far as (the east end of) the altar¹; for the altar is female and the veda is male; and from behind the male approaches the female: from behind (i.e. west) he accordingly causes it (the altar) to be approached by that male, the veda. For this reason he strews (the grass of the veda) as far as (the east end of) the altar.

CONCLUDING CEREMONIES

25. He (the Adhvaryu) now makes the samish/āyagus-oblation, thinking, 'In the east my sacrifice shall be completed!' Were he to perform the samish/āyagus-oblation first and then the patnī-samyāgas, that sacrifice of his would be completed in the west (behind the sacrificer)²; hence he makes

¹ According to Kāty. III, 8, 2, the lady thereupon unties the grass-cord with which she was girt (see T. 3, 1, 12), with the text, 'I free myself from Varuṇa's noose wherewith the gracious Savitri bound me; place me unscathed, together with my husband, in the lap of eternal law, in the world of righteousness!' Āsv. I, 11, 3, however, assigns this ceremony to the Hotri; and no doubt rightly, since it is not mentioned by our author, and the Vāg. S. does not give the formula. Thereby also the original form of the text (Rig-veda X, 85, 24), 'I free thee,' &c., is preserved. Mahidhara on Vāg. S. here takes 'veda' either in the sense of 'the Veda (Rik, &c.)' or as 'the knower.' Perhaps it should rather be taken as 'the obtainer.'

² Thus ā vedeḥ is explained by Harisvāmin (according to comm. on Kāty. III, 8, 3). Others take it in the sense of 'up to where the barhis begins.'

³ The patnī-samyāgas were performed in the Gārhapatya fire, and therefore west of the altar; and on their completion, the priests betake themselves back to the Āhavanīya.

the samish/ayagus-oblation at this particular time, thinking, 'In the east my sacrifice shall be completed!'

26. Now as to why it is called samish/ayagus¹: whatever deities he invites through this (new or full-moon) sacrifice, and for whichever deities this sacrifice is performed, all those are thereby 'sacrificed to together' (sam-ish/a); and because he now makes a (butter) oblation² to all those deities, who have been 'sacrificed to together,' therefore this (oblation) is called samish/ayagus.

27. And again as to why he performs the samish/ayagus: whatever deities he invites through this sacrifice, and for whichever deities this sacrifice is performed, they continue waiting until the samish/ayagus is performed, thinking, 'This he must offer to us!' These same (deities) he thereby dismisses in due form; and whatever be the practice in their case in accordance with that he has, in thus performing it, produced the sacrifice, and having thus produced it he now establishes it safely where there is a safe basis for it: this is why he performs the samish/ayagus.

28. He makes the offering, with the text (Vâg. S. II, 21 b), 'Ye path-finding gods,'—for the gods

¹ The real original meaning of the term would rather seem to have been 'the formula marking the completion of the sacrifice,' it having afterwards come to be applied to the oblation (to the wind-god) itself. Cf. par. 30 and Weber, Ind. Stud. IX, 232.

² Or rather, 'because to all those deities to whom an ish/i (or yagati-offering, made by the Adhvaryu standing south of the altar; and followed or accompanied by the vasha/-call) has been offered in common, he now makes an âhuti (or guhuti-offering, made by him whilst standing north of the altar, with the svâhâ-call).'

are indeed the finders of the path¹;—‘Having found the path—,’ thereby he says, ‘having found the sacrifice;’—‘Walk in the path!’ thereby he dismisses them in due form;—‘O divine Lord of mind, this sacrifice—Svâhâ!—give to the wind!’ for the sacrifice, indeed, is that blowing one (the wind). Having accordingly prepared this (special) sacrifice, he thereby establishes it safely in that (chief, full or new-moon) sacrifice, and thus unites sacrifice with sacrifice: for this reason he says, ‘Svâhâ! give (it) to the wind!’

29. He then offers up the barhis. The barhis, truly, is this world, and the barhis (consists of) plants: hence he thereby bestows plants on this world, and these plants are safely established in this world: for this reason he offers up the barhis.

30. This offering he makes as an additional one, since the samishṭayagus is the end of the sacrifice, and consequently what comes after the samishṭayagus is additional; and because, in performing the samishṭayagus, he offers to those (deities²), and thence additional unlimited plants are here produced.

31. He offers it, with the text (Vâg. S. II, 22), ‘May the barhis combine with the sacrificial food, with the butter! May Indra combine with the Âdityas, the Vasus, the Maruts, and the Virve Devâh! May what (has been offered with) “Svâhâ” go up to the heavenly ether³!’

32. Having thereupon walked round (from the

¹ Mahidhara refers gâtu-vidâh and vittvâ to vid, ‘to know.’

² According to Harisvâmin, he does so, since that offering is made for the sake of dismissing (satisfying) the deities.

³ Mahidhara interprets, ‘May Indra—together with the Âdityas, the Vasus, the Maruts, and the Virve Devâh—anoint the barhis thoroughly with the havis-like ghee,’ &c.

north side of the Āhavanīya fire) to the south, he pours the praṇītā-water¹ out (on the altar). Now, when he spreads the sacrifice, he yokes it. But were he not to pour out (the praṇītā-water), the sacrifice, being unyoked, would, in moving backward, injure the sacrificer. In this way, however, the sacrifice does not injure the sacrificer; and for this reason he pours out the praṇītā-water, after walking round to the south.

33. He pours it out, with the text (Vāg. S. II, 23 a), 'Who² unyokes thee? He unyokes thee! For whom does he unyoke thee? For him he unyokes thee! For plenty!' He thereby announces to the sacrificer the highest prosperity. He pours it out with the same (vessel) with which he brings it forward; for wherewith they yoke the team, therewith they also unyoke it; with the yoke-tie they yoke it, and with the yoke-tie they unyoke it. By means of a potsherd he now throws the refuse from the cleaning of the rice³ right under the black antelope skin; with the text (Vāg. S. II, 23 b), 'The Rakshas' share art thou!'

34. Now the gods and the Asuras, both of them sprung from Praṇāpati, were contending about this sacrifice, (that is, their) father Praṇāpati, the year. 'Ours it (he) shall be! ours it shall be,' they said.

35. Thereupon the gods obtained possession of the whole of the sacrifice, and dispossessed those

¹ See p. 9, note 1.

² Or, Praṇāpati . . . , see I, 1, 1, 13, with note.

³ See I, 1, 4, 23-24. According to the Paddhati on Kāty. III, 8, the Adhvaryu holds the deer-skin with his left hand over the utkara, or heap of rubbish, and pours the refuse under the skin on the utkara.

(Asuras) of it by (giving them) what was the worst part of the sacrifice, to wit, with the blood of the victim (they dispossessed them) of the animal sacrifice, and with the refuse of the rice of the havir-yagña. 'May they be duly dispossessed of the sacrifice,' they thought; for he indeed is duly dispossessed, who is dispossessed even while obtaining a (worthless) share. He, on the other hand, who is dispossessed without any share whatever, hopes for a while, and when it occurs to him, he says, 'What share hast thou given me?' Hence what share the gods set apart for those (Asuras), that same share he now makes over to them in pouring (the refuse of the rice) right under the black antelope skin. He thereby casts it into blind darkness, where there is no (sacrificial) fire. And in the same way he casts the blood of the victim into blind darkness, where there is no fire; thinking, 'Thou art the Rakshas' share!' For this reason they use not the gore of the victim (for sacrificial purposes), since it is the Rakshas' share.

THIRD BRĀHMANA.

1. The sacrifice being now complete, he (the Adhvaryu) walks round (the fire) to the south, and pours out a vessel (of water); for thus it is (poured out) towards north: therefore he pours it out after walking round to the south. He who sacrifices, doubtless, sacrifices with a desire that he also may obtain a place in the world of the gods. That sacrifice of his then goes forth towards the world of the gods: after it follows the fee which he gives (to the priests), and holding on to the priests' fee (follows) the sacrificer.

2. That same path leads either to the gods or to the fathers¹. On both sides two flames are ever burning: they scorch him who deserves to be scorched, and allow him to pass who deserves to pass². Now, water is (a means of) lustration: hence he thereby lustrates that path.

3. A full (vessel) he pours out, because full means all: hence he thereby lustrates that (path) by means of the All. He pours it out continuously, uninterruptedly: hence he thereby lustrates that (path) in a continuous, uninterrupted manner.

4. And again why he pours out a vessel (of water) is: where anything is done wrongly at the sacrifice, there they tear or wound it; and—water being (a means of) lustration—he lustrates it by that (means of) lustration, water; he heals it with water.

5. A full (vessel) he pours out, because full means all: hence he thereby heals it by means of the All. Continuously, uninterruptedly he pours it out: hence he thereby heals it in a continuous (lasting), uninterrupted manner.

6. He (the sacrificer) intercepts it with his open hands held together, while reciting the text (Vāg.

¹ Cf. Mahābh. XII, 525, 'Two paths are known, one leading to the gods, and one leading to the fathers;' and ib. XIII, 1082, 'That sun is said to be the gate of the paths leading to the gods; and that moon is said to be the gate of the paths leading to the fathers.' See also *Kāṇḍ. Up.* V, 3. In *Sat. Br.* VI, 6, 2, 4 the gate of the heavenly world is said to be situated in the north-east; whilst that of the world of the fathers, according to XIII, 8, 1, 5, lies in the south-east. Cf. *Kaushīt. Up.* I, 2 seq. (Max Müller, *Up.* I, p. 274), 'Verily, the moon is the door of the heavenly world,' &c.

² According to Harisvāmin, they scorch him who has not fulfilled his duties, and allow him to pass who has done so.

S. II, 24), 'We have united with lustre, with vigour, with the bodies¹, with the happy spirit. May *Tvashtri*, the dispenser of boons, grant us riches, and make even what was injured in our body!' What was torn, that he thereby heals.

7. He then touches his face (with the water in his hands). The reason why he thus touches his face is twofold: water means ambrosia, and with ambrosia he accordingly touches himself; also he thereby transfers to himself that sacred work (the sacrifice): for these reasons he touches his face.

8. He now strides the (three) *Vishṇu*-strides. He who sacrifices assuredly gratifies the gods. In gratifying the gods by that sacrifice—partly by *riks*, partly by *yagus*, partly by oblations—he acquires a share among them; and having acquired a share among them, he goes to them.

9. And again why he strides the *Vishṇu*-strides, is: *Vishṇu*, truly, is the sacrifice, by striding (*vi-kram*) he obtained for the gods that all-pervading power (*vikrānti*) which now belongs to them. By his first step he gained this same (earth), by the second this aerial expanse, and by his last (step) the sky. And this same pervading power *Vishṇu*, as the sacrifice, obtains by his strides for him (the sacrificer): for this reason he strides

¹ That is, with the departed persons, the fathers; or, more probably, with new bodies (?), cf. the funeral hymns, *Rig-veda* X, 14-18; especially X, 15, 14; 16, 5; 14, 8. Perhaps, however, it would be better to construe, 'by (our) bodies we have united with lustre and vigour; by (our) mind with bliss.' In IV, 6, 1, 1 it is said, that the sacrificer is born in the next world with his entire body; similarly XI, 1, 8, 6; XII, 8, 3, 31. For further quotations regarding the views on future existence, see A. Weber, *Ind. Streifen*, I, p. 20 seq.; J. Muir, *Original Sanskrit Texts*, V, p. 314 seq.

the Vishṇu-strides. Now it is indeed from this (earth) that most (beings) go (upwards).

10. Hence (he strides thrice) with the texts (Vâg. S. II, 25 a-c), 'On the earth Vishṇu strode by means of the gâyatri metre: excluded therefrom is he who hates us, and whom we hate!' 'In the air Vishṇu strode by means of the trishubh metre: excluded therefrom is he who hates us, and whom we hate!' 'In the sky Vishṇu strode by means of the gâgati metre: excluded therefrom is he who hates us, and whom we hate!' When one has thus ascended these worlds, that is the goal, that the safe refuge: the rays of him (the sun) who burns there, are the righteous (departed)¹; and what highest light there is², that is Pragâpati or the heavenly world. Having then in this way ascended these worlds, he reaches that goal, that safe refuge. Now he who wishes to give instructions from hence, should come hitherwards from above. Twofold is the reason why he should come hitherwards from above:

11. By (or, from) the escape (of the enemies)³ indeed the conquering gods formerly gained first the sky, and then this aërial expanse; and there-

¹ In VI, 5. 4. 8 we shall meet with the statement, that 'the stars (nakshatra) are the lights of righteous men who go to the heavenly world.' In the same passage, however (as in others), the nakshatras (lunar mansions) are represented as divine female beings (with unclipped wings; cf. Vâg. S. XI, 61), with whom, in IX, 4. 1, 9, the moon is said to live together, as the Gandharvas with the Apsaras.

² See par. 16 with note. The Kâṇva text reads, 'yat param bhâti.'

³ Apasaranatah; i.e. by allowing the enemies to escape, viz. first from the sky to the air, and then from the air to the earth. It also, however, has the meaning of 'from escape;' that is, the gods drove the enemies to the earth, whence there was no escape for them.

upon they drove their enemies away from this (earth), whence there was no escape. And in like manner he (the priest) also by the escape (of the enemies) gains first the sky, and then this aërial expanse; and thereupon he drives his enemies away from this (earth), whence there is no escape. This earth indeed is a firm footing: hence he thereby stands firm on this firm footing.

12. And in this way also (he may stride)¹: 'In the sky Vishṇu strode by means of the *gagati* metre: excluded therefrom is he who hates us, and whom we hate!' 'In the air Vishṇu strode by means of the *trishubh* metre: excluded therefrom is he who hates us, and whom we hate!' 'On the earth Vishṇu strode by means of the *gâyatri* metre: excluded therefrom is he who hates us, and whom we hate!'—With the texts (*Vâg. S. II, 25 d, e*), '(Excluded) from this food! from this resort!' (*pratishṭhâ*, he looks down upon his portion and the altar respectively.) For on this (earth) all this food is safely established (*pratishṭhita*): for this reason he says, 'From this food! from this resort!'

13. He then looks towards the east. The east, indeed, is the region of the gods: for this reason he looks towards the east.

14. He looks, with the text (*Vâg. S. II, 25 f*), 'We have gone to the realm of light (*svar*).' The

¹ The sacrificer in making the strides of Vishṇu, may begin either with the stride on earth or with that in the sky (*Kâty. III, 8, 11, 12*). He begins from the southern hip (or south-west corner) of the altar, and makes three strides eastward with his right foot in front, reciting one formula with each stride, along the south side of the altar up to the *Âhavanîya* fire.

realm of light assuredly means the gods: hence he thereby says, 'We have gone to the gods.'—With (ib. g), 'We have united with splendour' (he looks on the Āhavanīya fire): he thereby says, 'We have united with the gods.'

15. He then looks up to the sun, for that is the final goal, that the safe resort. To that final goal, to that resort he thereby goes: for this reason he looks up to the sun.

16. He looks up, with the text (Vāg. S. II, 26 a), 'Self-existent art thou, the best ray of light!' The sun is indeed the best ray of light¹, and therefore he says, 'Self-existent art thou, the best ray of light.' "Light-bestowing art thou: give me light (varṇas)!" so say I, said Yāgñavalkya, 'for at this indeed the Brāhmaṇa should strive, that he be brahmavarṇasin (illumed by the brahma, or sacred writ).' Aupoditeya², on the other hand, said, 'He indeed will give me cows³: (therefore I say), "Cow-giving art thou, give me cows!"' Thus whatever wish he (the sacrificer) entertains (and expresses), that wish is granted to him.

17. He then turns (from left to right), with the text (Vāg. S. II, 26 b), 'I move along the course of

¹ Seven rays of the sun are mentioned, Rig-veda I, 105, 9; II, 5, 2; Āth.-veda VII, 107, 1. Mahīdhara remarks that four of them lie in, or point to, the four quarters; one pointing upwards and another downwards; and the seventh, and best, being the disc of the sun itself, called Hiranyagarbha. This apparently is the param bhās, or most excellent light, which in par. 10 is identified with Prajāpati, or the heavenly world.

² That is, Tumiṅga Aupoditeya Vaiyāghrapadya, as the Kāṇva text reads; cf. Taitt. S. I, 7, 2, 1.

³ The heavenly rays of light are regarded as the heavenly cows, Naigh. I, 5; Nir. II, 6.

the sun ;' having reached that final goal, that safe resort, he now moves along the course of that (sun)¹.

18. Thereupon he steps to (upa-sthâ) the Gârhapatya fire. Twofold is the reason why he steps to the Gârhapatya : the Gârhapatya is a house, and a house is a safe resort, hence he 'thereby stays in a house, that is, in a safe resort. And, besides, what full measure of human life there is for him here, that he thereby attains (upa-sthâ). This is why he steps to the Gârhapatya fire.

19. He steps to it, with the text (Vâg. S. II, 27 a), 'O householder Agni, may I become a good householder through thee, O Agni, the householder ! Mayest thou, O Agni, become a good householder through me, the householder !' there is nothing in this requiring explanation.--'May our household matters be unlike a cart with only one bullock,' he thereby says, 'may our household matters be free from calamities;'--'for a hundred winters !' he thereby says, 'may I live a hundred years.' He need not, however, say this ; for man lives even longer than a hundred years : hence he need not say this.

20. He then turns (from left to right), with the text (Vâg. S. II, 27 b), 'I move along the course of the sun :' having reached that final goal, that safe resort, he now moves along the course of that (sun).

21. Now (in pronouncing the following text) he

¹ When he has executed the pradakshina movement, he has to repeat the movement in the opposite direction, in accordance with the general rule, Kâty. I, 8, 24. The same applies to par. 20. On the sun-wise circumambulation, with and without fire, see p. 37 note, p. 45 note ; also Martin, *Western Isles*, pp. 16-20, 85, 97, 116-119, 241, 277 ; Forbes Leslie, *Early Races of Scotland*, index, s. v. deisiol.

inserts his son's name : ' May this son (N. N.) carry on this manly deed of mine !' Should he have no son, let him insert his own name.

22. He then steps up to the Āhavantya fire. Silently he steps to it, thinking, ' In the east my sacrifice shall be completed !'

23. Thereupon he divests himself of the vow, with the text (Vāg. S. II, 28 b), ' Now am I he that I really am.' For, in entering upon the vow, he becomes, as it were, non-human ; and as it would not be becoming for him to say, ' I enter from truth into untruth ;' and as, in fact, he now again becomes man, let him therefore divest himself of the vow, with the text, ' Now am I he that I really am ².'

¹ The Mādhyandina text of the Vāg. S. does not give this formula. The Kāṇva text of the Samhitā has the following (Weber's edition, p. 59), ' Woven art thou, a web art thou : weave me along (? extend my life) at this sacrifice, at this holy deed, in this food, in this world !' ' May my son weave on (continue) this work, this manly deed of mine !' Thus also Kāty. III, 8, 25. The Kāṇva text of the Brāhmaṇa, however, mentions only the formula given above. According to Lauṅgākshi he names his favourite son ; according to Sāṅkhāyana, his eldest son, or as many sons as he has. See comm. on Kāty. IV, 12, 11.

² See I, 1, 1, 6. For another mode of divesting oneself of the vow, see I, 1, 1, 3.

SECOND KÂNDĀ.

THE AGNYÂDHÂNA, THE AGNIHOTRA, THE
PINDAPITR/YAGÑA, THE ÂGRAYANĀSHṬI,
AND THE KÂTURMÂSYĀNI.

I. THE AGNYÂDHÂNA OR ESTABLISHMENT OF THE
SACRED FIRES.

FIRST ADHYÂVA. FIRST BRÂHMANA.

THE Agny-âdhâna (or Agny-âdheya), or ceremony of establishing a set of sacrificial fires, on the part of a young householder, is, as a rule, performed on the first day of the waxing moon. Some authorities also allow the performance to take place at full moon, probably in order to enable the newly-married couple to enter on their sacred duties with as little delay as possible. Moreover, special benefits are supposed to accrue to the performer of the ceremony from the conjunction of the new moon with certain lunar asterisms; though the author of our work, at any rate, does not seem greatly to encourage this practice, but rather to urge the pious householder to set up fires of his own, whenever he feels a longing for the sacrifice.

The normal performance of the Agnyâdhâna, as that of the full and new-moon offerings, requires two days; the first of which is taken up with preliminary rites, while the second—that is, the first day of the respective half-moon—is devoted to the chief ceremonies, beginning with the production of the sacred fire by friction. (See II, 1, 4, 8 seq.)

After the sacrificer has chosen his four officiating priests—viz. the Brahman, Hotri, Adhvaryu, and Âgnîdhra (or Agnîdh)—he proceeds, together with them, to erect the two sheds or ‘fire-houses.’ In order to determine their exact sites, the Adhvaryu first draws from west to east the so-called ‘easterly’ line (cf. I, 2, 5, 14), and on it marks, at 8, 11, or 12 prakramas or steps from each other, the centres of the Gârhapatya and Âhavanîya fire-places, the outlines of which he then traces, making each a square aratni or cubit in area, the former circular, the latter square. The Dakshi-

nâgni or *Anvâhârya-pākana*, if it is required at all, is of the same area, but of semicircular form, and lies south of the space between the altar and the *Gârhapatya* fire. The *Gârhapatya* fire-house is constructed with its laths running either from west to east, or from south to north, and a door on the south side; and so as to enclose both the *Gârhapatya* and *Dakshina* fires. The *Âhavanîya* fire-house, on the other hand, with its laths necessarily running from west to east, and an entrance from the east, contains the *Âhavanîya* fire and the altar (*vedi*) adjoining it on the west, and partly enclosing it with its 'shoulders' on the north and south sides. The two houses are also open to each other on the inner side; and sufficient space is left on all sides for freely moving around the fires.

The *Adhvaryu* then procures a temporary fire,—either producing it by friction, or obtaining it from certain specified sources in the village,—and after the usual fivefold lustration of the *Gârhapatya* fire-place (cf. p. 2), he lays down the fire thereon. Towards sunset the sacrificer [while seated east of the *Âhavanîya* house] invokes the gods and manes with 'Gods, fathers! fathers, gods! I sacrifice, being who I am; neither will I exclude him whose I am: mine own shall be the offering, mine own the toiling, mine own the sacrifice!' He then enters the *Âhavanîya* house from the east, passes through it to the *Gârhapatya*, and sits down behind (west of) the fire; his wife at the same time entering the *Gârhapatya* house from the south and seating herself south of him,—both facing the east. Thereupon the *Adhvaryu* hands to the sacrificer two pieces of wood (*arani*),—if possible, of *asvattha*, grown out of a *samī* tree,—to be used next morning for the production (or 'churning') of the sacred fire by one of them (the upper *arani*) being rapidly drilled in a hole in the other (or lower *arani*). [The sacrificer and his wife then lay the upper and lower sticks respectively on their laps; whereupon certain propitiatory ceremonies are performed by them, and honours are paid to the priests and the sticks; and the latter are finally deposited on a seat.] In the house of the *Gârhapatya* a he-goat may then be tied up for the night, which, if it belong to the sacrificer, is to be presented by him to the *Âgnîdhra* on the completion of the sacrifice.

After sunset the *Adhvaryu* measures out four vessels of husked rice grains—each containing three handfuls, which quantity is considered sufficient to furnish a meal for one man—on an ox-hide died red [and spread out with the hairy side upwards and the neck-part to the east]. With this rice the (*odana*) *Ātushprāsyā*, or '(pap) to be eaten by the four (priests),' is prepared on the provisional *Gârhapatya* fire. When it is ready, the *Adhvaryu* makes a hollow in the pap and pours clarified butter into it. He then takes

three kindling-sticks (*samidh*), anoints them with some of that ghee, and puts them on the fire one after another, with texts (cf. note on II, 1, 4, 5). Thereupon the sacrificer [having paid due honours to the priests by washing their feet and giving them perfumes and wreaths, &c., and assigned to each his share] bids them eat.

During the night the sacrificer and his wife have to remain awake and keep up the fire. When the night clears up, the Adhvaryu extinguishes the fire, or, if there is to be a *Dakṣiṇāgni*, he takes it southwards and keeps it in a safe place till that fire is made up. He then draws with the wooden sword three lines across the fire-place and proceeds with the preparation of the hearth-mounds in the way set forth in the first Brāhmaṇa of this Book.

1. Now when he equips (*Agni*, the fire) from this and that quarter, that is the equipping (of the fire) with its equipments¹. In whatever (objects) some of (the nature of) *Agni* is inherent, therewith he equips (the fire); and in thus equipping it he supplies it partly with splendour, partly with cattle, partly with a mate.

2. In the first place he (the Adhvaryu) draws (three) lines (with the wooden sword on the *Gārha-patya* fire-place²). Whatever part of this earth

¹ The verb here translated by 'to equip,' is *sam-bhṛti*, 'to carry, or bring, together, to collect;' and then 'to make the necessary preparations, to prepare;' hence *sambhāra*, 'the preparation, outfit,' the technical term for the objects employed in the preparation of the fire-place, with the view of symbolically ensuring success to the fire. In paragraphs 3 seq. the primary meaning 'to bring (together)' has been used, except where it seemed desirable to preserve its technical sense.

² The three lines drawn across the fire-place form a necessary part of its lustration; see p. 2. According to the *Paddhati* on *Kāty.* IV, 8, the Adhvaryu first makes the fivefold lustration of the hearth, and thereupon again draws the mystic lines (? or draws the outline of the fire-place, cf. *Kāty.* IV, 8, 16) and proceeds with the *sambhāras*; viz. he sprinkles the lines with water, while the sacrificer takes hold of him from behind; then puts down a piece of gold, and on it throws salt soil and the mould of a mole-hill, with which he forms the hearth-mound (*khara*)—circular in

is either trodden or spit upon, that he thereby removes from it ; and he thus establishes his fire on earth that is entirely proper for the sacrifice : this is why he draws lines (across the fire-place).

3. He then sprinkles (the lines) with water. When he thus sprinkles (the fire-place) with water, that is the equipment (of the fire) with water. The reason why he brings water is that water is food ; for water is indeed food : hence when water comes to this world, food is produced here. Thus he thereby supplies it (the fire) with food.

4. Water (ap, fem.), moreover, is female, and fire (agni, masc.) is male ; so that he thereby supplies the latter with a productive mate. And since all this (universe) is pervaded (or obtained, âpta) by water, he sets up the fire, after he has obtained it by means of water¹. This is why he brings water.

5. He then brings (a piece of) gold. Now Agni at one time cast his eyes on the waters² : 'May I pair with them,' he thought. He came together with them ; and his seed became gold³. For this reason the latter shines, like fire, it being Agni's

the case of the Gârhapatya, square the Âhavanîya, and semicircular the Dakshinâgni ; but each equal in area to a square aratni or cubit. Along the edge of the mound he then lays pebbles close to each other [50 on the Gârhapatya, 73 on the Âhavanîya, and 22 on the Dakshinâgni, according to the Schol. on Kâty. IV, 8, 16]. According to some authorities, the piece of gold is laid on the top of the mound. He thus prepares successively the Gârhapatya, Âhavanîya, and Dakshina hearths ; afterwards, if required, those of the Sabhya and Avasathya fires, which are, like the Gârhapatya, of circular form.

¹ An etymological play on the word ap, âpaḥ, 'water,' and the verb âp, 'to obtain, pervade.'

² In the version of this myth given Taitt. Br. I, 1, 3, 8, the waters courted by Agni are called Varuna's wives.

³ Tâḥ sambabhûva tâsu retah prâsinâtat tad hiranyam abhavat.

seed. Hence it (gold) is found in water, for he (Agni) poured it into the water. Hence also one does not cleanse oneself with it¹, nor does one do anything else with it. Now there is splendour (for the fire): for he thereby makes it to be possessed of divine seed, bestows splendour on it; and sets up a fire completely endowed with seed. That is why he brings gold.

6. He then brings salt. Yonder sky assuredly bestowed that (salt as) cattle on this earth: hence they say that salt soil is suitable for cattle. That (salt), therefore, means cattle; and thus he thereby visibly supplies it (the fire) with cattle; and the latter having come from yonder (sky) is securely established on this earth. Moreover, that (salt) is believed to be the savour (rasa) of those two, the sky and the earth²: so that he thereby supplies it (the fire) with the savour of those two, the sky and the earth. That is why he brings salt.

7. He then brings (the earth of) a mole-hill (ākhu-karisha)³. The moles certainly know the

¹ Śāyana interprets *enena na dhāvayati* by 'he does not clean (his teeth) with it':—the St. Petersburg. Dict. by 'he does not get himself conveyed (driven) by it.' The Kāṇva text has: *Tasmād enad apsv evānuvādanty apsu punantī apsu hy enat prāsīḍkan nainena dhāvayanti na kim kṛṇva kurvanti.*

² Cf. Taitt. Br. I. 1. 3. 2: 'The sky and the earth were (originally) close together. On being separated they said to each other, "Let there be a common sacrificial essence (yagñīyam) for us!" What sacrificial essence there was belonging to yonder sky, that it bestowed on this earth, that became the salt (in the earth); and what sacrificial essence there was belonging to this earth, that it bestowed on yonder sky, that became the black (spots) in the moon. When he throws salt (on the fire-place), let him think it to be that (viz. the black in the moon): it is on the sacrificial essence of the sky and the earth that he sets up his fire.'

³ On the mythic connection of (the white, sharp teeth of) the

savour of this earth : hence, by entering deeper and deeper into this earth, they (grow) very fat, knowing, as they do, its savour ; and wherever they know the savour of this earth to be, there they cast it up. Hence he thereby supplies it (the fire) with the savour of this earth : that is why he brings a mole-hill. Moreover, they say of one who has attained prosperity (or splendour, *sri*) that he is *purīsha* ; and *purīsha* and *karīsha*¹ doubtless mean one and the same thing : it is, therefore, for his (Agni's or the sacrificer's) attainment of splendour (*sri*) that he brings a mole-hill.

8. He then brings pebbles. Now the gods and the Asuras, both of them sprung from *Pragāpati*, once contended for superiority. This earth was then trembling like a lotus-leaf ; for the wind was tossing it hither and thither : now it came near the gods, now it came near the Asuras. When it came near the gods,—

9. They said, 'Come, let us steady this resting-place ; and when firm and steady, let us set up

ākhu (mole, mouse, rat), as of that of the boar, with the thunder-bolt, see Dr. A. Kuhn's ingenious remarks, '*Herabkunft des Feuers und des Göttertranks*,' p. 202. According to *Taitt. Br. I, 1, 3, 3*, Agni at one time concealed himself from the gods, and having become a mole, dug himself into the earth ; so that the mole-hills thrown up by him, have some of Agni's nature attaching to them. The *Taittirīyas* also put on the hearth the earth of an ant-hill, which the *Brāhmaṇa* (in the same way as our author does of the mole-hill) represents as the savour (or marrow, essence) of the earth.

¹ The primary meaning of *karīsha* is 'that which is scattered, or strewn about,' hence 'refuse, rubbish' (and *ākhu-karīsha*, 'mole-cast'). Its secondary meaning, as is that of *purīsha*, is 'manure' (or perhaps also 'soft, rich mould'), an article naturally valued by an agricultural population. See *I, 2, 5, 17*, where *purīsha* is taken symbolically to represent cattle.

the two fires on it; whereupon we will exclude our enemies from any share in it.'

10. Accordingly, in like manner as one would stretch a skin by means of wooden pins, they fastened down this resting-place; and it formed a firm and steady resting-place. And when it was firm and steady, they set up the two fires on it; and thereupon they excluded their enemies from any share in it¹.

11. And in like manner that one (the Adhvaryu) now fastens down that resting-place by means of pebbles; and on it, when firm and steady, he sets up the two fires; whereupon he excludes the (sacrificer's) enemies from any share in it. This is the reason why he brings pebbles.

12. These then are the five equipments²: for fivefold is the sacrifice, fivefold the animal victim; and five seasons there are in the year.

¹ The corresponding myth of Taitt. Br. I, 1, 3, 5, though very different from ours, yet presents one or two points of resemblance. According to it, nothing was to be seen in the beginning except water and a lotus-leaf standing out above it. Pragrâpati (being bent on creating the firm ground) bethought himself that the lotus-stalk must rest on something; and having assumed the form of a boar, he dived and brought up some of the earth. This he spread out (prath) on the lotus-leaf, whence originated the earth (prithivî), which he then fastened down by means of pebbles. Hence the latter are put on the hearth in order to afford a firm foundation for the fire.

² According to the authorities of the Black Yagur-veda there are not five, but fourteen sambhâras, seven of which are taken from the earth, viz. sand, salt, a mole-hill, an ant-hill, mire from a dried-up pool, pebbles, and gold; while the remaining seven consist of *pieces of wood from the arvattha, udumbara, palâsa* (? two pieces), *samî*, and *vikankata* trees, and from *some tree that has been struck by lightning*. The sprinkling of water about the fire-place is not counted by them as a sambhâra, but as one of the usual acts of lustration. Taitt. Br. I, 1, 3 seq.

13. Now, as to this, they say, 'Six seasons there are in the year.' And in that case the very deficiency (nyûna) itself is rendered a productive union¹, since it is from the lower 'part (nyûna, i.e. of the body) that offspring is here brought forth. Thus also a progressive improvement² (is assured to the sacrificer): for this reason there are five equipments. And when (it is nevertheless insisted on that) there are six seasons in the year, then Agni is the sixth of them, and thus there is no deficiency.

14. Here also they say, 'He should not equip it even with a single equipment!' For (they argue) all those (objects) are on this earth, and hence, when he establishes the fire on this earth, the latter of itself obtains all those equipments: he need not, therefore, equip it with a single equipment. But let him nevertheless bring (those objects) together; for when he establishes the fire on this (earth), then it obtains all the equipments: and what (benefit) accrues from the equipments being brought together, that also accrues to it³. Let him for that reason bring (the objects) together.

¹ Or, a deficient pairing is effected (on account of the uneven number). I do not quite understand Sâyana's interpretation of the passage, the published text of the commentary being apparently corrupt in one or two places. The Kâṇva text reads: *Tad âhuḥ shad vâ ritavaḥ samvatsarasyeti yadi vai shaḥ ritavaḥ samvatsarasya nyûnam u vai pragananam nyûnâd vâ imâḥ pragâḥ pragâyante*, &c.

² Literally, 'a prevailing (or advancing) better-to-morrow,' *svaḥ-sreyasam uttarâvat*.

³ The drift of the author's reasoning evidently is that it is safer, by putting those objects on the fire-place, to make sure of the magic benefits of those symbols being really secured to the fire, and thereby to the sacrificer. The Kâṇva text of this paragraph, though differently worded, yields the same sense; except that it refers to

SECOND BRĀHMANA.

1. He may set up the two fires¹ under the *Kṛittikās*; for they, the *Kṛittikās*, are doubtless Agni's asterism, so that if he sets up his fires under Agni's asterism, (he will bring about) a correspondence (between his fires and the asterism): for this reason he may set up his fires under the *Kṛittikās*.

2. Moreover, the other lunar asterisms (consist of) one, two, three or four (stars), so that the *Kṛittikās* are the most numerous (of asterisms)²: hence he thereby obtains an abundance. For this reason he may set up his fires under the *Kṛittikās*.

3. And again, they do not move away from the eastern quarter, whilst the other asterisms do move from the eastern quarter. Thus his (two fires) are established in the eastern quarter: for this reason he may set up his fires under the *Kṛittikās*.

4. On the other hand (it is argued) why he should not set up the fires under the *Kṛittikās*. Originally, namely, the latter were the wives of the Bears (*riksha*); for the seven *Rīshis*³ were in former times

the sacrificer himself and to the wishes he entertains in collecting the objects.

¹ That is, the *Gārhapatya* and *Āhavanīya*, the two principal fires.

² Whilst the *Kṛittikās*, or Pleiades, are supposed to consist of seven (or, according to others, of six) stars, the remaining twenty-six nakshatras or lunar mansions, according to our author, vary between one and four stars. Hence the *Kṛittikās* are also called *Bahulās*, 'the numerous.' In the later accounts, however, a larger number of stars is attributed to several nakshatras. Cf. Weber, *Nakshatra*, II, pp. 368, 381. The *Kāṇva* text has: 'Other nakshatras are (i. e. consist of) four; and there is here an abundance, so that he thereby obtains abundance.'

³ *Saptarshi*, or the seven *Rīshis*, is the designation of the

called the *Rikshas* (bears). They were, however, precluded from intercourse (with their husbands), for the latter, the seven *Rishis*, rise in the north, and they (the *Kṛittikās*) in the east. Now it is a misfortune for one to be precluded from intercourse (with his wife): he should therefore not set up his fires under the *Kṛittikās*, lest he should thereby be precluded from intercourse.

5. But he may nevertheless set up (his fire under the *Kṛittikās*); for Agni doubtless is their mate, and it is with Agni that they have intercourse: for this reason he may set up (the fire under the *Kṛittikās*).

6. He may also set up his fires under (the asterism of *Rohini*). For under *Rohini* it was that *Pragāpati*, when desirous of progeny (or creatures), set up his fires. He created beings, and the creatures produced by him remained invariable and constant¹, like (red) cows (*rohini*): hence the cow-like nature of *Rohini*. Rich in cattle and offspring therefore he becomes whosoever, knowing this, sets up his fires under *Rohini*.

7. Under *Rohini*, indeed, the cattle set up their fires, thinking that they might attain to (*ruh*) the desire (or love) of men. They did attain to the

constellation of *Ursa Major*, or the Wain. In the *Rig-veda*, *rīkshāḥ* (bears) occurs once (I, 24, 10), either in the same restricted sense, or in that of stars generally.

¹ 'Tā asya *pragāḥ srishā* *ekarūpā upastabdhās tasthū rohinya iva*.' The *Kāṇva* text reads: *Tam imāḥ pragāḥ srishā rohinya ivopastabdhās tasthur ekarūpā iva*. *Sāyana* interprets *upastabdhāḥ* ('propped up, erect, established') by '*pratibaddhagātayaḥ* (of continuous lineage),' and *ekarūpāḥ* ('uniform') by '*avikkhin-napravāhāḥ* (of uninterrupted flow or succession).' In *Taitt. Br.* I, 1, 2, 2, it is stated that *Pragāpati* created Agni under (the asterism) *Rohini*, and that the gods then set up that fire under the same asterism.

desire of men; and whatever desire the cattle then obtained in regard to men, that same desire he obtains, in regard to cattle, whosoever, knowing this, sets up his fire under Rohinī.

8. He may also set up his fires under (the asterism of) *Mrigasirsha*. For *Mrigasirsha*, indeed, is the head of *Pragâpati*¹; and the head (*siras*) means excellence (*sri*), for the head does indeed mean excellence: hence they say of him who is the most excellent (*sreshtha*) of a community, that he is the head of that community. Excellence therefore he attains whosoever, knowing this, sets up his fire under *Mrigasirsha*.

9. On the other hand (it is argued) why one should not set up his fire under *Mrigasirsha*². The latter, indeed, is *Pragâpati*'s body. Now, when they (the gods) on that occasion pierced him³ with what is called 'the three-knotted arrow,' he abandoned that

¹ For the mythical allusions in this and the succeeding paragraphs, we have to compare *Sat. Br.* I, 7, 4, 1; *Ait. Br.* III, 33. According to the version of the myth given in the latter work, *Pragâpati* transformed himself into a roe-buck (*risya*) and approached his own daughter (either the sky, or the dawn), who had assumed the shape of a doe (*rohit*). Out of their most fearful forms the gods then fashioned a divine being called *Bhûtavat* (i. e. *Rudra*), in order to punish *Pragâpati* for his incestuous deed. The latter was accordingly pierced by *Bhûtavat*'s arrow and bounded up to the sky, where he became the constellation called *Mriga* (i. e. *Mrigasirsha*), while his daughter became the asterism *Rohinī*. The arrow on the other hand, with which *Pragâpati* was pierced, became the constellation called 'the three-knotted arrow (perhaps the girdle of Orion).'

² The Black Yagur does not recommend this asterism for the performance of *agnyâdheya*.

³ The *Kânva* text reads, 'When, on that occasion, that god. (viz. *Rudra*) pierced him with the three-knotted arrow.'

body, for the body is a mere relic (or dwelling, *vāstu*), unholy and sapless. He should therefore not set up his fires under *Mrigaśrsha*.

10. But he may, nevertheless, set them up (under *Mrigaśrsha*). For, assuredly, the body of that god, *Pragâpati*, is neither a relic nor unholy¹: he may therefore set up (his fires under *Mrigaśrsha*). 'Under the *Punarvasû* he should perform the *Punarâdheya*²,' thus (it is prescribed).

11. He may also set up his fires under the *Phalgunis*. They, the *Phalgunis*, are *Indra's asterism*³, and even correspond to him in name; for indeed *Indra* is also called *Arṇuna*, this being his mystic name; and they (the *Phalgunis*) are also called *Arṇunis*. Hence he overtly calls them *Phalgunis*, for who dares⁴ to use his (the god's) mystic name? Moreover, the sacrificer himself is *Indra*, so that he in that case sets up his fires under his own asterism. *Indra* is the deity of the sacrifice; and accordingly his *Agnyâdheya* is thereby brought

¹ Na vâ etasya devasya *vāstu nâyagñiyam* na sarîram asti.—Na vai tasya *vāstu* na *nivîryam nâyagñiyam* asti, 'for the relic of that (god) is neither sapless nor impure.' Kāṇva recension.

² I.e. the repetition of the *âdheya*, or setting up of his fires, a ceremony which has to be performed in the event of the *âdheya* having proved unsuccessful; that is, in case he should not have prospered or even sustained losses. The direction has been inserted in this place on account of the position of *Punarvasû*, as the fifth mansion, between *Mrigaśrsha*, the third, and (*Pûrva* and *Uttara*) *Phalgunis*, the ninth and tenth mansions, in the original order of the *nakshatras*.

³ In *Taitt. Br. I, 1, 2, 4*, the *Pûrve Phalgunî* are assigned to *Aryaman*, and the *Uttare Phalgunî* to *Bhaga*. While, however, both these asterisms are there recommended for the *agnyâdheya*, the *Pûrve Phalgunî* are rejected as unsuitable further on, in par. 8 (? a later addition).

into relation with Indra. He may set up the fires under the first (Pûrva-phalgunis)—whereby an advancing (successful) sacrifice accrues to him; or he may set them up under the second (Uttara-phalgunis)—whereby a progressive (uttarâvat) improvement accrues to him.

12. Let him set up his fires under the asterism Hasta¹, whosoever should wish that (presents) should be offered him: then indeed (that will take place) forthwith; for whatever is offered with the hand (hasta), that indeed is given to him.

13. He may also set up his fires under Kîtrâ. Now the gods and the Asuras, both of them sprung from Pragâpati, were contending for superiority. Both parties were desirous of rising to yonder world, the sky. The Asuras then constructed the fire (altar) called rauhiṇa (fit to ascend by), thinking, 'Thereby we shall ascend (â-ruh) to the sky.'

¹ In the Taitt. Br. this asterism is not mentioned as suitable for the agnyâdheya. The Ârv. S. II, 1, 10 omits both Hasta and Kîtrâ; but permits the asterisms Virâkhe and Uttare Proshthapade.

² In Taitt. Br. I, 1, 2, 4-6 this myth is related as follows: 'There were Asuras, named Kâlakaṅgas. They constructed a fire (altar) with a view to (gaining) the world of heaven. They put, every man of them, a brick to it. Indra, passing himself off for a Brâhman, put a brick on for himself, saying, "This one, Kîtra (the wonderful or bright one) by name, is for me!" They climbed up to heaven; Indra, however, pulled out his brick, and they tumbled down. And they who tumbled down, became spiders: two of them flew up, and they became the two heavenly dogs.' On this myth, Dr. A. Kuhn, 'Über entwickelungsstufen der mythenbildung,' p. 129, remarks: 'The myth given in Homer's Od. xi, 305-325, of Otos and Ephialtes, who, in order to fight the immortal gods, piled Ossa on Olympos, and Pelion on Ossa, ὡς εἰπεύς ἀμβροτῶν, and who are destroyed by Apollon, shows an obvious resemblance to these Indian myths; the more so, if we divest the latter of their Brâhmanical form, by which altar-bricks are sub-

14. Indra then considered¹, 'If they construct that (fire-altar), they will certainly prevail over us.' He secured a brick and proceeded thither, passing himself off for a Brāhman.

15. 'Hark ye!' he said, 'I, too, will put on this (brick) for myself!' 'Very well,' they replied. He put it on. That fire (altar) of theirs wanted but very little to be completely built up,—

16. When he said, 'I shall take back this (brick) which belongs to me.' He took hold of it and pulled it out; and on its being pulled out, the fire-altar fell down; and along with the falling fire-altar the Asuras fell down. He then converted those bricks into thunderbolts and clove the (Asuras') necks.

17. Thereupon the gods assembled and said, 'Wonderfully (kītram) indeed it has fared with us who have slain so many enemies!' Hence the wonderful nature (kītrātva)² of the asterism

stituted for mountains; and if we bear in mind that the later versions of the myth, e. g. in the well-known passage of Ovid, put the Gigantes in the place of the Aloades.' See also Weber, *Nakshatra*, II, p. 372.

¹ The Kāṇva text here proceeds thus: The gods then were afraid and said, 'If those (Asuras) complete (samāsyanti) that (fire-altar), they will prevail over us.' Then Indra having fastened a brick with the lightning-band (ārkeṇa dāmnā) went thither passing himself off for a Brāhman. He said, 'I, too, will put on this (brick) for myself.' They said, 'On then (upa hi)!' He put it on. That (fire-altar) wanted but very little to be built up, when he said, 'I shall take this (brick) which is mine.' 'Take it then (ā hi)!' they said. Then seizing it (tām abhihāya) he pulled it out. On its being pulled out the fire-altar tumbled down. On the fire-altar having tumbled down he made thunderbolts with those bricks and smote those (Asuras). Then the gods prevailed and the Asuras were worsted, &c.

² Or, perhaps, its identity with (Indra's brick) Kītrā; cf. preceding note.

Kitrā ; and verily wonderfully it fares with him, and he slays his rivals, his spiteful enemy, whosoever, knowing this, sets up his fires under *Kitrā*. A Kshatriya, therefore, should especially desire to take advantage of this asterism ; since such a one is anxious to strike, to vanquish his enemies.

18. Originally these (nakshatras) were so many different powers (kshatra), just as that sun yonder. But as soon as he rose, he took from them (ā-dā) their energy, their power ; therefore he (the sun) is called *Āditya*, because he took from them their energy, their power¹.

19. The gods then said, 'They who have been powers, shall no longer (na) be powers (kshatra)²!' Hence the powerlessness (na-kshatratvam) of the nakshatras. For this reason also one³ need only take the sun for one's nakshatra (star), since he took away from them their energy, their power. But if he (the sacrificer) should nevertheless be desirous of having a nakshatra (under which to set up his fires), then assuredly that sun is a faultless nakshatra for him ; and through that auspicious day (marked by the rising and setting of the sun) he should endeavour to obtain the benefits of whichever of those asterisms he might desire. Let him therefore take the sun alone for his nakshatra³.

¹ The *Kāṇva* text reads: *Tāni ha vā etāni kshatrāni nānaiva tepur yathāsau vā sūryas kṣandramā vā ; teshām hodyann evādityaḥ kshatram vīryam tegah pralulopa, tad vañhām ādade.*

² This etymology of nakshatra is of course quite fanciful. For Aufrecht's probably correct derivation of the word from *nakta-tra*, 'night-protector,' cf. *Zeitschrift für vergl. Sprachf.*, VIII, pp. 71, 72. See also Weber, *Nakshatra*, II, p. 268.

³ The *Kāṇva* text reads: *Tasmān na nakshatram ādriyeta yadaivaisha kadā kodīyād apy ādadhītaisha hi sarvāni kshatrāni ;*

THIRD BRÂHMAṆA.

1. The spring, the summer, and the rains, these seasons (represent) the gods; and the autumn, the winter, and the dewy season represent the fathers. That half-moon which increases represents the gods, and that which decreases represents the fathers. The day represents the gods, and the night represents the fathers. And, further, the forenoon represents the gods, and the afternoon the fathers.

2. Those seasons, then, are the gods and the fathers; and whosoever, knowing this, invokes them as the gods and fathers, with his invocation of the gods the gods comply, and with his invocation of the fathers the fathers comply. Him the gods favour at his invocation of the gods, and him the fathers favour at his invocation of the fathers, whosoever, knowing this, invokes (the seasons) as the gods and fathers.

3. Now when he (the sun) moves northwards, then he is among the gods, then he guards the gods; and when he moves southwards, then he is among the fathers, then he guards the fathers¹.

4. When he (the sun) moves northwards, then one may set up his fires;—the gods have the evil dis-

yadyu nakshatrakâmaḥ syâd upo âsita nakshatram ahâsya bhavatu no etasyânudayo 'sti tasmâd v apy upaina(m â)sita, 'he need therefore not attend to any nakshatra; but may set up his fires at any time when that (sun) rises, for he (the sun) is all the kshatras. Should he nevertheless be desirous of a nakshatra, let him approach (the sun) with veneration; for then there is a nakshatra for him, and that (sun) does not fail to rise: for this reason let him approach (the sun) with veneration.'

¹ According to the Kâṇva text, it is the rising sun, that guards the god-seasons and father-seasons respectively.

pelled from them (by the sun): he (the sacrificer) therefore dispels the evil from himself;—the gods are immortal: he therefore, though there is for him no prospect of immortality, attains the (full measure of) life, whosoever sets up his fires during that time. Whosoever, on the other hand, sets up his fires when (the sun) moves southwards, he does not dispel the evil from him,—since the fathers have not the evil dispelled from them (by the sun). The fathers are mortal: hence he dies before (he has attained the full measure of) life, whosoever sets up his fires during that time.

5. The spring is the priesthood, the summer the nobility, and the rainy season the common people (vis): a Brāhman therefore should set up his fires in spring, since the spring is the priesthood; and a Kshatriya should set them up in summer, since the summer is the nobility; and a Vaisya should set them up in the rainy season, since the rainy season is the common people.

6. And whosoever¹ desires to become endowed with holy lustre (brahmavarṇasin), let him set up his fires in spring,—for the spring is the priesthood,—and he will certainly become endowed with holy lustre.

7. And whosoever desires to become a power (kshatra)² in prosperity and renown, let him set up his fires in summer,—for the summer is the nobility (kshatra),—and he will certainly become a power in prosperity and renown.

8. And whosoever may desire to be rich in pro-

¹ I. e. whatsoever Brāhman, as the Kāṇva text reads.

² Kshatram śriyā yarasā syām iti. The Kāṇva text reads: Kshatrasya pratimā syām śriyā yaseti, 'whosoever should wish to be an image of the kshatra in wealth and glory.'

geny and cattle, let him set up his fires in the rainy season¹,—for the rainy season is the common people, and the people means food,—and he certainly becomes rich in progeny and cattle, whosoever, knowing this, sets up his fires in the rainy season.

9. [In the opinion of others] both these (classes of) seasons have the evil dispelled from them, for the sun is the dispeller of their evil, and as soon as he rises he dispels the evil from both these (classes of seasons). He should therefore set up his fires at any time, when he feels called upon to sacrifice; and should not put it off from one day to the morrow: for who knows the morrow of man²?

FOURTH BRĀHMAṆA.

1. On the day preceding his Agnyádheya, he (the sacrificer with his wife) should take his food in the day-time. For the gods know the minds of man: they are, therefore, aware that his Agnyádheya is to take place on the morrow; and all the gods betake themselves to his house and stay (upa-vas) in his house; whence this day is called upavasatha (fast-day)³.

2. Now, as it would be unbecoming for him to take food before men (who are staying with him as his guests) have eaten; how much more would it be so, if he were to take food before the gods have eaten: let him therefore take his food in the day-time. However, he may also, if he choose, take food at night,

¹ The Black Yagus recommends śarad, autumn, for the Agnyádheya in the case of a Vaisya.

² Ko hi manushyasya sva veda. The Kāṇva text has: Na vai manushyaḥ svastanam veda (veda) ko hi (!) tasmai manushyo yaḥ svastanam vidyāt, 'in truth no man knows the morrow, for what man, that knows the morrow, is there for him?'

³ See I, 1, 1, 7 seq.

since the observance of the vow is not necessary for him who has not performed Agnyādheya. For so long as he has not set up a (sacrificial) fire of his own, he is merely a mán, and may therefore, if he choose, take food at night.

3. Here now some tie up a ~~he-goat~~¹, arguing that the goat is sacred to Agni and that (this is done) for the completeness of the fire. But he need not do this. Should he possess a he-goat, let him present it to the Āgnīdhra on the next morning; for it is thereby that he obtains the object he desires. He need, therefore, take no notice of that (practice).

4. They² then cook a rice-pap sufficient for (the) four (priests) to eat. 'Hereby we gratify the metres,' so they say, arguing that this is done in the same way as if one were to order a team, which he is going to use for driving, to be well fed. He need not, however, do this: for indeed that same wish (which he entertains in so doing) he obtains by the very fact that Brāhmans, be they sacrificial priests or not, are residing in his family (kula)³: he need, therefore, take no notice of that (practice).

5. Having then made a hollow in it (the pap) for

¹ This practice is perhaps the remnant of a former animal offering. See I. 2, 3, 6, where the goat is mentioned as the last of the animals meet for sacrifice.

² That is, as would seem, those ritualists who maintain that a goat should be tied up for that night. The Kāṇva text reads, 'Here some cook that night that *kātuḥprāś* rice-pap, saying (*vadantaḥ*), "Hereby we gratify the metres."' According to the Paddhati on Kāty IV, 8, the quotation 'Hereby we gratify the metres' seems to form the last of the formulas pronounced by the sacrificer, while washing the feet of the priests and offering them food.

³ 'The fulfilment of that wish he obtains through Brahman, whether officiating priests or not, staying in his house (kula) and taking food there.' Kāṇva text.

clarified butter to be poured in, and having poured clarified butter into it, they anoint three sticks of arvattha wood with this butter and put them on the fire with the (three) *R̥ik*-verses containing the words 'kindling-stick (*samidh*)' and 'butter (*ghṛita*)¹,' arguing that thereby they obtain what has grown out of a *samī*². It is, however, only by (daily) putting (three kindling-sticks) on the fire for a whole year previous (to the *Ādhāna*) that one obtains that object : let him therefore take no notice of that (practice).

6. And on this point Bhāllabeya remarked, 'If he were to cook that rice-pap, this would assuredly

¹ The three verses containing the words *samidh* and *ghṛita* are *Vāg. S. III, 1, 3, 4*. *Taitt. Br. I, 2, 1, 9-10* has them in the order 1, 4, 3; and does not give the verse *Vāg. S. III, 2* (*Rig-veda V, 5, 1*). As neither version of our *Brāhmaṇa* makes any mention of this verse, it may be doubted whether originally it formed part of the *Saṃhitā*. According to *Kāty. IV, 8, 5-6* he (the *Adhvaryu*) is to put on (the three kindling-sticks) with *Vāg. S. III, 1*, &c., one verse with each stick; whereupon he (the sacrificer, according to the commentary,) is to mutter *III, 4*; and according to *ib. 7* 'the *Adhvaryu* optionally mutters the second.' The *Paddhati* reconciles the different statements thus: he takes the sticks, rises and puts the first on the fire with *III, 1*; then sitting down he mutters *III, 2*; thereupon he again rises and puts on the second with *III, 3*, and the third with *III, 4*. The commentator, however, alludes to differences of practice in different schools as to this point.

² The sacrificial fire, to be set up at the *Ādheya*, should probably be produced by means of two pieces of *arvattha* wood which has grown out of a *samī* tree. *Sāyana* remarks that the ritualists referred to in our passage consider that the cooking of the rice-pap takes place, not with the view of the latter being eaten by the priests, but merely to afford an opportunity for putting the kindling-sticks on the fire, and thereby securing to the sacrificer the benefits that would have accrued to him from the above mode of ignition. This view, however, is not countenanced by our author, who, on the contrary, favours the daily cooking of a mess of rice-pap for the four priests for a twelvemonth preceding the *Agnyādhēya*, as a substitute for the production of the fire by friction. See *Kāty. IV, 8, 11* (and *Paddhati*).

be a mistake, just as if one were to do one thing, while intending to do another; or if one were to say one thing, while intending to say another; or if one were to go one way, while intending to go another.' And, indeed, it is not proper that they should either carry to the south, or extinguish, that fire on which a kindling-stick is put, or an oblation made, with a *rik* or a *sāman* or a *yagus*. Now they do indeed either take it to the south with the view of its becoming the *Anvāhāryapaśana* (or *Dakshināgni*), or (if there is to be no *Dakshināgni*) they extinguish it¹.

7. Thereupon they² remain awake (during that night). The gods are awake: so that he thereby draws nigh to the gods, and sets up his fires as one more godly, more subdued, more endowed with holy fervour (*tapas*). He may, however, sleep, if he choose, since the observance of the vow is not necessary for him who has not performed *Agnyādheya*. For so long as he has not set up a (sacrificial) fire of his own, he is a mere man; and he may, therefore, sleep, if he choose.

8. Now some churn (the fire)³ before sunrise and

¹ His argument seems to be that, since the cooking of the rice-pap involves the putting on of consecrated sticks with sacrificial formulas, one is not to cook the pap because that same fire will afterwards have to be extinguished or to be taken to the *Dakshināgni* hearth. The passage is, however, far from clear to me.

² Viz. the ritualists referred to; that is to say, they make the sacrificer and his wife remain awake all night. *Sāyana* takes *gāgrati* to stand for *gāgarti*, 'he, the sacrificer, remains awake.' The *Kāṇva* text, however, has, 'Here now they say, he should remain awake that night.'

³ The production of the sacred fire by means of two sticks (*arani*) of the *arvattha* (*Ficus Religiosa*) is thus described by *Stevenson*, 'Translation of the *Sāma Veda*,' pref. p. vii: 'The process by which fire is obtained from wood is called churning, as it resembles

take it eastwards (from the Gārhapatya to the Āhavanīya) after sunrise, arguing that thereby they secure both the day and the night for the obtainment of out-breathing and in-breathing, of mind and speech. But let him not do so; for when they thus churn (the fire) before sunrise, and take it eastwards after sunrise, both his (fires) are in reality set up before sunrise. By churning the Āhavanīya after sunrise he will obtain that (combination of blessings).

9. The gods, assuredly, are the day. The fathers have not the evil dispelled from them (by the sun); (and accordingly) he (the sacrificer) does not dispel the evil (if he churns the fire before sunrise). The fathers are mortal; and verily he who churns the fire before the rising of the sun, dies before (he has attained his full measure of) life. The gods have the evil dispelled from them (by the sun): hence he (the sacrificer) dispels the evil (from himself, if he churn after sunrise). The gods are immortal; and—though there is for him no prospect of immortality—he attains (the full measure of life). The gods are bliss, and bliss he obtains; the gods are glorious, and glorious he will be, whosoever, knowing this, churns (the fire) after the rising of the sun.

that by which butter in India is separated from milk. The New-Hollanders obtain fire from a similar process. It consists in drilling one piece of arani wood into another by pulling a string tied to it with a jerk with the one hand, while the other is slackened, and so alternately till the wood takes fire. The fire is received on cotton or flax held in the hand of an assistant Brahman.' On the mythological associations of the agni-manthana, especially with the Teutonic need-fire and the myth of Prometheus; and those of the arvattha tree, grown out of a samī, with the mountain-ash (roun-tree, rowan-tree, witch-elm, witchen, witch-hazel, witch-wood; eber-esche), see A. Kuhn's epoch-making essay, 'Ueber die Herabkunft des Feuers und des Göttertranks.'

10. Here now they say, 'If the fire is not set up with either a *ṛk*-verse, or a *sāman*, or a *yagus*, wherewith then is it set up?' Verily, that (fire) is of the brahman: with the brahman it is set up. The brahman is speech: of that speech it is. The brahman is the truth, and the truth consists in those same (three) mystic utterances: hence his (fire) is established by means of the truth.

11. Verily, with '*bhūh*!' (earth)! 'Pragāpati generated this (earth)¹; with '*bhuva*!' (ether)! the ether; with '*sva*!' (heaven)! the sky. As far as these (three) worlds extend, so far extends this universe: with the universe it (the fire) is accordingly established.

12. With '*bhūh*!' Pragāpati generated the Brahman (priesthood); with '*bhuva*!' the Kshatra (nobility); with '*sva*!' the Vis (the common people). As much as are the Brahman, the Kshatra, and the Vis, so much is this universe: with the universe it (the fire) is accordingly established.

13. With '*bhūh*!' Pragāpati generated the Self; with '*bhuva*!' the (human) race; with '*sva*!' the animals (*pasu*). As much as are the Self, the (human) race, and the animals, so much is this universe: with the universe it (the fire) is accordingly established.

14. '*Bhūr bhuva*!' this much he utters while laying down the Gārhapatya fire; for if he were to lay it down with all (three words), wherewith should he lay down the Ahavanīya? Two syllables²

¹ Compare XI, 1, 6, 3.

² Viz. *sva*h, pronounced *su-va*h. In laying down the Gārhapatya he utters the first two words, consisting of three syllables; and in laying down the Ahavanīya he pronounces all three words, consisting of five syllables.

he leaves over, and thereby those (five syllables) become of renewed efficacy; and with all the five syllables—'Bhûr bhuvaḥ svaḥ'—he lays down the Ahavanīya. Thus result eight syllables; for of eight syllables consists the gāyatrī, and the gāyatrī is Agni's metre: he thus establishes that (fire) by means of its own metre.

15. Now when the gods were about to set up their fires, the Asuras and Rakshas forbade them, saying, 'The fire shall not be produced; ye shall not set up your fires!' and because they thus forbade (raksh) them, they are called Rakshas.

16. The gods then perceived this thunderbolt, to wit, the horse. They made it stand before them, and in its safe and foeless shelter the fire was produced. For this reason let him (the Adhvaryu) direct (the Āgnidhra) to lead the horse to where he is about to churn the fire. It stands in front of him¹: he thus raises the thunderbolt, and in its safe and foeless shelter the fire is produced.

17. Let it be one used as a leader²; for such a one possesses unlimited strength. Should he be unable to obtain a leader, it may be any kind of horse. Should he be unable to obtain a horse, it may also be an ox, since that (fire) is related (bandhu) to the ox³.

18. And when they carry that (fire) eastward⁴.

¹ The horse is to stand east of the Gārhapatya fire-place, with its head to the west, where behind the khara, the Adhvaryu is about to produce the fire.

² Pūrvavah, 'drawing in front,' i.e. a young (newly-harnessed) horse. The term may also mean 'conveying eastwards,' whence it is probably used here; cf. Taitt. Br. I, 1, 5, 6.

³ See XIII, 8, 4, 6, where the ox is said to be sacred to Agni (Āgneya). See also p. 292, note 1; and I, 2, 3, 6.

⁴ The following particulars, not alluded to by our author, have

they lead the horse in front of it; so that, in proceeding in front of it, it wards off from it the evil spirits, the Rakshas; and they carry it (to the Âhavanīya) safely and unmolested by evil spirits.

19. Let them carry it (the fire) in such wise that it turns back towards him (the sacrificer); for, assuredly, that fire is the (means of) sacrifice, and it is in the direction of him (the sacrificer) that the sacrifice enters him, that the sacrifice readily inclines to him. And, verily, from whomsoever it (the fire) turns away, from him the sacrifice also turns away; and if any one were to curse him, saying, 'May the sacrifice turn away from him!' then he would indeed be liable to fare thus.

20. Moreover, that (fire) is the (sacrificer's) breath: let them therefore carry it in such wise that it turns

to be supplied here from Kāty. IV, 8, 29 seq., and the commentaries: As soon as fire has been obtained from the two pieces of wood, [it is placed in a pan and covered with dry, powdered gomaya; and] the sacrificer blows it with 'Breath I bestow on the immortal;' and the well-kindled flame he inhales with 'The immortal I bestow on the breath' (see II, 2, 2, 15). The fire is then set ablaze with fire-wood and laid down on the newly-made Gārhapatya hearth-mound with '[Om!] Bhûr bhuvah svah!' (Vâg. S. III, 5); and with 'I lay thee down, O Lord of Vows (vratapati), with the law (vrata) of N. N?'—the gotra-name being inserted in the case of the Bhṛigus and Âṅgiras; and those of different Rishis or gods and divine beings in that of others. At the sacrificer's bidding the Brahman or Adhvaryu then chants the Rathantara-sâman (cf. p. 196, note 2). Then follows the uddharana or taking out fire from the Gārhapatya for the Âhavanīya. A bundle of wood is lighted at the lower ends on the Gārhapatya and placed in a pan on an underlayer of clay. It is then carried eastwards in such a way that the smoke is directed towards the sacrificer following it; the horse being led in front of the fire. At the starting of the procession the Brahman, at the Adhvaryu's call, chants the Vâmadevya-sâman.

back towards him ; for it is in the direction of him that the breath enters into him. And, verily, from whomsoever it (the fire) turns away, from him the breath also turns away ; and if in that case any one were to curse him, saying, ' May the breath turn away from him ! ' then he would indeed be liable to fare thus.

21. And, verily, the sacrifice is yonder blowing (wind). Let them, therefore, carry it in such wise that it¹ turns towards him ; for it is in the direction of him that the sacrifice enters him, that the sacrifice readily inclines to him. And from whomsoever it turns away, from him the sacrifice also turns away ; and if any one were to curse him, saying, ' May the sacrifice turn away from him ! ' then he would indeed be liable to fare thus.

22. And, verily, that (fire) is the (sacrificer's) breath. Let them, therefore, carry it in such wise that it turns towards him ; for it is in the direction of him that the breath enters into him. And from whomsoever it (the fire) turns away ; from him the breath also turns away ; and if any one were to curse him, saying, ' May the breath turn away from him ! ' he would indeed be liable to fare thus.

23. He (the Adhvaryu) then makes the horse step on (the Āhavantya fire-place)². When he has made it step on it, he leads it out towards the east, makes it turn round again (from left to right) and lets it

¹ Viz. the wind indicated by the backward-turned flame of the fire, as it is carried eastwards to the Āhavantya.

² The Adhvaryu sits down and makes the horse put its right fore-foot on the recently prepared hearth-mound. Having then led it eastwards and turned it round, he calls on the Brahman to chant the *Bṛihat-sāman* (see p. 196, note 2).

stand there facing the west. The horse doubtless represents strength: hence he makes it turn round again in order that this strength shall not turn away from him (the sacrificer).¹

24. He lays that (fire) down on the horse's foot-print¹; for the horse represents strength, so that he thereby lays it down on strength: for this reason he lays it down on the horse's foot-print.

25. In the first place he silently touches (the foot-print with the burning fire-wood). He then lifts it up and touches once more with it; and at the third time he lays it down with (Vāg. S. III, 5). 'Earth! ether! heaven!' For there are three worlds indeed; so that he thereby obtains these (three) worlds. This now is one (mode of laying down the fire).

26. Then there is this other. Silently he touches (the foot-print with it) in the first place; he then lifts it up, and at the second time lays it down with 'Earth! ether! heaven!' For he who wants to lift a load without having a firm footing on this (earth), cannot lift it; nay, it crushes him.

27. Now, when he touches it silently he thereby takes a firm footing on this resting-place; and having obtained a firm footing on it, he lays down (the fire): and thus he wavers not. Here now Āsuri, Pāṇḍi, and Mādhuki held it (the fire) slightly to the back (or west of the fire-place)². 'For,' they argued, 'everything else (that is on the hearth)

¹ Taitt. Br. I, 1, 5, 9. on the contrary, forbids the fire to be laid down on the horse's foot-print, as the sacrificer's cattle is thereby surrendered to Rudra. Moreover, the horse is there made to step beside, not upon, the hearth-mound.

² The Kāṇva text reads: Tad v Āsuriḥ Pāṇḍir Mādhukir iti dadhīre, 'here now they held it thus.'

becomes, as it were, relaxed (on being touched by the fire): he should therefore, after holding it up, lay it down at the first (touching) with "Earth! ether! heaven!" for thus no relaxation takes place.' Let him then do this in whichever way he may deem proper.

28. He (the sacrificer) then goes round to the east side (of the fire), and taking hold of the top part of the burning sticks he mutters (Vāg. S. III, 5): 'Like unto the sky in plenty, like unto the earth in greatness!' When he says, 'Like unto the sky in plenty,' he means to say, 'Like as yonder sky is plenteous with stars, so may I become plenteous!' and when he says, 'Like unto the earth in greatness,' he means to say, 'As great as this earth is so great may I become!'—'On that back of thine, O Earth, that art meet for the worship of the gods'—for on her back he lays down that (fire)—'I lay down Agni, the eater of food, for the obtainment of food.' Agni is an eater of food: 'May I become an eater of food,' this is what he thereby says. This is a prayer for blessing,—he may mutter it, if he choose; or, if he choose, he may omit it.

29. He stands worshipping by (the fire) while muttering the (three) *Rik*-verses of the queen of serpents (Vāg. S. III, 6-8)¹,—'Hither has come that spotted bull and has settled down before the mother; and before the father on going up to heaven.—She moves along through the luminous spheres, breathing forth from his breath: the mighty (bull) has illumined

¹ These verses form the hymn Rig-veda X, 189, the authorship of which is ascribed to the queen of serpents (either Kadrū, or the earth, according to Mahidhara).

the sky.—He rules over the thirty domains ; and song is bestowed on the winged one, yea, with the light at the break of day !' Thus he recites ; and whatever (benefit) has not been obtained by him either through the equipments, or through the asterisms, or through the seasons, or through the laying down of the fire, all that is thereby obtained by him ; and for this reason he stands worshipping by (the fire), while muttering the verses of the queen of serpents.

30. They say, however, that one need not stand by (the fire) worshipping with the verses of the queen of serpents. For the queen of serpents, they argue, is this earth ; and accordingly when he lays down the fire on her, he thereby obtains all his desires : hence he need not stand by (the fire) worshipping with the verses of the queen of serpents.

SECOND ADHYĀYA. FIRST BRĀHMAṆA.

THE OBLATIONS.

1. When he has taken out the Āhavanīya fire¹, he performs the Full-offering². The reason why

¹ Previously to the performance of the full-offering, the other fires (if there are any more) are laid down. An integral part of the laying down of the Sabhya, or hall-fire, which seems to have been kept up only by Kshatriyas, is a game of dice, played by the priests, with a cow, offered by the sacrificer, for the stake. On an ox-hide, spread north of the sacrificial ground, they place a brass vessel upside down, and on it throw four times five cowries (or, if such are not to be had, five sticks) with 'Even I win, uneven thou art won (or defeated) !'

² The pūrṇāhuti, or 'full-offering,' is an oblation of a spoonful of clarified butter. Kāty. IV. 10, 5, and comm., supply the following particulars, applying to all ordinary guhoti-offerings: He puts butter into the butter-pot and places it on the Gārhapatya to

he performs the full-offering is that he thereby causes that Agni to become an eater of food for his own self; that he thereby offers food to him. Even as (a mother or cow) would offer the breast to a new-born child or calf, so does he thereby offer food to him.

2. And having been appeased by that food, he (Agni) waits patiently for the other oblations to be cooked. If, on the other hand, that oblation were not to be offered up in him, he would ere long burn either the Adhvaryu or the sacrificer, for these two pass nearest by him. This is the reason why he makes this offering.

3. He offers it (with a) full (spoon); for the full doubtless means the All (universe), so that he thereby appeases him with the All. He offers it with 'Svāhā!' for the Svāhā is undefined, and undefined also is the All, so that he thereby appeases him by means of the All.

4. The first offering which Pragāpati made, he made with 'Svāhā!' Now that (offering) indeed is virtually the same as this one; and hence he (the sacrificer) also makes it with 'Svāhā!' At this (offering) he grants a boon (to the priests)¹; but

melt. Having then wiped the dipping-spoon (sruva) and offering-spoon (guhū) with sacrificial grass in the manner described at I, 3, 1, 6 seq., and taken the butter-pot off the fire, and strained the butter with the two stalks of darbha serving as strainers, he fills the guhu with the sruva. He now takes one stick, steps over to the north side of the Āhavanīya fire, strews grass around it, and puts the stick on the fire. He then sits down with bent right knee, and, while the sacrificer takes hold of him from behind, he pours the spoonful of butter into the fire with 'Svāhā!' the sacrificer pronouncing the dedicatory formula (tyāga), 'This to Agni!'

¹ After the full-offering the sacrificer breaks the silence, imposed on him, by the words, 'I give a boon,' Kāty. IV, 10, 6; presents,

a boon (may mean) everything, so that he thereby appeases him (Agni) with everything.

5. Here now they say, 'When he has made this offering, he need not attend to the subsequent oblations; for by this offering he obtains that wish for which he takes out the subsequent oblations.'

6. He takes out (material for an oblation)¹ to Agni Pavamāna (the Blowing)². Now the blowing one is the breath, so that he thereby puts breath into him (the sacrificer). And this he puts into him by means of this (offering); for breath means food, and this offering also is food.

according to the commentary, being then made to the Adhvaryu and the Brahman. This ceremony is succeeded by the silent performance of the Agnihotra.

¹ The *pūrṣāhuti*, which marks the close of the Agnyādheya proper, is followed by the Agnihotra, performed with the texts pronounced in a low voice. Not less than twelve days after the Agnyādheya (if at all)—the three fires being kept up during the interval—the young householder has to get performed for him (on the model of the new and full-moon offering, *mutatis mutandis*, there being neither the *uddharana*, or taking out of fire from the *Gārhapatya*, nor the choosing of a Brahman, &c.) the three *ishṭis* mentioned above. At the first *ishṭi*, the special *havis* (sacrificial dish) consists of a rice-cake on eight potsherds for Agni Pavamāna;—at the second of two such cakes for Agni Pāvaka and Agni Suktī respectively;—at the third of a potful of boiled rice for Aditi. The three *havis* of the first two *ishṭis* being (according to Taitt. Br. I, 1, 6, 3) considered as representing the three bodies (*tanu*) of Agni; these offerings are called *tanūhaviṣ-ishṭis*. They are, however, also called *Pavamāneṣṭis*. At these the name of the recipient (Agni Pavamāna, &c.) has to be pronounced in a low voice in the formulas used at the chief offering. The Taitt. Br. mentions, besides, the usual *Indrāgni* cake (of the new-moon sacrifice) which is to be offered before the offering to Aditi.

² *Sāyana*, on Taitt. Br. I, 1, 5, 10, takes *pavamāna* as '*puṣa*' or '*purified by himself*' (*svayam sridha*); *pāvaka* as '*purifying*'

7. He then makes offering to Agni Pāvaka (the Purifying). Now the purifying one means food, so that he thereby puts food into him (Agni, or the sacrificer). And this he puts into him by means of this (offering), for this offering is indeed food.

8. He then makes offering to Agni Sūkī (the Bright). Now brightness means vigour, so that he thereby puts vigour into him. And this he puts into him by means of this (offering); for when he offers up that oblation in him (Agni), then that vigour, that brightness of his blazes up.

9. For this reason they say, 'When he has made that (full) offering, he need not attend to any further oblations; for by this offering he obtains that wish for which he takes out the subsequent oblations.' But let him nevertheless take out the subsequent oblations; for what invisible (blessing, or meaning) there was in that (full-offering) that now becomes thus (visible).

10. Now the reason why he makes offering to Agni Pavamāna, is that the blowing one is the breath. When (the child) is born, then there is breath. And as long as it is not born, it breathes in accord with the mother's breath; but when it is born, then he thereby puts breath into it.

11. And the reason why he makes offering to Agni Pāvaka, is that the purifying one means food: hence he thereby puts food into (the child) when it is born.

12. And the reason why he makes offering to Agni Sūkī, is that brightness means vigour. Now when it (the child) grows by means of food, then there is vigour; and hence, when he has made it grow by means of food, he thereby puts into it that vigour, that brightness. This is why (he offers) to Agni Sūkī.

13. That other (practice) then is altogether erroneous¹. For when Agni passed over from the gods to men, he bethought him, 'I must not pass over to men with my whole body!'

14. He then laid down in these (three) worlds those three bodies of his. That 'blowing (pavamāna)' form of his he laid down on this earth, that 'purifying (pāvaka)' one in the ether, and that 'bright (sukī)' one in the sky. Now the *Rishis* then existing became aware of this: 'Agni has not come to us with his whole body,' they said. They then prepared those oblations for him.

15. Now when he makes offering to Agni Pavamāna, he thereby obtains that form of his (Agni's) which he laid down on this earth; and when he makes offering to Agni Pāvaka, he thereby obtains that form of his which he laid down in the ether; and when he makes offering to Agni Sukī, he thereby obtains that form of his which he laid down in the sky; and thus he lays down the entire Agni unmutilated. For this reason also he should take out the oblations subsequent (to the full-offering).

16. The first oblation has a barhis (altar-covering of sacrificial grass) to itself; the two following ones have one barhis in common. Now the first oblation represents this world, the second one that ether, and the third one the sky. But this earth is compact; and the ether and yonder sky are, as it were, trembling; and in order that these two may counter-balance that (earth), the (last) two (oblations) have one barhis in common.

¹ Viz. the practice of performing the full-offering only, see par. 5. The *Kāṇva* text reads: *Tad vā etat samānam eva sad viparyastam*

17. All these sacrificial cakes (for Agni) are on eight potsherds; for of eight syllables consists the (pāda of the) gāyatrī, and the gāyatrī is Agni's metre¹: with its own metre he accordingly establishes that fire. In all, these potsherds amount to twenty-four; for of twenty-four syllables consists the gāyatrī (stanza), and the gāyatrī is Agni's metre: with its own metre he accordingly establishes that fire.

18. He then offers a potful of boiled rice to Aditi. For he who performs those (preceding) oblations moves away, as it were, from this world, since he moves in the ascent of these worlds².

19. Now when he offers a potful of boiled rice to Aditi,—Aditi being this earth, and this earth being a firm resting-place,—he thereby again takes his stand on this firm resting-place. This is why he offers a potful of boiled rice to Aditi.

20. For her, they say, the two samyāgyās³ should be virāḡ verses; for the virāḡ is this (earth); or

¹ The Kāṇva text remarks that the anuvākyās (invitatory prayers) and yāgyās (offering prayers) at the three offerings of cake are in the gāyatrī metre; and such indeed is the case. The anuvākyās of the oblations to Agni Pavamāna, Agni Pāvaka, and Agni Suktī are Rig-veda IX, 66, 19; I, 12, 10; and VIII, 44, 21 respectively; and the yāgyās are IX, 66, 21; V, 26, 1; and VIII, 44, 17 respectively; all of which are gāyatrī stanzas. See Āsv. Sr. II, 1, 20–25. Cf. also I, 7, 2, 15, with note. At the Svish/akṛit of these two ish/sis also both formulas are in the gāyatrī metre: the puro'nuvākyās being Rig-veda III, 11, 2, and III, 11, 6; and the yāgyās III, 11, 1, and I, 1, 1 respectively.

² Praṭyavata iva vā esho 'smāl lokāt . . . imān hi lokān samā-rohann eti. The Kāṇva text has: 'For he who takes out these oblations makes his self, as it were, depart from this world of men for the world of the gods, since he, as it were, moves rising upwards (ūrdhva iva hi samārohann eti).' Cf. paragraphs 14–16.

³ For these (virāḡ) samyāgye, or invitatory and offering prayers at the Svish/akṛit, see p. 164, note 2.—Āsv. Sr. II, 1, 29.

trishūbh verses, for the trishūbh is this (earth);
gagati verses, for the gagati is this (earth). Still
however, they should be virāg verses.

21. The priests' fee for (offering to) her consists
of a cow; for this (earth) is, as it were, a cow; she
milks out for men all their desires. The cow
a mother, and this (earth) also is a mother, for she
bears the men: for this reason the priests' fee
a cow. This is one mode (of performing the
offerings).

22. Then there is this other. He simply offers
a cake on eight potsherds to Agni, and thereby
implicitly, to Agni Pavamāna, Agni Pāvaka, and
Agni Sukī; and immediately after he visibly sets
him up (as Agni). For this reason he offers
cake) to Agni¹, and then a potful of boiled rice
to Aditi. The treatment of the potful of rice (in
that case) is the same (as before).

SECOND BRĀHMANA.

1. Now, in performing that sacrifice, they slay it;
and in pressing out the king (Soma), they slay him;
and in quieting and immolating the victim, they
slay it. The haviryagñā they slay with the mortar
and pestle, and with the two mill-stones.

2. When slain, that sacrifice was no longer vigorous.
By means of dakṣhiṇās (gifts to the priests) the
gods again invigorated it: hence the name dakṣhiṇā,
because thereby they invigorated (dakṣhay) that

¹ According to the Kāṇva recension, the anuvākya and yāgyā,
in that case, should consist of the verses containing the word mūr-
dhan ('head'), viz. Vāg. S. XIII, 14, 15; cf. Śat. Br. I, 6, 2, 12.

(sacrifice). Whatever, therefore, fails in this sacrifice when slain, that he now again invigorates by means of gifts to the priests ; whereupon the sacrifice becomes successful : for this reason he makes gifts to the priests.

3. He may give six (cows)¹ ; for six seasons, indeed, there are in the year, and the sacrifice, Pragāpati, is the year : thus as great as the sacrifice is, as large as its extent is, by so many (gifts, dakṣiṇās) does he thereby invigorate it.

4. He may give twelve ; for twelve months there are in the year, and the sacrifice, Pragāpati, is the year : thus as great as the sacrifice is, as large as its extent is, by so many (gifts) does he thereby invigorate it.

5. He may give twenty-four ; for twenty-four half-moons there are in the year, and the sacrifice, Pragāpati, is the year : thus as great as the sacrifice is, as large as its extent is, by so many (gifts) does he thereby invigorate it. Such is the measure of the priests' fees ; but he may give more, according to (the depth of) his faith. The reason why he gives fees to the priests is this.

6. Verily, there are two kinds of gods ; for, indeed, the gods are the gods ; and the Brāhmanas who have studied and teach sacred lore are the human gods. The sacrifice of these is divided into two kinds : oblations constitute the sacrifice to the gods ; and gifts to the priests that to the human gods,

¹ Viz. at the tanūhaviṣ-īṣhās together, or at least three cows at each īṣhā if there are two īṣhās. The greater the gift, the greater the merit. According to the Paddhati on Kāty. IV, 10, he is also to entertain a hundred Brāhmanas at the end of the performance. See also Taitt. Br. I, 1, 7, 9-11.

the Brāhmanas who have studied and teach sacred lore. With oblations one gratifies the gods, and with gifts to the priests the human gods, the Brāhmanas who have studied and teach sacred lore. Both these kinds of gods, when gratified, place him in a state of bliss (suhā) ¹.

7. Even as seed is poured into the womb, so the officiating priests place the sacrificer in the (heavenly) world ², when he now makes gifts to those who, he hopes, will make him go thither. Such, then, (is the manner) of gifts to priests.

8. Now the gods and the Asuras, both of them sprung from Pragāpati, were contending with each other. They were both soulless, for they were mortal, and he who is mortal is soulless. Among these two (classes of beings) who were mortal, Agni alone was immortal: and it was through him, the immortal, that they both lived. Now whichever (of the gods) they (the Asuras) slew, he, indeed, was so (slain).

9. Thereupon the gods were left inferior. They went on praising and practising austerities, hoping that they might be able to overcome their enemies, the mortal Asuras. They beheld this immortal Agnyādheya (consecrated fire).

10. They said, 'Come, let us place that immortal element in our innermost soul! When we have placed that immortal element in our innermost soul, and become immortal and unconquerable, we shall overcome our conquerable, mortal enemies.'

¹ That is, 'they convey him to the celestial world,' as reads the otherwise identical passage in IV, 3, 4, 4.

² The Kāṇva text has 'sarge loka.'

11. They said, 'With both of us is this fire (Agni): let us then treat openly with the Asuras¹.'

12. They said, 'We shall set up (or, establish within ourselves, ā-dhā) the two fires,—what will ye do then?'

13. They replied, 'Then we shall lay it down (ni-dhā), saying, Eat grass here! eat wood here! cook pap here! cook meat here!' Now that fire, which the Asuras thus laid down, is this same (fire) wherewith men prepare their food.

14. The gods then established that (fire) in their innermost soul; and having established that immortal element in their innermost soul, and become immortal and unconquerable, they overcame their mortal, conquerable enemies. And so this one now establishes that immortal element in his innermost soul; and—though there is for him no hope of immortality—he obtains the full measure of life; for, indeed, he becomes unconquerable, and his enemy, though striving to conquer, conquers him not. And, accordingly, when one who has established his fires and one who has not established his fires, vie with each other, he who has established his fires overcomes the other, for, verily, he thereby becomes unconquerable, he thereby becomes immortal.

15. Now, when, on that occasion, they produce that (fire) by churning, then he (the sacrificer) breathes (blows) upon it, when produced; for fire indeed is breath: he thereby produces the one thus produced. He again draws in his breath: thereby he establishes that (fire) in his innermost soul; and that fire thus becomes established in his innermost soul².

¹ 'Pra tv evāsūrebhyaḥ bravāmeti.'—'Hantāsūrebhyaḥ pratipra-bravāmeti,' Kāṇva text. ? 'Let us talk them out of it!'

² See p. 297, note 4.

16. Having kindled it, he makes it blaze, thinking, 'Herein I will worship, herein I will perform the sacred work!' Thereby he makes blaze that fire which has been established in his innermost soul.

17. 'It (or some one) might come between,—it might go away!' so (fear some)¹; but, surely, as long as he lives no one comes between him and that fire which has been established in his innermost soul: let him, therefore, not heed this. And as to its becoming extinguished: surely, as long as he lives, that fire which has been established in his innermost soul, does not become extinct in him.

18. The (sacrificial) fires, assuredly, are those breaths: the Āhavanīya and Gārhapatya are the out-breathing and the in-breathing; and the Anvāhārya-paśāna is the through-breathing. *

19. Now, attendance on (or, the worship of) that consecrated fire (agnyādheya) means (speaking) the truth. Whosoever speaks the truth, acts as if he sprinkled that lighted fire with ghee; for even so does he enkindle it: and ever the more increases his own vital energy, and day by day does he become better. And whosoever speaks the untruth, acts as

¹ This paragraph is somewhat obscure. The Kāṇva recension has the following more explicit paragraphs instead:—As to this, there is a source of anxiety (āgas) to some, fearing that 'it (that fire) might go out (anvagan)'. But let him not heed this, for, assuredly, that fire of his, which has been established in his innermost soul, does not go out. 'The carriage might pass through (vyayāsit), the cart might pass through;—it (or some one) may come between (me and the fire)!' such is another source of anxiety to some; but let him not heed this either; for, assuredly, the carriage does not pass through, the cart does not pass through that fire of his which has been established in his innermost soul. Cf. XII, 4, 1, 2-3.

if he sprinkled that lighted fire with water; for even so does he enfeeble it: and ever the less becomes his own vital energy, and day by day does he become more wicked. Let him, therefore, speak nothing but the truth.

20. Now the kinsmen spake unto Aruṇa Au-pavesi, 'Thou art advanced in years: establish thou the two fires!' He replied, 'Speak ye not thus! be thou a restrainer of speech¹; for he who has established the fires must not speak an untruth: let him rather not speak at all, but let him not speak an untruth. Worship, above all, is truthfulness.'

THIRD BRĀHMAṆA.

THE PUNARĀHĪYA OR RE-ESTABLISHMENT OF THE SACRED FIRES².

1. Now Varuṇa established this (fire), being desirous of sovereignty. He obtained sovereignty; and, accordingly, whether one (who has established the fires) knows (this) or not, they call him 'king Varuṇa.' Soma (established the fire), being desirous of glory. He became glorious, and, accordingly, whether one obtains a hold on Soma, or whether

¹ The Kāṇva text has: He said, 'Speak ye not thus; be thou a restrainer of speech!'—'Speak ye not,' so (he said); for, having established the two fires, one should not speak untruthfully (*mṛishā*), nor should he who utters speech speak untruthfully. He should, therefore, strive to speak nothing but the truth.

² If the householder who has set up his fires, finds, after a year or more, that he does not prosper in his undertakings, or if he has otherwise met with misfortunes, and thus his *ādhya* has not proved successful, he should set up his fires a second time. The old fires have to be put out, either early in the day on which the performance is to take place, or from three nights to a whole year previous to the ceremony. With the exceptions noticed in the sequel, the performance is the same as that of the *ādhāna*.

one does not, they both obtain (glory),—for it is glory that people thereby get to see. Glorious therefore he becomes, and sovereignty he obtains, whosoever, knowing this, establishes a sacrificial fire of his own.

2. Now once upon a time the gods deposited with Agni all forms (*rûpa*)¹, both domestic and wild: either because they were about to engage in battle, or from a desire of free scope, or because they thought that he (Agni) would protect them as the best protector.

3. But Agni coveted them, and seizing them he entered the seasons with them. 'Let us go back thither,' said the gods, and betook themselves to (the place where) Agni (was) concealed. They were disheartened and said, 'What is here to be done? what counsel is there?'

4. Then Tvashtri beheld that re-consecrated fire (Punar-âdheya). He established it and thereby gained an entrance to Agni's beloved abode. He (Agni) gave up to him both kinds of forms, domestic and wild: hence they call them Tvashtri's forms; since it is from Tvashtri that all form proceeds²; but all other creatures of whatever kind undergo it.

5. It is for him (Tvashtri), then, that one must re-establish the fire: for thus he enters Agni's

¹ Compare the corresponding legend Taitt. S. I, 5, 1; according to which the gods deposited their precious goods (*vāmam vasu*) with Agni; and Pūshan and Tvashtri, on performing sacrifice to Agni exclusively (the *punarâdhyeya*), became possessed of the cattle, whence the latter are said to belong to Pūshan (*paushna*) and to Tvashtri (*tvāshtra*). Afterwards Manu and Dhātṛi (here identified with the year) also performed the ceremony. See also Sat. Br. II, 3, 4, 1 seq.

² Or, since it is to Tvashtri that all form belongs.

beloved abode, and the latter gives up to him both kinds of forms, domestic and wild. In that (fire) those two kinds of forms are seen : such is the ascendancy (which one obtains by the punarādhyeṣya),—people, indeed, envy him ; thus he thrives, and a conspicuous position (is obtained by him).

6. To Agni belongs this sacrifice. Agni is the light, the burner of evil : he burns away the evil of this (sacrificer) : and the latter becomes a light of prosperity and glory in this, and a light of bliss¹ in yonder world. This, then, is the reason why he should establish the fires (a second time).

7. Let him establish the fires (the second time) in the rainy season. The rains are all the seasons, for the rains are indeed all the seasons : hence, in counting over years, people say, ' In such and such a year (or rain, varsha) we did it ; in such and such a year (or rain) we did it.' The rains, then, are one of the forms of manifestation (rūpa) of all seasons² ; and when people say, ' To-day it is as if in summer,' then that is in the rainy season ; and when they say, ' To-day it is as if in spring,' then that, too, is in the rainy season. From the year (or rain, varsha), indeed, (is named) the rainy season (varshāḥ).

8. There is, moreover, an occult form (through which the rains manifest themselves in the seasons)³. When it blows from the east, then that is the characteristic sign of spring :—when it thunders, it is that of

¹ *Gyotir amutra pūṇyalokatvā*, lit. 'a light by (way of) blissful state.' The Kāṇva text has the same reading.

² This speculation is based on the identity of the words for year (varsha ; also 'rain') and the rains, or rainy season (varshāḥ).

³ The characteristics of the seasons here selected are supposed to have a special connection with the rain and rainy season.

summer;—when it rains, it is that of the rainy season; when it lightens, it is that of autumn¹;—when it ceases to rain, it is that of winter. The rains are all the seasons. The seasons he (Agni) entered: from out of the seasons, therefore, he now produces him.

9. But the sun also is all the seasons: when he rises, then it is spring;—when the cows are driven together (for milking), then it is summer;—when it is mid-day, then is the rainy season;—when it is afternoon, then it is autumn;—when he sets, then it is winter. At mid-day (madhyandina), therefore, he should establish his fires, for then that (sun) is nearest to this world, and hence he produces that (fire) from the nearest centre (madhya).

10. Verily, this man is affected with evil, as with a shadow. But then (at mid-day) that (evil) of his (like his shadow) is smallest, and shrinks, as it were, beneath his foot: hence he thereby crushes that evil, when it is smallest. For this reason also he should establish his fires (the second time) at mid-day.

11. He takes it out (from the Gārhapatya) by means of sacrificial grass. By means of fire-wood, indeed, he takes it out the first time; and (were he to take it out) with fire-wood the first time, and with fire-wood the second time, he would commit a repetition, and raise a conflict. Now sacrificial grass means water, and the rainy season also means water. He (Agni) entered the seasons: with water he accordingly produces him from out of the waters; this is why he takes it (the fire) out by means of sacrificial grass.

12. Having prepared an (ordinary) rice cake on

¹ During the autumn, or sultry season succeeding the rains, there are frequent displays of sheet-lightning along the horizon at night.

two arka¹ leaves, he puts it in the place where he is about to establish the Gārhapatya fire, and thereon lays down the Gārhapatya.

13. Having prepared a (second) barley cake on two arka leaves, he puts it in the place where he is about to establish the Āhavanīya fire, and thereon lays down the Āhavanīya. [Some do so] arguing, 'Thereby we cover them with the first two fires;' but let him, not do so, for it is by the nights that they come to be covered.

14. He then offers to Agni a sacrificial cake (puroḍāsa) on five potsherds². Its offering prayers and invitatory prayers consist of pañkti strophes of five pādas each³; for there are five seasons, and the seasons he (Agni) entered: from the seasons he accordingly produces him.

15. The whole (sacrifice) belongs to Agni; for it was thereby that Tvashtri entered Agni's beloved abode, and therefore the whole (sacrifice) belongs to Agni⁴.

¹ Calotropis Gigantea. These cakes (apūpa, not puroḍāsa, have first to be cooked either on the Āvasathya, or on a secular fire. Before the cakes are then put on the Gārhapatya and Āhavanīya fire-places, the latter have to be consecrated in the usual way (cf. p. 2); and, after the putting on of the cakes, the fire-places are sprinkled by the Adhvaryu, while the sacrificer holds on to him from behind. Kāty. IV, 11, 8, Schol.

² Viz. he performs an ishṭi with such a rice-cake for the havis, as a substitute for the tanūhaviṣ-ishṭis, offered after the full-offering, at the ādhāna. See II, 2, 1, 6, and note.

³ The pañkti consists of five octosyllabic pādas. The anuvākyā and yāgyā at the chief offering are Rig-veda IV, 10, 2 and 4; those of the svishṭakṛit, ib., verses 4 and 1.—Ārv. II, 8, 14.

⁴ The offering prayers of all libations and offerings at this ishṭi must therefore contain Agni's name. At each of the fore-offerings and after-offerings a different case-form of agni is added after the respective objects of those offerings,—thus, '... samidho agne

16. They perform it (with the formulas pronounced) in a low voice; for if one wishes to prepare anything specially for a relative or friend, one must take care to keep it secret. Now the other sacrifice belongs to all the deities, but this belongs specially to Agni; and what is (kept) secret, that is (spoken of) in a low voice: this is why they perform it in a low voice.

17. The last after-offering he performs aloud; for then he has completed his work, and every one becomes aware of what has been done.

18. Having uttered his call (and having been responded to by the *Āgnīdhra*)¹, he says (to the *Hotri*), 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to the *Samidhs* (kindling-sticks)!—the latter being one of Agni's mystic forms of manifestation (*rūpa*); but he may also say, 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to the fires!'—that being Agni's real (exoteric) form².

¹ *gna āgyasya vyan'u, 'tanūnapād agnim agna . . . , 'ido agnināgne . . . , &c.* See par. 19; also p. 148, n. 2: I, 5, 4, 1 seq.; I, 8, 2, 1 seq. The two butter-portions otherwise offered to Agni or Soma respectively (cf. I, 6, 1, 20 seq.) are in this case offered to Agni; the *anuvākyās*, according to *Āsv.* II, 8, 7, being *Rig-veda* VIII, 44, 1, and VI, 16, 16 respectively. See, however, paragraphs 21 seq.

² Viz. the *Adhvaryu* calls, *Ō srāvaya*, 'cause (him or one) to hear!' and the *Āgnīdhra* responds by *Astu sraushat*, 'yea, may he (or one) hear!'

³ Here, at the first fore-offering, an option is apparently left between the former, regular summons (see I, 5, 3, 8), and the latter, modified so as to make it apply directly to Agni. *Kāty.* IV, 11, 11 allows the same option for the first *prayāga* and *anuyāga*. For the latter, however, see further on, par. 24. The *Kāṇva* recension has as follows:—Now when the *Adhvaryu*, on stepping over (to the south side) and uttering his call (for the *Āgnīdhra*) to bid attention, says, 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to the *Samidhs*!' then that, indeed, is one of Agni's forms of manifestation (*āgneyam eva tad rūpam*); but here let him say, as it were,

19. He (the Hotṛi) recites¹, '... They (the Samidhs), O Agni, may accept of the butter! Vauḡhak!²' '... He (Tanūnapât) may accept the fire of the butter! Vauḡhak!³' '... They (the Ids) may, through Agni, accept of the butter! Vauḡhak!' '... It (the barhis), the fire, may accept of the butter! Vauḡhak!'

20. He then says⁴, 'Svâhâ Agnim!' with reference to Agni's butter-portion;—'Svâhâ Agnim Pavamānam!' if they determine upon (offering to) Agni, the blowing⁵; or 'Svâhâ Agnim Indumantam!' if they determine upon Agni, the drop-abounding⁶;—'Svâhâ Agnim!'—'Svâhâ, the butter-drinking Agnis! May Agni graciously accept of the butter!'—this is the offering-prayer he (the Hotṛi) pronounces.

21. He (the Adhvaryu) then says, with regard to Agni's (first) butter-portion, 'Pronounce the invitatory prayer to Agni!' He (the Hotṛi) recites⁷, 'Awake Agni with praise, enkindling the immortal, that he may take our offerings to the gods!' For, indeed, when Agni is removed (from the hearth)⁸, he, as it were, sleeps: he (the priest) now awakens, rouses

in a mystic way, 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to the Agnis!' (paroksham iva tv agnīm yaçeti haiva tatra brūyât.)

See p. 317, note 4.

A modification of the ordinary vaushaḥ. The Kāṇva text has here and in par. 25, as usual, vaushaḥ.

At the fifth fore-offering; see I, 5, 3, 22 seq.

See II, 2, 1, 6, and note; also II, 2, 1, 22.

⁵ This points forward to the second butter-portion, which is offered to Agni Pavamāna or Agni Indumat, instead of Soma; the first being offered to Agni simply. Kāty. IV, 11, 12.

⁶ Rig-veda V, 14, 1. See, however, the formulas prescribed by Ārvalāyana, p. 317, note 4.

⁷ The fire laid down at the ādheya is removed when the puna-rādheya is to be performed.

him. For the offering-prayer he recites
Agni graciously accept of the butter!'

22. And, if they determine upon (offering the second butter-portion to) Agni Pavamāna, let him then say, 'Pronounce the invitatory prayer to Agni Pavamāna; and he (the Hotṛ) recites (Rig-veda IX, 16, 19). 'O Agni, thou breakest forth life; produce thou food and sap for us! drive far away misfortune!' For thus, indeed, it becomes of the nature of Agni. Pavamāna (the one that becomes purified) means the Soma; but this (Soma-element) they eliminate from the butter-portion of Soma¹. For the offering-prayer he recites, 'May Agni Pavamāna graciously accept of the butter!'

23. If, on the other hand, they determine upon (offering to) Agni Indumat, let him say, 'Pronounce the invitatory prayer to Agni Indumat!' He (the Hotṛ) recites (Rig-veda VI, 16, 16), 'Come hither, I will gladly sing to thee yet other songs, O Agni! mayest thou grow strong by these draughts (indu, drop).' Thus, indeed, it becomes of the nature of Agni: the draught doubtless means Soma, but this (Soma-element) they eliminate from the butter-portion of Soma. For the offering-prayer he recites, 'May Agni, the drop-abounding, graciously accept of the butter!' And thus he makes it all of the nature of Agni.

24. He then says, as to the chief offering (havis), 'Pronounce the invitatory prayer to Agni!' 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to Agni!' 'Pronounce the invitatory prayer to Agni² Svishṭakṛit (the maker of good offerings)!' 'Pronounce the offering-

¹ Viz. that second butter-portion which by right belongs to Soma (see I, 6, 1, 20 seq.), but is here offered to Agni.

prayer to Agni Svish/akrit¹! Then where (otherwise) he would say, 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to the gods²!' he now says, 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to the Agnis!'

25. He recites³, '[The divine Barhis] may accept (the offering) for Agni's abundant obtainment of abundant gift! *Vaughak!*'—'[The divine Narasansa] may accept (the offering) for abundant obtainment, in Agni, of abundant gift! *Vaughak!*'—'The divine Agni Svish/akrit....' this third (after-offering) is already in itself of the nature of Agni; and thus he makes the after-offerings relate to Agni.

26. Those same case-forms (of agni)⁴, which he recites in the offering-prayers, are six; namely, four at the fore-offerings, and two at the after-offerings. Now there are six seasons; and the seasons he (Agni) entered: out of the seasons he accordingly thereby produces him.

27. There are either twelve or thirteen syllables (in these six case-forms)⁵. Now there are either twelve or thirteen months in a year⁶; and the

¹ For the formulas of the chief offering and Svish/akrit, see p. 317, note 3.

² That is, at the altar-offerings; see I, 8, 2, 14. Cf. also p. 318, note 2.

³ See I, 8, 2, 15. Here a different case-form of the word agni (viz. agneñ and agnau) is inserted in the offering-formulas of the first two anuyāgas, immediately after the word indicating the object of the offering; the formula of the third and last anuyāga already containing the nominative agniñ in the same place.

⁴ See p. 317, note 4.

⁵ The locative case agnau, inserted in the offering-prayer of the second after-offering, is optionally made trisyllabic by being written and pronounced agnā-u.

⁶ For other allusions to intercalary months in the Vedic texts, see Weber, *Naxatra*, II, p. 336.

year, the seasons, he (Agni) entered: out of the seasons he accordingly thereby produces him. In order to avoid sameness, no two (of these forms) are alike; but (the fault of) sameness he would undoubtedly commit, were any two of them alike. The characteristic form of the fore-offerings is (alternately), 'May they accept,' 'May it (or he) accept¹;' and that of the after-offerings is, 'For the abundant obtainment of abundant gift.'

28. The priests' fee for this (sacrifice) consists of gold². This sacrifice belongs to Agni, and gold is Agni's seed³: this is why the priests' fee consists of gold. Or it may be an ox; for the latter is of the nature of Agni as far as its shoulder is concerned, since its shoulder (by carrying the yoke) is as if burnt by fire. Moreover, Agni is oblation-bearer to the gods, and that (ox) bears (or draws, loads) for men: this is why an ox may be given as the priests' fee.

FOURTH BRÂHMANA.

II. THE AGNIHOTRA OR MORNING AND EVENING LIBATIONS; AND THE AGNY-UPASTHÂNA OR HOMAGE TO THE FIRES.

1. Pragâpati alone, indeed, existed here in the beginning. He considered, 'How may I be repro-

¹ See I, 5, 3, 15.

² See also II, 2, 4, 15. In Taitt. S. I, 5, 12 (referred to Kâty. XI, 2, 37) 'white gold' (*ragatam hiranyam*), i.e. silver, is expressly mentioned as unsuitable for the *dakshinâ*. The reason adduced is that, when the gods claimed back the goods deposited with Agni, he wept, and the tears he shed became silver; and hence, if one were to give silver as a *dakshinâ*, there would be weeping in his house before a year had passed.

³ See II, 1, 1, 5.

duced?' He toiled and performed acts of penance. He generated Agni from his mouth; and because he generated him from his mouth, therefore Agni is a consumer of food: and, verily, he who thus knows Agni to be a consumer of food, becomes himself a consumer of food.

2. He thus generated him first (agre) of the gods; and therefore (he is called) Agni, for agni (they say) is the same as agri. He, being generated, went forth as the first (pūrva); for of him who goes first, they say that he goes at the head (agre). Such, then, is the origin and nature of that Agni.

3. Praṅāpati then considered, 'In that Agni I have generated a food-eater for myself; but, indeed, there is no other food here but myself, whom, surely, he would not eat.' At that time this earth had, indeed, been rendered quite bald; there were neither plants nor trees. This, then, weighed on his mind.

4. Thereupon Agni turned towards him with open mouth; and he (Praṅāpati) being terrified, his own greatness departed from him. Now his own greatness is his speech: that speech of his departed from him. He desired an offering in his own self, and rubbed (his hands); and because he rubbed (his hands), therefore both this and this (palm) are hairless. He then obtained either a butter-offering or a milk-offering;—but, indeed, they are both milk.

5. This (offering), however, did not satisfy him, because it had hairs mixed with it. He poured it away (into the fire), saying, 'Drink, while burning (ośham dhaya)!' From it plants sprang: hence their name 'plants (ośadhayaḥ)'. He rubbed (his hands) a second time, and thereby obtained another

offering, either a butter-offering or a milk-offering but, indeed, they are both milk.

6. This (offering) then satisfied him. He hesitated: 'Shall I offer it up? shall I not offer it up?' he thought. His own greatness said to him, 'Offer it up!' Pragâpati was aware that it was his own (sva) greatness that had spoken (âha) to him; and offered it up with 'Syâhâ!' This is why offerings are made with 'Svâhâ!' Thereupon that burning one (viz. the sun) rose; and then that blowing one (viz. the wind) sprang up; whereupon, indeed, Agni turned away.

7. And Pragâpati, having performed offering, reproduced himself, and saved himself from Agni, Death, as he was about to devour him. And, verily, whosoever, knowing this, offers the Agni-hotra, reproduces himself by offspring even as Pragâpati reproduced himself; and saves himself from Agni, Death, when he is about to devour him.

8. And when he dies, and when they place him on the fire, then he is born (again) out of the fire, and the fire only consumes his body. Even as he is born from his father and mother, so is he born from the fire. But he who offers not the Agnihotra, verily, he does not come into life at all: therefore the Agnihotra should by all means be offered.

9. And as to that same birth from out of doubt,—when Pragâpati doubted, he, while doubting, remained steadfast on the better (side), insomuch that he reproduced himself and saved himself from Agni, Death, when he was about to devour him: so he also who knows that birth from out of doubt, when he doubts about anything, still remains on the better (side).

10. Having offered, he rubbed (his hands). Thence

a Vikaṅkata¹ tree sprung forth; and therefore that tree is suitable for the sacrifice, and proper for sacrificial vessels. Thereupon those (three) heroes among the gods were born, viz. Agni, that blower (Vāyu), and Sūrya: and, verily, whosoever thus knows those heroes among the gods, to him a hero is born.

11. They then said, 'We come after our father Pragāpati: let us then create what shall come after us!' Having enclosed (a piece of ground), they sang praises with the gāyatrī stanza without the 'Hiñ²:' and that (with) which they enclosed was the ocean: and this earth was the praising-ground (āstāva).

12. When they had sung praises, they went out towards the east, saying, 'We (will) go back thither!' The gods came upon a cow which had sprung into existence. Looking up at them, she uttered the sound 'hiñ.' The gods perceived that this was the 'Hiñ' of the Sāman (melodious sacrificial chant); for heretofore (their song was) without the 'Hiñ,' but after that it was the (real) Sāman. And as this same sound 'Hiñ' of the Sāman was in the cow, therefore the latter affords the means of subsistence; and so does he afford the means of subsistence whosoever thus knows that 'Hiñ' of the Sāman in the cow.

13. They said, 'Auspicious, indeed, is what we have produced here, who have produced the cow: for, truly, she is the sacrifice, and without her no sacrifice is performed; she is also the food, for the cow, indeed, is all food.'

14. This (word 'go'), then, is a name of those

¹ The sruva, or dipping-spoon, and the Agnihotra ladle, for instance, are made of this wood; see p. 331, note 2.

² On 'hiñ' as an essential element in the recitation of Sāma-chants, see I, 4, 1, 1 seq.

(cows), and so it is of the sacrifice : let him, therefore, repeat¹ it, (as it were) saying, ' Good, excellent ! ' and, verily, whosoever, knowing this, repeats it, (as it were) saying, ' Good, excellent ! ' with him those (cows) multiply, and the sacrifice will incline to him.

15. Now, Agni coveted her : ' Māy I pair with her,' he thought. He united with her, and his seed became that milk of hers : hence, while the cow is raw, that milk in her is cooked (warm) ; for it is Agni's seed ; and therefore also, whether it be in a black [or in a red (cow), it is ever white, and shining like fire, it being Agni's seed. Hence it is warm when first milked ; for it is Agni's seed.

16. They (the men) said, ' Come, let us offer this up ! '—' To whom of us shall they first offer this ? ' (said those gods).—' To me ! ' said Agni.—' To me ! ' said that blower (Vāyu).—' To me ! ' said Sūrya. They did not come to an agreement ; and not being agreed, they said, ' Let us go to our father Pragāpati ; and to whichever of us he says it shall be offered first, to him they shall first offer this.' They went to their father Pragāpati, and said, ' To whom of us shall they offer this first ? '

17. He replied, ' To Agni : Agni will forthwith cause his own seed to be reproduced, and so you will be reproduced.' ' Then to thee,' he said to Sūrya ; ' and what of the offered (milk) he then is still possessed of, that shall belong to that blower

¹ *Paribhāṣet* [i. ' let him avoid it (the term go in the sense of sacrifice), thinking that it is too holy ']. *Sāyana* merely remarks, that both the cow and the sacrifice are here represented as extremely auspicious (*utkrishṭam puṇyam*). Perhaps we have here a play on the words *upanāma*, ' a by-name,' and *upanāmuka*, ' inclining to (him).' ' Go ' (for *go-shāma*) is the designation of one of the days of the *Abhiplava* at the *Gavāmāyana*.

(Vāyu)! And, accordingly, they in the same way offer this (milk) to them till this day: in the evening to Agni, and in the morning to Sūrya; and what of the offered (milk) he then is still possessed of, that, indeed, belongs to that blower.

18. By offering, those gods were produced in the way in which they were produced, by it they gained that victory which they did gain: Agni conquered this world, Vāyu the air, and Sūrya the sky. And whosoever, knowing this, offers the Agnihotra, he, indeed, is produced in the same way in which they were then produced, he gains that same victory which they then gained;—indeed, he shares the same world with them, whosoever, knowing this, offers the Agnihotra. Therefore the Agnihotra should certainly be performed.

THIRD ADHYĀYA. FIRST BRĀHMANA.

1. The Agnihotra, doubtless, is the Sun. It is because he rose in front (agre) of that offering¹, that the Agnihotra is the Sun.

2. When he offers, in the evening after sunset, he does so thinking, 'I will offer, while he is here, who is this (offering);' and when he offers in the morning before sunrise, he does so thinking, 'I will offer, while he is here, who is this (offering):' and for this reason, they say, the Agnihotra is the Sun.

3. And when he sets, then he, as an embryo, enters that womb, the fire; and along with him thus becoming an embryo, all these creatures become embryos; for, being coaxed, they lie down contented².

¹ Apparently an etymological play on the word agnihotra = agre hotrasya, cf. II, 2, 4, 2.

² *Ītā hi jere (jerate, Kāṇva rec.) saṃgānānā.*

The reason, then, why the night envelops that (sun), is that embryos also are, as it were, enveloped.

4. Now when he offers in the evening after sunset, he offers for the good of that (sun) in the embryo state, he benefits that embryo; and since he offers for the good of that (sun) in the embryo state, therefore embryos here live without taking food.

5. And when he offers in the morning before sunrise, then he produces that (sun-child) and, having become a light, it rises shining. But, assuredly, it would not rise, were he not to make that offering: this is why he performs that offering.

6. Even as a snake frees itself from its skin, so does it (the sun-child) free itself from the night, from evil: and, verily, whosoever, knowing this, offers the Agnihotra, he frees himself from ^{all} evil, even as a snake frees itself from its skin; and after his birth all these creatures are born; for they are set free according to their inclination.

7. Then, as to his taking out the Āhavanīya (from the Gārhapatya) before the setting of the sun; —the rays, doubtless, are all those gods; and what highest light there is, that, indeed, is either Pragāpati or Indra. Now all the gods approach the house of him who performs the Agnihotra: but whosoever (offering) they approach before the fire has been taken out, from that the gods turn away, and he fails in it; and after the failure of that (offering) from which the gods turn away, people say, that, whether one knows it or not, the sun went down on account of that (fire) not having been taken out.

8. And another reason why he takes out the Āhavanīya before the setting of the sun, is this. In like manner as, when one's better comes to visit

one, he would honour him by trimming his house, so here: for whosoever (offering) they approach, after the fire has been taken out, his Āhavanīya (house) they enter, in his Āhavanīya they repose.

9. Now when he offers in the evening after the sun has set, he thereby offers to them after they have entered his fire-house; and when he offers in the morning before sunrise, he offers to them before they go away. Therefore Āsuri said, 'The Agnihotra of those who offer after sunrise we regard as useless': it is as if one were to take food to an empty dwelling.'

10. That which affords (the means of) subsistence is of two kinds; namely, either rooted or rootless. On both of these, which belong to the gods, men subsist. Now cattle are rootless and plants are rooted. From the rootless cattle eating the rooted plants and drinking water, that juice is produced.

11. Now when he offers in the evening after sunset, he does so thinking, 'I will offer to the gods of this life-giving juice: we subsist on this which belongs to them.' And when he afterwards takes his evening meal, he eats what remains of the offering, and whereof oblation portions (bali) have been distributed all round²; for he who performs the Agnihotra eats only what remains of the offering.

12. And when he offers in the morning before sunrise, he does so thinking, 'I will offer to the gods of this life-giving juice: we subsist on this which belongs to them.' And when he afterwards takes his meal in the daytime, he eats what remains of the

¹ Viśāhina. ? lit. 'cut off (from its recipient).'

² Bali is the technical term of the portions of the daily food that have to be assigned to all creatures.

offering, and whereof oblation portions have been distributed all round; for he who performs the Agnihotra eats only what remains of the offering.

13. Here now they say,—All other sacrifices come to an end, but the Agnihotra does not come to an end. Although that which lasts for twelve years is indeed limited, this (Agnihotra) is nevertheless unlimited, since, when one has offered in the evening, he knows that he will offer in the morning; and when one has offered in the morning, he knows that he will again offer in the evening. Hence that Agnihotra is unlimited, and in consequence of this its unlimitedness, creatures are here born unlimited. And, verily, he who thus knows the unlimitedness of the Agnihotra, is himself born unlimited in prosperity and offspring.

14. Having milked¹ he puts that (milk) on (the Gārhapatya fire), because it has to be cooked. Here now they say, 'When it rises to the brim, then we shall offer it.' He must not however let it rise to the brim, since he would burn it, if he were to let it rise to the brim; and unproductive indeed is burnt seed: he must not, therefore, let it rise to the brim.

15. He should not offer it without having put it on the fire; for since this is Agni's seed, therefore it is hot (*srīta*, 'cooked'); and by putting it on the

¹ For other ceremonies preceding those above, see I, 3, 3, 13 seq. According to Kāty. IV, 14, 1 he has the Agnihotra cow—standing south of the sacrificial ground and facing the east or north—milked by anybody except a Sūdra. The vessel to be used is of earthenware, and must have been made by an Ārya. The Adhvaryu then takes the vessel, and having entered the Āhavantya house by the east door and passed over to the Gārhapatya, puts it there on coals previously shifted northwards from the fire.

fire, it is indeed heated: let him, therefore, offer (of the milk) only after he has put it on the fire.

16. He illumines it (with a burning straw)¹ in order that he may know when it is done. He then pours some water to it (with the sruva), both for the sake of appeasement, and in order to supplement the juice. For when it rains here, then plants spring up; and in consequence of the plants being eaten and the water drunk, this juice is produced: hence it is in order to supplement the juice (that he pours water to it); and therefore, if it should happen to him to have to drink pure milk, let him have one drop of water poured into it, both for the sake of appeasement, and in order to supplement the juice.

17. Thereupon he ladles four times (milk with the sruva into the Agnihotra ladle²), for in a four-fold way was that milk supplied³. He then takes a kindling-stick (samidh), and hastes up (to the Āhavanīya, with the ladle) to make the libation on the burning (stick)⁴. He offers the first libation

¹ According to Kāty. IV, 14, 5 the Adhvaryu illumines the milk with a burning straw; pours some water to it with the sruva or dipping-spoon; then illumines it once more; and lifts up the pot three several times, putting it down each time further north of the fire. Thereupon he warms the two spoons; and wipes them with his hand; and having warmed them once more, he says to the sacrificer, 'I ladle!' The latter, while standing, replies, 'Om, ladle!'

² For the Agnihotra-havanī, or offering-spoon (sruvā), used at the morning and evening libation, and made of Vikaṅkata wood (Flacourtia Sapida), see p. 67, note 2. In the case of those who make five cuttings from the havis (pañcāvattin, cf. p. 192 note) he takes five sruva-fula. Kāty. IV, 14, 10. Comm.

³ Viz. by the four teats of the udder. Comm.

⁴ While holding a billet or kindling-stick (samidh) over the (handle of) the milk-ladle, he [first holds the latter close over the Gārhapatya fire, and thereupon] takes it to the Āhavanīya, keeping

(pūrvāhuti) without putting down (the spoon) beside (the fire, on the grass-bunch). For, were he to put it down beside (the fire), it would be as if in taking food to somebody, one were to put it down on one's way thither. But when (he makes the libation) without previously putting it down, it is as if, in taking food to somebody, one puts it down only after taking it to him. The second (libation he then makes) after putting it down: he thereby makes these two (libations) of various vigour. Now these two libations are mind and speech: hence he thereby separates mind and speech from each other; and thus mind and speech, even while one and the same (samāna), are still distinct (nāna).

18. Twice he offers in the fire, twice he wipes (the spout of the spoon), twice he eats (of the milk), and four times he ladles¹; these are ten (acts), for

it on a level with his mouth, except in the middle between the two fires, where he lowers it for a moment to the level of his navel. He then crouches down [bending his right knee, and looking eastwards, by the north-west corner of the Āhavanīya], puts the billet on [the centre of, the fire, and makes the first libation (pūrvāhuti) on the burning stick (see the formula, par. 30. The sacrificer, as usual, pronounces the dedicatory formula, viz. 'This to Agni!' and, 'This to Sūrya!' respectively). Thereupon he lays down the ladle on the kūrka [a grass-bunch, placed behind the Āhavanīya fire-place, to serve as a seat, and to wipe the hands on; according to others, a flat piece of Varana wood], then takes it up again and silently makes the second libation (uttarāhuti) on the north part of the fire. Kāty. IV, 14, 12-17 with Schol.

- ¹ He ladles four sruva-fuls of milk into the Agnihotra ladle, and makes in the Āhavanīya fire two libations from this milk (so as to leave the larger quantity in the ladle to be eaten). He then wipes twice the spout of the ladle. [In each of the two other fires he thereupon makes likewise two libations with the sruva, of one spoonful each.] The milk left in the ladle he eats, on the completion of the six libations, by twice taking it out with his ring-finger.

of ten syllables consists the *virāg* stanza, and the sacrifice is *virāg* (shining): he thereby converts the sacrifice into the *virāg*.

19. Now what he offers up in the fire, that he offers to the gods; and thereby the gods are (admitted to the sacrifice)¹. And what he wipes off (the spoons), that he offers to the fathers and plants; and thereby the fathers and plants are (admitted). And what, he eats after offering, that he offers to men; and thereby men are (admitted).

20. Verily, the creatures that are not allowed to take part in the sacrifice are forlorn; to those creatures that are not forlorn he thus offers a share at the opening of the sacrifice; and thus beasts (cattle) are made to share in it along with (men), since beasts are behind men².

21. On this point Yāgñavalkya said, 'It (the Agnihotra) must not be looked upon as a (havis-) sacrifice, but as a domestic sacrifice (*pā*) for while in any other (havis-)sacrifice he pours into the fire all that he cuts off (from the sacrificial dish and puts) into the offering spoon,—here, after offering and stepping outside³, he sips water and licks out (the milk); and this indeed (is a characteristic) of the domestic offering.' This then is the animal

¹ Tasmād devāḥ santi; anvābhaktāḥ ('allowed to share in the sacrifice') has probably to be supplied here from the next paragraph.³

² Instead of this paragraph, the Kāṇva text reads: 'Behind men are beasts; behind the gods are birds, plants, trees, and whatever else exists here. Thus he makes these creatures share in the sacrifice, those that are not forlorn here.' Compare I, 5, 2, 4.

³ Utsrīpya is variously explained by the commentators here and on Kāty. IV, 14, 27, as 'having gone out,' or 'having slowly moved forward,' or 'having risen,' or 'having poured out (the milk).'

characteristic¹ of that (Agnihotra), for the domestic offering pertains to beasts (or cattle).

22. Now the first of these libations, doubtless, is the same as that which Pragāpati offered in the beginning²; and as those (gods) thereupon continued (to sacrifice)³,—namely, Agni, that blower (Vāyu), and Sūrya,—so this second libation is offered.

23. What first libation (pūrvāhuti) is made, that is the deity of the Agnihotra⁴, and to that (deity) it is accordingly offered; and what second one (uttarāhuti) is made, that indeed is equivalent to the Svishṭakṛit (Agni, the maker of good offering); whence he offers it on the north part (of the fire), since that is the region of the Svishṭakṛit⁵. Moreover, this second libation is made in order to effect a pairing, for a couple forms a productive pair.

24. These two libations, then, form a duad: the past and the future, the born and the to-be-born, the actual⁶ and the hope, the to-day and the morrow,—(these are) after the manner of that duad.

25. The past is the self, for certain is that which is past, and certain also is that which is a self. The future, on the other hand, is progeny; for uncertain is that which is to be, and uncertain also is progeny.

26. The born is the self, for certain is that which is born, and certain also is the self. The to-be-born,

¹ Paravyam rūpam,—that is to say, its relation to the pasu or animal sacrifice; and hence also to the idā at the haviryagña; cf. I, 7, 4, 19.

² See II, 2, 4, 4 seq.

³ See II, 2, 4, 18.

⁴ That is to say, it represents the chief offering at the haviryagña, which is followed by the (oblation to Agni) Svishṭakṛit. See I, 7, 2, 1 seq.

⁵ See I, 7, 3, 20.

⁶ Āgatam, 'what has arrived or come to pass,' 'the accomplished.'

on the other hand, is progeny ; for uncertain is what is to be born, and uncertain also is progeny.

27. The actual is the self, for certain is what is actual, and certain also is the self. And hope is progeny, for uncertain is hope, and uncertain also is progeny.

28. The to-day is the self, for certain is what is to-day, and certain also is the self. The morrow is progeny, for uncertain is the morrow, and uncertain also is progeny.

29. Now that first libation is offered on account of the self : he offers it with a sacred text, for certain is the sacred text, and certain also is the self. And that second one is offered on account of progeny : he offers it silently, for uncertain is what (is done) silently, and uncertain also is progeny.

30. [In the evening] he offers (the first libation), with the text (Vāg. S. III, 9, 10), 'Agni is the light, the light is Agni, Svāhā !' and in the morning with, 'Sūrya (the sun) is the light, the light is Sūrya Svāhā !' Thus offering is made with the truth ; for, truly, when the sun goes down, then Agni (fire) is the light, and when the sun rises, then Sūrya is the light ; and whatever is offered with the truth, that, indeed, goes to the gods.

31. Here now Takshan recited for Āruni¹, who wished to obtain holy lustre (brahmavarāṇasa, inspired nature), 'Agni is lustre, light is lustre ;'— 'Sūrya is lustre, light is lustre.' Holy lustre, therefore, he obtains whosoever, knowing this, thus offers the Agnihotra.

¹ The Kāṇva text has,—Here now Dakṣa said to Āruni, 'For one wishing to obtain brahmavarāṇasa one should offer with this text, "Agni is lustre, light is lustre ;"—"Sūrya is lustre, light is lustre :"—a brahmavarāṇasin, then, he becomes for whomsoever they so sacrifice.'

32. That (other text), however, has the characteristic form of generation. In saying, 'Agni is the light, the light is Agni, Svāhā!' he encloses that seed, the light, on both sides with the deity; and the seed, thus enclosed on both sides, is brought forth : thus enclosing it on both sides he causes it to be brought forth.

33. And when, in the morning, he says, 'Sūrya is the light, the light is Sūrya, Svāhā!' he encloses that seed, the light, on both sides with the deity, and the seed, thus enclosed on both sides, is brought forth : thus enclosing it on both sides he causes it to be brought forth : and this, indeed, is the characteristic form of generation.

34. But Gīvala Kailaki said¹, 'Āruzi merely causes conception to take place, not birth : let him therefore offer with that (text, in par. 32) in the evening.

35. Then, in the morning, by the text, "The light is Sūrya, Sūrya is the light," he places that seed, the light, outside by means of the deity; and the seed thus brought outside he causes to be born.'

36. They also say, 'In the evening he offers Sūrya in Agni, and in the morning he offers Agni in Sūrya².' Such, indeed, is the case with those who offer after sunrise ; for when the sun sets then Agni is the light, and when the sun rises then Sūrya is the light. Here no offence is committed on his (the sacrificer's) part ; but an offence is indeed committed where offering is not made distinctly to that deity (viz. Agni or Sūrya resp.), which is the deity of the Agnihotra.

¹ I have made Gīvala's speech extend to the end of par. 35, as is done, no doubt correctly, in the Kāṇva text. ☞

² The Kāṇva has,—Now they say, 'In the evening they offer Agni in Sūrya, and in the morning they offer Sūrya in Agni.' But see the formulas (par. 30), where 'light' is to be taken as Sūrya and Agni respectively.

He says¹, 'Agni is the light, the light is Agni, Svāhā!' and not, 'To Agni Svāhā!' and in the morning, 'Sūrya is the light, the light is Sūrya, Svāhā!' and not, 'To Sūrya Svāhā!'

37. He may also offer (in the evening) with this text (Vāg. S. III, 10), 'Along with the divine Savitri—,' whereby it (the sacrifice) becomes possessed of Savitri for his impulsion; '—along with the Night, wedded to. Indra—,' whereby he effects a union with the night, and makes it (the sacrifice) possessed of Indra, for Indra is the deity of the sacrifice; '—may Agni graciously accept! Svāhā!' whereby he offers to Agni in a direct manner.

38. And in the morning with, 'Along with the divine Savitri—,' whereby it becomes possessed of Savitri for his impulsion; '—along with the Dawn, wedded to Indra,' or 'along with the Day . . .—,' whereby he effects a union either with the day or the dawn², and makes it (1) possessed of Indra; for Indra is the deity of sacrifice; '—may Sūrya graciously accept! Svāhā!' whereby he offers to Sūrya directly: hence he may offer in this way.

39. They then spake, 'Who shall offer this unto us?'—'The priest (brāhmaṇa)!'—'Priest, offer this unto us!'—'What is to be my share then?'—'The residue of the Agnihotra!' Now what he leaves in

¹ Here the Kāṇva text begins a new paragraph. The author's object seems to be to show that those who offer the Agnihotra after sunrise, commit a mistake in not offering to Sūrya unmistakably; for while before sunrise, Sūrya is still reposing in Agni, and the oblation, in being poured into the fire, is consequently made to Sūrya directly, those offering after sunrise should rather use the formula 'To Sūrya Svāhā!' Cf. paragraph 9.

² Instead of 'ahnāṃ vośasāṃ vā,' I adopt 'ahnā vośasā vā,' from the Kāṇva reading 'ushasā vāhnā vā.'

the ladle, that is the residue of the Agnihotra ; and what remains in the pot, is as (the rice for oblations which) one takes out from the enclosed part (of the cart)¹. And if any one is to drink it, at least none but a Brāhman must drink it²: for it is put on the fire (and thereby consecrated), and hence none but a Brāhman must drink it.

SECOND BRĀHMANA.

1. Verily, in him that exists³, these deities reside, to wit, Indra, king Yama, Nāda the Naishadha⁴ (king), Anasnat Sāṅgamana, and Asat Pāmsava.

2. Now Indra, in truth, is the same as the Āhavanīya ; and king Yama is the same as the Gārhapatya ; and Nāda Naishadha is the same as the Anvāhāryapaśana (Dakṣiṇa fire)⁵; and because day by day they take that (fire) to the south, therefore indeed they say that day by day Nāda Naishadha carries king Yama⁶ (further) south.

3. And again what fire there is in the hall (sabha), that is the same as Anasnat Sāṅgamana : Anasvat (not eating) it is for the reason that people

¹ Yathā parināho nirvaped evam tat. The Kāṇva text has: Yathā (yā MS.) koshikā parināho vā nirmimṣtaivam tat.

² Cf. 'anybody may drink it, but none but a Brāhman may drink it.' According to the Schol. on Kāty. IV, 14, 11, the milk which is left in the pot may be drunk by a Brāhman, but by no else; not even in his own house is a Kshatriya or Vaisya allowed to drink it.

³ The commentator takes it, 'in whatever (sacrificer) exists.' The (Oxf. MS. of the) Kāṇva text has nothing corresponding to the second and third Brāhmanas.

⁴ The printed text has Naishidha. See Weber, Ind. Stud. I, p. 225 seq.

⁵ Here Yama is apparently taken as (the god of) death and destruction, caused, as Professor Weber suggests, by the warlike expeditions of Nāda, king of Naishadha, in the south.

approach it before they eat. And that (place) where they throw the ashes they remove (from the fire-places) is the same as Asat Pāmsava. And whosoever knows this, thus gains all those worlds, traverses all those worlds, thinking, 'In me those gods reside.'

4. Now as to rendering homage to (upasthāna, lit. standing near) these (fires). When in the evening and morning (after the Agnihotra) he stands by the Āhavanīya, and sits down by it, that is the homage rendered to that (fire). And when, on stepping back to the Gārhapatya, he either sits or lies down, that is the homage rendered to that (fire). And when, in walking (out of the sacrificial ground), he remembers the Anvāhāryapaṭana, and thus, in his mind, carries near it, that is the homage rendered to that (fire).

5. And again, before taking food in the morning, having sat down for a moment in the hall, he may also, if he like, walk round (the Sabhya or hall fire) —and this is the homage rendered to that (fire). And when he steps near where lie the ashes removed (from the fire-places) that is the homage rendered to that (fire). And thus homage has been rendered to those deities of his.

6. Now the Gārhapatya (householder's fire) has the sacrificer for its deity; and the Anvāhāryapaṭana (southern fire) has his foe for its deity: hence they should not take over that (southern fire) every day (from the Gārhapatya); and he indeed has no enemies, for whomsoever, knowing this, they do not take it over every day. Indeed, it is the Anvāhāryapaṭana¹.

¹ That is, the fire on which the Anvāhārya mess of rice, the priests' Dakṣiṇā at the new and full-moon sacrifice, is cooked. See I, 2, 3, 5; p. 49, note 1.

7. Let them only take it over on the fast-day (of the new and full-moon sacrifice), when they are about to sacrifice on this (the Āhavantya fire) : thus that (southern) one is taken over in order to prevent failure on his (the sacrificer's) part.

8. Or they may also take it over to a new dwelling ; and let them then cook on it food (other than meat) for the priests to eat. And should he not be able to procure anything to cook, let him order the milk of a cow to be put thereon and let the priests be asked to drink it. And his enemies will indeed fare ill, for whomsoever, knowing this, they do so : let him, therefore, endeavour by all means to do so.

9. Now when it is first kindled, and there is as yet nothing but smoke, then indeed that (fire) is Rudra. And if anyone (Kshatriya) desires to consume food (belonging to others).—even as Rikṣa seeks after these creatures, now with distrust, now with violence, now in striking them down,—let him offer then : and, assuredly, he who, knowing this, offers then (when the fire has just been lighted), obtains that food.

10. And when it burns rather brightly, then indeed that (fire) is Varuṇa. And if any one desires to consume food,—even as Varuṇa seeks after these creatures, now, as it were, seizing on them, now with violence, now in striking them down,—let him offer then : and, assuredly, he who, knowing this, offers then, obtains that food.

11. And when it is in full blaze, and the smoke whirls upwards with the utmost speed, then indeed that (fire) is Indra. And if anyone wishes to be like Indra in splendour and glory, let him offer then : and, assuredly, he who, knowing this, offers then, obtains that food (object).

12. And when the flame of the waning (fire) gets lower and lower, and (burns) as it were sideways, then, indeed, that (fire) is Mitra. And if any one desires to consume food here through the kindness (maitra, of others),—as one of whom they say, 'Truly, this Brāhman is everybody's friend, he harms not any one,'—let him offer (the Agnihotra) then: and, assuredly, he who, knowing this, offers then (when the fire gets low), obtains that food.

13. And when the coals are glowing intensely, then, indeed, that (fire) is the Brahman. And if anybody wishes to become endowed with holy lustre (brahmavarṇasin), let him offer then: and, assuredly, he who, knowing this, offers then, obtains that food (object).

14. Let him endeavour to adhere to some one of these (gods or fires) for a year, whether he (the householder) himself offer (the Agnihotra) or some one else offer for him. If, on the other

now in this way, now in another, digging for water or some other food, one were to leave off in the midst of it. But if he offers uniformly, it is just as if, in digging for water or some other food, one lays it open forthwith.

15. Indeed, these offerings are, as it were, the spades for (the digging up of) food; and, assuredly, whosoever, knowing this, offers the Agnihotra, cures food.

16. Now the first libation (pūrvāhuti) represents the gods, and the second (uttarāhuti) represents the men, and what remains in the ladle represents cattle.

17. Only a little he offers for the first libation, somewhat more for the second, and still more he leaves in the ladle.

18. The reason why he offers only a little for the first libation, is that the gods are fewer than men; and why he offers somewhat more for the second libation, is that men are more numerous than the gods; and why he leaves still more in the ladle, is that cattle are more numerous than men. And, verily, whosoever, knowing this, offers the Agnihotra, his cattle will be more numerous than those (human beings) that have to be supported by him: for he, indeed, is in a prosperous condition whose cattle are more numerous than those (human beings) dependent on his support.

THIRD BRĀHMANA.

1. Now when Pragāpati, in creating living beings, created Agni, the latter, as soon as born, sought to burn everything here: and so everybody tried to get out of his way¹. The creatures then existing sought to crush him, being unable to endure this, he went to yonder world.

2. He said, 'I cannot endure this: come, let me enter into thee.' Having reproduced me, maintain me; and as thou wilt reproduce and maintain me in this world, even so will I reproduce and maintain thee in yonder world! He (man) replied, 'So be it.' And, having reproduced him, he maintained him.

3. Now when he establishes the two fires, he reproduces that (Agni); and having reproduced him, he maintains him; and as he reproduces and maintains him in this world, even so does he (Agni) reproduce and maintain him in yonder world.

4. One must not, therefore, remove it (the sacrificial fire from the hearth) prematurely, for too

¹ Ity ābīlam āsa, i.e. 'there was a (general rush) to a hole,' (or rather 'to the outlet.')

soon it languishes for him; and as it languishes for him too soon in this world, even so does it languish for him too soon in yonder world: one must not therefore, remove it prematurely.

5. And when he dies, and they place him on the (funeral) fire, then he is reproduced from out of the fire; and he (Agni) who heretofore was his son¹ now becomes his father.

6. Hence it has been said by the *Rishis* (Rig veda I, 89, 9), 'A hundred autumns (may there be before us, O gods, during which ye complete the life-time of our bodies, during which sons become fathers! do not cut us off, midway, from reaching the full term of life!' for he (Agni) who is the son now in his turn becomes the father: this, then, is why one must establish the fires.

7. Now yonder burning (sun) doubtless is no other than Death; and because he is Death, therefore the creatures that are on this side of him die. But those that are on the other side of him are the gods, and they are therefore immortal. It is by the rays (or reins, thongs, *rasmi*) of that (sun) that all these creatures are attached to the vital airs (breath or life), and therefore the rays extend down to the vital airs.

8. And the breath of whomsoever he (the sun) wishes he takes and rises, and that one dies. And whosoever goes to yonder world not having escaped that Death, him he causes to die again and again in yonder world, even as, in this world, one regards him that is fettered, but puts him to death whenever one wishes.

9. Now when, in the evening after sunset, he offers two libations, then he firmly plants himself on that Death with those fore-feet of his; and when, in the morning before sunrise, he offers two libations, then he plants himself on that Death with those hind-feet of his. And when he (the sun) rises, then, in rising, he takes him up and thus he (the sacrificer) escapes that Death. This, then, is the release from death in the Agnihotra: and, verily, he who knows that release from death in the Agnihotra, is freed from death again and again.

10. What the arrow-head is to the arrow, that the Agnihotra is to sacrifices. For whither the head of the arrow flies, thither the whole arrow flies: and so are all his works of sacrifice freed by this (Agnihotra) from that Death.

11. Now day and night, revolving, destroy (the fruit of) man's righteousness in yonder world. But day and night are on this side (of the sun) from him (after he has gone up to heaven); and so day and night do not destroy (the fruit of) his righteousness.

12. And as, while standing inside a chariot, one would look down from above on the revolving chariot-wheels, even so does he look down from on high upon day and night: and, verily, day and night destroy not the reward of him who thus knows the release from day and night.

13. [The sacrificer] having gone round the Āhavanīya, (after entering) from the east¹, passes

¹ According to Kāty. IV, 13, 12 [and Schol.], the householder [after taking out the fires and performing his regular twilight adoration (sandhyā), that is, muttering the Sāvitrī, Rīg-veda III, 62, 10 (see Sat. Br. II, 3, 4, 39), when the sun has half disappeared or until it becomes visible; cf. Ārval. Grīhyas. XX, 3, 7] passes between the Gārhapatya and Dakṣiṇa fires, or south of them,

between (it and) the Gārhapatya (to his seat). For the gods do not know (this) man¹; but when he now passes by them between (the fires), they know him, and think, 'This is he that now offers to us.' Moreover, Agni (the fire) is the repeller of evil; and these two, the Āhavanīya and Gārhapatya, repel the evil from him who passes between them; and the evil being repelled from him, he becomes a very light in splendour and glory.

14. On the north side is the door of the Agnihotra²; thus (he approaches it) as he would enter (a house) by a door. If, on the other hand, he were to sit down after approaching from the south, it would be as if he walked outside.

15. The Agnihotra, truly, is the ship (that sails) heavenwards. The Āhavanīya and Gārhapatya are the two sides³ of that same heavenward-bound ship; and that milk-curer is its steersman.

16. Now when he walks up towards the east, then he steers that (ship) eastwards towards the

[enters the Āhavanīya house by the east door], circumambulates the fire from right to left (apadakshinam), and sits down in his place (south of the Āhavanīya fire and altar). The same circumambulation is performed by the wife, who thereupon sits down in her place, south-west of the Gārhapatya.

¹ Or, 'the gods are not aware of (this) man' (na vai deva manuṣyam viduḥ). The gods are supposed to be assembled round the altar; see I, 3, 3, 8.

² I do not understand this, there being no door on the side of the Āhavanīya fire-house. According to the commentator this passage is directed against those who make the sacrificer betake himself to the Āhavanīya from (or along) the south; and he quotes the words 'dakshinena vā' from Kātyāyana, apparently IV, 13, 12, where it is said that the sacrificer, in going to the Āhavanīya, has to pass between the two western fires, 'or south of them.'

³ 'Naumande' ? according to the commentator = bhitti (the two walls or sides). The Petersb. Dict. proposes 'the two rudders (or oars).'

the summit of the sky; he, the lord of the earth, animates the seeds of the waters.' He thereby follows (and praises) him :—even as a supplicant would speak politely, 'Surely thou art the descendant of so and so! surely thou art able to do this!' so (he does) by this (verse).

12. Thereupon the verse to Indra and Agni (Vāg. S. III, 13), 'You two, O Indra and Agni, I will invoke; you two I will delight together, with kindly office; you two, the givers of strength and wealth, —you two I invoke for the obtainment of strength!' Indra, doubtless, is the same as that burning (sun); when he sets, the *śne* enters the *Āhavanīya* :—hence he now approaches these two that are thus united, thinking, 'May the two, united, grant me favours:' this is why the Indra-Agni (verse is muttered).

13. [He continues, *ib.* 14 *śne*]. 'This is thy natural womb, whence born thou shonest forth: knowing this, arise O Agni, and increase our substance!'—substance, doubtless, means affluence: 'grant to us ever-increasing affluence!' is what he thereby says.

14. 'First was he founded by the founders here, the best offering priest, worthy of praise at the sacrifice; he whom *Apnavāna* and the *Bhrigus* kindled, shining brightly in the wood, and spreading from house to house:'—even as a supplicant would speak politely, 'Surely thou art the descendant of so and so! surely thou art able to do this!' so in this (verse). And what he (Agni) really is, as such he speaks of him when he says 'spreading from house to house,' for he does indeed spread from house to house.

¹ Or, as Grassmann, in his translation of the *Riksamhitā*, takes it, 'he whom the active *Bhrigus* kindled.'

15. 'In accordance with his old (pratna) splendour, the dauntless have milked the shining juice from the wise one that giveth a hundredfold.' The richest of gifts, indeed, is the hundredfold gift; and in order to obtain that (giver) he says, 'the wise one that giveth a hundredfold.'

16. This is a hymn of six verses collected (from the *R̥ik*); the first of them containing (the word) 'upon,' and the last containing (the word) 'old' (pratna). And this we recited, because she (the earth) is the one that contains the (word) 'upon;' and that which is 'old' doubtless is yonder (sky), for as many gods as there were 'of old,' in the beginning, so many gods there are now, and hence the 'old' means yonder (sky). Now within these two (worlds) all desires are contained; and these two are in accord with each other for his (the sacrificer's) benefit, and concede all his wishes.

17. Thrice he mutters the first (verse) and thrice the last; for of threefold beginning are sacrifices, and of threefold termination: therefore he mutters thrice the first and the last (verses).

18. Now, in offering the Agnihotra, whatever mistake one commits, either in word or deed, thereby he injures either his own body, or his life, or his vigour, or his offspring.

19. Accordingly (he mutters the texts, *Vāg.* III, 17), 'Thou, O Agni, art the protector of bodies protect my body! Thou, O Agni, art the giver of life: give me life! Thou, O Agni, art the giver of vigour: give me vigour! O Agni, what defect there is in my body, supply that for me!'

20. And whatever mistake he commits, in offering the Agnihotra, either in word or deed, thereby he

injures either his own body, or his life, or his vigour, or his offspring: 'make that up for me!' he thereby says; and accordingly that (defect) is again made up for him.

21. [He continues, Vāg. S. III, 18]. 'Kindled, we enkindle thee, the brilliant one, a hundred winters—;' he thereby says, 'may we live a hundred years;' and 'so long we enkindle thee, the great one,' he says, when he says 'we enkindle thee, the brilliant one.' '—We, the vigorous—thee, the invigorating; we, the strong—thee, the giver of strength—;' whereby he says, 'may we be vigorous, mayest thou be invigorating; may we be strong, mayest thou be a giver of strength!' '—We, the uninjured—thee, the uninjurable injurer of enemies!' whereby he says, 'by thine aid may we render our enemies utterly miserable!'

22. 'O thou, rich in lights, may I safely reach thine end!' this he mutters thrice. She that is rich in lights (*akṣa*—*vasu*) doubtless is the night, since the latter, as it were, rests (*vas*) after gathering together the lights (*kitrā*): hence (at night) one does not see clearly (*kitram*) from afar.

23. Now it was by means of this same (text) that the *Rāṇis* reached safely the end of the night; and because of it the evil spirits, the *Rakshas*, did not find them; by it, therefore, he also now reaches safely the end of the night; and because of it the evil spirits, the *Rakshas*, find him not.—This much he mutters while standing.

24. Thereupon, while seated, (he mutters, Vāg., S. III, 19 seq.), 'Thou, O Agni, hast attained to *Sūrya*'s lustre—;' this he says, because, in setting, the sun enters the *Āhavanīya*; '—to the praise

of the *Rishis*—;’ this he says, because he himself now approaches (and worships, praises, the fire); ‘—to the favourite abode (or dainty);’ his (Agni’s) favourite abode doubtless are the offerings: ‘to offerings’ he thereby says. ‘—May I attain to long life, to lustre, to offspring, to increase of wealth!’ whereby he says, ‘Even as thou didst attain to those (qualities), so may I attain to long life, lustre, offspring, affluence,—that is to say, to prosperity.’

25. He then approaches the cow¹, with the text (Vāg. S. III, 20), ‘Food ye are: may I enjoy your food! wealth ye are: may I enjoy your wealth!’—whereby he means to say, ‘whatever energies are yours, whatever riches are yours, may I enjoy them.’—‘Strength ye are: may I enjoy your strength!’ whereby he says, ‘Sap ye are: may I enjoy your sap!’—‘Affluence ye are: may I enjoy your affluence!’ whereby he says, ‘Abundance ye are: may I enjoy your abundance!’

26. ‘Ye prosperous ones, disport yourselves—;’ cattle are prosperous: therefore he says, ‘ye prosperous ones, disport yourselves—;’ ‘—in this seat, in this fold, in this place, in this homestead, remain here, go not from hence!’ this he says with to himself,—‘go not away from me!’

27. He then touches the cow, with the text (V. S. III, 22 a), ‘Motley thou art, of all shapes; for cattle are indeed of all shapes: therefore he calls her all-shaped; ‘—come to me with sap and possession of cattle!’ when he says ‘with sap,’ he means

¹ Viz. the Agnihotra cow, which has supplied the milk for the morning and evening libation; or any cow, if other material than milk be used.

28. He then steps up to the Garhapatya, and renders homage to it, with the text (ib. 22 b), 'Thee, O Agni, illuminer of the night', we approach day by day with prayer, paying homage unto thee.' He thus renders homage to it in order that it may not injure him.

30. 'O Agni, be thou accessible unto us, even as a father is to his son! lead us unto well-being!'—whereby he says, 'As a father is easy of access to his son, and the latter in no wise injures him, so be thou easy of access to us, and may we in no wise injure thee!'

2. Now when he approaches the Âhavanīya, he prays for cattle: he therefore approaches it with metres great and small, since cattle are of great and small size. And when he approaches the Gârha-

¹ Doshâvastar, 'the illuminer of the dusk;' or perhaps, as Professor Ludwig proposes, 'We approach thee, day by day, at dusk and dawn (in the evening and morning), with prayer.'

patya, he prays for men: hence the first tristich is in the gāyatrī metre, since the gāyatrī is Agni's metre, and he thus approaches him with his own metre.

33. Thereupon (he mutters) the dvipadā (two-footed) verses. The dvipadā, doubtless, is man's metre, since man is two-footed, and men are there-with prayed for: and as he now prays for men, therefore (he uses) dvipadā verses. And whosoever, knowing this, approaches (the two fires), becomes possessed both of cattle and men.

34. He then goes (again) to the cow, with the text (Vāg. S. III, 27), 'O *Idā*, come hither! O *Aditi*, come hither!' for both *Idā* and *Aditi* are cows. He touches her with, 'Come hither, ye much-desired!'—for men's wishes are fixed on them, and hence he says, 'come hither, ye much-desired;'—'Let there be for me the fulfilment of wishes from you!' whereby he says, 'may I be dear to you!'

35. Thereupon, while standing between the *Āhavanīya* and *Gārhapatya* and looking eastward at the (former) fire, he mutters (Vāg. S. III, 28-30), 'O Lord of prayer, make him sweet-voiced, the offerer of Soma, *Kakshivat*, *Usig's* son!—Be *ṛi* with us, he the opulent, the killer of woe, the bestower of wealth, the increaser of prosperity, he the nimble!—Let not the curse of the evil-doer reach us, nor the guile of the mortal: preserve us, O Lord of prayer.'

36. Now when he approaches the *Āhavanīya*, he approaches the sky; and when (he approaches) the *Gārhapatya*, (he approaches) the earth. Hereby now (he approaches) the ether, that being *Bṛhaspati's* region; and that region he thereby approaches: this is why he mutters the prayer to *Bṛhaspati*.

37. [He continues, Vāg. S. III, 31-33], 'May the

mighty, the heavenly, the unassailable favour of the three, Mitra, Aryaman, and Varuna, be (with us)! For the wicked enemy lords it not over them (that are protected by these gods), neither at home nor on dangerous paths: for those sons of Aditi bestow undying light on the mortal that he may live!—In this (prayer) he says, 'nor on dangerous paths;' for dangerous indeed are the paths that lie between heaven and earth: those he now walks, and therefore he says, 'nor on dangerous paths.'

38. Then follows a verse to Indra (Vāg. S. III, 34); for Indra is the deity of the sacrifice, and with Indra therefore ~~we~~ now connects the fire-worship: 'At no time, O Indra, art thou barren; and never dost thou fail the worshipper—;' the worshipper, doubtless, is the sacrificer: 'never dost thou harm the sacrificer,' this is what he thereby says: '—but more and evermore is thy gift increased, O mighty god!' thereby he says, 'do thou make us ever more prosperous here' 2*

39. Then follows a verse to Savitri¹ (Vāg. S. III, 35),—for Savitri is the impeller (*prasavitri*) of the gods; and thus all his (the sacrificer's) wishes are fulfilled, impelled as they are by Savitri.—(He mutters) 'May we obtain the glorious light of the divine Savitri, who, we trust, may inspire our prayers!'

40. Thereupon a verse to Agni (Vāg. S. III, 36),—whereby he finally makes himself over to Agni for protection: 'May thine unapproachable chariot, wherewith thou protectest the worshippers, encircle us on every side!' The worshippers, doubtless, are

¹ Or, the *Sāvitrī*, that is, the sacred prayer to *Savitri*, the sun, also called *Gāyatrī*, *Rig-veda* III, 62, 10. Cf. D. 344. note 1.

the sacrificers; and what unassailable chariot he (Agni) possesses, therewith he protects the sacrificers. Hence he thereby means to say, 'what unassailable chariot thou possessest, wherewith thou protectest the sacrificers, therewith do thou guard us on every side.' This (verse) he mutters thrice.

41. He then pronounces his son's name¹: 'May this son (N. N.) carry on this manly deed of mine!' Should he have no son, let him insert his own name.

FOURTH ADHYĀYA. FIRST BRĀHMANA.

1. Now after the performance of the Agnihotra he (optionally²) approaches the fires with (Vāg. S. III, 37). 'Earth! ether! sky!' In saying 'Earth! ether! sky!' he renders his speech auspicious by means of the truth, and with that (speech) thus rendered propitious he invokes a blessing:—'May I be well supplied with offspring!' whereby he prays for offspring; '—well supplied with men!' whereby he prays for men (heroes); '—well supplied with viands!' whereby he prays for prosperity.

2. That long (form of) fire-worship is a prayer for blessing, and so is this (short) one a prayer for blessing: hence even with this much he obtains all, and he may therefore worship the fires with it. 'Therewith, indeed, we perform,' so spake Āsuri.

3. Now, when he is about to set out of journey³, he approaches first the Gārhapatya, : thereupon the Āhavanīya.

¹ See I, 9, 3, 11.

² For this shorter form of worshipping the fires, see p. 349, note 2.

³ That is, a journey which will compel him to pass the night beyond the village boundary.

4. The Gārhapatya he approaches with the text (Vāg. S. III, 37 b seq.), 'Thou, that art friendly to man, protect my offspring!' He (Agni G. rhapatya), truly, is the guardian of offspring; and therefore he now makes over to him his offspring for protection.

5. He then approaches the Āhavanīya, with 'Thou, that art worthy of praise, protect my cattle!' He (Agni), truly, is the guardian of cattle, and therefore he now makes over to him his cattle for protection¹.

6. Thereupon he walks or drives off; and having got as far as what he considers to be the boundary², he breaks silence. And when he returns from his journey he maintains silence from the moment he sees what he considers to be the boundary. And even though there be a king inside (one's house), one must not go to him (or any other person before one has rendered homage to the fires).

7. He first approaches the Āhavanīya fire, and thereupon the Gārhapatya. The Gārhapatya doubtless is a house (gṛha, 24), and a house is a safe resting-place: so that he thereby (finally³) establishes himself in a house, that is, in a safe resting-place.

8. He approaches the Āhavanīya fire, with the text (Vāg. S. III, 38 seq.), 'We have approached (thee), the all-knowing, the most liberal dispenser of

¹ The Vāg. S. gives also the formulas with which the Dakṣiṇīgni should be approached, after the other two fires, by the householder, both in starting on, and returning from, his journey. See Kāty. IV, 12, 13; 18. The Kārva text does not allude to the Dakṣiṇī fire any more than ours.

² According to the Paddhati on Kāty. IV, 12, he has to maintain silence as long as he can see the roof of one of his fire-houses; but according to the Śāṅkhāyana śākhā he has to do so only as long as he can see one of the fires.

³ The Kārva text reads 'antatah.'

goods : O Agni, sovereign lord, bestow on us lustre and strength !' Having then sat down he sweeps the blades of grass¹ (into the fire).

9. Thereupon he approaches the Gārhapatya, with the text, 'He, Agni Gārhapatya, is the lord of the house, the most liberal dispenser of goods to our offspring : O Agni, lord of the house, bestow on us lustre and strength !' Having then sat down, he sweeps off the blades of grass. In this way (householders) mostly approach the fires with muttered prayer.

10. However, one may also approach the fires silently,—and that for this reason.—If in the place (where one lives), a Brāhman or noble—in short, a better man—resides, one dares not say to him, 'I am going on a journey, take care of this (property) of mine !' Now in this (sacrificial ground) one's betters indeed reside, viz. the divine Agnis : who, then, would dare to say to them, 'I am going on a journey, take ye care of this (property) of mine !'

11. The gods assuredly see through the mind of man : that (Agni) Gārhapatya therefore knows that he (the householder) now approaches in order to give

¹ According to Kāty. IV, 12, 18–19 he [after performing ablutions, and lustrating the Āhavanīya and Dakṣhiṇya fire-places, and taking out these fires from the Gārhapatya] approaches the Āhavanīya, while holding pieces of fire-wood in his hand, and mutters the formula given above. He then sits down and silently puts on fire a piece of wood and the grass that has fallen around the fire. According to the Kāṇva text he mutters the second half of the formula ('O Agni,' &c.) while sweeping the grass (into the fire).

² In Taitt. Br. I, 1, 10, 6, a householder who is about to start on a journey is apparently recommended to entrust his house to a Brāhman, who may be staying in it.

himself up to him. Silently he approaches the Ahavantiya fire: that (Agni) Ahavantiya knows that he now approaches in order to give himself up to him.

12. Thereupon he walks or drives off; and having got as far as what he considers the boundary line, he releases his speech. And when he returns from the journey, he maintains silence from the moment he sees what he considers to be the boundary. And even though there be a king inside (one's house), one must not go to him.

13. He first approaches the Ahavantiya, and thereupon the Gārhapatya. Silently he approaches the Ahavantiya; and silently he sits down and sweeps away the grass-blades. Silently he approaches the Gārhapatya; and silently he sits down and sweeps away the grass-blades.

14. Then as to the observances in regard to (the entering of) his house. Now when a householder comes home from a journey, his house trembles greatly for fear of him, thinking, 'What will he say here? What will he do here?' It is therefore for fear of him that speaks or does anything on this occasion that the house trembles and is liable to crush his family; but him who neither speaks nor does anything, his house receives with confidence, thinking, 'He has not spoken here, he has not done anything here!' And should he be ever so angry at anything on this occasion, let him rather do on the next day whatever he might wish to say or do. This then is the observance in regard to the house¹.

¹ The Kāṇva text here adds the formulas Vāg. S. III, 41-43, lines 1 and 2, wherewith he approaches (upatish/hate) the house. See Kāty. IV, 12, 22. According to Kāty. ib. 23, he then enters

SECOND BRÂHMANA.

III. THE PIADAPITR/YAGNA OR OBLATION OF OBSE- QUIAL CAKES TO THE FATHERS.

1. Now the living beings once approached Pragâ pati—beings doubtless mean creatures—and said 'Ordain unto us in what manner we are to live! Thereupon the gods, being properly invested with the sacrificial cord and bending the right knee approached him. To them he said, 'The sacrifice (shall be) your food; immortal, your sap; and the sun your light!'

2. Then the fathers approached, wearing the cord on the right shoulder, and bending the left knee. To them he said, 'Your eating (shall be) monthly; your cordial (svadhâ) your swiftness of thought; and the moon your light!'

3. Then the men approached him, clothed and bending their bodies. To them he said, 'Your eating (shall be) in the evening and in the morning; your offspring your death; and the fire (Agni) your light!'

4. Then the beasts approached him. To them he granted their own choice, saying, 'Whosoever ye shall find anything, whether in season or out of season,

the house with the formula Vâg. S. III, 43, line 3, 'For safety, for peace I resort to thee: be there kindness, happiness, all-hail, and blessing!' Thereupon, according to the Schol., he is to proceed in accordance with the rules laid down in the Grihya-sûtras; cf. Pârask. G. I, 18; Ârv. G. I, 15, 9.

• Yagñopavîtin, 'sacrificially invested,' i.e. wearing the sacrificial cord in the ordinary way, on the left shoulder and under the right arm. In any performance connected with the deceased ancestors, the cord has to be shifted from the left to the right shoulder and under the left arm (prâkñopavîtin, lit. 'eastward invested').

ye shall eat it!' Hence whenever they find anything, whether in season or out of season, they eat it.

5. Thereupon—so they say—the Asuras also straightway¹ approached him. To them he gave darkness (tamas) and illusion (māyā): for there is indeed what is called the illusion of the Asuras. Those creatures, it is true, have perished; but creatures still subsist here in the very manner which Pragâpati ordained unto them.

6. Neither the gods, nor the fathers, nor beasts transgress (this ordinance); ~~some~~ of the men alone transgress it. Hence whatever man grows fat, he grows fat in ~~unrighteousness~~, since he totters and is unable to walk because of his having grown fat by doing wrong. One should therefore eat only in the evening and morning; and whosoever, knowing this, eats only in the evening and morning, reaches the full measure of life; and whatever he speaks, that is (true); because he observes that divine truth. For, verily, that is Brâhmanic lustre (tejas), when one knows to keep His (Pragâpati's) law.

7. Now that (lustre) indeed belongs to him who presents (food) to the fathers once a month. When that (moon) is not seen either in the east or in the west, then he presents (food) to them; for that moon doubtless is king Soma, the food of the gods. Now during that night (of new moon) it fails them, and when it fails, he presents (food to them), and thereby establishes concord (between the gods and fathers). But were he to present (food) to them when it is not failing, he would indeed cause a quarrel between the gods and fathers: hence he presents

¹ *Sarvat* = 'repeatedly,' Comm.; *sarvad api*, 'endlich auch (at last also),' St. Petersburg Dict.

(food) to them when that (moon) is not seen either in the east or in the west.

8. He presents it in the afternoon. The forenoon, doubtless, belongs to the gods; the mid-day to men; and the afternoon to the fathers: therefore he presents (food to the fathers) in the afternoon.

9. While seated behind the Gārhapatya, with his face turned toward the south¹, and the sacrificial cord on his right shoulder, he takes that (material for the offering from the cart)². Thereupon he rises from thence and threshes (the rice) while standing north of the Dakṣiṇya fire and facing the south. Only once he cleans (the rice)³; for it is once for all that the fathers have passed away, and therefore he cleans it only once.

10. He then boils it. While it stands on the (Dakṣiṇya) fire, he pours some clarified butter on it;—for the gods they pour the offering into the fire; for men they take (the food) off the fire; and for the fathers they do in this very manner: hence, they pour the ghee on it while it stands on the fire.

11. After removing it (from the fire) he offers to the gods two libations in the fire. For, in establishing his sacrificial fires, and in performing the new and full-moon sacrifice, that (householder) resorts to the gods. Here, however, he is engaged in a

¹ Dakṣiṇāsinaḥ; the Commentator interprets it by 'sitting south of the cart.'

² The Kāṇva text has,—*etaṃ kṛtaṃ grāhātī*, 'he takes that pot, or potful, (of rice).' Doubtless, he is to take from the cart the quantity of rice sufficient for the offerings and put it in the pot (*kṛta*). According to Kāṇva. IV, 1, 5-7 he is to take the but-partly-filled pot, or a spoonful (or, according to the Schol., rather less than a spoonful).

³ Compare the detailed account in I, 1, 4, 1 seq.

sacrifice to the fathers : hence he thereby propitiates the gods, and being permitted by the gods, he presents that (food) to the fathers. This is why, on removing (the rice), he offers to the gods two libations in the fire.

12. He offers both to Agni and Soma¹. To Agni he offers, because Agni is allowed a share in every (offering); and to Soma he offers, because Soma is sacred to the fathers. This is why he offers both to Agni and Soma.

13. He offers² with the formulas (Vāg. S. II, 29 a, b), 'To Agni, the hearer of what is meet for the wise, *svādhā!*' To Soma, accompanied by the fathers, *vāhā!*³ He then puts the pot-ladle on the fire,—that being in lieu of the *Syish/akrīt*⁴. Thereupon he draws (with the wooden sword) one line (furrow) south of the Dakshina fire⁵,—that

¹ According to Taitt. Br. I, 3, 10, 3, some make a third oblation, viz. as Śāyana states, to Yama (the chief of the fathers), with the formula, 'To Yama, accompanied by the Aṅgiras and fathers, *svadhā! nama!*' see note 3.

² The commentary on Kāty. IV, 1, 7¹ supplies the following particulars:—Having removed the pot off the Dakshina fire on the south side, the Adhvaryu takes it, along the east, to the north side of the fire. He then shifts the sacrificial cord to his left shoulder (as he is about to offer to gods), puts three sticks on the fire, and sitting down with his face towards the east offers some boiled rice with the pot-ladle (*mekshana*).

³ The Taittirīyas use *svadhā! nama!* instead of *svādhā!* They also offer first to Soma, with 'To Soma, drank by the fathers' (but cf. Taitt. Br. I, 6, 9, 5), and then to (Yama, and finally to) Agni. Taitt. Br. I, 3, 10, 2-3.

⁴ See I, 7, 3, 1 seq.

⁵ Or west (*gaghanena*) of the fire [from north to south], according to the Kārva text; optionally, according to Kāty. IV, 1, 8. Kāty. also gives the text 'Expelled are the Asuras, the Rakshas, seated on the altar' (Vāg. S. II. 20 c) to be muttered during the act.

being in lieu of the altar: only one line he draws, because the fathers have passed away once for all.

14. He then lays down a firebrand at the farther (south) end (of the line). For were he to present that (food) to the fathers, without having laid down a firebrand, the Asuras and Rakshas would certainly tamper with it. And thus the Asuras and Rakshas do not tamper with that (food) of the fathers: this is why he lays down the firebrand at the farther end (of the line).

15. He lays it down, with the text (Vāg. S. II, 30), 'Whatsoever Asuras roam about at will', assuming various shapes¹,—be they large-bodied or small-bodied²,—may Agni expel them from this world! Agni is the repeller of the Rakshas, and therefore he lays (the firebrand) down in this way.

16. He then takes the water-pitcher and makes (the fathers) wash (their hands)³, merely⁴ saying, 'N. N., wash thyself!' (naming) the sacrificer's father; 'N. N., wash thyself!' (naming) his grandfather; 'N. N., wash thyself!' (naming) his great-grandfather. As one would pour out water (for a guest) when he is about to take food, so in this case.

¹ Or, as the Commentator takes svadhayā, '(attracted) by the svadhā (offering to the fathers).'

² That is, according to the Schol., assuming the shapes of deceased ancestors.

³ This explanation of the words parāpuraḥ and nipuraḥ, proposed by the Scholiast, is doubtful.

⁴ The Adhvaryu (having again shifted the sacrificial cord to his right shoulder) pours water through the "fathers' space" (pitri-tīrtha, i.e. the space between the thumb and fore-finger), from right to left, into the line, at its beginning, centre, and end. Kāty. IV, 1, 10, and Schol.

⁵ See paragraph 19.

17. Now those (stalks of sacrificial grass) are severed with one stroke, and cut off near the root;—the top belongs to the gods, the middle part to men, and the root-part to the fathers: therefore they are cut off near the root. And with one stroke they are severed, because the fathers have passed away once for all.

18. He spreads them (along the line) with their tops towards the south. Thereon he presents [to the fathers the three (round) cakes of rice]¹. He presents them thus²;—for ~~the~~ ^{the} gods they offer thus; for men they ladle out (the food in any way they please)³; and in the case of the fathers they do in this very way: therefore he presents (the cakes to them) thus.

19. With, 'N. N., this for thee!' ~~he~~ ^{he} presents (one cake) to the sacrificer's father. Some add, 'and for those who come after thee!' but let him not say this, since he himself is one of those to whom (it would be offered) in common⁴: let him

¹ According to the Paddhati on Kāty. the first ball is to be of the size of a fresh *Āmalaka*, or fruit of the Emblic *Myrobalan*, and each of the two others is to be larger than the preceding one.

² Here the teacher indicated by gesture the part of the hand sacred to the fathers (see p. 365, note 4); and then in the same way that dedicated to the gods, viz. the tip of the fingers.

³ The Kārva recension reads here also 'thus they take out (the food) for men'; the part of the hand dedicated to man being, according to the commentary on Kāty. IV, 1, 10, the part about the little finger (*kanishṭhikāpradera*).

⁴ *Svayam vai teshām saha yeshām saha*. According to the commentary, the author apparently means to say, that if he were to add, 'and those who come after thee (i.e. after his father),' he would include the sacrificer himself, and the latter would consequently offer the *pinda* to himself. The form of the presentation-formula rejected by our author is the one adopted in *Ārval*, Sr. II, 6, 15, except that 'atra' is added there ('who here come after thee').

therefore merely say, 'N. N., this for thee!' as to the sacrificer's father; 'N. N., this for thee!' as to his grandfather; and 'N. N., this for thee!' as to his great-grandfather. He presents (the food) in an order (directed) away from the present time, because it is away from hence that the fathers have once for all departed.

20. He then mutters (Vāg. S. II, 31 a), 'Here, O fathers, regale yourselves: like bulls come hither, each to his own share!' whereby he says, 'Eat ye each his own share!'

21. He then turns round (to the left), so as to face the opposite (north) side: for ~~he~~ fathers are far away from men; and thereby he also ~~is~~ far away (from the fathers). 'Let him remain (standing with bated breath) until his breath fail,' say some, 'for thus far extends the vital energy.' However¹, having remained so for a moment—

22. He again turns round (to the right) and mutters (Vāg. S. II, 31 b), 'The fathers have regaled themselves: like bulls they have come each to his own share;' whereby he means to say, 'They have eaten each his own share.'²

23. Thereupon he takes the water-pitcher and makes them wash themselves³, merely saying,

The Kāṇva text mentions and rejects the two alternative readings, 'ye ta tvām anvāṇāḥ' and 'yāṁ ta tvām anvāṇāḥ' ('and those whom thou followest'). In Taitt. Br. I. 3. 10, no presentation-formula is mentioned at all.

¹ The Kāṇva recension has tad u instead of sa vai.

² Formerly the gods and men and fathers (deva-manuṣyaḥ pitaraḥ) drank visibly together, but now they do so invisibly. Sat. Br. III, 6, 2, 26.

³ Viz. by pouring water on the obsequial cakes. According to Ārval. Sr. II, 7. 5. and other treatises, he also puts down some

'N. N., wash thyself!'¹ (naming) the sacrificer's father; 'N. N., wash thyself!' (naming) his grandfather; 'N. N., wash thyself!' (naming) his great-grandfather. Even as one would pour out (water for a guest) when he has taken his meal, so here.

24. He then pulls down the tuck² (of the sacrificer's garment) and performs obeisance. The tuck is sacred to the fathers (pitṛidevatya): therefore he performs obeisance to them after pulling down the tuck. Now obeisance means worship³ (of sacrifice): hence he thereby renders them worthy of worship. Six times he performs obeisance; for there are six seasons, and the fathers are the seasons: for this reason he performs obeisance six times. He mutters (Vāg. S. II, 32 g), 'Give us houses, O fathers!' for the fathers are the guardians (īśate) of houses; and this is the prayer for blessing at this sacrificial performance. After the cakes have been put back (in the dish containing the remains of boiled rice) he (the sacrificer) smells at (the rice); this (smelling) being the sacrificer's share. The ointment, oil, or butter on the *pindas*, saying, '(Father), N. N., anoint thyself!' &c.; see Donner, *Pindap.*, p. 25.

¹ See paragraph 19.

² Nīvim udvṛjya = paridhānyasya vāsaso darśā tām udvṛjya visramasya, Śāyana. According to Mahādeva, he (who presents the *pindas*, viz. either the Adhvaryu or the sacrificer) has previously to put on a garment with a tuck (nīvimat paridhānam), i.e. with the darśā, or unwoven edge of the upper garment, tucked up under the waistband. This he is to pull out. Kātyāyana has the following rules: IV. 1, 15, Having made (them) wash themselves as before, and having loosened (visramasya) the tuck, he makes obeisance with 'adoration to your vigour, O fathers!' &c. (Vāg. S. II, 32 a-f). [According to the Comm., he adds the formula, 'Give us houses, O fathers! we will give to you of what is (ours).' Vāg. S. II, 32, 9.] 16, With 'Put on this your garment, O fathers!' (Vāg. S. II, 32 b), he throws three threads (pieces of

(stalks of sacrificial grass) cut with one stroke he puts on the fire; and he also again throws away the firebrand¹.

THIRD BRĀHMAṆA.

IV. THE ĀGRAYANĒSHṬI OR OFFERING OF FIRST-FRUITS.

This sacrifice is performed in spring and autumn—generally at new or full moon—at the commencement of the harvest. The oblations, which, as a rule, are prepared from new grain (viz. barley in spring, and rice in autumn), consist of—1. a sacrificial cake contained on twelve potsherds for Indra and Agni; 2. a *kāru* (mess of boiled grains) for the *Viśve Devāḥ*, prepared with water or milk; and 3. a cake on one potsherd for heaven and earth. Kāy. IV, 6 and comm. According to the *Paddhati*, the offering of first-fruits takes place after the new-moon offering, and before the full moon offering. At the beginning of the harvest of *Panicum Frumentaceum* (*ryāmāka*), in the rainy season or in autumn; and at that of bamboo

yarn), one on each cake. 17. Or, woollen fringe [or, wool or fringe (*darā*), according to others]. 18. Or, hairs of the sacrificer (pulled out from the chest near the heart), if he is advanced in years. 19. He pours [the water, left in the pitcher, on the cakes] with 'Ye (O waters) are a refreshing draught, ye, that bring sap, immortal ghee and milk and foaming mead: gladden my fathers!' (*Vāg. S. II, 34.*) 20. [The *Adhvaryu*] having laid (the cakes on the dish) the sacrificer smells at them. 21. The firebrand and the once-cut stalks of grass (he throws) into the fire. 22. The wife, if desirous of a son, eats the middle cake with, 'Bestow offspring on me, O fathers, a boy crowned with lotuses; that there may be a man here!' (*Vāg. S. II, 33.*) [According to the comment, the other two cakes are thrown into the water or fire; or eaten by a priest.] For other variations, see Donner, *Pindapitrīyagña*. The Kāṇva recension, on the whole, agrees with our text.

¹ The Kāṇva text has as follows: 'Therefore he says, Give us houses, O fathers!' He then smells at the pot (*ukhā*): that is the sacrificer's share. They again put down the cakes together (with the rice in the pot! *samavadadhati*). The once-severed (stalks of grass) they put on the fire. The firebrand he again shifts to (the fire; *apy-argati*).

in summer, offerings of first-fruits are also made to Soma in the form of a potful of boiled *śyāmāka* or bamboo grains respectively.

1. Now *Kahoda Kaushitaki* spake, 'This sap (of the plants) truly belongs to those two, heaven and earth: having offered of this sap to the gods, we will eat it.' 'That is why the offering of first-fruits is performed.'

2. And *Yāgñavalkya* also spake:—The gods and the Asuras, both of them sprung from *Pragāpati*, once contended for superiority. The Asuras then defiled, partly by magic, partly with poison, both kinds of plants—those on which men and beasts subsist—hoping that in this way they might overcome the gods. In consequence of this neither did men eat food, nor did beasts graze; and from want of food these creatures well-nigh perished¹.

3. Now the gods heard as to how these creatures were perishing from want of food. They spake unto one another, 'Come, let us rid them² of this!—' By what means?' 'By means of the sacrifice.' By means of the sacrifice the gods then accomplished all that they wanted to accomplish³; and so did the *Āśhis*.

4. They then said, 'To which of us shall this belong?' They did not agree (each of them exclaiming), 'Mine (it shall be)!' Not having come to an agreement, they said, 'Let us run a race for this (sacrifice): whichever of us beats (the others), his it shall be!' 'So be it!' they said, and they ran a race.

¹ The *Kāṇva* text has: *Tā eā ubhayayāḥ pragā anaranena not parābabbhūvāḥ*.

² Viz. the plants, according to the reading of the *Kāṇva* text: *Hantāsām ośadhīnām kṛtīyām tvad viśam tvad apahanāmeti*.

³ The *Kāṇva* text reads *kalvām* instead of *kalnam*.

5. Indra and Agni won, and hence that Indra-Agni cake on twelve potsherds¹; Indra and Agni having won a share in it. And where Indra and Agni were standing when they had won, thither all the gods followed them.

6. Now, Indra and Agni are the Kshatra (nobility), and all the gods (or, the All-gods) are the Vis (common Aryan people); and wherever the Kshatra conquers, there the Vis is allowed to share. Thus they (Indra and Agni) allowed the Visve Devāḥ (the All-gods) a share (in the offering); and hence that pap of boiled (rice or barley) grain (offered) to the All-gods.

7. 'Let him prepare it from old (grain)²,' say some; 'for Indra and Agni are the Kshatra (and he should therefore use old grain for the Vaisvadeva pap) lest he (the sacrificer) should exalt (the Vis) to the level of the Kshatra.' Nevertheless let both (the cake and *karu*) consist of new (grain); for (by the very fact that) the one is a cake and the other a pap, the nobility is not equalled (by the people): hence they should both consist of new (grain).

8. The All-gods spake, 'This sap (of the rice and barley plants) truly belongs to those two, heaven and earth: let us, then, allow those two a share in it!' They accordingly assigned that share to them, to wit, the cake on one potsherd offered to heaven

¹ The MS. of the Kāṇva recension has: Tasmād esha aindrāgno *śasakapālaḥ pyrolāro bhavati*. The commentary on Kāty. IV, 6, 1, on the other hand, makes it a cake on eleven potsherds.

² Or, he may do so. Kāty. IV, 6, 7 leaves the option between new and old grain.

and earth¹. This is why there is a cake on one potsherd (kapāla) for heaven and earth. Now this (earth) is, doubtless, the cup (depository, kapāla) of that (sap)²; and she indeed is one only: hence (the cake) consists of one potsherd.

9. An offence (is thereby committed) by him³; since, for whatever deity sacrificial food may be taken out, the Svishṭakṛit (Agni, the maker of good offering) is invariably allowed a share in it after (the respective deity). But that (cake) he offers entire, and he does not cut off a portion for the Svishṭakṛit: this is an offence, and consequently (that cake), when offered, turns upside down.

10. Hence they say, 'That (cake) contained on one potsherd has turned upside down: it will throw the kingdom into disorder.' No offence (is, however, committed) by him, for the Āhavanīya is the support of oblations; and if, after reaching the Āhavanīya, (the cake) were to turn upside down ten times, he need not heed it. And if others ask as to who would care to incur (the result of) such a combination (of errors), let him offer nothing but butter; for clarified butter is manifestly the sap of

¹ This is a 'low-voiced' oblation, the invitory and offering prayers thereat (with the exception of the concluding 'Vauśat' and 'Om') being pronounced in a low tone. See p. 171, note 1; p. 192, note 1.

² The Kāṇva text has: 'The reason why it consists of one kapāla is that this earth is a kapāla, and that she is one only.'

³ The Kāṇva recension has as follows:—As to this they say, 'It should not be a one-cup cake, (because) therein a neglect is (involved).' Even so (idam nu): for whatever deity they take out sacrificial food, the Svishṭakṛit is invariably made to share in it after (the respective deity). That (cake) they offer whole: this is consequently a neglect. Moreover, it turns round (paryālavati). As to this they say, 'That (cake) has turned upside down,' &c.

those two, heaven and earth, so that he thereby manifestly gladdens those two with their own sap or essence : hence he need offer nothing but butter.

11. By performing that same sacrifice, the gods removed the magic spell as well as the poison from both kinds of plants,—those on which men and beasts subsist ; and henceforward the men ate food and the cattle grazed.

12. Now when he performs that sacrifice, he does so either for the reason that no one will then defile (the plants) either by magic or poison ; or because the gods did so. And whatever share the gods assigned (to themselves), that share he thereby makes over to them. Moreover, he thereby renders wholesome and faultless both kinds of plants,—those on which men and beasts subsist ; and these creatures subsist on those wholesome and faultless (plants) of his : this is why he performs that sacrifice.

13. The priests' fee for this (sacrifice) consists of the first-born calf (of the season) ; for that is, as it were, the first-fruits (of the cattle). If he has already performed the new and full-moon offerings, let him first perform those offerings¹, and thereupon the present (offering of first-fruits). If, on the other hand, he has not yet performed (the new and full-moon offerings), let them cook a *Ātushprāśya*² pap on the southern fire, and let the priests eat it.

¹ I do not know how to account for the *vā*. Sāyana seems to take the passage thus :—' If he be a Soma-sacrificer, or if he be performing the *Darsapūrṇamāsa*, [let him first perform that sacrifice, and] let him then perform the present one.' The Oxford MS. of the *Kāṇva* text has :—*Etat tasya karma ya igāno vā syād darsapūrṇamāśābhyām vā yagetaṭha yo 'nigāno 'nvāhāryapaśana eva-*
danam Ātushprāśyam paśet tam brāhmaṇebhya upanidadhyaṭ.

² See II, 1, 4, 4 seq.

14. Verily, there are two kinds of gods: for the gods themselves, assuredly, are gods; and those priests who have studied, and teach Vedic lore, are the human gods. And in like manner as that is offered whereon the Vasha/ has been pronounced, so is that (offering of first-fruits consecrated by the feeding of the priests). Let him also, at this (sacrifice), give as much as is in his power, for no offering, they say, should be without ¹ dakshinā. At the Agnihotra (performed at the time of the Āgrayānesh/ī) let him not offer (milk obtained from the eating of new corn)²; for were he to offer such at the Agnihotra, he would cause a conflict (between the deities of the two offerings). The Āgrayāna is one thing, and the Agnihotra is another: let him, therefore, not offer (new material) at the Agnihotra.

FOURTH BRĀHMANA.

THE DAKSHĀYANA SACRIFICE.

This peculiar modification of the new and full-moon sacrifice seems to have been originated and generally to have been practised among the Dākshāyānas, a royal family which was evidently still flourishing at the time of our author³.

Here also two days were, as a rule, required for the performance, both at full and new moon; but while, at the ordinary sacrifice,

¹ Kāty. has the rules IV, 6, 11: 'In the case of one, who only performs the Agnihotra (and no longer the Darsapūrnamāsa), the evening and morning Agnihotra-oblation (at the time of the Āgrayāna) is performed with new (corn).'² 12, 'Or with the milk of (a cow) which has been fed with such (new corn).'³ The Kāṇva text has: 'Now at the Agnihotra also some offer (milk obtained from new corn), but let him not do so; for he would raise a quarrel were he to offer (such milk).'

³ See Weber, Ind. Stud. I, p. 223; IV, p. 358; Ludwig, Rig-

the first day was completely taken up with the preliminary ceremonies, the *Dākshāyana*s spread the special offerings over both days, making each time two separate *ishās* of them. The special *havis*, or sacrificial dishes, were, at the ordinary full-moon sacrifice, a rice-cake (to Agni, and another) to Agni and Soma; and at the new-moon sacrifice, a cake (to Agni, and another) to Indra and Agni, or, as an alternative, a dish of curds (*sānnāyā*) prepared of sweet and sour milk, offered to Indra (or Mahendra). The *Dākshāyana*s, on the other hand, offered the Agni-Soma and Indra-Agni cakes in the fore-noon of the first day, that of full and new moon respectively. The afternoons of the same days were then taken up with preliminary rites, such as the eating of fast-day food, the cutting of a *palāśa* branch, driving away of the calves from the cows, &c. The second day's performance commences (after the *Agnihotra*) with the election of the Brahman. The chief oblations of the day are (a cake to Agni, and) sour and sweet milk, offered separately to Indra at full moon; and mixed (as *sānnāyā* or *jayasyā*) to Mitra and Varuna at new moon.

At full moon some authorities add a special *ishā* to Indra *Vimridh* ('the Averter of evil'). The new-moon performance concludes with libations of whey to the divine coursers (the horses of the gods); and, optionally, with an *ishā* to *Āditya*.

The performance of the *Dākshāyana* sacrifice was held to be obligatory only for a period of fifteen years (see XI, 1, 2, 13), whereas the ordinary new and full-moon offerings had to be performed for double that period from the setting up of the sacred fires. Nay, even the daily performance of it with certain modifications, for a whole year, was supposed to acquit the householder of any further obligation in this respect; his sacrificial duties being henceforth limited to the performance of the *Agnihotra*, or morning and evening libations. The daily performance of the *Dākshāyana* is so regulated that an afternoon and following forenoon are alternately assigned to the two days' ceremonies of the ordinary fortnightly *Dākshāyana* sacrifice.

1. In the beginning *Pragāpati*, being desirous of offspring, sacrificed with this sacrifice: 'May I abound in offspring and cattle; may I obtain prosperity; may I become glorious; may I become an eater of food!' so he thought.

2. Now he was indeed *Daksha*: and because

he sacrificed in the beginning with this sacrifice, it is called Dākshāyana-sacrifice. Some, however, call it the Vasishṭha-sacrifice; for he (Pragāpati) is indeed vasishṭha (the best)¹, and after him they call it. He sacrificed with that sacrifice; and what race, what prosperity of Pragāpati was then produced through his performing that sacrifice, that same race he procreates, that same prosperity he obtains, whosoever, knowing this, performs that sacrifice: let him therefore perform that sacrifice.

3. Now that same sacrifice was afterwards performed by Pratiḍarsa Svaikṇa; and he indeed was an authority² to those who emulated him. An authority, therefore, he will become, whosoever, knowing this, performs that sacrifice: let him, therefore, perform that sacrifice.

4. Him Suplan Sārṅgaya approached for the sake of sanctity; and accordingly he was taught that sacrifice and another³; and having learnt it he went back to the Sṛiṅgayas. Now they knew that he was coming to them after studying the sacrifice for their sake. They said, 'Verily, with the gods (saha devaiḥ) he has come to us who has come after studying the sacrifice:' thus he (was called) Sahadeva Sārṅgaya; and even now the saying is, 'Lo, Suplan has taken another name!' He performed that sacrifice; and what race and prosperity of the Sṛiṅgayas was then produced through his performing that sacrifice, that same race he procreates, that same prosperity he obtains, whoso-

¹ The Kārva text has:—Sa u vā ckena nāmnā vasishṭha/s, 'and with one of his names he (Pragāpati) is indeed (called) Vasishṭha.'

² Vivātanam; vivātanam, Kārva recension.

³ Viz. the Sautrāmanī-sacrifice, according to XII, 8, 2, 3.

ever, knowing this, performs that sacrifice : let him, therefore, perform that sacrifice.

5. That same sacrifice was afterwards performed by Devabhāga Srautarsha. He was Purohita both to the Kūrus and the Srin̄ḡayas. Now a very high position (is held by him) who is the Purohita of one kingdom : how much higher, then, is the position (of one) who (is the Purohita) of two (kingdoms). A very high position accordingly he obtains, whosoever, knowing this, performs that sacrifice : let him, therefore, perform that sacrifice.

6. That same sacrifice was afterwards performed by Daksha Pārvasi; and even to this day these (descendants of his) the Dākshāyānas are possessed of the royal dignity : royal dignity he, therefore, here obtains, whosoever, knowing this, performs that sacrifice : let him, therefore, perform that sacrifice.—Day by day there is one cake¹ : thereby Fortune (śri) is (wedded) to him without a rival wife and undisturbed. He offers on two days of the full moon and on two of the new moon : for two means a pair, so that a productive pair is thereby obtained.

7. Now when², at full moon, he offers a (cake) to Agni and Soma on the first day,—these are two deities, and two means a pair : hence a productive pair is thereby obtained.

8. And on the morrow there are Agni's cake and Indra's Sānnāyā³,—these are two deities, and two means a pair, so that a productive pair is thereby obtained.

¹ Viz. on the first day of the full moon a cake to Agni-Soma; on that of new moon a cake to Indra-Agni; and on the second day of either ceremony the (ordinary) cake to Agni.

² Or, 'Now, as to the reason why' (yad) here and in the sequel.

³ See I, 6, 4, 9 seq.

9. Again when, at new moon, he offers a (cake) to Indra and Agni on the first day,—these are two deities, and two means a pair, so that a productive pair is thereby obtained.

10. Then on the morrow there are Agni's cake and Mitra and Varuna's curds. Now Agni's cake (is offered), for the sole purpose that it may not forsake the sacrifice¹. Then those two, Mitra and Varuna, are two deities, and two means a pair: hence a productive pair is thereby obtained; and thus is (produced) that form (of the sacrifice) whereby he becomes many, whereby he is reproduced.

11. And when, at full moon, he offers the Agni-Soma (cake) on the first day, then this is for him that victim which they slaughter for Agni and Soma on the fast-day (of the Soma-sacrifice)².

12. And on the morrow there are Agni's cake and Indra's Sānnāyya. Now Agni's cake is for him what the morning libation is (at the Soma-sacrifice), for the morning libation is indeed sacred to Agni; and the Sānnāyya is for him the mid-day libation, for the mid-day libation is indeed sacred to Indra.

13. And again when, at new moon, he offers the Indra-Agni (cake) on the first day, that is for him the same as the third (or evening) libation; for the third libation is sacred to the All-gods, and Indra and Agni truly are all the gods³.

14. And on the morrow there are Agni's cake and Mitra and Varuna's curds. Now Agni's cake is (offered) for the sole purpose that it should not

¹ See I, 6, 2, 6, with note.

² On the upavasatha (fast-day, or day of preparation) preceding the Soma-sacrifice a he-goat is sacrificed to Agni and Soma.

forsake the sacrifice; and that dish of curds (payasyā) is to him the same as that barren cow, the anūbandhyā, which has to be slaughtered for Mitra and Varuṇa (at the Soma-sacrifice)¹: thus by performing the full and new-moon offering one gains as much as is gained by performing a Soma-sacrifice; and that (offering) is indeed a great sacrifice.

15. And again when, at full moon, he offers the Agni-Soma (cake) on the first day,—it was by that (offering) that Indra slew Vṛitra²; it was thereby he gained that supreme authority which he now wields³: and so does he (the sacrificer) thereby slay his wicked spiteful enemy and gain the superiority. And as to his mixing (sweet and sour milk),—the Sānnāyya is (the oblation) of the new moon (amā-vāsyā)⁴, and the new moon⁵ means being far away: to him who had slain Vṛitra this was forthwith (offered), and him they regaled with that draught. He therefore who, knowing this, prepares the Sānnāyya at full moon, forthwith

¹ In connection with the so-called udayaniyā ishṭi, or concluding offering, of the Soma-sacrifice, a barren cow, called anū-bandhyā (literally, 'to be bound afterwards'), is offered to Mitra and Varuṇa. In default of such a cow, an ox, or even a dish of curds (payasyā) serves the same purpose. See Kāty. Sr. X, 9, 12-15; Sat. Br. IV, 5, 2, 1 seq.

² See I, 6, 4, 12.

³ Thus the frequently-occurring phrase 'vragayata yāsyeyam vigitis tām' (literally, 'he conquered that conquest which is now theirs') has been translated throughout.

⁴ On the derivation of amā-vāsyā ('dwelling at home, or together'), see I, 6, 4, 3 seq.

⁵ Or, 'the dwelling at home,' or '(Indra's) dwelling together (with Agni) means (Indra, the Vṛitra-slayer) being far away.'

drives away evil. Now that moon doubtless is king Soma, the food of the gods: they extract it on the first day, intending to consume it on the next day; consequently when that (moon) wanes, it is being consumed by them.

16. And when, at full moon, he offers the Agni-Soma (cake) on the first day, he thereby (as it were) extracts that (Soma); and, when extracted, he adds that juice to it, and makes it strong by means of that juice¹. Whosoever, then, ~~knowing~~ this, prepares the Sānnāyya at full moon, renders his offering palatable to the gods, and his offering is palatable to the gods.

17. And again as to why, at new moon, he offers the Indra-Agni (cake) on the first day. Indra and Agni doubtless are the deities of the new and full moon: it is to these, therefore, that he offers directly and expressly; and directly to the new and full moon is offering made by him who thus knows this.

18. And on the morrow there is Agni's cake and Mitra and Varuṇa's curds. Now Agni's cake is (offered) for the sole purpose that it may not forsake the sacrifice. Mitra and Varuṇa, on the other hand, are the two half-moons: the waxing one is Varuṇa, and the waning one is Mitra. During that night (of new moon) these two meet, and when they are thus together he pleases them with that (cake-offering): and, verily, all is pleased with him, all is obtained by him who thus knows this.

19. In that same night Mitra implants seed in Varuṇa, and when it (the moon) wanes, then it is

produced from that seed. Now as to why that oblation of curds (*payasyā*) to Mitra and Varuṇa is here exactly analogous (to the *Sānnāyya* offered at new moon)¹.

20. The new moon doubtless is entitled to the *Sānnāyya*: it is prepared both then and at full moon. Now were he also here (at the full-moon offering) to mix together (the sweet and sour milk), he would commit a repetition and cause a quarrel (between the respective gods)². Having collected that (Soma or moon) from the waters and plants, he causes him to be born from out of the oblations; and on being born from the oblations, he is visible in the western (sky).

21. It is through union that he produces him: the curds (*payasyā*, fem.) are female, and the whey is seed. Now what is produced by union is (produced) properly: hence he thereby produces him by a productive union; and therefore there is an offering of curds.

¹ Or, to the offering of sour and sweet milk at full moon: see next note. The *Kāṇva* text has: 'Now as to why the oblation of curds is here made exactly analogous (at the full and new-moon ceremonies).' Perhaps it may also refer to the exact correspondence of the offering of curds to Mitra and Varuṇa at new moon and at the Soma-sacrifice.

² At the new-moon offering of the *Dīkshāyana*, the *sānnāyya* or *payasyā* offered to Mitra and Varuṇa is prepared in the ordinary way (as at the new-moon ceremony), by fresh (boiled or un-boiled) milk being added to the sour milk of the preceding night's milking. At the full-moon offering, on the other hand, the sour and sweet milk remain separate, and constitute two different *bavis*, or sacrificial dishes, dedicated to Indra. The terms *san-ni* ('to bring together') and *sānnāyya* are here likewise applied to the offering of the separate substances.

22. He then offers the whēy¹ to the (divine) Coursers. Now the Coursers are the seasons, and the whēy is seed: and thus the seed is cast properly, and the seasons bring forth the seed so cast in the form of these creatures. This is why he offers the whēy to the Coursers.

23. He offers, as it were, behind the sacrifice: for it is from behind that the male approaches and impregnates the female. He first offers in the east. With 'O Agni, accept . . . !' he repeats the Vashaṭ, —this is in lieu of the Svishṭaṭ; and (the latter)² he offers in the east.

24. He then sprinkles (the whēy) in the several quarters, with the texts (Vāg. S. VI, 19 b-g), 'The quarters'—The fore-quarters (pra-diṣ)! The by-quarters (ā-diṣ)!—The intermediate quarters (vi-diṣ)! The upper quarters (ud-diṣ)!—To the quarters,—Svāhā! Five are the quarters, and five the

¹ Before the oblations of curds are made, the whēy is poured off into a vessel (then optionally sprinkled with butter), and placed on the ukāra, or heap of rubbish. After the stalk of grass has been thrown into the fire (see I, 8, 3, 19), or after the dismissal of the spoons (I, 8, 3, 27), the Adhvaryu takes the whēy and sprinkles the barhis (the grass covering on the altar) with it. He then pours the remaining whēy into the gūhī spoon and calls on the Hotṛi to recite the invitatory prayer to the Coursers. Thereupon he betakes himself with the spoon to the north of the fire, calls on the Hotṛi for the offering-formula, and at the two concluding Vashaṭs pours some of the whēy into the east part of the fire. He then sits down and sprinkles the whēy on the fire according to the several quarters, beginning in the east, and moving around from left to right (pradakṣhiṇam), with the respective texts, Vāg. S. VI, 19 b-e; after which he makes two more libations in the centre and east part of the fire, with VI, 19 f and g.

² The Kāṇva text has tadu instead of sa vai. On the oblation to Agni as 'the maker of good offering,' see I, 7, 3, 1 seq.

³ The text is altered after each formula.—'The quarters, Svāhā!' &c.

seasons: he thus effects a union between the quarters and the seasons¹.

25. Five partake of that (whey remaining in the spoon),—viz. the Hotṛi, the Adhvaryu, the Brahman, the Agnidhra, and the Sacrificer; for five are the seasons, so that the characteristic nature of the seasons is thereby obtained; and the seed that is cast is firmly implanted in the seasons. The sacrificer partakes of it first, thinking, 'May I first obtain seed!' But also last (he partakes of it)², thinking, 'May seed remain in me last of all!' By saying, 'Invited,—invite thou!' they make it (the whey to resemble) the Soma.

10TH ADHYĀYA. FIRST BRĀHMANA.

V. THE KĀTURMĀSYĀNI OR SEASONAL SACRIFICES.

A. THE VAIŚVADEVĀ.

The three seasonal or four-monthly sacrifices are performed at the parvans, or commencement of the three seasons (spring, rainy

¹ *Rāṣṇ evaitad digbhiḥ mithunān karoti*, Kāṇva recension.

² The author does not express himself quite clearly. The sacrificer is to partake of the whey before the priests and also (or, as an alternative) after them. According to Kāty. IV. 4. 26–27, the sacrificer is to eat either last of all, or first and last. The Kāṇva text has: *Prathamō yagamāno bhakshayati prathamō retāḥ parigrhṇāmīti aḥottamo mayy uttamam retāḥ pratishṭhād iti*,—accordingly he is to eat first and last.

³ Each of them, in his respective order, takes the spoon, calls on the others in the same order with 'O sacrificer (Hotṛi, Adhvaryu, &c.) invite!' Their permission having been given by 'Invited (thou art)!' he then takes some of the whey, with one of the texts: 'I eat thee, the courser (or whey, vāginam) of the seasons, the coursers!' 'I, the courser (or, mighty one) eat, invited, of the invited, to the whey.' 'May I be a racer in the race!' Kāty. IV. 4. 13–15.

season, and autumn), viz. the Vaisvadeva generally on the full moon of Phālguna; the Varuṇapraghāsāḥ on that of Āshāḍha; and the Sākamedhāḥ on that of Kārtika. As a fourth Kātur-māsyā, ritual authorities add the Sunāsrtīya, though they are at variance as to the exact time of its performance; and neither is its true significance clearly indicated. It apparently marks merely the conclusion of the seasonal offerings (which, as a rule, are only performed once, cf. II, 6, 3, 12 seq.); but while the author of the Sata-patha allows it to be performed at any time (within four months) after the Sākamedhāḥ, other ritualists hold that its performance should take place on the fifth full moon after the Sākamedhāḥ, or, in other words, exactly a year after the Vaisvadeva. See ~~III, 1, 1, 1~~, Nakshatra, II, p. 334 seq.

1. Verily, in the beginning, Pragāpati alone existed here¹. He thought within himself, 'How can I be propagated?' He toiled and practised austerities. He created living beings². The living beings created by him passed away: they are those birds. Now man is the nearest to Pragāpati; and man is two-footed: hence birds are two-footed.

2. Pragāpati thought within himself, 'Even as formerly I was alone, so also am I now alone.' He created a second (race of beings); they also passed away: they are those small crawling reptiles other than snakes. He created a third (race), they say; they also passed away: they are those snakes. Yāgyavalkya, on his part, declared them to be of two kinds only; but of three kinds they are according to the *Rik*.

3. While praising and practising austerities, Pragā-

¹ Or, Pragāpati alone was this (universe). Cf. Muir, Original Sanskrit Texts, p. 70.

² By pragāḥ, or (living) beings, mammalia—especially man and domestic animals—seem to be understood.

pati thought within himself, 'How comes it that the living beings created by me pass away?' He then became aware that his creatures passed away from want of food. He made the breasts in the fore-part of (their) body¹ teem with milk. He then created living beings² and by resorting to the breasts, the beings created by him thenceforward continued to exist: they are these (creatures) which have not passed away.

4. Hence it ~~has~~ been said by the *Rishi*²,—'Three generations have passed beyond,'—this is said re-grading those that passed away;—'Others settled down around the light (arka, the sun)'—the light doubtless is the fire: those creatures which did not pass away, settled down around the fire; it is with regard to them that this is said.

5. 'The great one (neut.)³ remained within the worlds'—it is with regard to Pragāpati that this is said.—'The blower (or, purifier) entered the regions'—the regions doubtless are the quarters, and these were indeed entered by that blowing wind: it is with regard to them that this verse was uttered. And in like manner as Pragāpati created these living beings, so they are propagated: for whenever the breasts of woman and the udder of cattle swell, then whatever is born is born; and by resorting to the breasts these (beings) continue to exist.

6. Now that milk is indeed food; for in the beginning Pragāpati produced it for food. But that

• ¹ *Ātmana evāgre*; the Kāṇva text has *ātmany evāgre*.

² *Rig-veda* VIII, 90, 14.

³ Or perhaps better, as Ludwig takes it, 'On high he took his place within the worlds.'

food also means living beings (progeny), since it is by food that they exist : by resorting to the breasts of those who have milk, they continue to exist. And those who have no milk are nursed by the former as soon as they are born ; and thus they exist by means of food, and hence food means progeny.

7. He who is desirous of offspring, sacrifices with that oblation, and thereby makes himself the sacrifice, which is Pragāpati¹.

8. In the first place² there is a cake for Agni on eight potsherds. Agni indeed is the root, the progenitor of the deities ; he is Pragāpati ('lord of creatures') : hence there is a cake for Agni.

9. Then follows a potful of boiled rice (karu) for Soma. Soma doubtless is seed, and that in Agni, the progenitor ; he (Agni) casts the seed Soma : thus there is at the outset a productive union.

10. Then follows a cake on twelve or eight potsherds³ for Savitri. Savitri indeed is the impeller (pra-savitri) of the gods ; he is Pragāpati, the intermediate⁴ progenitor : hence the cake to Savitri.

11. Then follows a potful of boiled rice for Sarasvati ; and another for Pūshan. Sarasvati doubtless is a woman, and Pūshan is a man : thus there is again a productive union. Through that twofold productive union Pragāpati created the living beings,—

¹ ? Or, Pragāpati, the real, the existent, 'Pragāpatim bhūtam.'

² Instead of the preliminary Anvārambhasyā-ishī (see p. 7), a special ishī may be performed on this occasion, with a cake on twelve potsherds to Agni Vairānara, and a potful of boiled rice (karu) to Parganya, for oblations. Kāty. V, 1, 2-4.

³ According to Taitt. S. I, 8, 2, it is one on twelve potsherds.

⁴ Medhātithi, lit. 'from the middle.'

through the one (he created) the upright, and through the other those looking to the ground. This is why there are these five oblations¹.

12. After that (follows), as a foundation for the curds, a cake on seven potsherds for the Maruts. The Maruts indeed are the people (*visaḥ*), the people of the gods. They roamed about here entirely unimpeded. Having approached Pragāpati, when he was sacrificing, they said, 'We shall destroy those creatures of thine which thou art about to create by means of this offering².'

13. Pragāpati reflected, 'My former creatures have passed away; and if those (Maruts) destroy these (creatures), then nothing will be left.' He accordingly set aside for them that share, the Maruts' cake on seven potsherds; and that is this same cake on seven potsherds for the Maruts. The reason why it is one of seven potsherds, is that the host of the Maruts is (distributed in troops) of seven each³. This is why there is a cake on seven potsherds for the Maruts.

14. Let him offer it to the 'self-strong' (Maruts); since they gained that share for themselves. [If, however, they (the priests) do not find an invitatory and an offering prayer (addressed) to the 'self-strong' (Maruts)⁴, let it be (offered) simply to the

¹ While the five preceding oblations are common to all the seasonal offerings (Kāty. V, 1, 15), the succeeding ones are peculiar to the Vaisraveda.

² The Kāṇva text adds, 'if thou wilt not assign a share to us.'

³ In Rīg-veda VIII, 96, 8, the Maruts are said to be sixty-three in number, divided into nine troops of seven each.

⁴ The Kāṇva text has: Tad uta yāgyānuvākye svatavatyau na vindantī; yadi yāgyānuvākye svatavatyau na vinded api māruṭyā eva syātām.

Maruts. It is offered for the safety of creatures : hence it is offered to the Maruts.

15. Thereupon follows the oblation of curds (payasyā). Now it is on milk that the creatures subsist, it was by means of milk that they were preserved : hence he now offers to them that by which they were preserved, and whereon they subsist ; and the beings whom he creates by means of the foregoing offerings, subsist on that milk, on that oblation of curds.

16. Therein a union takes place : the curdled milk (payasyā, fem.) is female, and the whey is seed. From that union the infinite All was gradually generated ; and since the infinite All was gradually generated from that union, therefore it (the offering of curds) belongs to the All-gods.

17. Then follows a cake on one potsherd for Heaven and Earth. Now when Pragāpati had created the living beings by those offerings, he enclosed them within heaven and earth ; and so they are now enclosed within heaven and earth. And in like manner he, who by means of those oblations creates living beings, thereby encloses them within heaven and earth : this is why there is a cake on one potsherd for Heaven and Earth.

18. Now as to the course of proceeding. They do not raise an uttara-vedi¹ in order that it (the sacred work) may be unobstructed, that it may be entire, that it may be (worthy) of the All-gods.—The barhis is tied up in three (bunches), and then

¹ The uttara-vedi, or northern (or upper) altar, is not required at the performance of the Vairvadeva, but at that of the Varuna-praghñāsā; see II, 2, 2. 5 seq.

again in one¹; for such is the characteristic form of generation, since father and mother are a productive (pair), and what is born forms a third element: hence that which is threefold is again (made) one. Thereto flowering shoots (of sacrificial grass) are tied: these he uses for the prastara²; for this is a productive union, and productive indeed are flowering shoots: this is why he takes flowering shoots for the prastara.

19. On putting the sacrificial dishes in their place, they churn the fire³. For it was after Agni was born that Pragāpati's offspring was born; and so for this (sacrificer) also offspring is born after Agni (the fire) has been produced: this is why they churn the fire, after they have deposited the sacrificial dishes in their place.

¹ Three bunches of sacrificial grass are tied together with one band. Kāty. V, 1, 25.

² For the prastara, or bunch of grass representing the sacrificer, see I, 3, 3, 5 seq.; I, 8, 3, 11 seq.

³ Kāty. V, 1, 27 seq. supplies the following details:—With the text (Vāg. V, 2 a, &c.), 'Agni's birth-place art thou,' the Adhvaryu takes up a piece of wood and puts it on the altar. With 'the two testicles are ye' he lays on it two stalks of sacrificial grass. With 'Urvasi thou art' he places the lower aravi (see p. 294, note 3) thereon. With 'Āyus (old age, or the son of Purūravas and Urvasi) thou art' he touches the butter in the pot with the upper aravi; and with 'Purūravas thou art' he puts it down on the lower aravi. He then calls on the Hotri to recite 'to the fire being churned out.' With the three formulas 'with the gāyatrī (trishrubh, gāgatī) metre I churn thee!' he churns thrice from left to right, and then alternately both ways until fire is produced. He then calls on the Hotri to recite 'to the born fire' (Sāṅkh. III, 13, 21); and in carrying the fire towards the Āhavanīya he makes him recite 'to (the fire) being carried forward.' With the text V, 3, he throws it down on the Āhavanīya hearth; and (having put a kindling-stick on it) he makes two libations of butter thereon with V, 4.

20. [At the Vaisvadeva-offering] there are nine fore-offerings and nine after-offerings¹. Now the virāḡ metre consists of ten syllables: hence he obtains both times an inferior (incomplete) virāḡ for the sake of production, because it was from that inferior (lower) source of production² that Pragāpati twice produced creatures—both the upright and those looking to the ground. This is why (the Vaisvadeva) has nine fore-offerings and nine after-offerings.

21. There are three Samish/ayagus³; for this (offering) is decidedly greater than an (ordinary) havir-yagñā⁴, since it has nine fore-offerings and nine after-offerings. However, there may also be only a single Samish/ayagus, since this is a havir-yagñā. The priest's fee for it (consists of) the first-born calf (of the season).

22. And what race, what prosperity accrued to Pragāpati from his offering this sacrifice, that same race he produces, that same prosperity he attains

¹ The same number of prayāgas and anuyāgas are prescribed for the Varuṇapraghāsāḥ (see II, 5, 2, 30 and 41, with notes) and for the Mahāhavis of the Sākamedhāḥ. Kāty. V, 2, 8.

² Or rather, from that productive nyūna (womb, lit. defective, lower); see II, 1, 1, 13.

³ See I, 9, 2, 25 seq. The formula used, if there be only one Samish/ayagus, is the same as at the Darapūṣṭamāsa, viz. II, 21 b (VIII, 21). If there are three, they are offered to the wind (vāta), the sacrifice, and the lord of sacrifice respectively; the formulas Vāg. S. VIII, 22 a b being used with the second and third. Kāty. V, 2, 9. For the Varuṇapraghāsāḥ and Sākamedhāḥ three Samish/ayagus are prescribed, and for the Sunāśrīya only one.

⁴ Viz. such as the new and full-moon sacrifice, which serves as the model sacrifice, and at which there are only five fore-offerings and three after-offerings. See I, 5, 3, 1 seq.; I, 8, 2, 7 seq.

whosoever, knowing this, offers this sacrifice: let him therefore perform this sacrifice.

SECOND BRĀHMAṆA.

B. THE VARUNAPRAGHĀSA OFFERINGS.

1. Now it was by means of the Vaisvadeva that Pragāpati produced living beings. The beings produced by him ate (ghas) Varuṇa's barley corn; for originally the barley belonged to Varuṇa. And from their eating Varuṇa's barley corn the name Varuṇa-praghāśā¹ (is derived).

2. Varuṇa seized them; and on being seized by Varuṇa, they became rent all over²; and they lay and sat them down breathing in and breathing out. The out-breathing and in-breathing forsook them not, but all the other deities³ forsook them; and owing to these two, the creatures did not perish.

3. Pragāpati healed them by means of that oblation: both the creatures that were born and those that were unborn he delivered from Varuṇa's noose; and his creatures were born without disease and blemish.

4. Now when this (sacrificer) performs these offerings in the fourth month (after the Vaisvadeva), he does so either because thus Varuṇa does not seize his offspring, or because the gods performed (the same offering); and both the children that have been born to him and those that are yet unborn he thereby delivers from Varuṇa's noose, and his children are born without disease and blemish. This is why he performs these offerings in the fourth month.

¹ Paridhr̥ma, i.e. swollen, dropsical.

² In the St. Petersburg Dict. devatā is here taken as 'organ of

5. At this (sacrifice) there are two altars and two fires¹. The reason why there are two altars and two

¹ For the performance of the Varuṇapraghâśâ the Adhvaryu and his assistant, the Pratiprasthâtri, have to prepare,—to the east of the Āhavanīya, and at the distance of at least three steps (prakrama) from it,—two altars, separate from each other by about a span (of thumb and fore-finger), one south of the other. The northern one, belonging to the Adhvaryu, is to measure between four and five cubits along the west side, and between three and four cubits along the east side; the two sides being between six and eight cubits distant from each other. The southern altar, reserved for the Pratiprasthâtri, is to be of the usual size of the altar at the haviryagñî. The ceremonies, detailed in I, 2, Brāhmaṇas 4 and 5, have to be performed also on the present occasion. In the middle of the east side of the northern altar a stake is fixed in the ground. On the north side of the northern altar, and contiguous with it, a pit (śātvāla), $1\frac{1}{2}$ cubits (the length of the wedge) square, is dug, so as to be separated on the west from the utkara (heap of rubbish) by a narrow passage. With the mould dug up from the pit, the so-called uttara-vedi (upper or north altar) is raised on the northern altar, either of the same dimensions as the pit ($1\frac{1}{2}$ cubits square) or one third of the area of the northern altar, and so that the stake marks the middle of its east side. In the centre of this mound he makes a hollow (or 'navel'), a span square; and the whole mound is then bestrewn with fine gravel. The texts used while tracing the sides of the pit, thence throwing the wooden sword within the marked-off space, and raising the uttara-vedi, are given Vāg. S. V, 9-10. During the night the uttara-vedi remains covered with udumbara or plaksha branches or with sacrificial grass. Next morning the two fires for the newly-constructed fireplaces are taken from the Āhavanīya, either by dividing the latter into two equal parts, or by means of two bundles of firewood (threefold bound, see p. 389, note 1), lighted at it, and carried eastwards in a pan covered with sand or mould. While the fires, together with the lustral water and a spoonful of ghee, taken from the pot by five ladlings with the aruṇa, are taken eastward, the Hotri thrice recites the verse 'Pra devyam deva,' &c.; and the Pratiprasthâtri draws, with the wooden sword, a line from the Āhavanīya to the south-west corner (or 'right hip') of the northern altar, or to the uttara-vedi. The Adhvaryu, standing between the two altars, then besprinkles the uttara-vedi with water, while muttering the

fires, is that thereby one frees the creatures from Varuṇa's noose both ways,—on the one side (he frees) the upright, and on the other those looking to the ground : this is why there are two altars and two fires.

6. On the¹ northern (uttara) altar he raises the uttara-vedi (upper or north altar), not on the southern one. Varuṇa, doubtless, is the nobility, and the Maruts are the people : he thus makes the nobility superior (uttara) to the people ; and hence people here serve the Kshatriya, placed above them. This is why he raises the uttara-vedi on the northern, not on the southern altar.

7. In the first place there are those five oblations¹. For by means of those five oblations Pragāpati produced the creatures, with them he freed the creatures both ways from Varuṇa's noose,—on the one side (he freed) the upright, and on the other those that tend to the ground : this is why there are those five oblations.

8. Then follows a cake on twelve potsherds for Indra and Agni. Indra and Agni indeed are the out-breathing and in-breathing : thus this is like

texts Vāg. S. V, 11; whereupon he pours out on it crosswise the spoonful of clarified butter, with the texts V. 12; and lays, with the mantras V, 13, three enclosing-sticks (paridhi) of pītadāru wood round the 'navel' (see I. 3, 4, 2 seq.), and puts bdellium, fragrant reed-grass, and the front-hair of a ram on the 'navel' as a foundation (sambhāra, see II, 1, 1, 1 seq.) for the fire, which is then laid down thereon. On a hearth-mound (khara), a cubit square, formed on the southern altar, the Prāuprasthāri also lays down his fire, after performing the usual fivefold lustration (see p. 2). Thereupon the praṣṭā-water is brought forward in the way set forth at I, 1, 1, 12 seq. Kāty. V, 3, 9-4, 21. For a different mode of transferring the fire to the special fire-places, see p. 396, note 1.

¹ See II, 5, 1, 11, with note.

doing a good turn to one who has done him a good turn; for it is owing to these two that his creatures¹ did not perish. Hence he now restores his creatures by means of the out-breathing; and in-breathing, bestows out-breathing and in-breathing on them: this is why there is a cake on twelve potsherds for Indra and Agni.

9. On both (fires) there is an oblation of curds. It is on milk that the creatures subsist and by means of milk that they were preserved: hence it is with that by which they were preserved and whereon they subsist, that he delivers them both ways from Varuṇa's noose,—on the one side (he delivers) the upright and on the other those looking to the ground. This is why there is an oblation of curds on both (fires).

10. The northern one is offered to Varuṇa, since it was Varuṇa who seized his (Pragāpati's) creatures: hence he thereby directly delivers them from Varuṇa's noose. The southern one is offered to the Maruts. It is for the sake of diversity that it is offered to the Maruts: for a repetition he would undoubtedly commit, were he to offer both to Varuṇa. Moreover, it was from the south that the Maruts intended to slay his (Pragāpati's) creatures, and with that share he propitiated them: for this reason the southern (oblation of curds) belongs to the Maruts.

11. Upon both (dishes of curds) he scatters karīra-fruits²; for with karīra-fruits Pragāpati

¹ That is, his offspring and cattle.

² The fruit of *Capparis Aphylla*. According to Śāṅkhya, on Taitt. I, 8, 3, it is karīra-shoots—which he says resemble the Soma-creeper (somavallī)—that are so used; but he also mentions that some authorities take karīra to mean the fruit. According to a sūtra he

bestowed happiness (ka) on the creatures, and so does he (the sacrificer) thereby bestow happiness on the creatures.

12. Upon both of them he also scatters sami-leaves; for with sami-leaves Pragāpati bestowed bliss (sam) on the creatures, and so does he now thereby bestow bliss on the creatures.

13. Then follows a cake on one potsherd for Ka (Pragāpati); for by that cake on one potsherd to Ka Pragāpati indeed bestowed happiness (ka) on the creatures, and so does he (the sacrificer) now bestow happiness on the creatures by that one-cup cake: this is why there is a cake on one potsherd for Ka.

14. And on the first day, after husking and slightly roasting barley on the Dakshināgni, they prepare therewith as many dishes of karambha¹ as there are members of the (sacrificer's) family, exceeded by one.

15. At the same time they also prepare a ram and a ewe; and if he be able to procure wool other than from eḍaka sheep, let him wash it and stick it on both the ram and the ewe; but should he not be able to procure wool other than from eḍaka sheep, tufts of kusa grass may also be (used).

16. The reason why there are a ram and a ewe is that the ram manifestly is Varuṇa's victim, so that he thereby manifestly delivers the creatures from Varuṇa's noose. They are made of barley, because it was when they (the creatures) had eaten barley that Varuṇa seized them. A pair they form, so that he

• quotes, above a hundred sami-leaves and above a thousand karīras should be strewed over the two dishes of curds. Cf. Taitt. Br. I, 6, 5, 5.

¹ A kind of porridge prepared with roasted barley, coarsely ground, and sour curds.

delivers the creatures from Varuṇa's noose through conjugal union.

17. The ewe he places on the southern, and the ram on the northern dish of curds; for in this way alone a proper union is effected, since the woman lies on the left (or north) side of the man.

18. The Adhvaryu places all the (other) sacrificial dishes upon the northern altar; and the Pratiprasthâtri places on the southern altar that dish of curds (belonging to the Maruts).

19. Having thus placed the sacrificial dishes, he churns the fire; and having churned it and placed it on (the hearth)¹, he offers thereon. The Adhvaryu in the first place says (to the Hotri)², 'Recite to the fire that is being kindled!' Both (the Adhvaryu and the Pratiprasthâtri) then put firewood on (the fire) and both reserve one kindling-stick each; and they both pour out the first libation (āghâra). Thereupon the Adhvaryu says, 'Agnidh, trim the fire!' Although the summons is given, the trimming does not take place (immediately)³.

20. Thereupon the Pratiprasthâtri returns (to where the sacrificer's wife is seated). When he is about to lead the wife away, he asks her, 'With

¹ The author here apparently alludes to a different way of transferring the fire to the new fire-places from that detailed by Kātyāyana (see p. 392, note 1). The same mode seems to be referred to by the Paddhati on Kāty: V, 4 (p. 467). According to this mode (called *samāropana*, or mounting of the fire), the old fires are 'taken up' by means of the two *aravis* being lighted, or rather heated, at them, and then 'churned out' and placed on the newly-prepared hearth-mounds.

² For the detailed course of procedure, see I, 3, 5, 1 seq.

³ *Asamarisham eva bhavati sampreshitam*. The Kāṇva recension reads, *asamarishā evāgnir bhavati sampreshitā*. Cf. par. 30.

whom holdest thou intercourse?' Now when a woman who belongs to one (man) carries on intercourse with another, she undoubtedly commits (a sin) against Varuṇa. He therefore thus asks her, lest she should sacrifice with a secret pang in her mind; for when confessed the sin becomes less, since it becomes truth; this is why he thus asks her. And whatever (connection) she confesses¹ not, that indeed will turn out injurious to her relatives.

21. He then makes her say the text (III, 44), 'We invoke the Maruts, the voracious consumers of enemies, delighting in their porridge.' This (verse) is (of like import) as the invitatory prayer: she therewith invites them to these dishes².

22. Of these (dishes) there is one for each descendant; as many (children) as there are in the (sacrificer's) family, so many (dishes) there are, exceeded by one. There being one for each descendant, he thereby delivers from Varuṇa's noose one by one the children born to him; and there being an additional one, he thereby delivers from Varuṇa's

¹ According to Kāty. V, 5, 7-9, she is either to give the total number or the names of her lovers, or to hold up as many stalks of grass. [If she have none, she is to reply, 'with no one else.' Comm.]—'He makes the wife speak (confess): (thereby) he renders her pure, and then he leads her to penance. Were she not to reveal (the name of) a paramour she has, she would harm a dear relative. Let her declare "N.N. is my paramour," by thus declaring (any one) she causes him to be seized by Varuṇa.' Taitt. Br. I, 6, 5, 2.

² According to the Black Yagus, the Pratiprasthātri mutters this formula, while leading the mistress to the place of offering. The sacrificer then recites as the invitatory prayer the verse given in par. 28 (Vāg. S. III, 46); while the offering-prayer (Vāg. S. III, 45) and the text III, 47 (par. 29) are muttered by both the husband and wife. Taitt. I, 6, 5, 3 argues against the practice of the wife being made to pronounce the anuvākya.

noose those children of his that are as yet unborn: this is why there are (the same number of dishes) exceeded by one.

23. (In the form of) dishes they are, because it is from dishes that food is eaten; and of barley they are prepared, because it was when they (the creatures) had eaten the barley corn that Varuṇa seized them. From the winnowing basket she offers, because food is prepared by means of the winnowing basket. The wife offers (together with her husband): thus he delivers his offspring from Varuṇa's noose through conjugal union.

24. She offers previously to the sacrifice, previously to the oblations, since the people do not eat offerings, and the Maruts are the people. Now when Prajāpati's creatures, being seized by Varuṇa, became rent all over, and sat and lay them down, breathing in and breathing out, then the Maruts destroyed their sin; and so do the Maruts now destroy the sin of his (the sacrificer's) offspring. This is why she offers previously to the sacrifice, previously to the oblations.

25. He¹ offers in the southern fire, with the text (III, 45). 'Whatever (sin we have committed) in the village and forest,'—for both in the village and in the forest sin is committed;—'whatever in society and in our own self,'—by 'whatever (we have committed) in society,' he means to say 'against man;' and by 'whatever in our own self' (indriya), he means to say 'against the gods;'—'whatever sin

¹ According to Kāty. V, 5, 11, either the mistress alone offers, or she together with her husband. In the latter case, the offering-formula (as well as the dedicatory formula, 'This to the Maruts') is pronounced by both.

we have here committed, that we expiate by offering, Svāhā!—whereby he says 'whatsoever sin we have committed, from all that we rid ourselves.'

26. Thereupon he mutters the (verse) addressed to Indra and referring to the Maruts.—Now when the Maruts destroyed the sin of Pragāpati's creatures, he thought within himself, 'I hope they will not destroy my creatures.'

27. He muttered that (verse) addressed to Indra and referring to the Maruts. Indra indeed is the nobility, and the Maruts are the people; and the nobility are the controllers of the people: 'They shall be controlled,' he thought; and therefore (that verse, Vāg. S. III, 46) is addressed to Indra.

28. 'Let there not, O Indra, be (fight) for us here in battles with the gods, since there is a share for thee in the sacrifice, O fiery one!—for thee, the mighty showerer of gifts, whose Maruts the song of the offerer stream-like celebrates.'

29. He then makes her say the text (Vāg. S. III, 47), 'The men skilled in the work have done the work,'—those skilled in the work have indeed done the work;—'with pleasing song;'—for with song they have done it. 'Having done the work for the gods;'—for the gods indeed they have done the work;—'go home, ye companions!'—they are now together with her while she is led thither from an other place: hence she says, 'ye companions' (sātābhū, 'being together'). 'Go home,' she says, because that wife doubtless is the hind part of the sacrifice, and he has just now made her take her seat to the east of the sacrifice. 'Home' doubtless means the house, and the house is a resting-place: hence he thereby makes her rest in that resting-place, the house.

30. Having led her back (to her seat) the Prati-prasthâtri returns (to his place by the side of the southern altar). They now trim the fire¹. When the fire has been trimmed, both (the Adhvaryu and Prati-prasthâtri) make the second libation (of butter). Thereupon the Adhvaryu, having called (on the Āgnidhra) for the 'Sraushat,' chooses the Hotri. The chosen Hotri then seats himself on the Hotri's seat beside the northern altar; and having seated himself, he urges (the Adhvaryu and Prati-prasthâtri) to proceed. Being thus urged to proceed, they both take up the spoons and step across (to the south side of the fires). After stepping across and calling for the 'Sraushat,' the Adhvaryu says (to the Hotri), 'Pronounce the offering-prayer on the kindling-sticks!' and 'Pronounce the offering-prayer!' at each (subsequent fore-offering). Pouring (the butter in the spoons) together (into the guhû) at the fourth², they both proceed with the nine fore-offerings³.

31. Thereupon the Adhvaryu says (to the Hotri),

¹ The Kāṇva text has more correctly, 'He trims both fires;' since it is the Āgnidhra who has to trim both the northern and southern fires. See par. 19.

² The recipients of the first four fore-offerings are the same as at the normal haviryagña (cf. p. 146 note), viz. 1. the kindling-sticks (samidhs); 2. Tanûnapât (or Narâraṁsa); 3. the Ids; 4. the Barhis. The remaining ones are—5. the doors (of heaven); 6. dawn and night; 7. the two divine Hotris; 8. the three goddesses (Sarasvatî, Idâ, and Bhârati); 9. all the deities to whom offering is made during the sacrifice (see I, 5, 3, 22 seq.). The objects of the first eight offerings are identical with those of the first eight verses of the Âpri hymns.

³ Or, 'at every fourth (fore-offering)?' According to the Pad-dhāt on Kāty. V, 5, the butter is poured together at the fourth and seventh prayâgas. See also I, 5, 3, 16.

'Pronounce the invitatory prayer to Agni!' referring to Agni's butter-portion¹. Both (the Adhvaryu and Pratiprasthātri) having taken four 'cuttings' of butter, they step across (to the north side of their respective fires). Having stepped across and called for the 'Srausha!', the Adhvaryu says (to the Hotri), 'Pronounce the offering-formula to Agni!' After the 'Vasha!' has been uttered, they both pour out the oblation.

32. The Adhvaryu then says, 'Pronounce (the invitatory prayer) to Soma!' referring to Soma's butter-portion. Both having taken four cuttings of butter, they step across. Having stepped across and called for the 'Srausha!', the Adhvaryu says (to the Hotri), 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to Soma!' After the 'Vasha!' has been uttered, they both pour out the oblation.

33. Thus whatever has to be done by speech, that the Adhvaryu does, and not the Pratiprasthātri. Now as to why the Adhvaryu alone calls for the 'Srausha!'. Here indeed when the 'Vasha!' is pronounced,—

34. The Pratiprasthātri is merely the imitator of what is done (by the Adhvaryu). For Varuna is the nobility, and the Maruts are the people: hence he thereby makes the people the imitators, the followers of the nobility. But were the Pratiprasthātri also to call for the 'Srausha!', he would doubtless make the people equal in power to the nobility: for this reason the Pratiprasthātri does not call for the 'Srausha!'.

35. The Pratiprasthātri sits down, after taking the two offering-spoons in his hand. The Adhvaryu then

¹ See I, 6, 1, 20 seq.

proceeds with those oblations,—viz. Agni's cake on eight potsherds, Soma's pap, Savitri's cake on twelve or eight potsherds, Sarasvati's pap, Pūshan's pap, and Indra and Agni's cake on twelve potsherds.

36. Thereupon, being about to proceed with those two oblations of curds, (the Adhvaryu and Pratiprasthâtri) exchange (the ram and ewe): the ram which was on the Maruts' (dish of curds) he (the Adhvaryu) places on that of Varuṇa; and the ewe which was on Varuṇa's (dish of curds) he (the Pratiprasthâtri) places on that of the Maruts. Now the reason why they make this exchange, is this,—Varuṇa is the nobility, and the male represents energy: hence they thereby bestow energy on the nobility. The female, on the other hand, is without energy: and the Maruts are the people: hence they thereby cause the people to be without energy. This is why they make this exchange.

37. The Adhvaryu now says (to the Hotri), 'Pronounce the invitatory prayer to Varuṇa!' He then pours an 'under-layer' of butter (into the guhû), takes two cuttings from Varuṇa's curds, and with either of the two cuttings puts the ram (in the spoon). He then pours butter thereon, replenishes (the place whence) the two cuttings (have been made), and steps across (to the south side of the fire). After stepping across and calling for the 'Sraushat,' he says (to the Hotri), 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to Varuṇa!' and, on the 'Vashat' being uttered, he pours out the oblation.

38. Thereupon the Adhvaryu takes both spoons in his left hand; and taking hold of the Pratiprasthâtri's garment, says (to the Hotri), 'Pronounce the invitatory prayer to the Maruts!' The

Pratiprasthâtri then makes an 'under-layer' of butter (in his *guhû*), and two cuttings from the curds of the Maruts, and with either of the two cuttings puts the ewe (in the spoon). He then pours butter thereon, replenishes (the place of) the two cuttings, and steps across (to the south of the fire). The Adhvaryu, having called for the 'Srausha', says (to the Hotri), 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to the Maruts!' and on the 'Vasha' being uttered, (the Pratiprasthâtri) he pours out the oblation.

39. The Adhvaryu then proceeds with the cake on eleven potsherds for Ka; and having made that offering, he says, 'Pronounce the invitatory prayer to Agni Svishakrit ("the maker of good offering")!' The Adhvaryu then takes cuttings from all (his) oblations, one from each; and the Pratiprasthâtri also takes one cutting from that oblation of curds (to the Maruts). They then pour twice butter upon (the portions), and step across (to the south side of the fires). On stepping across and calling for the 'Srausha', the Adhvaryu says, 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to Agni Svishakrit; and after the (concluding) 'Vasha', they both pour out the oblation.

40. The Adhvaryu now cuts off the fore-portion. Having then cut off the *Idâ* piece by piece, he hands it to the Pratiprasthâtri; and the Pratiprasthâtri puts thereon two cuttings from the Maruts' curds. He (the Adhvaryu) then pours twice butter thereon. After invoking (the *Idâ*), they cleanse themselves¹.

41. Thereupon the Adhvaryu says, 'O Brahman, shall I step forward?' Having put on the (remaining) kindling-stick², he says, 'Agnidh, trim the fire!'

¹ See I, 8, 1, 18-43.

² See II, 5, 2, 19, and I, 8, 2, 3.

He, the Adhvaryu, then pours the clotted butter¹ (in the *prishadāgya-upabhr̥it*) into the two spoons (the *guhū* and *upabhr̥it*); and the Pratiprasthātri also, if he have any clotted butter, divides it into two parts and pours it (into the two spoons); but if there is no clotted butter, he divides the butter in the *upabhr̥it* in two parts and pours them out separately. Then both step across (to the south side of the fires). The Adhvaryu, having stepped across and called for the 'Sraushat,' says (to the Hotri), 'Pronounce the offering-formula to the gods!' and, 'Pronounce the offering-formula!' at each (subsequent after-offering). Thus they both perform the nine after-offerings², pouring together (the butter from the spoons) at the (or at every) fourth after-offering. The reason why there are nine fore-offerings and nine after-offerings, is that he thereby delivers the creatures both times from Varuṇa's noose,—by the former (he delivers) the upright and by the latter those looking to the ground: for this reason there are nine fore-offerings and nine after-offerings.

42. They both then separate the spoons³, after laying them (on the altars). Having separated the spoons, and anointed the enclosing-sticks; and having thereupon taken hold of the (middle) enclosing-

¹ *Prishad-Āgya* (lit. mottled latter) is clarified butter mixed with sour milk.

² The recipients of the nine after-offerings are as follows: 1. The divine Barhis; 2. the divine doors; 3. the divine dawn and night; 4. the two divine benefactresses (*goshurī*); 5. the two goddesses of potent sacrifice (*ūrgāhutī*); 6. the two divine Hotri; 7. the three goddesses; 8. the divine Nārāma; 9. the divine Agni Svish-takrit. Cf. p. 400, note 1.

³ See I, 8, 3, 1 seq.

stick, and called for the (Āgnīdhra's) 'Sraushat,' the Adhvaryu thus addresses (the Hotri)¹, 'The divine Hotris are summoned for the proclamation of success; the human is called upon for the song of praise!' The Hotri then intones the song of praise (sūktavāka). Thereupon both seize their prastara-bunches and throw them (into the fires); both take a single straw each therefrom and remain sitting by (the fires); when the Hotri recites the song of praise,—

43. The Āgnīdhra says, 'Throw after!' Both (the Adhvaryu and Pratiprasthātri) throw (the stalk) after (the prastara); and both touch themselves.

44. He (the Āgnīdhra) then says², 'Discourse (with me)!' [The Adhvaryu asks,] 'Has he gone (to the gods), Agnīdh?'—'He has gone!'—'Bid (the gods) hear!'—'Yea, may (one) hear!'—'Good-speed to the divine Hotris! Success to the human!'—The Adhvaryu also (afterwards)³ says (to the Hotri), 'Pronounce the "All-hail and blessing!"' They both throw the enclosing-sticks (into the fire); and after taking up the spoons together, they both place them on the wooden sword⁴.

45. Thereupon the Adhvaryu returns (to the Gārhapatya fire) and performs the Patnīsam-yāgas⁵. The Pratiprasthātri, in the meantime,

¹ See I, 8, 3, 10 seq.

² See I, 8, 3, 20 seq.

³ In thus briefly recapitulating the chief points of the course of sacrificial performance, the author's object is merely to assign to each officiating priest—especially to the Adhvaryu and his assistant, the Pratiprasthātri—his special share of business. In the actual performance, the pronunciation of the formula of 'All-hail and blessing' (see I, 9, 1, 26), of course, comes after the throwing of the enclosing-sticks into the fire (see I, 8, 3, 22).

⁴ See I, 8, 3, 26.

⁵ See I, 9, 2, 1.

remains waiting. After performing the *Patnīsam-yāgas*, the *Adhvaryu* steps up (to the northern fire).

46. He (the *Adhvaryu*) performs the three *Sami-sh/ayagus* (with the respective texts)¹; the *Prati-prasthātri* takes up his spoon (and performs those oblations) silently.—The same garments, worn by the sacrificer and his wife at the *Vaisvadeva*, should be put on also on this occasion. They now take (the *havis*) mixed with the burnt scrapings of the *Varuṇa* curds, and betake themselves to (the place of) the expiatory bath (*avabhṛitha*). This (ablution) stands in relation to *Varuṇa*, (being performed) with a view to deliverance from *Varuṇa*'s power. No *Sāman*-hymn is sung on this occasion, for at this (sacrifice) nothing whatever is performed with a *Sāman*-hymn. Having silently walked thither and entered (the water), he (the *Adhvaryu*) immerses (the vessel containing the scrapings).

47. With the text (*Vāc. S. III, 48*), 'O laving bath, laving thou glidest along: with the help of the gods may I wipe out the *sih* committed against the gods, and with the help of mortals the *sin* committed against mortals! Preserve me, O God, from injury from the fiercely-howling (demon)! ' Those (garments worn while bathing)² he may give.

¹ See p. 390, note 3.

² *Kāty. V, 5, 30-33*, and the scholiasts supply the following particulars: The sacrificer and his wife, accompanied by the priests, are to repair to some quiet part of flowing water. The *Adhvaryu* then takes the sacrificer by the arm and makes him enter the water. Thereupon he himself enters, strews sacrificial grass on the water, puts a stick on it, and thereon offers a spoonful of butter to *Agni*. Then follow six oblations, viz. four fore-offerings, performed in the usual way (the one to the *Barhi* being omitted);

to whichever (priest) he chooses, since they are not the garments of an initiated person. Even as a snake casts its skin, so does he cast away all his sin.

48. Thereupon they shave (the sacrificer's) hair and beard; and take up the two fires¹,—for only after changing his place (to the ordinary sacrificial ground) he performs that (other) sacrifice², since it is not proper that he should perform the Agnihotra on the uttaravedi: for this reason he changes his place. Having gone to the house³ and 'churned out' the fires, he performs the full-moon offering. These seasonal offerings doubtless are detached sacrifices; whereas the full-moon offering is a regular, established sacrifice: hence he finally establishes himself by means of that regular sacrifice; and therefore he changes his place (to the ordinary sacrificial ground).

an oblation of butter to Varuṇa, and another of the scrapings of curds to Agni and Varuṇa. Other authorities offer ten oblations instead of six, viz. four fore-offerings, two 'butter-portions' to Agni and Soma, the two oblations to Varuṇa and Agni-Varuṇa, and two after-offerings. The Adhvaryu then immerses the butter-pot, with the text Vāg. S. III, 48. Thereupon the sacrificer and his wife bathe without diving, but wash each other's back. They then come out of the water and put on fresh clothes.

¹ Viz. by lighting (or heating) at them two arāṁśi or churning-sticks, by means of which the fires are transferred to the old hearths. According to the Paddhati, the remaining ceremonies of the ishā, from the offering of the Barhis (see I, 9, 2, 29) to the end, are performed previously to the lifting of the fires.

² Viz. the full-moon sacrifice, see II, 6, 2, 19, where, however, agnau instead of agnī. The construction here is quite irregular. The Kāṇva text has: *kesamaśrūptvāgni samārohayata udavasāya hy etena yagate*.

³ That is, to the ordinary sacrificial ground.

THIRD BRĀHMAṆA.

C. THE SĀKAMEDHA OFFERINGS¹.

1. Verily, by means of the Varunapraghāsāḥ Pragāpati delivered the creatures from Varuṇa's noose; and those creatures of his were born without disease and blemish. Now with these Sākamedha offerings,—therewith indeed the gods slew Vṛitra, therewith they gained that supreme authority which they now wield; and so does he now therewith slay his wicked, spiteful enemy and gain the victory: this is why he performs these offerings in the fourth month (after the Varunapraghāsāḥ). He performs them on two successive days.

2. On the first day he offers a cake on eight potsherds to Agni Antikavat². For it was after

¹ The performance of the Sākamedha offerings requires two days. In the first place—after the Āhavanīya has been 'taken out' from the Gārhaṇīya—both fires are taken up by means of (or 'made to mount') the two kindling-sticks, and transferred (by 'churning out') to another altar (the uttaravedī). On the first day oblations are then made to Agni Antikavat, the Marutaḥ Sāntapanāḥ and the Marutaḥ Grīhamedhinaḥ, these being completed on the next morning by a Darviḥoma to Indra, and an oblation of cake to the Marutaḥ Kṛidinaḥ. Then follows the Mahāhavis, consisting—besides the five constant oblations—of oblations to Indra-Agni, Mahendra, and Viṣvakarman. In the afternoon takes place the Mahāpitrīyagña, or (Great) sacrifice to the Manes (performed on a special altar and fire-place, south of the Dakṣhīrāgni); which is succeeded by the Traiyambaka-homa, or offering to Rudra Tryambaka, performed on a cross-way somewhere north of the sacrificial ground.

² That is, Agni, the 'sharp-pointed' or 'sharp-edged,' an epithet apparently referring to the pointed flames or tongues of Agni. The St. Petersburg Dict. takes it to mean 'Agni, possessed of a face.' Perhaps it may mean, 'Agni, constituting the front or van of the army.' In Sat. Br. III, 4, 4, 14, Agni is likened to the point (anika) of the thunderbolt. Soma to its shaft (śalva), and Vīśvan

shaping Agni into a sharp point¹, that the gods rushed forward, intent on slaying Vṛitra; and that sharp point, Agni, swerved not. And so does he (the Sacrificer) now rush forward, after shaping Agni into a sharp point, intent on slaying his wicked, spiteful enemy; and that sharp point, Agni, swerves not: this is why he sacrifices to Agni Anīkavat.

3. Thereupon, at midday, he offers a potful of boiled grain (*karu*) to the Maruts, the Scorchers (*Sāntapanāḥ*), for at midday indeed the scorching winds scorched Vṛitra; and thus scorched he lay panting and gasping, being rent all over. And so do the scorching winds scorch his (the Sacrificer's) wicked, spiteful enemy: hence (he sacrifices) to the Maruts, the Scorchers.

4. Thereupon, (in the evening, he offers a potful of boiled grain) to the Maruts, the Householders (*Grihamedhinaḥ*). That pap he cooks after driving

to the part where the point is fixed on the shaft (*kulmala*). Compare the corresponding passage in Taitt. Br. I, 6, 6: 'The gods and Asuras were contending. Agni spake, "My body is anīkavat (possessed of an army, acc. to Sāyana): satisfy it and you will overcome the Asuras!" The gods prepared a cake on eight potsherds for Agni Anīkavat. Agni Anīkavat, being pleased with his share, produced for himself four anīkas; and thereby the gods prevailed and the Asuras were defeated. . . . Now Agni Anīkavat is yonder sun: his rays are the anīkas.' Here anīka would rather seem to mean either 'dart' or 'face.' [In Taitt. Br. I, 6, 2, 5, in the battle between the gods and Asuras, Agni is represented as the mukham of the gods, which Sāyana takes to mean the 'van-guard' or 'the champion' of the gods. Compare also Sat. Br. II, 6, 4, 2; XI, 5, 2, 4]. Acc. to the Black Yagus, the cake to Agni Anīkavat is to be prepared (or offered) simultaneously (*sākam*) with the rising of the sun; whence is probably derived the term 'Sākam-edha.'

¹ I. e. into a sharp-pointed weapon; or, perhaps, 'after appointing Agni their leader.' Cf. p. 449 note; and Sat. Br. V, 3, 1, 1.

SATAPATHA-BRĀHMAṆA.

away the calves (from the cows) with the (palāśa-) branch, and having (all the cows) milked into the pot containing the strainers. Now, whenever (in preparing the pap) they use (whole) rice-grains, then that is a *kāru*: this nourishment¹ the gods took when they were about to slay *Vṛitra* on the morrow; and so does he (the Sacrificer) now take that nourishment, being about to slay his wicked, spiteful enemy. The reason, then, why it is milk-pap, is that milk is nourishment, and rice-grains are nourishment, and that he thus puts into him (*ātman*) that twofold nourishment. For this reason it is a rice-pap (prepared) with milk.

5. The practice, in regard to this (pap, is as follows). The same altar covered (with sacrificial grass) which served for the (oblation to) the Maruts, the Scorchers, is (now used)². Near this covered altar they lay down the enclosing-sticks and pieces of wood. Having had (the cows) milked in the same way (as before), he (the *Adhvaryu*) cooks the pap; and having cooked it and basted it with butter, he removes it from the fire.

6. They then rinse either two plates or two dishes, and put that (pap) thereon in two equal

¹ That is, strengthening food. Instead of *medhas*, the *Kāṇva* recension has throughout *medham* (as once in our text).

² At the preceding offering, that to the Marutaḥ *Sāntapanāḥ*, the *ishā* is either to be interrupted at the end of the *Samishayagus* (see I, 9, 2, 25-28), or only the offering of the *Barhis* (I, 9, 2, 29-31) is to be omitted. The concluding ceremonies are to be performed either on the same day, after the offering to the Maruto *Gṛhamedhinaḥ*—which itself concludes with the *lāḥ*, and (acc. to *Taitt. Br.* I, 6, 6, 6) has neither fore-offerings nor after-offerings—or the following morning after the *Darvīhoma* (see par. 17). *Kāṭy.* V, 6, 3-5 2-33.

parts. Having then made a hollow in each (pap), he (the Adhvaryu) pours clarified butter therein, and wipes both the dipping-spoon and the offering-spoon. Thereupon he takes the two dishes of pap, and walks up (to the altar); and again, he takes the dipping and offering spoons, and walks up; and having touched¹ the covered altar, and laid the enclosing-sticks round (the fire)², he puts on as many pieces of firewood as he thinks fit. He then deposits those two dishes of pap, and the dipping and offering spoons, in their places (outside the altar). The Hotṛi sits down on the Hotṛi's seat. Taking the dipping and offering spoons, he (the Adhvaryu) says,—

7. 'Pronounce the invitatory prayer to Agni!' with reference to Agni's butter-portion. He then takes four 'cuttings' of butter from the hollow of the southern pap, and steps over (to the south side of the fire). Having stepped over, and called for the (Āgnidhra's) 'Sraushat,' he says (to the Hotṛi), 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to Agni!' and pours out the oblation, as soon as the Vashat has been uttered.

8. He then says, 'Pronounce the invitatory prayer to Soma!' with reference to Soma's butter-portion. He then takes four cuttings of butter from the hollow of the northern pap, and steps over. Having stepped over and called for the 'Sraushat,' he says, 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to Soma!' and pours out the oblation, as soon as the Vashat has been uttered.

9. He then says, 'Pronounce the invitatory prayer

¹ According to Kāty. V, 6, 14, he is to do so either silently, or with the text (Vāg. S. II, 2) used in spreading the sacrificial grass on the altar. See I, 3, 3, 11.

² See I, 3, 3, 13; 3, 4, 1 seq.

to the Maruts, the Householders!' He makes an 'under-layer' of butter (in the offering-spoon) from the hollow of the southern pap, takes two cuttings from the latter, pours some butter thereon, and steps across. Having stepped across and called for the 'Sraushat,' he says, 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to the Maruts, the Householders!' and pours out the oblation as soon as the Vasha has been uttered.

10. He then says, 'Pronounce the invitatory prayer to Agni Svishṭakṛit!' He makes an under-layer of butter from the hollow of the northern pap, takes two cuttings from the latter, pours some butter thereon, and steps across. Having stepped across and called for the 'Sraushat,' he says, 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to Agni Svishṭakṛit!' and pours out the oblation as soon as the Vasha has been uttered. Thereupon he cuts off the *Idā*¹, but no fore-portion². Having invoked (the *Idā*), they cleanse themselves. This is one mode of performance.

11. Then there is this other. The same altar covered (with sacrificial grass) which has served for the Maruts, the Scorchers, is (used now). Near this covered altar they lay down the enclosing-sticks and pieces of firewood; and having had (the cows) milked in the same way (as before) he cooks the rice-pap. The butter he puts on so as to be no mere accessory³. Having cooked (the pap) and basted

¹ See I, 7, 3, 1 seq.

² See I, 8, 1, 1 seq.

³ See I, 7, 4, 6 seq.

⁴ *Ned eva prativeṣam āgyam adhiṣṭayati.* There seems to be some mistake here. The commentary on Kāty. V, 6, 6 has 'tad eva' instead of 'ned eva.' Śāyana says that the butter is put on the Dakṣiṇāgni; but according to Kāty. V, 6, 24, it is put on the *Āra* together with the *ṛan*. The Kāṇva text has, *abhyardha āgyam*

it, and removed it (from the fire), he anoints it. He then removes the butter in the pot (from the fire), and wipes the dipping and offering spoons. Thereupon, taking the dish with the pap, he walks up (to the altar); and again, taking the butter in the pot, he walks up; and again, taking the dipping and offering spoons, he walks up (to the altar). He then touches that covered altar, lays the enclosing-sticks round (the Āhavanīya fire), and puts on as many pieces of wood as he thinks fit. He then deposits successively¹ (in their respective places) the dish with the pap, the pot with butter, and the dipping and offering spoons. The Hotṛi sits down in the Hotṛi's seat. Taking the dipping and offering spoons, he (the Adhvaryu) says,—

12. 'Pronounce the invitatory prayer to Agni!' with a view to (offering) Agni's butter-portion. He then takes four 'cuttings' of butter from the pot and steps across (to the offering-place on the south side of the fire). Having stepped across and called for the (Āgnīdhra's) Sraushaḥ, he says (to the Hotṛi), 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to Agni!' and pours out the oblation, as soon as the Vashaḥ has been uttered.

13. He then says, 'Pronounce the invitatory prayer to Soma!' with a view to Soma's butter-portion. He then takes four cuttings of butter from the pot, and steps across. Having stepped

sthālyām adhirrayati, 'he puts on the butter in the pot on the near side.'

- ¹ In the original this is expressed by repetition of the verb, as was the case in the last sentence but one, where the original construction is retained. The Kāṇva text has merely, 'Having taken (the pap) with the dish, he hastes up (udādravati).'

across, and called for the *Srausha*, he says, 'Pronounce the offering-formula to Soma!' and pours out the oblation, as soon as the *Vasha* has been uttered.

14. Thereupon he says, 'Pronounce the invitatory prayer to the Maruts, the Householders!' He then makes an 'under-layer' of butter (in the *guhā*), takes two cuttings from that pap, pours some butter thereon, re-anoints (replenishes with butter the parts of the sacrificial dish from which he has made)¹ the two cuttings, and steps across (to the offering-place). Having stepped across and called for the *Srausha*, he says, 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to the Maruts, the Householders!' and pours out the oblation, as soon as the *Vasha* has been uttered.

15. Thereupon he says, 'Pronounce the invitatory prayer to Agni *Svishṭakṛit*!' He then makes an under-layer of butter, takes one cutting from the pap, pours twice butter thereon, without, however, re-anointing the (place of the) cutting; and steps across. Having stepped across, and called for the *Srausha*, he says, 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to Agni *Svishṭakṛit*!' and pours out the oblation, as soon as the *Vasha* has been uttered.

16. He then cuts off the *Idā*, but no fore-portion. Having invoked (the *Idā*), they (the priests) eat it. As many members of (the sacrificer's) household as are entitled to partake of the remains of sacrificial

¹ 'Pratyanaḥ' is probably the same as 'pratyabhihārayati,' generally applied to the tasting of the *avadāna-sihāna*, or that part of the havis from whence the cuttings have been made (*Kāty.* I, 9, 11; the 'replenishing' of the havis in *Sat. Br.* I, 7, 3, 6 refers to the same thing). See, however, *Kāty.* V, 6, 22, where it is ruled that no *pratyabhihāraya* is to take place at the present sacrifice. The *Kāva* MS., on the other hand, reads, 'he does not re-anoint the two cuttings.' Perhaps he is to anoint separately the two cut-off pieces.

food¹ may eat (of the pap); or the officiating priests may eat it; or, if there be abundant pap, other Brāhmans also may eat of it. The pot having then been covered, before it is quite emptied, they put it away in a safe place, for the 'full-spoon ceremony.' Thereupon they let the calves together with their mothers; and thus the cattle take that nourishment. That night he performs the Agnihotra with rice-gruel. In the morning they milk a cow, which suckles an adopted calf², for the purpose of the offering to the fathers.

17. Thereupon, in the morning, either after or before the performance of the Agnihotra—which ever he pleases—he cuts out (the remaining rice-pap) with the darvi-spoon³ from the un-emptied pot, with the text (Vāg. S. III, 49). 'Full, O spoon, fly away, well filled fly back to us!

¹ That is, those who have been invested with the sacrificial cord. According to Taitt. Br. I, 6, 7, 1 the mistress of the house is not to eat of it, but an additional (prativera) pap is to be cooked specially for her on the Dakṣiṇa fire.

² 'In the morning they tie up the (adopted) calf of a nivānyā (cow suckling a strange calf).' Kāṇva text.

³ The Darvi-homa, or oblation of a darvi-spoonful of boiled rice to Indra, the associate of the Maruts, may be considered as part of the Gṛhamedhīyā ishī, being, as it were, an offering of remains (or scrapings, nishkāsa, Taitt. Br. I, 6, 7, 3); cf. Kāty. V, 6, 33. Like all Guhoti-offerings, the darvi-homa is performed by the Adhvaryu while seated on the north side of the fire. According to Taitt. Br. I, 6, 7, 3, it is to be offered in the Gārhapatya, but according to Kāty. V, 6, 38 (comm.) in the Āhavanīya. If the concluding ceremonies of the Sāntapanīyā ishī (from the offering of the Barhis) have not already been performed on the previous night, they have to be performed after the conclusion of the darvi-homa. If, however, only the offering of the Barhis was then omitted, the darvi-homa, if performed before the Agnihotra, is followed immediately by that oblation.

O thou (Indra), of a hundredfold powers, let us two
and drink, like wares! In like manner
~~an~~ invitatory prayer (is used at offerings) so
ie by this (verse) invite him (Indra) to that
share.

18. Let him then tell (the Sacrificer) to make
a bull roar. 'If it roars,' say some, 'then that
(sound) is the Vasha!'; let him offer after that
Vasha! And in this way indeed he calls Indra
in his own form to the slaying of *Vritra*¹; for the
bull is indeed Indra's form: hence he thereby
calls Indra in his own form to the slaying of
Vritra. If it roars, then one may know that
Indra has come to his sacrifice, that his sacrifice
is with Indra. And should it not roar, let the priest,
seated on the south side (viz. the Brahman), say,
'Sacrifice!'—this, indeed, is Indra's voice.

19. He offers with the text (*Vāg. S. III, 50*),
'Give unto me, (and) I give unto thee. Bestow
(gifts) on me, (and) I bestow on thee! And mayest
thou give me guerdon, (and) I will give thee
guerdon! Svāhā!'

20. He then offers a cake on seven potsherds
to the sportive (*Kṛiddināḥ*) Maruts. For when
Indra went forward in order to slay *Vritra*, the
sportive Maruts were sporting around him singing
his praises; and even so do they sport around
this (Sacrificer), singing his praises, now that he
is about to slay his wicked, spiteful enemy: this

¹ On the symbolic connection of the seasonal offerings, especially the *Sāhamedhā*, with the slaying of *Vritra*, the evil spirit of drought, see II, 6, 4. 1.

According to Mahidhara, this first line is spoken by Indra to his worshippers: the second line containing the latter's reply.

is why (he sacrifices) to the sportive Maruts¹. Thereupon (follows the performance) of the Great Oblation (Mahā-havis): this (performance) is in accordance with that of the great (seasonable) oblation².

FOURTH BRĀHMAṆA.

1. Verily, by means of the Great Oblation the gods slew Vṛitra³; by means of it they gained that supreme authority which they now wield; and so does he (the Sacrificer) thereby now slay his wicked, spiteful enemy, and gain the victory: this is why he performs this sacrifice.

2. The mode of its performance (is as follows): They raise an uttara-vedi⁴; they use clotted butter⁵; and they churn the fire. There are nine

¹ Comp Taitt. Br. I, 6, 7, 4: When Indra had slain Vṛitra (with the thunderbolt) he went to the farthest distances, thinking that he had missed (his aim). He said, 'Who will know this' [viz. whether Vṛitra is really dead or not, comm.].? The Maruts said, 'We will choose a boon, then we will know (find it out): let the first oblation be prepared for us! They sported (danced about) on him (Vṛitra, and thereby found out that he was dead).

² That is to say, the Mahā-havis, or Great Oblation, though apparently only an integral part of the Sākamedhā, is in reality its chief ceremony, and may therefore be considered as being itself on a par with the other seasonal offerings; hence it requires the five oblations common to all the Kāturmāsya; see II, 5, 1, 8-11. The Black Yagur it seems does not use the term Mahā-havis, but assigns more importance to the Mahā-pitṛiyagña (see II, 6, 1, 1 seq.). See Āpastamba's Paribhāṣā, 80, 81 (M. Müller, Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morg. Ges. IX), according to which the sacrifice to the Manes belongs to the Mahāyagñas.

³ See p. 416, note 1.

⁴ See p. 393, note 1. The southern altar is not required at the present ceremony.

⁵ See p. 404, note 1.

fore-offerings and nine after-offerings¹, and three Samish/ayagus. In the first place there are those five oblations².

3. Now as to why there is a cake on eight potsherds for Agni. With Agni, (shaped into) a sharp point (tegas)³, indeed, they (the gods) slew him (Vritra); and Agni, that sharp point, swerved not: hence there is (a cake) for Agni.

4. Then as to why there is a rice-pap for Soma. With the aid of Soma, the king, indeed they slew him, they who have Soma for their king: hence there is a pap for Soma.

5. Then as to why there is a cake on twelve, or eight⁴, potsherds for Savitri. Savitri, indeed, is the impeller (prasavitri) of the gods; and impelled by Savitri they slew him: hence there is (a cake) for Savitri.

6. Then as to why there is a rice-pap for Sarasvatī. Sarasvatī in truth is Speech; and Speech indeed it was that cheered them up, saying, 'Strike! slay!' Hence there is a pap for Sarasvatī.

7. Then as to why there is a rice-pap for Pūshan. Pūshan doubtless is this earth⁵, and this

¹ See II, 5, 2, 30 and 41.

² See II, 5, 1, 11, with note 9.

³ See II, 5, 3, 2. This cake, again, is to be prepared (or offered) simultaneously with the rising of the sun; see p. 409 note.

⁴ According to Taitt. S. I, 8, 4 it is to be one on twelve potsherds.

⁵ The Kāṣva text has, 'Attack (abhipadyasva) I strike! slay!'

⁶ This identification of Pūshan with the earth is very strange, the more so as, at II, 5, 1, 11, special stress is laid on the male nature of Pūshan. Perhaps it is in his character of bountiful bestower of food and cattle, or as the tutelary god of travellers, that he is so identified.

earth, indeed, gave him (*Vṛitra*) up to slaughter; and they slew him, thus given up by her: hence there is a rice-pap for *Pūshan*.

8. Then follows a cake on twelve potsherds for *Indra* and *Agni*; for by means of that they slew him, since *Agni* means fiery glow (*tegas*), and *Indra* means manly power, and by means of these two powers they did indeed slay him. Moreover, *Agni* is the priesthood, and *Indra* is the nobility; having allied these two, having closely united the priesthood with the nobility, they (the gods) slew him by means of these two powers: hence there is a cake on twelve potsherds for *Indra* and *Agni*.

9. Then follows a rice-pap for *Mahendra*. For before the slaying of *Vṛitra* he was indeed *Indra*; but after slaying *Vṛitra* he became *Mahendra* (the great *Indra*), even as (a king becomes) a *mahārāja*, after obtaining the victory: hence there is a rice-pap for *Mahendra*. And thereby indeed he renders him great (strong) for the slaying of *Vṛitra*: for this reason also there is a rice-pap for *Mahendra*.

10. Then follows a cake on one potsherd for *Visvakarman*. To the gods, indeed, on performing the *Sākamedha*-sacrifice and obtaining the victory (over *Vṛitra*), that sacred work (*karman*) was made complete (*visva*), and all was conquered; and so is that sacred work made complete, and all is conquered, by him who has performed the *Sākamedha*-sacrifice and obtained the victory: hence there is a cake on one potsherd for *Visvakarman*.

11. And, verily, by performing this sacrifice the gods became what race, what prosperity of the gods there now is; and that same race he propagates,

that same prosperity he attains, whosoever, knowing this, performs this sacrifice. Let him therefore perform this sacrifice.

SIXTH ADHYĀYA. FIRST BRĀHMAṆA.

1. Verily, by means of the Great Oblation the gods slew *Vṛitra*, and gained that supreme authority which they now wield. And by means of the sacrifice to the fathers they then recalled to life those of them that had been slain in this battle; and they, indeed, were the fathers: hence the name *Pitriyagña*¹ (sacrifice to the Manes).

2. Now the spring, the summer, and the rainy season,—they are those who vanquished (*Vṛitra*); and the autumn, the winter, and the dewy season,—they are those whom they (the gods) recalled to life².

3. Now when he performs that sacrifice, he does so, hoping that thus they (the Asuras) will not slay any of his, or because the gods did so (perform it). Moreover he thereby offers to those (fathers) the share which the gods assigned to them; and thus he gratifies those whom the gods recalled to life, and leads his own fathers up to a better world; and whatever injury or loss he suffers through his own unrighteous conduct (or wrong sacrificial performance)³ that is thereby made good to him: that is why he performs this sacrifice (to the fathers).

¹ This is generally called the *Mahāpitriyagña*, as distinguished from the ordinary monthly *Pitriyagña* of the new-moon sacrifice; for which see II, 4, 2, 1 seq.

² See II, 1, 3, 1 seq.

³ Instead of '*ātaravēna*,' the *Kāṇva* MS. has '*ātaravēna* (I).' Cf.

4. He offers a cake on six potsherds to the Pitaraḥ Somavantaḥ, or to Soma Pitṛimat¹. Six doubtless are the seasons, and the fathers are the seasons: hence it is one of six potsherds.

5. Thereupon they parch barley-grain on the Anvāhāryapaṭana (or Dakṣiṇāgni) for the Pitaro Barhishadaḥ². They then grind one half of it; and (the other) half remains thus unground,—this is the parched grain for the Barhis-seated fathers.

6. Then a porridge is (prepared) for the Pitaro 'gnishvāttāḥ³ (by the ground half of the parched grain) being mixed with the milk of a cow suckling an adopted calf, by stirring it once with a single splinter. It is indeed once for all that the fathers have departed, and hence it is stirred but once. These are the oblations.

7. Now those (fathers) who have sacrificed with Soma are the Pitaraḥ Somavantaḥ; and those who gain the world (of the gods) by means of cooked (sacrificial food) offered by them are the Pitaro Barhishadaḥ; and they who (have offered) neither the one nor the other, and whom Agni consumes by burning, they are the Pitaro 'gnishvāttāḥ. These, then, are the fathers⁴.

8. He takes out [the rice for] that cake of six potsherds, while seated behind the Gārhapatya, and looking southwards, with the sacrificial cord over his right shoulder. From thence he rises and

¹ That is, either to 'the fathers, accompanied by Soma (or possessed of Soma),' or to 'Soma, accompanied by the fathers.'

• The Black Yagus assigns the oblation to Soma Pitṛimat.

² That is, 'the fathers seated on the barhis.'

³ That is, 'the fathers consumed by the fire.'

⁴ 'These, then, are the three kinds of fathers,' Kāṇva recension.

threshes (the rice), while standing north of the Dakshina-fire, with his face towards the south. He cleans it but once¹; since it is once for all that the fathers have departed.

9. He places the two mill-stones on (the black antelope skin, so as to be inclined) towards the south²; and puts the six potsherds on the south part of the Gārhapatya hearth. The reason why they keep the southern direction is because that is the region of the fathers: this is why they keep the southern direction.

10. Thereupon he raises a square altar south of the Dakshināgni³. He makes the corners point towards the intermediate quarters. There are doubtless four intermediate quarters, and the fathers are the intermediate quarters: this is why he makes the corners point towards the intermediate quarters.

11. In the centre of this (altar) he lays down the fire. From the east, indeed, the gods came westwards to the men: hence one offers to them while standing

¹ Not thrice, as at an ordinary *ish/i*; see I, 1, 4, 23.

² Not towards the east, as at the *Darsapūnamāsa*; cf. p. 38, note 3. At offerings to the Manes the south, as a rule, takes the place of the east, the west that of the south, &c.

³ At the conclusion of the *Āptya* ceremony (cf. I, 2, 2, 18-3, 5) he erects south of the (ordinary) Dakshina-fire a (quadrangular) shed (see further on, paragraph 20) with a door on the north side. Inside it he prepares a quadrangular altar (of the same size as at the *Darsapūnamāsa*; cf. I, 2, 5, 14) with the corners towards the intermediate quarters, in the centre of which he makes the (new) Dakshināgni hearth. [According to *Taitt. Br.* I, 6, 8, 5-6 no digging takes place in preparing the altar (which is to be square) at the *Pitrīyagña*.] When the Dakshina-fire is transferred to the new fire-place, the *Pravṛtā*-water (see p. 9, note) is carried after it, followed by the Brahman and Sacrificer, and placed east (not north) of the hearth. The laying down of the fire is preceded by the usual fivefold lustration of the hearth (see p. 3).

with his face towards the east. On all sides are the fathers, for the fathers are the intermediate regions, and the intermediate regions are indeed on all sides : this is why he lays down the fire in the centre.

12. From thence he throws the grass-bush (stambayagus) eastwards¹. Having thrown away the grass-bush, he first encloses (the altar) thus (viz. on the west side), then thus (viz. on the north side), then thus (on the east side). Having enclosed it with the first line of enclosure, he (the Adhvaryu) draws (three) lines (across the altar)² and [the Āgnīdhra] removes (from them the dust) which has to be removed. In the same way he encloses it with the second line of enclosure; and having enclosed it with the second line of enclosure, and smoothed it down, he says, 'Place the sprinkling water on (the altar)!' They accordingly place the sprinkling water on (the altar); and the firewood and barhis they lay down beside it³. He (the Āgnīdhra) wipes the spoons. He then walks up (to the altar) with the butter (and

¹ Instead of northwards, as is done at the normal ishā; see I, 2, 4, 12 seq.

² After tracing the first line of enclosure, the Adhvaryu draws three lines across the altar, either from west to east or from south to north; and says to the Āgnīdhra, 'Take thrice!' The latter then takes the dust from the lines and throws it on the utkara (the heap of rubbish, formed north of the altar in preparing the latter), and thereupon again obliterates them. According to Kāty. II, 6, 29, the same ceremony may be performed at the Darsapūrnamāsa; but there no mention is made of it by our author (see I, 2, 5, 12).

³ Viz. the Āgnīdhra lays them down between the altar and the pravṛtāḥ (see p. 422, note 3); the firewood behind (west of) the sacrificial grass (barhis), and both with the tops towards the south. The wooden sword also has been previously put down by the Adhvaryu close behind the pravṛtāḥ.

puts it down thereon¹, north of the sprinkling water). He (the Adhvaryu) takes butter, while 'sacrificially-invested².'

13. Here now they say, 'Let him take butter in the upabhr̥it (by) twice (ladling with the dipping spoon); since there are two after-offerings³ at this (sacrifice).' Let him, nevertheless, ladle eight times into the upabhr̥it: let him do so, lest he should depart from the manner of the sacrifice. After ladling out butter, and shifting his cord⁴ back to the right shoulder,—

14. The Adhvaryu takes the lustral water, and sprinkles first the firewood, and then the altar⁵. Thereupon they hand the sacrificial grass⁶ to him, and he puts it down (on the altar) with the knot to the east. Having thereupon sprinkled it and

¹ The lady of the house not being present at the sacrifice to the Manes, neither the ceremony of girding (I, 3, 1, 12 seq.), nor that of her looking at the butter—while it is taken from the Gārlhapatyā fire, along the east side of the Āhavanīya to the altar—takes place on this occasion. According to the commentators on Kāty. V, 8, 25 (Padh. p. 519), however, the Adhvaryu has to look down on the butter, with the same text (Vāg. S. I, 30) which was used by the sacrificer's wife. For some details to be supplied here, see I, 3, 1, 22–28.

² He has hitherto worn his sacrificial cord on the right shoulder and under the left arm ('eastward-invested'), and now shifts it so as to be on the left shoulder and under the right arm ('sacrificially-invested'). As to the taking or ladling of butter into the offering-spoons, see I, 3, 2, 1 seq.

³ See I, 3, 2, 9.

⁴ See I, 3, 3, 1 seq.

⁵ The barhis, on this occasion, must have been cut close to the root (upamūlam, II, 4, 2, 17; ūpamūle dīnam, Kāva rec.). According to Taitt. Br. I, 6, 8, 6–7, on the other hand, it has apparently to be torn up with the roots (yat parushi dīnam tad devānām, yad antará tan manushyānām, yat samūlam tat pitṛānām).

poured out (the lustral water on the lower ends of the grass-stalks), and untied the knot, he (at once) seizes the knot, not the prastara¹;—it is once for all that the fathers have departed: hence he does not take the prastara.

15. After undoing the band, he moves thrice² round from right to left, spreading the sacrificial grass all over (the altar); while spreading it all over from right to left in three layers, he reserves as much as may serve for the prastara-bunch. He then moves again thrice round (the altar) from left to right. The reason why he again moves thrice round from left to right, is that, while the first time he went away from here after those three ancestors of his, he now comes back again from them to this, his own world: that is why he again moves thrice round from left to right.

16. He lays the enclosing-sticks along (the fire, with their tops) towards the south³; and the prastara also he spreads (with the grass-tops) towards the south; nor does he lay down the two vidhr̥tis between (the barhis and the prastara). Once for all the fathers have departed from hence: therefore he lays no vidhr̥tis between.

¹ As he did on the former occasion, I, 3. 3. 5.

² According to Taitt. Br. I, 6, 8, 7, because the fathers abide in the third world from here (*tr̥tiye vā ito loka pitaraḥ*).

³ Viz. he is to lay down the enclosing-sticks along the north, west, and east sides, the last two with their tops towards the south. The third text (cf. I, 3, 4, 4) has, of course, to be changed to 'May Mitra-Varuna lay thee around in the east,' &c.; as has also the one he mutters after putting the two sticks on the fire, to 'May the sun guard thee from the south against any imprecation!' (I, 3, 4, 8.) According to Taitt. Br. I, 6, 8, 8-9, on the other hand, he is to lay down only two enclosing-sticks (viz. the middle or western, and the northern one, cf. Śāyana on Taitt. S. II, p. 73).

17. Thereon he lays the *guhû*, and east of it (on the barhis) the *upabhrî*. Having then put down the *dhruvâ*, the cake, the parched grain, and the porridge (each east of the preceding one), he touches the oblations.

18. All of them having now become 'sacrificially-invested,' the Sacrificer and Brahman (being) thus (invested) walk round (from the east, along the south) to the west side; and the *Âgnidhra* (from the west) to the east side (of the fire)¹.

19. They perform this (sacrifice) in a low voice. Secret, indeed, are the fathers, and secret also is (what is spoken) in a low voice: hence they perform (the offering) in a low voice.

20. They perform it in an enclosed place. Secret, indeed, are the fathers, and secret also is that which is enclosed: hence they perform in an enclosed place.

21. While putting firewood (on the fire), he then says (to the *Hotri*), 'Recite to the fire, as it is being kindled!' Only (this) one kindling-verse the *Hotri* recites², (and that) thrice;—the fathers have departed once for all: hence the *Hotri* recites thrice only one kindling-verse.

22. He recites, 'Loving we deposit thee (O Agni), loving we enkindle thee: O loving one, bring

¹ Here he remains standing, while the Sacrificer and Brahman sit down facing the east.

² Instead of the ordinary eleven verses, the first and last of which are recited thrice; see I, 3, 5, 6. According to Taitt. Br. I, 6, 9, 1, the Adhvaryu summons the *Hotri* with 'Recite to the fire, as it is being kindled for the gods (and) fathers!' The bunch of firewood, with the exception of one stick, which is reserved for the after-offerings, is divided into three parts, one of which is thrown on the fire at the same time when the syllable 'om' is pronounced by the *Hotri* at the end of the kindling-verse.

hither the loving fathers to eat their oblation! Thereupon he says, 'Bring Agni hither¹! bring Soma hither! bring hither the fathers, accompanied by Soma! bring hither the fathers, seated on the barhis! bring hither the fathers, consumed by Agni! bring hither the butter-drinking gods! bring hither Agni for the Hotri²ship! bring hither (thine) own greatness²!' Having thus called on (Agni) to bring hither (the fathers and gods), he sits down.

23. Having then called³ for the (Āgnīdhra's) 'Sraushat,' he does not elect the Hotri; for this being a sacrifice to the Manes, he does not elect the Hotri⁴, lest he should consign the Hotri to the Manes. He says, 'Hotri, seat thyself!' and takes his seat. The Hotri, having sat down on the Hotri's seat, urges (the Adhvaryu) to proceed; and thus urged, the Adhvaryu takes the two spoons and steps across to the west (of the fire); and having stepped across and called for the 'Sraushat,' he says, 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to the kindling-sticks!' He performs four fore-offerings⁵,

¹ The Kāṇva MS. reads, 'Bring Agni hither, O Agni!' Before this, Āsval. II, 19, 7 inserts, 'Bring hither the gods (and) fathers for the sacrificer!' See I, 4, 2, 16.

² According to the Kāṇva text he adds here the same formula as at ordinary ishās (I, 4, 2, 17), 'Bring (them) hither, O Gātvēdas, and offer up a good offering!' For the formulas 'Bring hither Agni for the Hotri²ship! bring hither thine own greatness!' Āsval. II, 19, 8 apparently substitutes 'Bring hither Agni Kavyavāhana!' cf. further on, par. 30.

³ 'The Adhvaryu, having offered the two libations of butter, and called for the Sraushat,' Kāṇva recension.

⁴ On the pravara, or election of the (divine and human) Hotri, see I, 4, 2, 1 seq., 5, 1, 1 seq. The call 'Hotri, seat thyself!' here takes the place of the formulas given I, 5, 1, 5 seq.

⁵ See I, 5, 3, 1 seq.

omitting the one to the Barhis; for the barhis means offspring, and he therefore performs the four fore-offerings without the one to the barhis, lest he should consign his offspring to the fathers. Thereupon they proceed with the two butter-portions; and having offered the two butter-portions,—

24. They all shift their sacrificial cord over to the right shoulder, being now about to proceed with those (chief) oblations. The Sacrificer and Brahman, (being) thus (invested), step across (from the west) to the east side, and the Āgnīdhra (from the east) to the west side (of the fire). And furthermore, the (Ādhvaryu's) call for the 'Sraushat' is 'Ōm svadhâ!' and the (Āgnīdhra's) response is 'Astu svadhâ!' and the Vashat-call is 'Svadhâ nama!'.

25. As to this, Āsuri said, 'Let them call for the Sraushat (by "Ō Srāvaya"), and let them respond with the "(Astu) Sraushat," and let them pronounce the "Vashat," lest we should depart from the manner of the sacrifice.'

26. [The Ādhvaryu] then says, 'Pronounce the invitatory prayer to the fathers, accompanied by Soma!' or '—to Soma, accompanied by the fathers'!—Two invitatory prayers he (the Hotṛ) pronounces (at the offerings), because it is with one that one moves the gods, and with two the fathers, since the fathers have departed once (for all)²: hence he pronounces two invitatory prayers.

¹ At the sacrifice to the Manes, the Āgnīdhra, when uttering his response, stands south of the Ādhvaryu. See p. 132, note. The first syllable of 'svadhâ' is protracted. According to the comm. on Kāty. V, 9, 12, the offering formulas also begin with 'Yē svadhâ-mahe,' instead of 'Yē yagâmahe' (see I, 5, 2, 16 and note).

² I do not quite see the pertinency of the reason here alleged, unless it be that the author means to say that once (by the first

27. [The Adhvaryu] makes an 'under-layer' of butter (in the *guhû* or offering-spoon). He then cuts a piece from the cake, and together therewith some of the parched grain and the porridge¹. This he puts down at the same time (in the *guhû*); makes two sprinklings of butter thereon; and re-anoints (replenishes with butter, the parts of the sacrificial dishes from which he has made) the cuttings. He does not walk over (to the south side of the fire); but having risen and stepped up (to the fire) on the same side (where he was seated), and called (on the *Āgnidhī*) for the 'Sraushat,' he says (to the *Hotri*), 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to the fathers, accompanied by Soma!' and pours the oblation (into the fire) as soon as the *Vashat*² has been uttered.

act) the fathers have departed, and by a second act they return hither. According to *Āsval.* II, 19, 22, the two invitatory prayers to the *Pitarāḥ Somavantaḥ* are *Rig-v.* X, 15, 1; IX, 96, 11; to *Soma Pitṛimat*, *Rig-v.* I, 91, 1; 20; to the *Pitaro Barhishadaḥ*, *Rig-v.* X, 15, 4; 3; to the *Pitaro 'gnishvāttāḥ*, *Rig-v.* X, 15, 11; 13; [to *Yama* X, 14, 4; 5].—The offering-prayers being respectively, *Rig-v.* X, 15, 5; VIII, 48, 13; X, 15, 2; X, 15, 14; [X, 14, 1.]

Somewhat different the *Black Yagus*; viz. *Soma Pitṛimat*, *anuvākyās* *Rig-v.* I, 91, 1; IX, 96, 11; *yāgyā* VIII, 48, 13; *Pitaro Barhishadaḥ*, *anuvākyās* X, 15, 4; 3; *yāgyā* X, 15, 5; *Pitaro 'gnishvāttāḥ*, *anuvākyās* X, 15, 11; 14 (ye 'gnishvāttāḥ, &c. !); *yāgyā* 'vānyāyai dugdhe,' &c. [Then either *upahoma* with the formulas X, 15, 1; 2; IV, 2, 16; or] an oblation to *Agni Kavyavāhana* (*anuvākyās*, 1. 'yad agne kavyavāhana,' 2. X, 15, 12; *yāgyā* X, 14, 3) [and another to *Yama Āngirasvat Pitṛimat* (*anuv.* X, 14, 4; 5; *yāgyā* X, 14, 6).] *Taitt. S.* I, 8, 5; II, 6, 12; *Taitt. Br.* I, 6, 9.

¹ From the centre of each sacrificial dish he makes one 'cutting' with the *srūtvadāna*, shaped like a cow's ear. *Kāty.* V, 9, 2, and *Schol.*

² Or rather the 'Svadhā namaḥ,' cf. par. 24. The Adhvaryu makes the oblation with his left hand, while looking towards the south. *Paddh. on Kāty.* V, 9.

28. Thereupon he says, 'Pronounce the invitational prayer to the fathers, seated on the barhis!' He then makes an under-layer of butter, takes a 'cutting' from (the north part of) the parched grain, and together therewith some of the porridge and the cake; puts down all this at the same time (in the *guhû*); makes two sprinklings of butter thereon, and re-anoints (the places of) the cuttings. He does not walk across; but having stepped up (to the fire) on the same side and called for the 'Srausha', he says, 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to the fathers, seated on the barhis!' and pours out the oblation as soon as the Vasha has been uttered.

29. Thereupon he says, 'Pronounce the invitational prayer to the fathers, consumed by the fire!' He then makes an under-layer of butter, takes a cutting from (the south part of) the porridge, and therewith some of the cake and the parched grains; puts down all this at the same time (in the *guhû*); makes two sprinklings of butter thereon, and re-anoints (the places of) the cuttings. He does not walk across; but having stepped up (to the fire) on the same side, and called for the 'Srausha', he says, 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to the fathers, consumed by the fire!' and pours out the oblation as soon as the Vasha has been uttered.

30. Thereupon he says, 'Pronounce the invitational prayer to Agni Kavyavâhana!' that being for (Agni as) the Svishakṛit ('maker of good offering'). For to the gods indeed he is kavyavâhana ('bearer of oblations'), and to the fathers he is kavyavâhana ('the bearer of what is meet for the wise'): hence he says, 'Pronounce the invitational prayer to Agni Kavyavâhana!'

31. He makes an under-layer of butter (in the offering-spoon); then cuts a piece from (the front part of) the cake, and therewith some of the parched grain and the porridge; puts down all this at the same time; and makes two sprinklings of butter thereon. The (places from which he has made the) cuttings he does not replenish with butter, nor does he walk across; but having stepped up (to the fire) on the same side (where he was seated), and called for the 'Sraushat,' he says, 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to Agni Kavyavāhana!' and pours out the oblation, as soon as the Vashat has been uttered.

32. Now the reason why he does not walk across (to the ordinary place of offering), but pours out the oblation after stepping up (to the fire) on the same side, is that the fathers have departed once for all; and the reason also, why he cuts but once from each of the sacrificial dishes, is that the fathers have departed once for all. And the reason why in making the cuttings, he keeps them together, is that the fathers are the seasons;—he thus keeps the seasons together, joins them to one another: that is why in making the cuttings, he keeps them together.

33. Here now some hand over that entire (remaining) porridge to the Hotri; and the Hotri, having invoked it¹, smells it and hands it to the

¹ According to the comm. on Kāty. V, 9, 13, 'manthah' is, in that case, substituted for 'idā' in the invocation, see I, 8, 1, 19 seq. The Kāṇva MS. has as follows: Thereupon, by way of idā, they place that same porridge into the hand of the Hotri. The Hotri, having invoked it, smells it. They hand it to the Āgnīdhra. The Āgnīdhra smells it. They hand it to the Brahman. The Brahman smells it. As to this Āsuri said, 'As from any other oblation they cut off the "idā" and the fore-portion, so let them cut off and smell,

Brahman. The Brahman smells it and hands it to the Agnidhra; and the Agnidhra also smells it. And so indeed they do this. But, as from any other oblation they cut off the *Idā* and the fore-portion, so let them cut from this also; and having invoked it (the *Idā*) they smell it, but do not eat it. 'But,' said Āsuri, 'we think that some should be eaten, of whatever is offered up in the fire.'

34. Now he who is about to present (the obsequial cakes to the fathers),—either the Adhvaryu or the Sacrificer,—takes the vessel of water and walks thrice round (the altar) from right to left sprinkling all about (the altar). He then, with the text, 'N. N., wash thyself!'¹ pours out water (in the north-west corner of the altar) for the Sacrificer's father² to wash himself; and (in the south-west corner), with 'N. N., wash thyself!' for the grandfather; and (in the south-east corner), with 'N. N., wash thyself!' for the great-grandfather.

but not eat: some indeed must be eaten of that of which offering is made in the fire.'

¹ See II, 4, 2, 16 seq. According to the comm. on Kāty. V, 9, 17, some sprinkle three times round the altar for each of the three ancestors. But according to the Paddhati, he sprinkles once round the altar, beginning from the north-west corner; then he sits down and pours out water in that corner for the father. Thereupon, after walking round in the opposite direction (from left to right) to the south-west corner, he again sprinkles all round, and in the same way pours out water in that corner for the grandfather; and after retracing his steps as far as the south-east corner, he performs the same circumambulation, and pours out water in that corner for the great-grandfather; whereupon he again retraces his steps up to the west of the altar.

² In the case of a sacrificer whose father is still alive, these ceremonies are performed in honour of the father's father, grandfather, and great-grandfather.

As one would pour out water for (a guest) who is to take food with him, so in this case.

35. Thereupon he takes one 'cutting' from the cake and puts it in his left hand; from the parched grain also he takes one cutting and puts it in his left hand; and from the porridge also he takes one cutting and puts it in his left hand.

36. And in the corner (of the altar) opposite this intermediate quarter (viz. the north-west), he then presents (an obsequial cake¹) to the Sacrificer's father, with the formula, 'N. N., this for thee!' And in the corner opposite this intermediate quarter (the south-west), he presents one to the Sacrificer's grandfather, with 'N. N., this for thee!' And in the corner opposite this intermediate quarter (the south-east), he presents one to the Sacrificer's great-grandfather, with 'N. N., this for thee!' And in the corner opposite this intermediate quarter (the north-east), he cleanses (his hands), with the text (Vāg. S. II, 31), 'Here, O Fathers, regale yourselves! Like bulls come hither, each to his own share!' whereby he means to say, 'Eat ye each his share!' And the reason why he thus presents (food) to the Fathers is that in this way he does not exclude his own fathers from this sacrifice.

37. Thereupon they all, being sacrificially invested, walk out (of the shed) on the north side, (pass along the east side of, and) stand by the (north) side of, the Āhavanīya fire. For he who has established his fires, and performs the New and Full-moon sacrifices, approaches the gods; but they have just been

¹ He mixes the three pieces (about as much as a thumb's joint each) cut from the sacrificial dishes, and forms them into three *pinḍas* or round cakes.

performing the sacrifice to the Manes, and therefore they now propitiate the gods.

38. They stand by the *Āhavanīya* fire (worshipping) with two (verses) addressed to Indra [viz. *Rig-veda* I, 82, 2-3; *Vāg. S.* III, 51-52], since the *Āhavanīya* is Indra. 'The friends have eaten, and regaled themselves, and have shaken off (the enemies)¹; the self-shining bards have extolled (thee) with their newest hymn: yoke, then, thy pair of bay steeds, O Indra! — To thee, the splendid, we will sing praises, O bountiful one! Thus praised, do thou now issue forth, with well-filled ear, agreeably to our desire! yoke, then, thy pair of bay steeds, O Indra!'

39. Thereupon they return to the *Gārhapatyā* and stand by it worshipping with the *ver-*~~man~~² *veda* X, 57, 3-5; *Vāg. S.* III, 53-55). 'We invoke the Mind with man-lauding strain², and with the hymns of the fathers.—May the Mind come back to us for (us to obtain) wisdom, vigour, and life, and that we may long see the sun!—May the divine race restore to us the Mind, O Fathers, that we may abide with the living kind!' They have indeed been performing the sacrifice to the Manes; but now they return to the (land of the) living: hence he says, 'That we may abide with the living kind!'

40. Thereupon he who has presented (the obsequial cakes) again shifts his sacrificial cord to the right shoulder and betakes himself (to the fire in the shed), and mutters (*Vāg. S.* II, 31), 'The

¹ '—the friends have shaken off (their intoxication),' Ludwig; '—they showered down upon us delightful gifts,' Grassmann; 'they shook their dear (bodies),' *Sāyana*; '—have trembled through their precious (bodies),' Wilson.

² *Ver-* *Die* *veda* has 'armena' instead of 'stomena.'

Fathers have regaled themselves: like bulls they came each to his share:’ whereby he means to say, ‘they have eaten each his own share.’

41. He now takes the vessel of water and again, while sprinkling, walks thrice round (the altar) from left to right (sunwise). With ‘N. N., wash thyself!’ he pours out water (in the respective corner) for the Sacrificer’s father to wash himself; with ‘N. N., wash thyself!’ for the grandfather; with ‘N. N., wash thyself!’ for the great-grandfather. As one would pour out water for (a guest) who has taken food with him, so in this case. And as to his again walking thrice round from left to right, while sprinkling,—they think, ‘This holy work of ours shall be accomplished sunwise’, and hence he walks thrice round from left to right, while sprinkling.

42. He then pulls down the tuck (of the nether garment)² and makes obeisance (to the Fathers). The tuck, doubtless, is sacred to the Fathers: hence he makes obeisance to them after pulling down the tuck; and obeisance means worship: hence he thereby recognises them as entitled to worship. Six times he makes obeisance to them, since there are six seasons, and the Fathers are the seasons: hence he thereby establishes his sacrifice in the seasons,—that is, why he makes obeisance six times³. ‘Give houses

¹ The Kāṇva text has, ‘The reason why he moves thrice round, sprinkling from left to right, is that, after going after those three ancestors of his, he thereby leaves them, and returns to this, his own, world.’ See II, 6, 1, 15.

² On the *nīvi*, or unwoven end of the waist-cloth (Hindi *dhot*, *Mahr. dhotar*), which had to be passed under and tucked up behind, at the beginning of the present ceremony, see p. 368, note 2. Cf. *Āpast. Dharmas. I*, 2, 6, 19.

³ For the six formulas used for this purpose, see p. 368, note 2.

unto us, O Fathers!' he (further) says, because the Fathers are the guardians of houses;—and this is the prayer for blessing at this sacred performance.

43. Being now about to proceed with the after-offerings, they all invest themselves sacrificially (by shifting the cord over to the left shoulder); and thus (invested) the Sacrificer and Brahman walk round to the west, and the Āgnīdhra to the east, side; and the Hotrī sits down on the Hotrī's seat.

44. He (the Adhvaryu) then says, 'Brahman, I shall step forward.' Thereupon he puts the stick (reserved at the time of kindling) on (the fire), and says, 'Āgnīdh, trim the fire!' He then takes the two spoons and crosses over to the west side. After crossing over and calling for the 'Srausha', he says (to the Hotrī), 'Pronounce the offering-prayer to the gods!' He performs two after-offerings, omitting the one to the Barhis; for the Barhis means offspring: hence he performs two after-offerings, omitting the one to the Barhis, lest he should consign his offspring to the Fathers.

45. He then separates the two spoons¹, after laying them down (in their respective places on the altar); and having separated them, and anointed the enclosing-sticks, he takes one enclosing-stick, calls for the 'Srausha', and says, 'The divine Hotrīs are summoned for the proclamation of success, the human is called upon for the song of praise!' The Hotrī intones the 'song of praise (sūktavāka).' The Adhvaryu, on the other hand, does not seize the prastara-bunch, but watches while the Hotrī recites the song of praise.

46. Thereupon the Āgnīdhra says, 'Throw it after¹!' He (the Adhvaryu) throws nothing after, but silently touches himself.

47. He (the Āgnīdhra) then says, 'Discourse together!' [The Adhvaryu asks], 'Has he gone (to the gods), Agnīdh?'—'He has gone!'—'Bid (the gods) hear!'—'May one (or, they) hear!'—'Good-speed to the divine Hotris! Success to the human!—Pronounce the All-hail and blessing!' Thus saying, he merely touches the enclosing-sticks, but does not (now) throw them (into the fire). The Barhis and ~~enclosing-sticks~~ he throws in afterwards².

48. And here some throw also the remaining sacrificial food into the fire; but let him not do so; for that (remaining havis) is the residue of an offering; and ~~lest~~ he should offer the residue of an offering, let them (the priests) rather throw it into the water or eat it.

SECOND BRĀHMANA.

1. Verily, by means of the Great Oblation the gods slew Vṛitra; by it they gained that supreme authority which they now wield. Now whichever of them were hit by (the Asuras') arrows in that battle, those same darts they extracted, those they pulled out, by performing the Tryambaka-offerings.

2. And, accordingly, when he performs those offerings, he either does so hoping that thus no arrow

¹ See I, 8, 3, 19 seq.

² Viz. after the strewing of the Veda,—see I, 9, 2, 24, the formulas being pronounced by the Hotri on this occasion,—at the time when the Samishṭayagya, which is here omitted, would have to be performed in an ordinary *ishā*.

(misfortune) will hit any of his, or because the gods did so. And thereby he delivers from Rudra's power both the descendants that are born unto him and those that are unborn; and his offspring is brought forth without disease and blemish. This is why he performs these offerings. •

3. They are (offered) to Rudra: Rudra's, indeed, is the dart; and hence (these offerings) belong to Rudra. They consist of (cakes) on one potsherd: 'To one deity they shall belong!' so (he thinks, and) therefore they consist of (cakes) on one potsherd.

4. There is one for each individual, ~~as many~~ as he has descendants,—exceeded by one. (There being) one for each individual, he thereby delivers from Rudra's power the descendants that ~~are born~~ unto him; and there being an additional one, he thereby delivers from Rudra's power the descendants that are not yet born to him: this is why there are (as many cakes as there are descendants) exceeded by one.

5. He takes out (the rice for) those (cakes), while seated behind the Gārhapatya, sacrificially invested and facing the north. From thence he rises and threshes (the rice), while standing with his face towards the north. He places the two mill-stones on (the black antelope skin, so as to incline) towards the north; and puts the potsherds on the north side of the Gārhapatya hearth. As to why they keep the northern quarter,—that indeed is the quarter of that god (Rudra), and hence they keep the northern quarter.

6. They (the cakes) may be anointed (with ghee),—for the havis is anointed¹;—but let them rather be

¹ This refers to the so-called *nṛṇadāna*, or 'bestowal of life

unanointed; for, indeed, Rudra would be hankering after the (sacrificer's) cattle, if he were to anoint (the cakes): let them therefore be unanointed.

7. Having removed all (the cakes from the potsherds) into one dish, and taken a fire-brand from the Dakṣiṇa-fire, he walks aside towards the north—for that is the region of that god—and offers. He offers on a road,—for on roads that god roves; he offers on a cross-road,—for the cross-road, indeed, is known to be his (Rudra's) favourite haunt¹. This is why he offers on a cross-road.

8. ~~He offers~~ with the central leaflet of a palāsa-leaf. The palāsa-leaf, truly, is the Brahman (priesthood)²; with the Brahman, therefore, he offers. He ~~takes~~ a cutting from (the northern part of) all the cakes; from the additional one alone he takes no cutting.

(or soul),' that is, the anointing of the sacrificial dishes with ghee, previously to their being placed on the altar. The anointing takes place with the text (Vāg. S. ed. p. 35). 'That life (or soul, *prāṇa*) of thine which has entered into the cattle, and becomes diffused through the various forms of the gods,—endowed with (that) life (*ātman-vān*)—for thou art laden with ghee—go to Agni, O Soma! and obtain bliss (*svar*) for the Sacrificer!' Kāty. II, 8, 14. At the new and full-moon sacrifice, this ceremony is not even alluded to in our Brāhmaṇa, either in this or the Kāṇva recension. See I, 3, 4, 16. The Kāṇva text reads, 'They may be anointed,' so they say, &c.

¹ 'He offers on a cross-road, for such is the halting-place (*pad-bīṣa*) of the Agnis,' Taitt. Br. I, 6, 10, 3.

² 'The central leaflet of the palāsa-leaf is the Brahman,' Kāṇva text. The leaf of the palāsa (*Butea Frondosa*) consists of three leaflets,—leathery, above shining and pretty smooth, and below slightly hoary; the central (or terminal) one being obovate and considerably larger than the lateral ones (which, according to Roxburgh, *Flora Ind.*, III, p. 244, are from 4 to 6 inches long, and from 3 to 4½ broad). 'Palāśasākhāyām yāni trīṇi parvāni tatra madhyamam parvnam prarastayā srugrūpam,' Sāy. on Taitt. S. I, 8, 6.

9. He offers¹, with the text (Vāg. S. III, 57 a). 'This is thy share, O Rudra! graciously accept it together with thy sister Ambikā! Svāhā!' Ambikā², indeed, is the name of his (Rudra's) sister; and this share belongs to him conjointly with her; and because that share belongs to him conjointly with a woman (stri), therefore (these oblations) are called Tryambakāḥ. Thereby, then, he delivers from Rudra's power the descendants that have been born unto him.

10. Now as to that additional (cake), he buries it in a mole-hill³, with the text (Vāg. S. III, 57 b). 'This is thy share, O Rudra! the mole is thy animal (victim).' He thus assigns to him the mole as the only animal⁴, and he (Rudra) does not therefore injure any other animal. Then as to why he buries (the cake): concealed, indeed, are embryos, and concealed also is what is buried,—that is why he buries it. By this (offering) he delivers from the power of Rudra those descendants of his, that are not yet born.

¹ He consecrates, by the usual fivefold lustration, some spot on a cross-way, to the north of the sacrificial ground, and after laying down the fire-brand taken from the Dakṣināgni, he offers thereon, using the central leaflet of a palāsa-leaf as the offering-spoon.

² In Taitt. Br. I, 6, 10, 4, this sister of Rudra is identified with the autumn, wherewith the god is wont to kill (viz. by means of catarrh, fever, &c., Sāy.). See also Weber, Ind. Stud. I, 183; Muir, Original Sanskrit Texts, vol. iv. p. 321.

³ 'Ākhūtaka;' 'ākhukarisha,' Kāṇva text. Possibly a mouse-hole, or the earth thrown up by a mouse, is meant. See p. 278, note 3. Cf. Taitt. Br. I, 6, 10, 2: 'N. N. is thy victim,' thus saying, let him indicate the one he (the Sacrificer) hates; thereby he delivers over to him (Rudra) the one he hates. If he hate no one, let him say, 'the mole (mouse) is thy victim.'

⁴ 'Thus he makes over to him only the mole as victim, and puts

11. Thereupon they return (to the fire) and mutter (Vāg. S. III, 58, 59), 'We have satisfied the claims of Rudra, satisfied the divine Tryambaka, that he may make us richer, that he may make us more prosperous, that he may render us steady in our purpose. —Thou (O° Rudra) art a remedy for the cow, a remedy for the horse, a remedy for man; a blessing for the ram and the ewe.' This is the prayer for blessing at this performance.

12. They then walk thrice round the altar not sun-wise, beating their left thighs (with the right hand), with the text (Vāg. S. III, 60 a), 'We worship Tryambaka, the fragrant increaser of prosperity. Even as a gourd (is severed) from its stem, so may I be severed from death, not from immortality!' This is the prayer for blessing at this performance: thereby they invoke a blessing (upon the Sacrificer), for verily blessed is he who shall be severed from death, not from immortality. That is why he says, 'May I be severed from death, not from immortality.'

13. Let the maidens then also walk round, thinking, 'May we enjoy prosperity!' That sister of Rudra, named Ambikā, indeed is the dispenser of happiness: hence the maidens also should walk round, thinking, 'May we enjoy prosperity!'

14. The text (prescribed) for them is (Vāg. S. III, 60 b), 'We worship Tryambaka, the fragrant bestower of husbands. Even as a gourd (is severed) from its stem, so may I be severed from this (world), not from thence (yonder world)!' By saying 'from this,' she means to say 'from my relatives;' and by saying 'not from thence,' she means to say 'not from husbands.' Husbands, doubtless, are the support of woman: hence she says 'not from thence.'

15. Then they (the Sacrificer and priest.) again walk round thrice sunwise, beating their right thighs, with the same text. As to why they again walk round thrice sunwise,—they think, ‘Sunwise this sacred work of ours shall be accomplished,’ and therefore they again walk thrice round sunwise.

16. The Sacrificer now takes those (remains of the cakes) into his joined palms and throws them upwards higher than a cow can reach¹. Thereby they cut out his (Rudra’s) darts from their bodies. If they fail to catch them², they touch (those that have fallen to the ground). Thereby they make them medicine, and hence, if they fail to catch them, they touch them.

17. Having then packed them into two baskets and tied them to the two ends of either a bamboo staff or the beam of a balance, he steps

¹ ‘Yathā gaur nodāpnuyāt.’ ‘Yāvad gaur nodāpnuyāt tāvat,’ Kāṇva text. Sāyana takes *go* to mean ‘earth,’ and interprets, ‘in such a way that the earth does not obtain it (i.e. that they do not fail to the ground).’ Kāty. prescribes, V, 10, 18, The Sacrificer, with his joined open hands, throws the Rudra-cakes upwards as high as not to be reachable by a cow (*agoḥprāpanam*); 19, He catches them; 20, If they cannot be (caught), then touching (of those that have fallen on the ground).

² I adopt (not without reluctance) Sāyana’s interpretation of *vilipsantaś* (= *labdhum araktāś*), which seems to be that of Kātyāyana also. The St. Petersburg Dict. takes it in the sense of ‘(if they are) desirous of distributing them.’ Taitt. Br. I, 6, 10, 5 has merely ‘*utkiranti bhagasya līpsante*,’ ‘they throw (them) up, (whereby) they desire to obtain prosperity.’ Āpastamba, as quoted by Sāy. on Taitt. S. I, 8, 6, says,—Having thrown up the cakes and caught them again (*pratilabhya*), and having, with ‘We worship Tryambaka,’ put them into the Sacrificer’s joined palms; and having taken them up separately (? *apādāya*), with (or thinking) ‘We desire to obtain you of (? from) Bhaga;’ let them put them together

aside towards the north; and if he meets with a tree or a stake or a bamboo or an ant-hill, he fastens them thereon, with the text (Vāg. S. III, 61), 'These, O Rudra, are thy provisions; therewith depart beyond the Mûgavats!'—(supplied) with provisions people indeed set out on a journey: hence he thereby dismisses him supplied with provisions whithersoever he is bound. Now in this case his journey is beyond the Mûgavats: hence he says, 'Depart beyond the Mûgavats!'—'with thy bow unstrung and muffled up—,' whereby he means to say, 'Depart propitious, not injuring us¹;' 'Clad in a skin,'—whereby he lulls him to sleep²; for while sleeping he injures no one: hence he says, 'Clad in a skin.'

8. They then turn to the right about, and return (to the uttaravedi) without looking back. Having returned thither, they touch water; for they have been performing a ceremony relating to Rudra³, and water is (a means of) purification: with water, that (means of) purification, they accordingly purify themselves.

19. Thereupon he shaves his hair and beard, and takes up the fire (of the uttaravedi),—for only after changing his place (to the ordinary sacrificial ground) he performs the (Full-moon) sacrifice on that fire, since it is not proper that he should perform the

¹ In the Vāg. Samhitā this forms part of the text, but it is clearly a gloss taken from the Brāhmaṇa. The Kāṇva recension of the Brāhmaṇa has '—pinākāvasa ity ahimsan naḥ sivaḥ sānto 'tīṣṭy evaitad āha,' which has likewise found its way into the Samhitā of that school. On the Mûgavats, see Muir, Orig. Sanskrit Texts, vol. ii. p. 352.

² According to Kāty. V, 10, 22, he mutters the word 'skin-clad' while steadying the two baskets.

³ See p. 2, note 2.

Agnihotra on the uttaravedi: for this reason he changes his place. Having gone to the house, and 'churned out' the fires¹, he performs the Full-moon offering. The Seasonal offerings, doubtless, are detached sacrifices; whereas the Full-moon offering is a regular, established sacrifice: hence he finally establishes himself by means of that regular sacrifice, and therefore changes his place (to the ordinary sacrificial ground).

THIRD BRĀHMANA.

1. Verily, imperishable is the righteousness of him that offers the Seasonal sacrifices; for such a one gains the year, and hence there is no cess² for him. He gains it (the year) in three divisions, he conquers it in three divisions. The year means the whole, and the whole is imperishable (without end): hence his righteousness is indeed imperishable. Moreover, he thereby becomes a Season, and as such goes to the gods; but there is no perishableness in the gods, and hence there is imperishable righteousness for him. This, then, is why he offers the Seasonal sacrifices.

2. Then as to why he should perform the Sunâstīya offering. The prosperity (sri) that accrued to the gods on performing the Sākamedha offerings, and gaining the victory (over Vṛitra), is suna; and the essence (rasa) that belonged to the year gained by them is sra². Now that same prosperity which

¹ See II, 5, 2, 48.

² The author identifies sra (plough) with sâra, 'essence, sap;' and takes suna, ploughshare (?), as identical with sunam, 'success-

accrued to the gods on performing the Sākamedha offerings, and that same essence which belonged to the year gained by them,—both these he takes possession of and makes his own: that is why he performs the Sunāśtrya.

3. The mode of its performance (is as follows): They prepare no uttaravedi: they do not use clotted butter; nor do they churn the fire¹. There are five fore-offerings, three after-offerings, and one Samish-tayagus.

4. Then, in the first place, there are those five (regular) oblations². By means of these oblations, indeed, Praṇāpati produced creatures: with them he delivered the creatures both ways from Varuna's with them the gods slew Vṛitra and gained that victory which was gained by them. And so does he, by means of them, obtain and make his own, both that prosperity which accrued to the gods from performing the Sākamedha offerings, and that essence of the year which was gained by them. This is why those five oblations are (offered).

5. Then follows a Sunāśtrya³ cake on twelve

¹ But see XI, 5, 2, 8, 'At all four of these (Kāturmāsya offerings) they churn the fire.' On account of this contradiction, the commentators, on Kāty. V, 11, 3, consider the churning of the fire as optional. But, if the fires were produced by 'churning,' nine fore-offerings and after-offerings would have to be performed, as at the other Seasonal sacrifices, which is expressly forbidden in the above passage. According to Kāty. himself, the Sunāśtrya is to be treated like an ordinary ish/i, except that the barhis is to be tied together in the way prescribed for the Seasonal offerings; see II, 5, 1, 18.

² See II, 5, 1, 8-11.

³ That is, according to Kāty. V, 11, 5, to Suna and Śtra,—probably the ploughshare and plough, considered as two tutelary deities of agricultural pursuits (Rig-veda IV, 57, 5-8); but by Yāska identified with Vāyu and Āditya;—or, according to Taitt. S. I, 8,

potsherds. The import of this Sunāśtrya oblation is what we have stated before.

6. After that there is an (oblation of) milk¹ to Vāyu. Now it is to milk that living beings readily take, when they are born: 'Māy the creatures readily take to me—now that I have gained the victory (by means of the Sākamedha offerings)—for my prosperity, glory, and support!' so he thinks, and hence that (oblation of) milk.

7. Then as to why it is (offered) to Vāyu. Now Vāyu, indeed, is yonder blowing (wind); it is he that makes swell whatever rain falls here. ~~But it is by~~ the rain that plants grow; and on the plants being eaten and the water drunk, milk is produced ~~out of~~ that water. Hence it is he (Vāyu) that prod and for this reason it is (offered) to Vāyu.

8. Then follows a cake on one potsherd for Sūrya. Now Sūrya, indeed, is yonder scorching (sun); it is he that governs all this (world), now by means of a good, now by a bad (king)²; he assigns its place to everything here, now under a good, now under a bad (king): 'Now that I have obtained the victory, may he, in his pleasure, govern me through a good (king), may he assign to me a place under a good (king)!' thus he thinks; and for this reason there is a cake on one potsherd for Sūrya.

9. The priests' fee for this (oblation to Sūrya)

7, 1, Taitt. Br. I, 7, 1, 1, to Indra Sunāśtra (i.e. Indra, accompanied by Suna and Śtra, Sāy.)

¹ According to Kāty. V, 11, 6–10, the milk, in this case, is to be offered quite fresh (and warm) from the cow, without having been ~~put on the fire~~. Rice-gruel may, however, be offered instead.

is a white horse¹; whereby it is made of the characteristic form of yonder scorching (sun). If he be unable to procure a white horse, it may be a white bull; whereby it is likewise made of the characteristic form of yonder scorching (sun).

10. He may offer the Sunāsīrya at the same time when he performs the Sākamedha offerings. By offering (Seasonal sacrifices) three times in the year, he indeed obtains the (whole) year: he may therefore offer (the Sunāsīrya) at any time².

11. Here now some wish to take possession of the nights, and should he wish to take possession of the nights, let him offer the Sunāsīrya (on the day) when, previously to the full-moon of Phālguna, (the new moon) becomes visible in yonder sky.

12. Let him then get consecrated (for the Soma-sacrifice), lest the Phālguna full-moon again pass by without his offering (Soma). For were the Phālguna full-moon again to pass by without his having

¹ According to Taitt. S. I, 8, 7, Taitt. Br. I, 7, 1, 2, the Dakṣiṇā consists of a plough yoked with twelve oxen.

² That is to say, he may perform the Sunāsīrya, either immediately after the Sākamedhāḥ, or at any time within four months after that sacrifice (comm. on Kāty. V, 11, 3). Our author, however, evidently favours the views set forth in the succeeding paragraphs. According to these, the householder who wishes to discontinue the Seasonal offerings after the first round, and to become a Soma-sacrificer, is to perform the Sunāsīrya on the first day of the waxing moon of Phālguna, and then to undergo the dīkṣhā, or rite of consecration for the Soma-sacrifice (see III, 1, 2, 1 seq.), either immediately or before the approaching full-moon, when he is to perform the Agnishōma (or an animal offering to Agni and Soma or an Āgneyī śiṣā, Kāty. V, 11, 15). If, on the other hand, he intends to continue the Kāturmāsya for another year (or more), he is to perform the Sunāsīrya on the upavasatha, or day preceding the full-moon.

offered (Soma), he would certainly have to begin anew (to perform the Seasonal offerings): hence the Phālguna full-moon should not again pass by without his offering Soma. Such (is the rule) for him who discontinues (the Seasonal offerings).

13. And in the case of one who recommences (the Seasonal offerings),—let him perform the Sunāstīrya on the day preceding the Phālguna full-moon, and on the following day the Vaisvadeva, and after that the Full-moon offering. This, then, (is the rule) for him who recommences (the Seasonal offerings).

14. Then as to (the Sacrificer) ~~shaving his head~~ all round¹. Now yonder sun, indeed, faces every quarter; it drinks up whatever (moisture) it dries up here: hence this (Sacrificer) thereby faces ~~every~~ quarter and becomes a consumer of food.

15. This fire also faces every quarter, since it burns all they put into it from whatsoever quarter: hence this (Sacrificer) thereby faces every quarter and becomes a consumer of food.

16. This man, on the other hand, faces but one quarter; but by shaving his head all round he comes to face every quarter; and whosoever, knowing this, has his head shaved all round, becomes just such a consumer of food as those two: let him therefore have his head shaved all round.

17. And on this point Āsuri said, 'What in the world has it to do with his face, even if he were to shave off all the hair of his head! It is by offering

¹ 'Parivartayate' ('nivartayate,' *Kāṇva*), lit. 'he cause^s himself to be turned round,' is the technical expression for having one's head shaved all round (the *sikhā*, or lock of hair on the crown of

thrice in the year that he comes to face all the quarters and becomes a consumer of food: let him therefore not trouble himself about shaving his head.'

FOURTH BRĀHMAṆA.

1. Now when it is said, that the gods, by means of the Sākamedha offerings, slew *Vṛitra* and gained that supreme authority which they now wield,—it is rather by means of all the Seasonal sacrifices that the gods slew *Vṛitra*; it is by all of them that ~~they gained that~~ supreme authority which they now wield.

2. They spake, 'With what king, with what ~~leader~~ shall we fight?' Agni spake, 'With me for your king, with me for your leader!' With Agni for their king, with Agni for their leader, they gained four months; and with the Brahman (sacerdotium) and the threefold science they encompassed them.

3. They spake, 'With what king, with what leader shall we fight?' Varuṇa spake, 'With me for your king, with me for your leader!' With Varuṇa for their king, with Varuṇa for their leader, they gained other four months; and with the Brahman and the threefold science they encompassed them.

4. They spake, 'With what king, with what leader shall we fight?' Indra spake, 'With me for your king, with me for your leader!' With Indra for their king, with Indra for their leader, they gained other four months; and with the Brahman and the threefold science they encompassed them.

¹ Anika (? 'van-guard'), cf. V, 3, 1, 1 'senāyāḥ senānīr anīkam'; II. 5, 3, 2.

5. And, accordingly, when he performs the Vaisvadeva, he thereby gains four months, with Agni for his king, with Agni for his leader. Then (in shaving) are used a porcupine's quill spotted in three places, and a copper razor; that three-spotted porcupine's quill resembles the threefold science, and the copper razor resembles the Brahman; for the Brahman is fire, and fire is of reddish (lohita) colour: hence a copper (loha) razor is used. Therewith he has (his head) shaved all round¹; and thus he (the Adhvaryu) encompasses him with the Brahman and the threefold science.

6. And when he performs the Varuṇapraghâsa offerings, he thereby gains other four months, with Varuṇa for his king, with Varuṇa for his leader. Then a three-spotted quill of a porcupine and a copper razor are used, wherewith he has himself shaved all round; and thus he (the priest) encompasses him with the Brahman and the threefold science.

7. And when he performs the Sâkamedha offerings, he thereby gains other four months, with Indra for his king, with Indra for his leader. Then a three-spotted quill of a porcupine and a copper razor are used, wherewith he has himself shaved; and thus he (the priest) encompasses him with the Brahman and the threefold science.

8. And when he performs the Vaisvadeva, then he becomes Agni, and attains to union with Agni and to co-existence in his world. And when he performs the Varuṇapraghâsa offerings, then he becomes Varuṇa, and attains to union with Varuṇa

¹ See p. 448, note 1.

and to co-existence in his world. And when he performs the Sākamedha offerings, then he becomes Indra, and attains to union with Indra and to co-existence in his world¹.

9. And in whatever season he goes to yonder world, that season passes him on to the next season, and that season again passes him on to the next season,—he who performs the Seasonal sacrifices reaches the highest place, the supreme goal. Wherefore it is said, 'They find not him that offereth the Seasonal offerings, for verily he goeth
2, to the supreme goal².'

¹ The Kāṇva text adds: And when he performs the Sunāsiriya, then he becomes Vāyu, and attains to union with Vāyu and to co-existence in his world.

² The Kāṇva text has: In whatever season the performer of Seasonal offerings goes to yonder world, that season passes him on to the next season, and that next one to the next one,—him the seasons, by transmission, make thus attain to the highest station, to the highest world. Wherefore is it said, 'They find not him that offereth the Seasonal offerings, for he conquereth the highest world, the highest conquest (paramam hy eva lokam paramām gitim gayatiti).'

ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS.

- Page 13, note 1. The pole of Indian carts is itself firmly bound with thongs.
- P. 27, paragraph 10. Read,—Vāg. S. I, 13 b; I, 15 c.
- P. 28, par. 12, and note 2. The Kāṇva text has the correct order of cases: 'tāny etāni śatrūṃ vāta chitu brāhmanasya āgahy ādraveti rāḡanyasya ā vālsyasya ādhāveti sūdrasya.'
- P. 47, par. 1. The Taitt. S. (II, 6, 6) has a somewhat different version of this legend:—Agni had three elder brothers. While carrying the oblations to the gods, they perished. Agni was afraid, 'In like manner this one will meet with destruction (artim āriṣyaṃ).' He concealed himself. He entered the waters. The gods wished to find him. A fish betrayed him. He cursed it, 'May (people) kill thee by whatever means they can devise (dhivā dhivā), who hast betrayed me.' Hence they kill the fish by whatever means they can devise, for he is accursed. They found him, and said to him, 'Come back to us and carry our oblation.' He said, 'I will ask a boon: whatever portion of the taken ghee shall fall outside the enclosing-sticks, before it is offered, that shall be my brothers' portion.' Hence whatever portion of the taken ghee falls outside the enclosing-sticks, that is their portion: with that he satisfies them.
- P. 47, par. 2. The Kāṇva text reads,— 'They followed Indra even as nṛga-days also a Brāhman follows a Kṣatriya blessing him (nṛga-mano naśarati).'
- P. 85, par. 6. Correct: for this represents the fringe (of the Sacrificer's nether garment), and it is on the right side that the fringe is tucked in, for the fringe also is covered by being tucked in. Cf. below to p. 368.
- P. 118, line 18. Read:— 'Thine' instead of 'Your.'
- P. 164, par. 1. Professor Delbrück, Syntaktische Forschungen II, p. 118, translates,— 'And from the mouth which had been drinking surā, the sparrow sprang; hence the latter sings so merrily, for indeed he sings so merrily as if it had drunk surā.' Differently, however, ibid. III, p. 64.
- P. 175, par. 1. Compare also the corresponding legend in Taitt. Br. I, 6, 7, 4.— Indra, having slain Vṛtra, went to the farthest distance, thinking 'I have committed a sin (pārādhānam), I have missed him.' He said, 'Who will find this out?' The Maruts said, 'We will choose a boon, then we shall know: let the first offering be made to us!' They sported on him (Vṛtra), &c. (According to Sāyana, on Taitt. S. I, 8, 4, Indra flies from fear and says, within the Marut's hearing, 'Is Vṛtra dead or not? Who will go near him and find it out?' &c.)
- P. 183, par. 1. According to Sāyana, on Taitt. Br. I, 1, 3, 10, it was the Soma-plant (soma-vallī) that was carried off by Gayatri devatā, and one of its leaves (parva) was broken off, and on falling to the ground became a palāśa tree. See also Taitt. S. VI, 1, 6; Sat. Br. III, 2, 4, 1 seq.; Weber, Ind. Stud. II, 312 seq.
- P. 184, note 4. Add,—Compare Max Müller, Hist. of Anc. Sansk. Lit., p. 352.
- P. 206, par. 19. Correct:— 'Bhūliaveya' also II, 1, 4, 6.
- P. 288, note 2. On the etymology of nakṣatra, see also Max Müller, Rig-veda-Samhita IV, p. lxxi note.
- P. 310, pars. 8-9. Correct,— 'And whichever (of the Asuras) they (the gods) slew, he indeed remained the same (viz. alive). In consequence of this the gods were left inferior.'
- P. 313, par. 20. The paragraph should have been rendered thus:—To Aruṇa Aupavasi his kinsmen said, 'Thou art advanced in years: establish thou the fires.' He replied, 'Thereby ye tell me, "keep silence!" he who has established his fires must not speak an untruth, and only by not speaking at all one speaks no untruth: to that extent the service (of the consecrated fire) consists in truth.' Similarly the Kāṇva text.—arunam haupavasiṃ gṛhātaya ūcūś sthaviro vā asy agni ādhatsveti. sa hovāca tan matad brutha vācanyama evaidhiti mā brūhēti na hy agni ādhāya mṛśhā vaden no vātā vadato mṛśhodiyam asti tasmād u satyam eva vivadiṣhet. See Delbrück, Syntaktische Forschungen III, p. 29.
- P. 368, par. 24. Read,—He (the Sacrificer) then pulls down the tuck of his (nether garment) and performs oblation. Cf. p. 435, note 2.

TRANSLITERATION OF ORIENTAL ALPHABETS ADOPTED FOR THE TRANSLATION
OF THE SACRED BOOKS OF THE EAST.

CONSONANTS	MISSIONARY ALPHABET.				S. Script.	Zand.	Pahlavi	Persian.	Arabic.	Hebrew	Chinese	
	I Class.		II Class.									III Class.
Gutturales.												
1 Tenuis	k				𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	k	
2 " aspirata	kh				𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	kh	
3 Media	g				𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢		
4 " aspirata	gh				𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢		
5 Gutturo-labialis	q				𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢		
6 Nasalis	ñ (ng)				𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢		
7 Spiritus asper	h				𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	h, ha	
8 " lenis	h				𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢		
9 " asper faucalis	'h				𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢		
10 " lenis faucalis	'h				𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢		
11 " asper fricatus	'h				𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢		
12 " lenis fricatus	'h				𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢		
Gutturales modificatae (palatales, &c.)												
13 Tenuis	k				𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	k	
14 " aspirata	kh				𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	kh	
15 Media	g				𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢		
16 " aspirata	gh				𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢		
17 " Nasalis	ñ				𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢	𐎧𐎠𐎡𐎢		

CONSONANTS (Lip and Teeth)		MISSIONARY ALPHABET.				Handwritten	Zenka	Phonetic	Phonetic	Arabic	Phonetic	Arabic
		I Class.	II Class.	III Class.								
18	Semivocalis	y	.	.	.	y	324	y	y	y	y	y
19	Spiritus asper	.	(y)	.	.	.	324
20	" lenis	.	(y)	.	.	.	324
21	" asper assibilatus	.	s	.	.	.	324
22	" lenis assibilatus	.	c	.	.	.	324
Dentalis.												
23	Tenuis	t	.	.	.	t	324	t	t	t	t	t
24	" aspirata	th	.	.	.	th	324	th	th	th	th	th
25	" assibilata	d	.	.	.	d	324	d	d	d	d	d
26	Media	dh	.	.	.	dh	324	dh	dh	dh	dh	dh
27	" aspirata	324
28	" assibilata	n	.	.	.	n	324	n	n	n	n	n
29	Nasalis	l	.	.	.	l	324	l	l	l	l	l
30	Semivocalis	324
31	" molliis 1	324
32	" molliis 2	324
33	Spiritus asper 1	324
34	" asper 2	324
35	" lenis	z	.	.	.	z	324	z	z	z	z	z
36	" asperimus 1	324
37	" asperimus 2	324

Dentales moudicatao					
(linguales, &c.)					
38 Tenuis	t
39 " aspirata	ch
40 Media	d
41 " aspirata	dh
42 Nasalis	n
43 Semivocalis	r
44 " fricata	f
45 " diacritica	"
46 Spiritus asper	sh
47 " lenis	zh
Labiales.					
48 Tenuis	p
49 " aspirata	ph
50 Media	b
51 " aspirata	hh
52 Tenuissima
53 Nasalis	m
54 Semivocalis	w
55 " aspirata	hw
56 Spiritus asper	f
57 " lenis	v
58 Anusvāra	a
59 Visarga	ḥ

VOWELS						MISSIONARY ALPHABET.			Semitic	Zend.	Fahst.	Persian.	Arabic.	Hebrew	Chinam.
		I Class.	II Class.	III Class.											
1 Neutralis		O							-	a
2 Laryngo-palatalis		ö							-	a
3 " labialis		õ							-	A
4 Gutturalis brevis		a							-	i
5 " longa		ä	(o)						-	i
6 Palatalis brevis		i	(f)						-	.
7 " longa		ü							-	.
8 Dentalis brevis		û							-	.
9 " longa		ü							-	.
10 Lingualis brevis		ri							-	.
11 " longa		rî							-	.
12 Labialis brevis		u							-	.
13 " longa		ô	(u)						-	.
14 Gutturo-palatalis brevis		e							E(e) Z(r) yo. zo	-	u
15 " longa		ê(ai)	(e)						-	â
16 Diphthongus gutturo-palatalis		äi	(ai)						-	ei, êi
17 " "		ei(êi)							-	o
18 " "		vi(öu)							-	au
19 Gutturo-labialis brevis		o							-	.
20 " longa		ô(au)	(o)						-	.
21 Diphthongus gutturo-labialis		äu	(au)						-	.
22 " "		"							-	.
23 " "		ou(öu)							-	.
24 Gutturalis fracta		a							-	.
25 Palatalis fracta		i							-	.
26 Labialis fracta		ü							-	.
27 Gutturo-labialis fracta		ö							-	.

